Report of:	Head of Governance
Contact Officer:	June Hall
Telephone No:	01543 464546
Portfolio	Corporate
Leader:	Improvement
Key Decision:	No
Report Track:	Cabinet: 16/06/16
-	Audit & Governance
	Committee: 28/06/16

#### **CABINET**

#### 16 JUNE 2016

#### STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER

## 1 Purpose of Report

1.1 To set out details of the Council's Risk Management arrangements for managing the Strategic Risks facing the Council in delivering its objectives.

### 2 Recommendations

2.1 That Cabinet approves the Strategic Risk Register and considers the progress made in the identification and management of the strategic risks.

## 3 Key Issues and Reasons for Recommendation

3.1 All strategic risks and associated action plans have been reviewed and the Council's risk profile is summarised in the table below:

Risk Colour	Number of Risks at 1 Oct 2015	Number of Risks at 1 Apr 2016
	1 001 2013	1 Apr 2010
Red	2	2
Amber	4	3
Green	1	2
TOTAL	7	7

## 4 Relationship to Corporate Priorities

- 4.1 This report supports the Council's Corporate Priorities as follows:
  - (i) Risk management is a systematic process by which key business risks / opportunities are identified, prioritised and controlled so as to contribute towards the achievement of the Council's aims and objectives.
  - (ii) The strategic risks set out in the Appendices have been categorised against the Council's priorities.

### 5 Report Detail

- 5.1 The Accounts & Audit Regulations 2015 state that:
  - "A relevant body must ensure that it has a sound system of internal control which:-
  - (a) facilitates the effective exercise of its functions and the achievement of its aims and objectives;
  - (b) ensures that the financial and operational management of the authority is effective; and
  - (c) includes effective arrangements for the management of risk."
- 5.2 Risk can be defined as uncertainty of outcome (whether positive opportunity or negative threat). Risk is ever present and some amount of risk-taking is inevitable if the council is to achieve its objectives. The aim of risk management is to ensure that the council makes cost-effective use of a risk process that has a series of well-defined steps to support better decision making through good understanding of risks and their likely impact.

#### **Management of Strategic Risks / Opportunities**

- 5.3 The Council's approach to risk management (including its risk appetite) has been reviewed and the revised policy and strategy was reported to the Audit Committee for endorsement at the March 2016 meeting and to Cabinet for approval at the April meeting.
- 5.4 Central to the risk management process is the identification, prioritisation and management of strategic risks / opportunities. Strategic risks / opportunities have been identified and prioritised, action plans are in place for their effective management and delivery of the action plans is monitored. A summary of the Council's strategic risk register as at 1st April 2016 is attached at **Appendix 1.**
- 5.5 It is important to note that the summary of strategic risks at **Appendix 1** shows the risk scores at 1<sup>st</sup> October 2015 in accordance with the previous Policy & Strategy whilst the risk scores at 1<sup>st</sup> April 2016 are based on the new risk matrix. This has resulted in some risks having changed score and/or risk category. (e.g. a risk with a score of 12 was categorised as amber (medium)

previously but is now red (High) In view of this, the direction of travel shown has been determined by risk category and not risk score as is usually the case.

The risk summary illustrates the risks / opportunities using the "traffic light" method i.e.

RED risk score 12 and above (action plan required to reduce risk and/or

regular monitoring)

AMBER risk score 5 to 10 (action plan required to reduce risk)
GREEN risk score below 5 (risk tolerable, no action plan required)

- 5.6 The number of strategic risks remains at 7 however;
  - 4 risks remain unchanged;
  - Risk No 3 (The District does not maximise all funding opportunities for economic growth, transport infrastructure, additional jobs and better skills for residents) has reduced from Amber (Medium) to Green (Low)
  - Risk No 18 (Viability/funding of Cannock Chase Council as a result of public expenditure reductions and changes to Government's funding regime) has been amended from Amber (Medium) to Red (High) in light of external factors. The Council is pro-actively trying to manage this risk.
  - Risk No 19 (The organisations does not have sufficient Management / Officer capacity to deliver its corporate priorities) has reduced from Red (High) to Amber (Medium)
  - No risks have been deleted and no new risks have been added which a
- 5.7 A progress update for those actions due up to the end of March 2016 is included in the full strategic risk register attached at **Appendix 2**.
- 5.8 Additional information can be found in the Strategic Risk Register (**Appendix 2**) in the form of an 'Overall Progress Summary' for each risk, this is accompanied by a symbol to indicate whether progress is on target or otherwise.

