1 Purpose of Report

1.1 To present to Cabinet for adoption the Council’s Indoor and Built Sports Facilities Strategy and Playing Pitch Strategy 2019 – 2036.

2 Recommendation(s)

2.1 That Cabinet:

(i) approve the adoption of Cannock Chase Council’s Indoor and Built Sports Facilities Strategy and Action Plan 2019-2036;

(ii) approve the adoption of Cannock Chase Council’s Playing Pitch Strategy and Action Plan 2019-2036;

(iii) authorise the Head of Environment and Healthy Lifestyles in consultation with the Culture and Sport Portfolio Leader to review, amend and prioritise actions in the these documents in line with the expectations of the Council, Sport England and NGBs, available funding and project sustainability and delivery

(iv) note that any capital investment required to deliver any actions in these documents will be subject to further Cabinet approval.

3 Key Issues and Reasons for Recommendations

Key Issues

3.1 The Council’s previous Indoor and Built Sports Facilities Strategy and Playing Pitch Strategy were compiled in 2009. As documents for informing the current
demand for sports facilities and outdoor community sports facilities in Cannock Chase, these are now out of date.

3.2 These Strategies do not comply with current Sport England methodology and guidance and therefore do not enable the Council to be eligible to apply for national funding regimes to improve or increase its current sports provision in the District.

3.3 The Council is currently undertaking a full review of the Local Plan and it is key that this plan is based on robust, up-to-date assessment of need for open space, sports and recreation facilities and opportunities for new provision. Specific needs, quantitative/qualitative deficiencies and surpluses should be identified and used to inform provision requirements in an area.

3.4 The closure of Rugeley Powers Station resulting in the loss of a number of sporting pitches and facilities and the wider context around the housing market also necessitated the need for the Council to review these documents.

**Reasons for Recommendations**

3.5 Having up to date strategies will contribute to the Council’s Local Plan Review, provide the evidence required to help protect existing facilities (indoor and outdoor), inform funding submissions to improve sporting provision to meet identified community need and ensure appropriate provision is secured from developers towards new and improved facilities to address the impact of new development schemes.

3.6 Details within the Assessment Reports and Action Plans can quickly change due to variety of factors such as sports clubs moving or ceasing or improvements to sites and facilities being made. As a guide, if no review and subsequent update has been carried out within 3 years of the documents being signed off by the Steering Group, then Sport England and the NGBs would consider these documents and the information on which they are based to be out of date.

3.7 It is therefore important that there is a regular annual monitoring and review of these strategies, priorities and actions in accordance with the expectations of the Council, Sport England and NGBs, available funding and project sustainability and delivery. Consequently, they should be treated as “live” documents and subject to change. By keeping them up to date, their useful timeframe can be extended to five years.

**4 Relationship to Corporate Priorities**

4.1 This report supports the Council’s Corporate Priorities as follows:

(i) the production of an evidence base for indoor and outdoor sports facilities is an approved priority in the Priority Delivery Plan for Improving Community Wellbeing (Health, Culture and Sport).

(ii) promoting and providing opportunities for active and healthy lifestyles is a key strategic priority in the Council’s Corporate Plan 2018 – 2023.
5 Report Detail

Background

5.1 In November 2017 the Council appointed consultants Knight, Kavanagh & Page Ltd (KKP) to undertake a full assessment of the District’s indoor and outdoor built sports facilities and playing pitch provision and to develop associated Strategies and Action Plans to meet the current and future demand for community sport in Cannock Chase.

5.2 During 2017-18 and 2018-19 the Consultants engaged with Sport England, a range of sports National Governing Bodies, local community sports clubs, providers and other stakeholders regarding the status of current outdoor and indoor sports facilities and what will be required to meet future needs. This involved collecting information about existing facilities across the district in terms of the specific sports facilities they offer, their usage and their condition. This has been a time consuming process.

5.3 There are two parts to each strategy – an Assessment Report and an Action Plan.

5.4 The Assessment Reports set out up-to-date supply and demand information. They also consider the demands arising from the planned level of housing development and population growth in the Council’s Local Plan Strategy to 2036.

5.5 The Action Plans respond to the issues arising from the Assessment Reports. This includes promoting quantitative and/or qualitative improvements in provision where local facilities are not adequately meeting local needs.

5.6 As well as guiding planning decision-making, the strategies will help to inform investment decisions by the wide range of bodies that seek to provide high quality sports facilities across the district. This will include providing evidence to support funding bids.

5.7 The Strategies have been prepared in line with Sport England best practice and guidance and developed through a joint steering group of Council officers from planning and parks and open spaces, Sport England and representatives of the National Governing Bodies for Sport (NGB’s).

