

Report of:	Council Solicitor
Contact Officer:	Ian Curran
Telephone No:	01785 619220
Portfolio Leader:	Corporate Improvement
Key Decision:	No
Report Track:	Cabinet: 19/09/19

CABINET
19 SEPTEMBER 2019
UPDATE TO SURVEILLANCE POLICY

1 Purpose of Report

- 1.1 To propose updates to the current Surveillance Policy.

2 Recommendation(s)

- 2.1 That the Surveillance Policy be updated in accordance with the attached APPENDIX to this report

3 Key Issues and Reasons for Recommendations

Key Issues

- 3.1 The current Surveillance Policy was adopted in 2015 and it is considered good practice to regularly review and update the Council's policy as appropriate.
- 3.2 Nationally, oversight of local authority procedures is maintained through the office of the Surveillance Commissioner (OSC), who carry out regular inspections of all local authorities.

Reasons for Recommendations

- 3.3 Since the current policy was adopted, the OSC has carried out a further inspection, the Home Office has revised its code of practice and new legislation has been brought into force affecting the acquisition of communications data. It is therefore prudent that the Council's policy to be revised to reflect the latest guidance, advice and legislation in this area.

4 Relationship to Corporate Priorities

- 4.1 This report supports the Council's Corporate Priorities as follows:

- (i) Surveillance of illegal activity can take place as part of a number of Council regulatory functions which contribute to improving the district as a place to live.

5 Report Detail

- 5.1 The Surveillance Policy outlines the procedures by which the Council may conduct lawful covert surveillance of individuals. This includes any requirement to obtain authorisations under the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 (RIPA).
- 5.2 Although it is anticipated that the Council will continue to be a rare user of this type of surveillance, it is important that the Council has an up to date policy to cover any operations should they arise. The Council last updated its Surveillance Policy in 2015. It is considered good practice to continually review the policy to keep it up to date to reflect changes in guidance and surveillance practices over time.
- 5.3 Responsibility for keeping the policy under review rests with legal services. As a shared service, legal services are responsible for oversight of the policy at both Stafford Borough and Cannock Chase Councils. To ensure a consistent approach it is recommended that a similar policy is adopted at both Councils.
- 5.4 Since the adoption of the current policy, legal services have had the benefit of an inspection by the OSC, the Home Office have introduced revised Codes of Practice for Covert Surveillance and Covert Human Intelligence Sources in August 2018, and key elements of the Investigatory Powers Act 2016 have recently been brought into force.
- 5.5 It is therefore recommended that the current policy be amended to cover the following elements of good practice and legislative changes:

5.6 Social Media/Use of Internet

The Home Office Codes of Practice for Covert Surveillance and Use of Covert Human Intelligence Sources were revised in August 2018. The revised codes seek to clarify when use of the internet during investigations may involve the use of the RIPA authorisation process.

5.7 Conduct of Covert Human Intelligence Sources (CHIS)

Although the Councils policy emphasises that the Council would be most unlikely to use a CHIS, the OSC recommends that the policy should further clarify when a CHIS relationship is likely to occur. The policy has therefore been updated reflect the latest guidance on this.

5.8 Investigatory Powers Act

Councils can lawfully access certain communications data for the purposes of criminal investigations (e.g. details of phone/email subscribers, numbers called etc.). Under the current policy, approval of the Magistrates Court is needed

before such information can be accessed. The Act has changed the process for accessing this type of information so that approval of the Office of Communications Data Authorisations is now required instead. While subscriber data can be accessed in connection with any crime or disorder investigation, service use data (e.g. the date and type of communications sent, and the duration/frequency) can now only be accessed in connection with the investigation of serious crime.

6 Implications

6.1 Financial

None.

6.2 Legal

Improper use of surveillance powers can lead to challenge on Human Rights grounds and it is important that the Council has an up to date policy to advise its officers on proper use of these powers to ensure any action is necessary and proportionate.

6.3 Human Resources

None.

6.4 Section 17 (Crime Prevention)

None.

6.5 Human Rights Act

None.

6.6 Data Protection

None.

6.7 Risk Management

None.

6.8 Equality & Diversity

None.

6.9 Best Value

None.

7 Appendices to the Report

Appendix 1: Surveillance Policy and Procedures 2019

Previous Consideration

Amendments to Surveillance Policy	Cabinet	12 March, 2015
Adoption of Surveillance Policy	Cabinet	20 June, 2013

Background Papers

None.

