

CANNOCK CHASE COUNCIL
MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE
PLANNING CONTROL COMMITTEE
WEDNESDAY 19 AUGUST, 2009 AT 3.00 P.M.
IN THE CIVIC CENTRE, BEECROFT ROAD, CANNOCK
PART 1

PRESENT: Councillors

Burnett, J. (Chairman)
 Easton, R. (Vice-Chairman)

Ball, G.D.	Grice, Mrs. D.
Bullock, L.W.	Jones, R.
Burnett, G.	Todd, Mrs. D.M.
Davies, D. N.	Williams, B.
Easton, Mrs. D. M.	Williams, Mrs. P.
Freeman, M. P.	

(An apology for absence was received from Councillor F. W. C. Allen).

18. Declarations of Interests of Members in Contracts and Other Matters and Restriction on Voting by Members

<u>Member</u>	<u>Nature of Interest</u>	<u>Type</u>
Burnett, J.	Application CH/09/0142 - Member knows the Architect	Personal

19. Disclosure of details of lobbying of Members

<u>Member</u>	<u>Details of Lobbying</u>
Bullock, L. W.	TPO/3/2009 – Local resident has spoken to the Member

20. Minutes

RESOLVED:

That the Minutes of the meeting held on 29 July, 2009 be approved as a correct record.

21. Application CH/09/0142 – Alterations to existing property and construction of two dormer bungalows to rear, 63 New Penkridge Road, Cannock

Following a site visit by Members of the Committee, consideration was given to the Report of the Planning Services Manager (Enclosure 6.1 – 6.8 of the Official Minutes of the Council).

The Development Control Manager provided a verbal update on the landscaping of the site which had been amended to address the concerns raised by the Council's Landscape Officer relating to the protected trees.

The Development Control Manager advised that a letter had been received from an objector and this was read out to Members.

Prior to the determination of the application representations were made by the applicant.

Members discussed and considered the quality of the application site and surrounding area and concluded that application site and nearby houses represent 'aspirational housing', which are characterised as large houses set in established spacious gardens and that the proposed development due to scale and layout would be out of keeping with, and, erode the established character to the detriment of the quality of area and that the proposal conflicts with the relevant policies of the Local Plan.

RESOLVED:

That the application be refused for the following reason:-

This part of New Penkridge Road is characterised by large detached properties on wide plots with long rear gardens. Rear garden development of the scale and layout proposed in this application would be detrimental to this distinctive character. As such, the proposal conflicts with Policies B8 (i) (ii) (iii) and H5 of the Cannock Chase Local Plan, 1997.

22. TPO/3/20090 – Land between 30 and 40 Breeze Avenue, Norton Canes

Consideration was given to the Report of the Planning Services Manager (Enclosure 6.9 – 6.12 of the Official Minutes of the Council).

RESOLVED:

That Tree Preservation Order 3 of 2009 be confirmed without modification.

CHAIRMAN