The table below outlines the overall progress made in reducing risks since 1st April 2015:

Progress Indicator	Current position
No progress made in reducing the risk	1 Risks
Some progress made in managing the risk	4 Risks
Risk on target to be reduced	0 Risks

### 6 Implications

#### 6.1 Financial

None.

#### 6.2 Legal

None.

#### 6.3 Human Resources

None.

### 6.4 **Section 17 (Crime Prevention)**

None.

### 6.5 **Human Rights Act**

None.

#### 6.6 **Data Protection**

None.

#### 6.7 **Risk Management**

The Risk Management implications are included within the body of the report and appendices.

### 6.8 **Equality & Diversity**

None.

#### 6.9 **Best Value**

None.

### 7 Appendices to the Report

Appendix 1 – Summary of Strategic Risks 2015-16.

Appendix 2 – Strategic Risk Register – Detailed.

#### **Previous Consideration**

None

#### **Background Papers**

File of papers kept in the Risk & Resilience Manager's office.

# Appendix 1

# **SUMMARY OF STRATEGIC RISKS AS AT 01.04.16**

Risk No	Potential Risks	Risk Owner	Date Added to Register	Score at Oct 2015	Score at Apr 2016	Direction of Travel over period reported
18	Viability/Funding of Cannock Chase Council as a result of public expenditure reductions and changes to Government's funding regime	Head of Finance	April 2014	12	12	1
20	Impact on viability/funding of the Council's HRA due to the new government housing policies	Head of Housing & Waste Management	April 2015	15	12	$\leftrightarrow$
16	Impact of Welfare Benefit Reform	Heads of Finance and Housing & Waste Mgmt	April 2013	12	9	$\leftrightarrow$
19	The organisation does not have sufficient Management / Officer capacity to deliver its corporate priorities	Managing Director	April 2015	16	9	<b>↓</b>
21	Lack of coordinated and consistent approach for dealing with the Council's customers	Head of Commissioning	April 2015	12	9	$\leftrightarrow$
	The District does not maximise all funding opportunities for economic growth, transport infrastructure, additional jobs and better skills for residents.	Head of Economic Development	June 2011 (Reworded Qtr 3 2014/15)	8	3	<b>↓</b>
	Failure to engage with partners to reduce the health inequalities within the district	Heads of Commissioning and Env Health	April 2015	6	2	$\leftrightarrow$

# **Key to Direction of Travel**

 $\downarrow \quad \text{Risk has decreased} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c} \quad \text{Risk level} \\ \quad \text{unchanged} \end{array} \uparrow \quad \quad \text{Risk has increased}$ 

### Cannock Chase District Council – Strategic Risk Register

Ref No: 16	Risk: Impact of Welfare Benefit Reform (e.g. Introduction of Universal Credit, Single Fraud Investigation Service etc.)			
Risk Owner: Head of Finance / Head of Housing & Waste Management		Portfolio: Corporate Improvement, Health & Wellbeing and Housing.		

#### Consequences Of Risk:

- Increased demand for services (additional workload, pressure on service delivery, additional resourcing etc);
- Increase in arrears on Council Tax;
- Increase in Rent Arrears;
- Negative impact on ability to perform "Compliance" functions and adverse effect on income.

### Links To Priority Delivery Plan: Customers

- Delivering Council services that are customer centred and accessible;
- Making the best use of limited resources.

Gross Risk Score (i.e. without controls)	Likelihood: 4	Impact: 3	Total Score: 12 RED
--	---------------	-----------	---------------------

#### Controls in Place

- Manage the Council's housing stock;
- Monitoring impact of localisation of Council Tax Support;
- Liaison with DWP on implementation timetable for Universal Credits;
- Budget workshops for affected residents to better manage their budgets set up

Gross Risk Score (i.e. without controls)	Likelihood: 3	Impact: 4	Total Score: 9 AMBER
Provisional Assessment of Risk – does the residual risk score need to be		YES*	
reduced			

<sup>\*</sup>If the risk score needs to be reduced, please complete the action plan below

As at 1st April 2016

# **Cannock Chase District Council – Strategic Risk Register**

Actions Planned	Timescale/Person Responsible	Progress/Comments
Monitor impact of Benefit Reform to identify areas of concern.	Ongoing Head of Finance	An on-going monitoring routine is in place.
Identifying number of additional people falling into arrears with Council Tax payments	QRT 3 Head of Finance / Local Taxation & Benefits Manager	This forms part of the overall monitoring.
Introduction of Tenancy Sustainment Service	QRT 2 Head of Housing & Waste Management	Appointed to post – new member starting May/June 2016

**Overall Progress Summary:** The full impact of benefit reform cannot be determined until the phased introduction of Universal Credits. The impact of existing reforms continues to be monitored.