CANNOCK CHASE DISTRICT COUNCIL

**SURVEILLANCE POLICY AND
PROCEDURES**



2019

CONTENTS PAGE

	Page No
A Introduction and Key Messages	3
B Background to the relevant Acts	4
C What RIPA Does and Does Not Do	5
D Types of Surveillance	6
E Conduct and Use of a Covert Human Intelligence Source (CHIS)	10
F Codes of Practise for Covert Surveillance / Use of a CHIS	13
G Procedures for Conduct of / Authorisation Of Surveillance	15
H Officers Permitted to Authorise a Covert Surveillance Exercise	18
I Acquisition and Disclosure of Communications Data....	19
J Judicial Approval.....	21
K Non RIPA Surveillance.....	22
Annex 1 - Purpose of the RIPA and the Codes of Practice	24
Annex 2 – RIPA Flow Chart	26

A. Introduction and Key Messages

1. This Surveillance Policy is based upon the requirements of the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 ('RIPA') and Home Office's Codes of Practices on Surveillance which support RIPA.
2. The authoritative position on RIPA is, of course, the Act itself and any Officer who is unsure about any aspect of this document should contact, at the earliest possible opportunity, the Legal Services Manager for advice and assistance.
3. A copy of this document is on the Intranet and is reviewed annually.
4. The Council Solicitor is the Councils Senior Responsible Officer for RIPA. The SRO is responsible for:-
 - specifying, by name, appropriate officers able to grant RIPA authorisations (i.e. Authorising Officers)
 - verifying the competency of those officers before authorising them.
 - ensuring the integrity of the surveillance processes in place and compliance with legislation and Home Office Codes of Practice
 - engagement with Surveillance Commissioners and inspectors when they conduct their inspections
 - overseeing implementation of any post inspection action plans
5. The Legal Services Manager is responsible for maintaining the central register of all RIPA authorisations, reviews, renewals, cancellations and rejections. It is the responsibility of the relevant Authorising Officer, however, to ensure that the original forms are sent to the Legal Services Manager. Authorising Officers must also ensure that, when sending the completed forms to the Legal Services Manager they are conveyed in a confidential manner.
6. RIPA and this document are important for the effective and efficient operation of the Borough Council's actions with regard to covert surveillance and Covert Human Intelligence Sources. This document will, therefore, be kept under annual review by the Legal Services Manager.
7. In terms of monitoring e-mails and internet usage, it is important to recognise the important interplay and overlaps with the Council's e-mail and internet policies and guidance, and legislation such as RIPA, subsequent statutory instruments relating to RIPA the Data Protection Act 1998 Human Rights Act 1988 etc. RIPA forms should be used where **relevant** and they will be only **relevant** where the **criteria** listed on the Forms are fully met.

B. Background to the Relevant Acts

- 1 Under legislation it is the responsibility of all public bodies to comply fully with the requirements of the Human Rights Act (HRA) 1998 which came into force on the 2nd October, 2000. The Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act (RIPA) 2000 was enacted in order to give a clear statutory framework for the operation of certain intrusive investigative techniques, to provide for compliance with the HRA. RIPA also provided for the appointment of independent Surveillance Commissioners to oversee the exercise by public authorities of their powers and duties under the act.
- 2 **The purpose of RIPA** is to regulate the “*interception of communications, the acquisition and disclosure of data relating to communications, the carrying out of surveillance, the use of covert human intelligence sources and the acquisition of the means by which electronic data protected by encryption or passwords may be decrypted or accessed.*”
- 3 Essentially RIPA requires the following human rights principles to be complied with for investigatory work:-
 - the proposed action must be lawful
 - the proposed action must be proportionate
 - the proposed action must be necessary
 - the proposed action must be non-discriminatory.
- 4 To coincide with the RIPA coming into force, the Home Office published four statutory Codes of Practise, which are mandatory under the terms of the Act (Part IV, section 71, covering:-
 - * Use of covert surveillance
 - * Use of covert human intelligence sources
 - * Acquisition and disclosure of communications data
 - * Investigation of electronic data protected by encryption.

Details of the Codes are attached as ANNEX 1 to this Policy & Procedures Document.
- 5 The Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act states that all public authorities (including local authorities) are expected to comply with the Codes.
- 6 The codes of practise which have the most significant impact on the activities of officers at Cannock Chase District Council (CCDC), are the Code of Practice on Covert Surveillance and the Code of Practice on the Use of Covert Human Intelligence Sources (CHIS). However, officers should also be aware of the Regulation of Investigatory Powers (Communications Data) Order which provides guidance on the acquisition and disclosure of communications data.

C. What RIPA Does and Does Not Do

1. RIPA does:

- require prior authorisation of directed surveillance.
- prohibit the Council from carrying out intrusive surveillance.
- require authorisation of the conduct and use of a CHIS.
- require safeguards for the conduct and use of a CHIS.
- require judicial approval of authorisations before directed surveillance and use of CHIS can be carried out (see section J).

2. RIPA does not:

- make unlawful conduct which is otherwise lawful.
- prejudice or dis-apply any existing powers available to the Council to obtain information by any means not involving conduct that may be authorised under this Act. For example, it does not affect the Council's current powers to obtain information via the DVLA or to get information from the Land Registry as to the ownership of a property.

3. If the Authorising Officer or any Applicant is in any doubt, he / she should ask the Legal Services Manager BEFORE any directed surveillance and / or CHIS is authorised, renewed, cancelled or rejected.

D. Types of Surveillance

1. **Surveillance** is defined as including:-

- monitoring, observing, listening to persons, their movements, their conversations or their other activities or
- recording anything monitored, observed or listened to in the course of surveillance and
- surveillance by or with the assistance of a surveillance device.

2. There are different types of surveillance:-

- general surveillance (not directed at an individual)
- covert surveillance (directed / intrusive).