Scope

5.8 The following type of indoor and outdoor sports facilities are included in the Assessment Reports and Strategies:
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outdoor Facilities</th>
<th>Associated Sports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grass Pitches</td>
<td>Football, Rugby Union, Cricket, Hockey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial Grass Pitches (AGPs)</td>
<td>As grass pitches above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletics Tracks</td>
<td>Athletics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf Courses</td>
<td>Golf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowling Greens</td>
<td>Bowls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis Courts</td>
<td>Tennis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indoor and Built Sports Facilities</th>
<th>Associated Sports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sports Halls</td>
<td>Gymnastics, Badminton, Basketball, Handball, Netball, Football</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pools</td>
<td>Swimming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Fitness Suites</td>
<td>Health and Fitness, Dance Studios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor Bowls</td>
<td>Bowls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor Squash</td>
<td>Squash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletics</td>
<td>Athletics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Village Halls/Community Centres</td>
<td>Variety of Physical Activities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Playing Pitch Strategy

Summary

5.9 The Assessment Report at Appendix 1 identifies that the existing position for all the specified sports in Table 1 above is either that demand is broadly being met or that there is a shortfall (albeit this is small in the case of football). In terms of the future position, current shortfalls are shown as exacerbating or new shortfalls emerging in respect of some sports in some areas. A current shortfall exists of one full size floodlit AGP (3G) in the North East area (Rugeley).

5.10 As such, there is a need to protect all existing outdoor sports provision or to deliver an equivalent or better quantity and quality of provision to offset any loss of provision prior to the loss taking place.

Strategic Recommendations

5.11 The following strategic recommendations at Appendix 2 have been developed from the key issues cutting across all playing pitch sports and are not specific to one sport:
1. To protect the existing supply of pitch facilities where it is needed for meeting current or future demand.
   a. Protect playing field sites through local planning policy
   b. Secure tenure and access to sites for high quality, development minded clubs, though a range of solutions and partnership agreements.
   c. Maximise community use of education facilities where there is need to do so.

2. To enhance playing fields, pitches and ancillary facilities through improving quality and management of sites.
   d. Improve quality
   e. Adopt a tiered approach (Hierarchy of provision) for the management and improvement of sites.
   f. Work in partnership with stakeholders to secure funding.

3. To provide new outdoor sports facilities where there is current or future demand to do so.
   g. Rectify quantitative shortfalls in the current pitch stock.
   h. Identify opportunities to add to the overall stock to accommodate both current and future demand.

**Indoor Built Facilities Strategy**

**Summary**

5.12 The Assessment Report at Appendix 3 identifies that currently there is a good supply of health and fitness stations in the district and adequate sports hall provision (subject to schools spare capacity), squash and water space. In terms of the future position it is not clear as to whether an ageing population will lead to more or less demand on certain facilities such as sports halls and water space or lead to a more varied offer of provision. In conclusion, however, the Council still needs to “Protect”, “Enhance” and “Provide” sport and leisure facilities for the residents of Cannock Chase and to enable it to meet its wider objectives.

**Strategic Recommendations**

5.13 The following strategic recommendations at Appendix 4 build upon the conclusions identified in the Assessment Report as follows:

1. Protect the current stock of facilities in order to meet the sport and physical activity needs of local residents, by introducing a hierarchy of use with defined roles and functions for different facility types which will be supported by appropriate investment.
2. Enhance the district-wide approach to programming and management at all sites with a view to improving sports development aspirations and increasing physical, activity outcomes, based in identified strategic need.

3. Provide a coherent range of good quality, accessible facilities reflecting the hierarchy and serving key current and future communities across Cannock Chase.

**Monitoring and Updating**

5.14 Details within the Assessment Reports and Action Plans can quickly change due to variety of factors such as sports clubs moving of ceasing or improvements to sites and facilities being made. As a guide, if no review and subsequent update has been carried out within 3 years of the documents being signed off by the Steering Group, then Sport England and the NGBs would consider these documents and the information on which they are based to be out of date. It is therefore important that there is a regular annual monitoring and review of these strategies, priorities and actions and they are treated as “live” documents. By keeping them up to date, the timeframe can be extended to five years.

**6 Implications**

6.1 **Financial**

6.1.1 There are no direct financial implications arising from this report.

6.1.2 Any specific implications arising from the Strategies and Action Plans will be subject to further Cabinet approval.

6.2 **Legal**

None.

6.3 **Human Resources**

None.

6.4 **Section 17 (Crime Prevention)**

None.

6.5 **Human Rights Act**

None.

6.6 **Data Protection**

None.
6.7 **Risk Management**

6.7.1 Having a robust evidence base for indoor and outdoor sports facilities should reduce the risk of inappropriate development and permit the authority to submit bids for appropriate funding. Building in annual reviews of the Strategies will also ensure the findings are up-to-date.

6.8 **Equality & Diversity**

6.8.1 Any actions or projects that arise out of the approval of these strategies will seek further approval at Cabinet, at which time Equality Impact Assessments will be completed accordingly.

