

**CANNOCK CHASE COUNCIL**  
**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE**  
**PLANNING CONTROL COMMITTEE**  
**WEDNESDAY 22 APRIL, 2009 AT 3.00 P.M.**  
**IN THE CIVIC CENTRE, BEECROFT ROAD, CANNOCK**  
**PART 1**

PRESENT: Councillors

Burnett, J. (Chairman)  
Mawle, D.L. (Vice-Chairman)

Allen, F.W.C.	Jones, R.
Ball, G.D.	Stretton, Mrs. P.Z.
Davies, D.N.	Todd, Mrs. D.M.
Easton, R.	Williams, B.
Freeman, M.P.	Williams, Mrs. P.
Grice, Mrs. D.	

(Apologies for absence were received from Councillors L.W. Bullock and Mrs. H.M. Sutton).

With the agreement of the Chairman, the order of the Agenda was amended and the revised order was circulated prior to the commencement of the meeting.

87.	<u>Member</u>	<u>Nature of Interest</u>	<u>Type</u>
	Williams, Mrs. P.A.	Application CH/09/0016, Residential Development (outline including access), land north-end of Wolseley Road between the junction of Bower Lane and Brindley Bank Pumping Station, Rugeley	Declared she had predetermined the application and would make representations in respect of the application and then withdraw from the meeting and take no part in the debate or decision making process
	Easton, R.	Application CH/09/0016, Residential Development (outline including access), land north-end of Wolseley Road between the junction of Bower Lane and Brindley Bank	Personal

**88. Minutes**

RESOLVED:

That the Minutes of the meeting held on 11 March, 2009 be approved as a correct record.

**89. Application CH/09/0050, Raise height of roof to incorporate additional rooms in the roof space, 40 Waverley Gardens, Rugeley**

Following a site visit by Members of the Committee consideration was given to the Report of the Planning Services Manager (Enclosure 5.11 – 5.14 of the Official Minutes of the Council).

Prior to determination of the application representation was made by an objector.

RESOLVED:

That the application be approved subject to the conditions contained within the report for the reasons stated therein.

**90. Application CH/09/0015, Variation of opening hours to allow 10.00 a.m. to midnight. Monday to Thursday and 10.00 a.m. to 3.00 a.m. Friday and Saturday, Libby's Bar, Bow Street, Rugeley**

Prior to determination of the application representation was made by the applicant.

Consideration was given to the Report of the Planning Services Manager (Enclosure 5.15 – 5.19 of the Official Minutes of the Council).

RESOLVED:

That the application be refused for the following reason: -

- (A) that proposed extension of business hours and resulting activity would subject neighbours to additional noise, disturbance and other nuisances later into the night to the detriment of the amenity and living conditions of the local residents. The proposal is therefore contrary to Policy D2 of the Staffordshire and Stoke on Trent Structure Plan 1996 – 2011; Planning Policy Statement 1 – Delivering Sustainable Development and Planning Policy Guidance 24 – Planning and Noise.
- (B) and, If the current noise complaints under investigation by Environmental Protection are resolved satisfactorily, further discussions will be held with the Applicant to discuss whether a revised application could overcome the reason for refusal.

**91. Application CH/09/0016, Residential development (outline including access), land north-end of Wolseley Road between the junction of Bower Lane and Brindley Bank Pumping Station, Rugeley**

Following a site visit by Members of the Committee, consideration was given to the Report of the Planning Services Manager (Enclosure 5.1 – 5.10 of the Official Minutes of the Council).

RESOLVED:

Members were minded to refuse the application on the grounds that the application site was a greenfield site and not needed to meet the housing land needs of the District, and that a further report would be considered by the next meeting of the Planning Control Committee pending further details in order that a final determination could be made.

(Having declared that she had predetermined the application and having made representations, Councillor Mrs. P. Williams left the room and took no part in the debate or decision making process).

**92. Changes to Planning Appeal Procedures introduced on 6 April, 2009**

Consideration was given to the Changes to Planning Appeal Procedures introduced on 6 April, 2009 Report (Enclosure 5.20 – 5.22 of the Official Minutes of the Council).

RESOLVED:

- (A) That the proposed changes to appeal procedures, be noted
- (B) That it be agreed that the reasons why a decision reached to refuse permission contrary to an officer recommendation of approval be explained in the minutes

**93. Planning Appeal Outcomes 2008-09**

Consideration was given to the Planning Appeal Outcomes 2008-09 Report (Enclosure 5.23 – 5.27 of the Official Minutes of the Council).

RESOLVED:

That the report and the improved success rate of the Council in defending its decisions, be noted.

---

CHAIRMAN