RIPA authorisation is not required for all surveillance. It only applies to covert surveillance:

3 Overt Surveillance

3.1 Most of the surveillance carried out by the Council will be done overtly – there will be nothing secretive, clandestine or hidden about it. In many cases, Officers will be behaving in the same way as a normal member of the public (e.g. in the case of most test purchases), and / or will be going about Council business openly (e.g. a community warden on patrol).

3.2 Similarly, surveillance will be overt if the subject has been told it will happen (e.g. where a noisemaker is warned (preferably in writing) that noise will be recorded if the noise continues, or where an entertainment licence is issued subject to conditions, and the licensee is told that officers may visit without notice or identifying themselves to the owner / proprietor to check that the conditions are being met.

4. Covert Surveillance

4.1 In terms of RIPA an action is defined as **covert** “if, and only if, it is carried out in a manner that is calculated to ensure that the persons who are subject to surveillance are unaware that it is or may be taking place”

4.2 RIPA regulates two types of covert surveillance, Directed Surveillance and Intrusive Surveillance and the use of Covert Human Intelligence Sources (CHIS).

5. Directed Surveillance

5.1 Surveillance is directed if it is undertaken:

- for the purpose of a specific investigation or specific operation in such a manner as is likely to result in the obtaining of private information about a person (whether or not that person is specifically targeted for purposes of an investigation), and
- is covert , and
- is not intrusive surveillance (see definition below – the Council must not carry out any intrusive surveillance), and
- is not carried out in an immediate response to events which would otherwise make seeking authorisation under the Act unreasonable, e.g. spotting something suspicious and continuing to observe it

5.2 The key issue in Directed Surveillance is the targeting of an individual with the intention of gaining private information. This includes any information relating to private and family life, home and correspondence, and includes activities of a professional or business nature. The fact that covert surveillance occurs in a public place or on business premises does not mean that it cannot result in the obtaining of private information about a person. Prolonged surveillance targeted on a single person will undoubtedly result in the obtaining of private information about him/her and others that s/he comes into contact, or associates, with.

5.3 Similarly, although overt town centre CCTV cameras do not normally require authorisation, if the camera is tasked for a specific purpose, which involves prolonged surveillance on a particular person, authorisation will be required. The way a person runs his/her business may also reveal information about his or her private life and the private lives of others.

6. **Intrusive Surveillance**

6.1 Surveillance is intrusive if it:-

- is covert
- is carried out in relation to anything taking place on any residential premises or in any private vehicle (or on certain premises where legal consultations with professional legal advisors are taking place)
- involves the presence of an individual in the premises or in the vehicle or is carried out by a surveillance device in the premises / vehicle. cameras, tape recorders etc.

6.2 However, surveillance carried out in relation to residential premises by use of a device (ie a camera) which is not in or on the premises **is not intrusive** (although it will be directed) unless it is of the same quality of information as would be obtained if the equipment was in the premises / vehicle.

Intrusive Surveillance can be carried out only by the police and other law enforcement agencies. Council officers must not carry out intrusive surveillance.

7. Examples of different types of Surveillance

Type of Surveillance	Examples
<u>Overt</u> does not require RIPA Authorisation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Police Officer or Parks Ranger on patrol - Signposted Town Centre CCTV cameras (in normal use) - Recording noise coming from outside the premises after the occupier has been warned that this will occur if the noise persists. - Sampling purchases (where the officer behaves no differently from a normal member of the public). - Dog Warden in uniform on patrol in park, street or van - Food Safety or Health & Safety Inspections
<u>Covert</u> but not requiring prior authorisation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - CCTV cameras providing general traffic, crime or public safety information.
<u>Covert Directed</u> must be RIPA authorised.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Officers follow an individual or individuals over a period, to establish whether s/he is working when claiming benefit or has long term sick leave from employment. - Test purchases where the officer has a hidden camera or other recording device to record information which might include information about the private life of a shop-owner, e.g. where s/he is suspected of running his business in an unlawful manner. - Surveillance of a property in relation to the movement or selling of illegal food products - Fly tipping surveillance
Intrusive – Council cannot do this!	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Planting a listening or other device in a person's home or in their private vehicle.

8. **Online Covert Activity**

- 8.1 In some investigations, the internet can form a useful source of intelligence. Use of the internet prior to an investigation should not normally engage privacy considerations (e.g. merely to check whether the subject does have an on-line presence). However, if the study of an individual's online presence becomes persistent, RIPA authorisations may need to be considered (e.g. if monitoring of the subject's online profile is undertaken or private information is intended to be extracted for use in an investigation).
- 8.2 RIPA is concerned with the obtaining of private information covertly (i.e. in a way that is designed to ensure that the subject is not, or may not, be aware

that surveillance is taking place). So, for example, if an individual posts content online through a medium designed to communicate information to a wider audience (e.g. YouTube), there is less likely to be a reasonable expectation of privacy. On the other hand, if content is posted online to an individual's own social media, they may have a reasonable expectation that it will not be secretly monitored by investigators.