**AMBER** 



Ref No: 18	Risk: Viability / Funding of Cannock Chase Council as a result of public expenditure reductions and changes to Government's funding regime				
Risk Owner: Head	k Owner: Head of Finance Portfolio: This risk cuts across all Portfolios				
	lisk: becomes too small to sustain a viable or vide desired levels of service	ganisation;			
Links To Priority Del	ivery Plan: Customers				
Delivering Co	uncil services that are customer centred	d and accessible;			
Making the be	est use of limited resources				
Gross Risk Score (i.	e. without controls)	Likelihood: 4	Impact: 5	Total Score: 20 - RED	
<ul> <li>Controls in Place</li> <li>Medium term financial plan in place</li> <li>Annual Financial Plan and Medium Term Financial Strategy in place</li> <li>The Revenue Budget is balanced but requires support from balances</li> <li>Comprehensive Service Review being undertaken on an annual basis</li> <li>Corporate Budget Monitoring</li> </ul>					
Gross Risk Score (i.	e. without controls)	Likelihood: 4	Impact: 3	Total Score: 12 - RED	
Provisional Assessment of Risk – does the residual risk score need to be reduced		YES*			

<sup>\*</sup>If the risk score needs to be reduced, please complete the action plan below

# **Cannock Chase District Council – Strategic Risk Register**

Actions Planned	Timescale/Person Responsible	Progress/Comments
Responding to Government proposed legislation in relation to key funding regimes	On-going	Response to New Homes Bonus consultation made
Determine impact of Government proposals for key funding regime	On-going	In Progress
Production and refresh of medium term financial plan	On-going	In Progress
Refresh Budget Strategy to ensure external funding sources maximised and efficient and effective use of all resources	On-going	Report to Cabinet/Council anticipated Quarter 3.
Lobbying to Central Government on any proposed changes to Local Government Funding	On-going	
Lobbying to Central Government as a result of closure of Rugeley Power Station	On-going	
Financial Recovery Plan	QTR 2	Initial work underway and budget strategy refreshed

**Overall Progress Summary:** The financial position of the Council is being updated on a regular basis and lobbying of the government undertaken where necessary. Consultation responses submitted – now awaiting government response.



Ref No: 19	Risk: The organisation does priorities (e.g. Corporate Pla		agement / Office	r capacity to deliver its corporate	
Risk Owner: Managing Director		Portfolio:	Portfolio: This risk cuts across all Portfolios		
Consequences O  The Counc	f Risk: il's priorities are not fully delivered	with impact on residents	/ the public.		
Links To Priority [	Delivery Plan: This Risk Links To A	All Priority Delivery Plans			
Gross Risk Score	(ie without controls)	Likelihood: 4	Impact: 3	Total Score: 12 RED	
<ul> <li>Manageme</li> </ul>	management capacity for delivery ent capacity issues are monitored b to Sickness Management Policy	•	rvice (Assessmer	nts of Management Capacity)	
Gross Risk Score	(ie without controls)	Likelihood: 3	Impact: 3	Total Score: 9 AMBER	
Provisional Asses	sment of Risk – does the residual	risk score need to be	YES*		

<sup>\*</sup>If the risk score needs to be reduced, please complete the action plan below

# **Cannock Chase District Council – Strategic Risk Register**

Actions Planned	Timescale/Person Responsible	Progress/Comments
Ensuring that all priorities in the PDP's are resourced appropriately	Ongoing  All Heads of Service	The majority of PDP priorities are on target but there are a small number of Yellow 'not on target' areas in part due to management capacity.
Where necessary, considering whether resources from other parts of the Council can be transferred for a period.	Ongoing  Managing Director / Leadership Team	PDP Priorities not on target are being reviewed by Leadership Team and resource implications are being re-considered.
Leadership Team maintaining an overview of performance through "managing the business" performance indicators	Ongoing Managing Director / Leadership Team	A set of 'Managing the Business' performance indicators being trialled for year end 2015/16 and will review its effectiveness.
Requests for additional projects may be refused or deferred until subsequent year(s)	Ongoing  Managing Director	A number of requests for new projects in year have had to be refused in order to protect delivery of the Council's agreed Corporate Priorities.