- 8.2 If it is necessary and proportionate for the Council to covertly breach privacy controls (e.g. by becoming an account holder's "friend" using a false identity) to conduct an investigation, then a directed surveillance authorisation will be required.
- 8.3 If the surveillance involves more than merely reading the site's contents, and it is intended to engage with a subject on-line without revealing your identity, then an authorisation for the use and conduct of a CHIS will be required (see section E).

E. Conduct and Use of a Covert Human Intelligence Source (CHIS)
--

1. A person is a covert human intelligence source (CHIS) if he / she establishes or maintains a personal or other relationship with a person for the covert purpose of using the relationship to obtain information.
2. RIPA does not apply in circumstances where members of the public volunteer information to the Council as part of their normal civic duties, or to contact numbers set up to receive information.
3. However where it becomes apparent that information has been obtained due to a relationship between the informant and the subject, and that the subject may be unaware that the relationship is being used for that purpose, the Council must be careful not to induce, ask or assist the informant to covertly gather further information on our behalf, as this may result in forming a relationship with the subject and therefore becoming a CHIS.
4. It is most unlikely that it will ever be appropriate for the Council to utilise a CHIS. In the event that it is ever considered, advice should be sought from the Legal Services Manager at an early stage.

5. What must be authorised?

5.1.1 The Conduct or Use of a CHIS requires prior authorisation.

- **Conduct** of a CHIS = Establishing or maintaining a personal or other relationship with a person for the covert purpose of (or is incidental to) obtaining and passing on information.
- **Use** of a CHIS = Actions inducing, asking or assisting a person to act as a CHIS and the decision to use a CHIS in the first place.

5.1.2 **The Council can use CHIS's IF, AND ONLY IF, RIPA procedures, detailed in this document are followed**

6. Juvenile Sources

6.1 Special safeguards apply to the use or conduct of juvenile sources (i.e. under 18 year olds). On no occasion can a child under 16 years of age be authorised to give information against his or her parents. The Legal Services Manager must be contacted re the potential use of juvenile sources as there are other onerous requirements for such matters.

7. Vulnerable Individuals

7.1 A Vulnerable Individual is a person who is or may be in need of community care services by reason of mental or other disability, age or illness and who is

or may be unable to take care of himself or herself, or unable to protect himself or herself against significant harm or exploitation.

- 7.2 A Vulnerable Individual will only be authorised to act as a source in the most exceptional of circumstances. The Legal Services Manager must be contacted re the potential use of Vulnerable Individuals as there are other onerous requirements for such matters.

8. Test Purchases

- 8.1 Carrying out test purchases will not (as highlighted above) require the purchaser to establish a relationship with the supplier with the covert purpose of obtaining information and, therefore, the purchaser will not normally be a CHIS. For example, authorisation would not normally be required for test purchases carried out in the ordinary course of business (e.g. walking into a shop and purchasing a product over the counter).

- 8.2 By contrast, developing a relationship with a person in the shop, to obtain information about the seller's suppliers of an illegal product (e.g. illegally imported products will require authorisation as a CHIS). Similarly, using mobile hidden recording devices or CCTV cameras to record what is going on in the shop will require authorisation as directed surveillance. A combined authorisation can be given for a CHIS and also directed surveillance.

9. Anti-social behaviour activities (e.g. noise, violence, race etc)

- 9.1 Persons who complain about anti-social behaviour, and are asked to keep a diary, will not normally be a CHIS, as they are not required to establish or maintain a relationship for a covert purpose. Recording the level of noise (e.g. the decibel level) will not normally capture private information and, therefore, does not require authorisation.

- 9.2 Recording sound (with a Digital Audio Type recorder) on private premises could constitute intrusive surveillance, unless it is done overtly. For example, it will be possible to record if the noisemaker is warned that this will occur if the level of noise continues. Placing a stationary or mobile video camera outside a building to record criminal behaviour on residential estates will require prior authorisation.

10. Voluntary CHIS

- 10.1 It is possible that a person will become engaged in the conduct of a CHIS without the Council inducing, asking or assisting them to do so. An authorisation should be considered, for example, where the Council is aware that a third party is independently maintaining a relationship (i.e. "self-tasking") in order to obtain evidence of criminal activity, and the Council intends to make use of that material for its own investigative purposes.

11. “Status Drift”

- 11.1 Officers should be particularly careful to ensure that individuals who are not a CHIS at the outset of an investigation do not inadvertently become a CHIS by a process of “status drift”. If, for example a complainant volunteers to obtain further information about a person being investigated, care should be taken to consider whether the proposed action would involve the complainant becoming a CHIS and if so whether that is appropriate and in accordance with RIPA and the CHIS Code of Practice. **If further use of the informant would involve them establishing or maintaining a relationship with another person for the covert purpose of obtaining private information for the Council, then they may have become a CHIS and proper procedures would need to be followed and authorisations obtained.** Advice should be sought from the Legal Services Manager if such conduct is suspected.

F. Codes of Practise for Covert Surveillance/Use of a CHIS

1. The use of directed surveillance or covert human intelligence sources (CHIS) for a particular investigation must be subject to prior authorisation by an officer of a rank or position at least as senior as is specified in Regulations made under RIPA. For local authorities this is Director, Head of Service, Service Manager or equivalent.
2. The use of directed surveillance should only be authorised if the authorising officer is satisfied that the action is necessary (in a democratic society) for the prevention or detection of crime falling within the following description:
 - crime punishable, whether on summary conviction or on indictment, by a maximum term of at least 6 months imprisonment, or
 - crime constituting an offence under sections 146, 147, 147A of the Licensing Act or section 7 of the Children and Young Persons Act 1933.
3. The use of covert human intelligence sources should only be authorised if the authorising officer is satisfied that the action is necessary for the prevention or detection of crime or disorder.
4. If either type of surveillance is considered necessary, then the authorising officer must also be satisfied that the surveillance is proportionate - the HRA defines a measure or action as proportionate if it:
 - * impairs as little as possible the rights and freedoms (of the individual concerned and of innocent third parties)
 - * is carefully designed to meet the objectives in question
 - * is not arbitrary, unfair or based on irrational considerations.
5. Essentially the person granting the authorisation must believe that the use of a source is proportionate to what is sought to be achieved by the conduct and use of that source. This involves balancing the intrusiveness of the use of the source on the target and others who might be affected by it against the need for the source to be used in operational terms. The use of a source will not be proportionate if it is excessive in the circumstances of the case or if the information which is sought could reasonably be obtained by other less intrusive means.
5. A potential model answer would make it clear that the four elements of proportionality had been fully considered:
 - balancing the size and scope of the operation against the gravity and extent of the perceived mischief,
 - explaining how and why the methods to be adopted will cause the least possible intrusion on the target and others,

- that the activity is an appropriate use of the legislation and the only reasonable way, having considered all others, of obtaining the necessary result, and
 - evidencing what other methods had been considered and why they were not implemented.
- 6 Any surveillance involved in a case, even if it does not form part of an eventual prosecution case, may be deemed unlawful if not properly authorised and could lead to a challenge under Article 8 of the ECHR.
- 7 The requirements of the RIPA and the HRA impact on all officers of the Council who undertake investigatory or enforcement activities, including Benefits Fraud Investigation, Health, Planning and Internal Audit. **The Council adopts the Codes of Practice which are mandatory under the Act, and the following procedures should be adhered to in the conduct of any covert surveillance.**

<p>G. Procedures for Conduct of / Authorisation of Surveillance</p>
--

- 1 Staffordshire Police have simplified RIPA by the acronym - “PLAN” ie covert surveillance must be proportional, lawful, authorised and necessary:-
 - * proportional (not using a sledgehammer to crack a nut)
 - * lawful (in accordance with legislation and the legality of the audit activity)
 - * authorised (by a proper person)
 - * necessary (having considered alternatives).

- 2 For any covert surveillance to be lawful, records must be sufficient to prove that RIPA has been complied with. All procedures relating to covert surveillance must be documented on standard forms. These are referred to below. The latest versions of the documents can be downloaded from the Government website on the internet www.homeoffice.gov.uk

- 3 Covert surveillance carried out by an officer of the Council should be subject to prior authorisation by a “senior” officer within the Council, and approval by a Justice of the Peace (see section J). It should not be authorised by an officer directly involved in the surveillance so that there is independent review of whether the surveillance is necessary and proportionate. Officers designated to authorise surveillance are detailed in section H below.

- 4 Application for authorisation must be made in writing and these should include full details of the proposed surveillance and the duration. The application must include full details of:
 - the grounds on which the action is necessary
 - why the action is proportionate to what it seeks to achieve (there must be a clear indication of what alternative methods were considered for obtaining the information required and why these were rejected) It may be useful to state that this is the only way the evidence can be gathered.
 - the person(s) to be subject to the action
 - the action to be authorised (ie observation / following and reference to any premises/vehicles involved and whether private / public, residential/business)
 - full description of the work to be carried out (including locations of areas from which observations are to be conducted eg street names etc and whether photography equipment or binoculars are to be used)
 - an account of the investigation / operation
 - the information which is sought from the action
 - the potential for collateral intrusion and a plan to minimise this potential (ie the potential impact on other people not involved in the action)
 - the likelihood of acquiring any confidential/religious material (medical records, financial records, legal documents etc).