**Overall Progress Summary: :** Overall the Councils management capacity is being monitored closely and action has already been taken by not accepting new project requests in year in order to protect capacity to deliver the agreed PDP priorities.



Ref No: 20	Risk: : Impact on viability/funding 1% rent reduction & sale of highe			ew government housing policies (e.g.
Risk Owner: Head	of Housing & Waste Management	Portfolio	: Housing	
<ul><li>Impact on 3</li><li>Impact on a</li></ul>		provement to Counc	il stock	
Links To Priority De	elivery Plan for More & Better Housing	•		
<ul> <li>Planning for</li> </ul>	the housing needs of the district;			
<ul> <li>Increasing the</li> </ul>	ne supply of affordable housing Links 1	To Priority Delivery F	Plan:	
Gross Risk Score (	ie without controls)	Likelihood: 4	Impact: 5	Total Score: 20 RED
Controls in Place				
30 Year bus	iness plan;			
<ul> <li>Medium Ter</li> </ul>	m Budget & Capital Programme.			
Gross Risk Score (	ie without controls)	Likelihood: 4	Impact: 3	Total Score: 12 RED
Provisional Assessment of Risk – does the residual risk score need to be reduced		YES*		

<sup>\*</sup>If the risk score needs to be reduced, please complete the action plan below

# **Cannock Chase District Council – Strategic Risk Register**

Actions Planned	Timescale/Person Responsible	Progress/Comments
Review of 30 year business plan and report to Cabinet	Quarter 3 Head of Finance	In Progress
Lobbying of Central Government by District Council's network. (LGA, MP's etc.)	Ongoing Head of Finance	Information provided to the network
Review of allocations policy	Quarter 4 Head of Housing & Waste Management	Not yet started

**Overall Progress Summary:** The 30 year review of the HRA Business Plan is completed, report to Cabinet in December 2015. Budget currently being finalised for 16/17.



Ref No: 21	Risk: Lack of coordinated and consistent approach for dealing with the Council's customers			
Risk Owner: Head o	of Commissioning Portfolio: Corporate Improvement		ent	
Consequences Of Risk:				
<ul> <li>Links To Priority Delivery Plan: Customers</li> <li>Delivering Council services that are customer centred and accessible;</li> <li>Making the best use of limited resources.</li> </ul>				
Gross Risk Score (ie	e without controls)	Likelihood: 5	Impact: 3	Total Score: 15 RED
•	mplaints procedure; Complaints panel.			
Gross Risk Score (ie	e without controls)	Likelihood: 3	Impact: 3	Total Score: 9 AMBER
Provisional Assessment of Risk – does the residual risk score need to be reduced		YES*		

<sup>\*</sup>If the risk score needs to be reduced, please complete the action plan below

# **Cannock Chase District Council – Strategic Risk Register**

Actions Planned	Timescale/Person Responsible	Progress/Comments
Development of Customer Services Strategy & seek Cabinet Approval	QTR. 3 16/17	Customer Services Standards to be incorporated within the strategy have been drafted.
Implement electronic web-based forms	QTR. 3	Completed
Development of mobile app.	QTR 1 16/17	Mobile app is being developed with regard to Waste Services with expected phased launch from QTR 2 16/17

**Overall Progress Summary: :** The focus has been on delivering improvements to on-line forms to be launched in line with the Council's new website and development of the mobile app..





## Cannock Chase District Council – Strategic Risk Register

In accordance with the Risk Management Strategy, the green risks below are deemed to be tolerable (with existing controls in place) and will be monitored but require no further action at this time.

GREEN RISKS			
Risk No:	Risk Owner	Risk:	Score:
3	Head of Economic Development	The District does not maximise all funding opportunities for economic growth, transport infrastructure, additional jobs and better skills for residents	3
22	Head of Environmental Health	Failure to engage with partners to reduce the health inequalities within the district	2

#### **KEY TO PROGRESS SYMBOLS**

Progress Indicator			
	No progress made in reducing the risk		
	Some progress made in managing the risk		
	Risk on target to be reduced		