- 5 A higher level of authorisation is required in respect of confidential material. In all such cases authorisation should be obtained from the Chief Executive (or the person acting as the Head of Paid Service in their absence). Confidential information consists of communications subject to legal privilege, communications between a Member of Parliament and another person on constituency matters, confidential personal information or confidential journalistic material.
- 6 Where surveillance is reactive (ie an immediate response to an immediate situation) this must be documented within reasonable time of the surveillance. Staffordshire police have indicated the time limit as being 3 days.
- 7 The authorising officer must consider whether the proposed surveillance is proportionate, lawful, necessary and non discriminatory. The criteria for surveillance is listed on the application forms. If the proposed surveillance cannot be embraced within the criteria it should not be undertaken.
- 8 Surveillance activity must be proportionate to the offence under investigation. For example suspected theft from the workplace may merit surveillance at work but not at the person's home. The length of the investigation also needs to be proportionate.
- 9 In assessing whether or not the proposed surveillance is proportionate, consideration should be given to other appropriate means of gathering the information. **The least intrusive method will be considered proportionate by the courts.**
- 10 Account must be taken of the risk of intrusion into the privacy of persons other than the specified subject of the surveillance (**Collateral Intrusion**). Measures must be taken wherever practicable to avoid or minimise collateral intrusion and the matter may be an aspect of determining proportionality.
- 11 The appropriate course of action must then be decided in terms of the type of surveillance and hence the appropriate form / course of activity:-
 - directed surveillance
 - intrusive surveillance – not to be undertaken by local authority
 - use of a Covert Human Intelligence Source.
- 12 Intrusive surveillance is only allowed for “serious” crimes. The police can only obtain authorisation for intrusive surveillance from the Surveillance Commissioners. Local authorities cannot undertake intrusive surveillance.
- 13 There must be appropriate arrangements in place for the management and oversight of the CHIS and this must address health and safety issues through a risk assessment.
- 14 Any surveillance should have a dedicated log-sheet for officers use (**see attached for example**). The log-sheet should be kept in chronological order detailing who is on the surveillance, where it is and what happens. Where

notes cannot be written up at the time of surveillance it should be completed as soon as possible afterwards.

- 15 All alterations in the log sheet should be crossed through and initialled and then the corrected material written to the side in the normal manner. Correction fluid should not be used at any time. Completion of the log should ensure that no empty lines are left where additional information could be written in at a later date. These logs could be used in the event of criminal prosecution and should be kept correctly, signed as true statements, and secure at all times.
- 16 In all cases there is a duty of care to those surveyed. All details and approvals must be kept strictly confidential. The privacy of individuals must not be put at risk and unnecessary information should not be documented i.e. if the observed person was incidentally observed in a private context such as an extra marital affair.
- 17 Where photographs or videos are taken then a photographic log needs to be maintained and all negatives retained. Technology is available to alter photographs and the logs are important to prove the originality of the photographs / videos.
- 18 Log sheets should be kept locked with the rest of the supporting documents for a period of 6 years.
- 19 All authorisations should be held at a central point to facilitate independent examination by the Surveillance Commissioners. Copies of all authorisations and cancellations should therefore be forwarded to the Legal Services Manager
- 20 A review date should be set for the authorisation and be reviewed no later than that date.
- 21 With regard to the duration of an authorisation, cancellation must be a positive act for which diary dates are set. Time limits should be placed on any authorisation for surveillance. In all cases written "Authorities" for directed surveillance last for 3 months (Authorisations for use of CHIS last for 12 months unless relating to use of juveniles). Authorisations must then be renewed if that is deemed necessary provided they meet the requirement for authorisation. Authorisations can be reviewed at any time and should be cancelled as soon as they are considered to be no longer necessary or appropriate. Forms are available for the cancellation and the renewal of surveillance as required.

H. Officers Permitted to Authorise a Covert Surveillance Exercise

1. Directed surveillance and the use of a CHIS can only be lawfully carried out if properly authorised, and in strict accordance with the terms of the authorisation. Such authorisations can only come into effect once approved by a Justice of the Peace (see section J).
3. The Senior Responsible Officer will ensure that sufficient numbers of Authorising Officers from each Service are, after suitable training on RIPA and this document, duly certified to take action under this document. The number of Authorising Officers certified to act will be limited to a maximum of 3 to ensure consistency and experience in procedures.
4. It will be the responsibility of Authorising Officers who have been duly certified to ensure their relevant members of staff are also suitably trained as 'Applicants' so as to avoid common mistakes appearing on Forms for RIPA authorisations.
5. Authorising Officers will also ensure that staff who report to them follow this Surveillance Policy & Procedures document and do not undertake or carry out any form of surveillance without first obtaining the relevant authorisations in compliance with this document.
6. Authorising Officers must also pay particular attention to Health and Safety issues that may be raised by any proposed surveillance activity. Under no circumstances, should an Authorising Officer approve any RIPA form unless, and until s/he is satisfied the health and safety of Council employees/agents are suitably addressed and/or risks minimised, so far as is possible, and proportionate to/with the surveillance being proposed. If an Authorising Officer is in any doubt, s/he should obtain prior guidance on the same from the Legal Services Manager.
7. The officers permitted to authorise a covert surveillance exercise at the Council (i.e. the Authorising Officers) are:-
 - all officers at Head of Service level or above
8. Prior to operating their powers to authorise surveillance, such officers must have undertaken such training as deemed appropriate by the SRO, A record of officers who have undertaken training will be kept by the SRO.

<p>I. Acquisition and Disclosure of Communications Data</p>
--

- 1 Communications data is information held by communication service providers (e.g. telecom, internet and postal companies). The Investigatory Powers Act 2016 makes provision for obtaining communications data from such service providers and the disclosure to any person of such data. Communications data includes information relating to the use of a postal service or telecommunication system but **does not include** the contents of the communication itself. Data can be described as “Entity” data (i.e. details that describe the entity associated with a telecommunications service e.g. the subscriber), or “Events” data (i.e. details identifying or describing how a telecommunications service was used e.g. which numbers were called and when).
- 2 Examples of “data” available to the Council under the Act include:-
 - postal item (anything written on the outside of the envelope)
 - telephone (personal details of the subscriber, the telephone number and itemised calls made)
 - e-mail and internet (details of the subscriber of email account, websites visited, details of the date and times emails sent and received).
- 3 Communications data can only be obtained for the sole purpose of:
 - (a) the prevention or detection of crime or the prevention of disorder (if authorising access to Entity data), or
 - (b) the prevention or detection of “serious crime” (if authorising access to “Event” data).

["serious crime" includes an offence by a person who is not an individual, an offence involving the sending of a communication or a breach of privacy as an integral part of the offence, or an offence by an individual aged 21 or over which is capable of carrying a term of imprisonment of 12 months or more.]
- 4 Further the test of **necessity** must be met before data is obtained. The authorising officer must also consider the conduct involved in obtaining the communications data to be **proportionate** to what it is sought to achieve, and must also consider the risk of collateral intrusion. .
- 5 Communications data can be accessed using 2 different methods :-
 - the granting of Authorisations, or
 - the service of Notices.
- 6 An authorisation would allow the Council to collect or retrieve the data itself from the service provider. A notice is given by the Council to a postal or telecommunications operator and requires that operator to collect the data and provide it to the Council.

- 7 Integral to the acquisition of communications data under RIPA is the Single Point of Contact (SPoC). The role of the SPoC is to enable and maintain effective co-operation between a public authority and communications service providers in the lawful acquisition and disclosure of communications data. Any Notices or Authorisations must be passed to the service provider through a SPoC.
- 8 SpoCs must be properly trained in accordance with Home Office guidelines and must register their details with the Home Office.
- 9 The Council currently uses the National Anti-Fraud Network (NAFN) as its SPoC.
- 10 Any authorisations/notices must also have approval from the Office for Communications Data Authorisations before they take effect

J. Judicial Approval

- 1 Any grant or renewal of an authorisation for use of directed surveillance or use of covert human intelligence source will need to be approved by order of a Justice of the Peace (District Judge or lay magistrate) before it can take effect [NB. Access to communications data now needs to have the prior approval of the Office for Communications Data Authorisations rather than Judicial Approval].
- 2 Applicants will still need to ensure an authorisation is completed by an Authorising Officer before an application for Judicial Approval is made.
- 3 An application to the court should be made in good time before the start of the surveillance to be authorised. The court should be contacted to arrange a suitable hearing date and should be provided with:
 - A copy of the relevant authorisation
 - A written application for judicial approval
 - Any other relevant reference or supporting material relating to the application
- 4 Once an application date has been set, the applicant and Authorising Officer will appear before a Justice of the Peace (JP) in a private hearing. The JP will consider the application and may question the applicant to clarify certain points or require additional reassurance on particular matters. The nature of the questioning will be for the JP to satisfy themselves that the surveillance is necessary and proportionate and has been through the proper approval process within the Council.
- 5 In order to appear before a JP, the applicant will first need to be authorised by the Senior Responsible Officer to represent the Council under s.223 of the Local Government Act 1972.
- 6 On hearing the application the JP may decide to:
 - Approve the grant or renewal, or
 - Refuse to approve, or
 - Refuse to approve and quash the authorisation or notice
- 7 Further guidance on the judicial approval process can be found at www.homeoffice.gov.uk

K. NON RIPA SURVEILLANCE

1. RIPA does not grant powers to carry out surveillance. It simply provides a framework that allows the Council to authorise and supervise surveillance in a manner that ensures compliance with the Human Rights Act 1998. Equally RIPA does not prevent surveillance from being carried out or require that surveillance may only be carried out under RIPA.
2. There may be times when it will be necessary to carry out covert Directed Surveillance or use a CHIS other than by using RIPA. For example, in relation to an investigation that a member of staff or a contractor is not carrying out their work as contracted, then a RIPA authorisation is not usually available in such circumstances, because criminal proceedings are not normally contemplated.
3. Similarly there may be serious cases of neighbour nuisance or involving anti-social activity which involve potential criminal offences for which the penalty is below the thresholds which would enable use of a RIPA authorisation. Nonetheless in such cases there may be strong grounds for carrying out Directed Surveillance or use of a CHIS. Indeed there may be circumstances in which Directed surveillance or use of CHIS is the only effective means of efficiently obtaining significant information to take an investigation forward.
4. In the circumstances outlined above, a RIPA application may be completed in accordance with this Policy and the application must be clearly endorsed in red "NON_RIPA SURVEILLANCE" along the top of the first page. The application must be submitted in the normal fashion to the Authorising Officer who must consider it under the necessity and proportionality test in the same way they would a RIPA application. The normal procedure of timescales, review and cancellations must also be followed.
5. The authorisation, regular review, the outcome of any review, renewal applications and eventual cancellation must be notified to the Solicitor to the Council in the normal way and using the same timescales as would be applicable to a RIPA investigation. However for non RIPA surveillance the requirement to seek approval from the Magistrates Court is inapplicable. The authorisation for non RIPA surveillance takes effect from the date that it is authorised by the Authorising Officer.

Surveillance Policy

Purpose of the RIPA and the Codes of Practice

Purpose of the Act

The purpose of the Act is to regulate *“the interception of communications, the acquisition and disclosure of data relating to communications, the carrying out of surveillance, the use of covert human intelligence sources and the acquisition of the means by which electronic data protected by encryption or passwords may be decrypted or access.”*

The Codes of Practice

The Home Office has published four statutory Codes of Practice, which are mandatory under the terms of the Act (Part IV, para 75(1)). The title of each Code, along with a brief description of the purpose of each Code (Taken from the Codes themselves) is given below:-

Code of Practice on Covert Surveillance and Property Interference

Surveillance plays a necessary part in modern life. It is used not just in the targeting of criminals but as a means of protecting the public from harm and preventing crime.

The covert surveillance covered by this code is in two categories: intrusive surveillance and directed surveillance. The code defines the two categories and the authorisation procedures for both. Authorisation for covert surveillance gives lawful authority to carry out surveillance. However, often surveillance operations will also involve interference with property. This requires separate authorisation and Part 5 of this code details the procedures which give lawful authority for the interference with property and wireless telegraphy.

Neither do the provisions of the 2000 Act or of this code of practice cover authorisation for the use of overt CCTV surveillance systems. Members of the public are aware that such systems are in use, for their own protection, and to prevent crime.

Code of Practice on Covert Human Intelligence Sources

This code of practice provides guidance on the use and conduct of covert human intelligence sources by public authorities listed in Schedule 1 of the RIP Act 2000.

A covert human intelligence source (“a source”) is defined in section 25(7) of the 2000 Act as a person who establishes or maintains a personal or other relationship with other person for the covert purpose of facilitating anything that:

- (a) covertly uses such a relationship to obtain information or to provide access to any information to another person; or
- (b) covertly discloses information obtained by the use of such a relationship or as a consequence of the existence of such a relationship

A relationship is used covertly if, and only if, it is conducted in a manner calculated to ensure that the person is unaware of its purpose.

Code of Practice on the Acquisition and Disclosure of Communications Data

This code of practice provides guidance on the accessing and disclosure of communications data authorised under Part 1 of the RIP Act 2000. It covers operations conducted by all the public authorities listed in these parts of the 2000 Act.

The RIPA (Communications Data) order specifies which individuals in public authorities are entitled to acquire communications data. It also places restrictions on the grounds on which they may acquire communications data and the types of communications data they may acquire.

Code of Practice on the Investigation of Protected Electronic Data

Part III of the RIP Act 2000 establishes a power to require any person served with an appropriate notice to disclose protected (eg encrypted) information in an intelligible form ("plain text"). The Part III power is ancillary to all-statutory and non-statutory powers and functions of public authorities. Its use by any public authority requires proper and specific permission. A number of statutory requirements must be met before any such permission can be given to exercise the disclosure power. There are extra requirements where a decryption key - rather than plain text - is desired. The 2000 Act sets out statutory safeguards for the protection of all information obtained under the Part III power. There are associated offences. The Act also provides for independent oversight of the measures in Part III and an independent complaints mechanism.

The Home Secretary has powers under the Act to issue new or revised Codes of Practice as he/she sees fit and all such Codes will be mandatory on all public bodies.

Details of the full codes can be found at
<https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/ripa-codes>

RIPA FLOW CHART

Requesting Officer ('The Applicant') must:

- Read the Surveillance Policy & Procedures document and be aware of any other guidance issued by the Head of Law & Administration.
- Determine that directed surveillance and/or a CHIS is required.
- Assess whether authorisation will be **in accordance with the law**.
- Assess whether authorisation is **necessary** under RIPA and whether it could be done overtly.
- Consider whether surveillance will be **proportionate**.
- Obtain RIPA form.
- If authorisation is approved – review regularly

If a less intrusive option is available and practicable **use that option!**

If authorisation is necessary and proportionate, prepare and submit an approved form to the Authorising Officer

Authorising Officer must:

- Consider in detail whether all options have been duly considered, including the Surveillance Policy & Procedures document and any other guidance issued by the Legal Services Manager.
- Consider whether surveillance is considered by him/her to be necessary and proportionate.
- Authorise only if an overt or less intrusive option is not practicable.
- Set an appropriate review date (can be up to 3 months after authorisation date) and conduct the review.

Judicial Approval

The Applicant must:
REVIEW REGULARLY

Complete Review form and submit to Authorising Officer on date set.

The Applicant must:

If operation is no longer necessary or proportionate, complete **CANCELLATION FORM** and submit to Authorising Officer

Authorising Officer must: If surveillance is still necessary and proportionate:

- Review authorisation
- Set an appropriate further review date

Authorising Officer must:

Cancel authorisation when it is no longer necessary or proportionate to need the same.

ESSENTIAL
Send all Authorised (and any rejected) Forms, Review, Renewals and Cancellations to the Legal Services Manager.