6.9 **Best Value**

None.

### 7 Appendices to the Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appendix</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appendix 1:</td>
<td>Cannock Chase Playing Pitch Strategy Assessment Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix 3:</td>
<td>Cannock Chase Indoor and Built Sports Facilities Needs Assessment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Previous Consideration**

None

**Background Papers**

None
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## ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3G</td>
<td>Third Generation (artificial turf)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGP</td>
<td>Artificial Grass Pitch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>Bowls Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>Cricket Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCDC</td>
<td>Cannock Chase District Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECB</td>
<td>England and Wales Cricket Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EH</td>
<td>England Hockey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>Football Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC</td>
<td>Football Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIFA</td>
<td>Fédération Internationale de Football Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIT</td>
<td>Fields in Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPM</td>
<td>Facilities Planning Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIS</td>
<td>Geographical Information Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC</td>
<td>Hockey Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JFC</td>
<td>Junior Football Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KKP</td>
<td>Knight, Kavanagh and Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDF</td>
<td>Local Development Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMS</td>
<td>Last Man Stands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGB</td>
<td>National Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPPF</td>
<td>National Planning Policy Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAN</td>
<td>Objectively Assessed Need</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONS</td>
<td>Office for National Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGA</td>
<td>Professional Golfers Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPS</td>
<td>Playing Pitch Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQS</td>
<td>Performance Quality Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFU</td>
<td>Rugby Football Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUFC</td>
<td>Rugby Union Football Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S106</td>
<td>Section 106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHMA</td>
<td>Strategic Housing Market Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC</td>
<td>Tennis Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGR</td>
<td>Team Generation Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>Under</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YFC</td>
<td>Youth Football Club</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PART 1: INTRODUCTION AND METHODOLOGY

Knight, Kavanagh & Page Ltd (KKP) has been commissioned by Cannock Chase District Council (CCDC) to undertake a playing pitch strategy (incorporating outdoor sports facilities) and an indoor built facilities strategy. It is intended that these strategies will seek to support the Council and its partners in the creation of:

‘an accessible, high quality and sustainable network of sport pitches and other outdoor sports facilities that supports delivery of the Deal for the Future (promoting community self-reliance, increasing the health and wellbeing of residents and communities, providing opportunities for young people and fostering belief in the District) through increased participation by all residents, at all levels of play, from grassroots to elite.’

To achieve this strategic vision, the strategies reflect the following objectives to:

- Ensure that all valuable facilities are protected for the long term benefit of sport.
- Promote a sustainable approach to the provision of playing pitches and management of sports clubs.
- Ensure that there are sufficient facilities in the right place to meet current and projected future demand.
- Ensure that all clubs have access to facilities of appropriate quality to meet current needs and their longer term aspirations.

This report presents a supply and demand assessment of playing pitch and other outdoor sports facilities in accordance with Sport England’s Playing Pitch Strategy Guidance: An approach to developing and delivering a playing pitch strategy. It has been followed to develop a clear picture of the balance between local supply and demand.

The guidance details a stepped approach to developing a Playing Pitch Strategy (PPS). These steps are separated into five distinct sections:

- Stage A: Prepare and tailor the approach (Step 1)
- Stage B: Gather information and views on the supply of and demand for provision (Steps 2 & 3)
- Stage C: Assess the supply and demand information and views (Steps 4, 5 & 6)
- Stage D: Develop the strategy (Steps 7 & 8)
- Stage E: Deliver the strategy and keep it robust and up to date (Steps 9 & 10)

Stages A to C are covered in this report.

Stage A: Prepare and tailor the approach

Why the PPS is being developed

The Local Plan (Part 1) was adopted in 2014 and sets out the strategic framework for development in the District for the period 2006-2028. It contains the Core Strategy and the Rugeley Town Centre Area Action Plan. It was originally intended to supplement Part 1 with a Part 2 (site allocations) plan. However, the Council is now progressing a full review of the Local Plan instead which will allow it to incorporate significant changes which are being made to the planning system at a national level. This study will cover the period up to 2036 as a minimum, in line with the Council’s anticipated Local Plan review period.

This strategy will contribute to the Local Plan review, following advice from Sport England that the current evidence base is considered to be out of date (last updated in 2010).
It is key that the Local Plan must be based upon robust and up-to-date evidence to be found ‘sound’ at examination and be adopted by the Council. One of the core planning principles of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) is to improve health, social and cultural wellbeing for all, and deliver sufficient community and cultural facilities and services to meet local needs. Paragraph 96 discusses the importance of access to high quality open spaces and opportunities for sport and recreation that can make an important contribution to the health and well-being of communities. Paragraphs 96 and 97 of the NPPF discuss assessments and the protection of “existing open space, sports and recreational buildings and land, including playing fields”. A Playing Pitch Strategy will provide the evidence required to help protect playing fields to ensure sufficient land is available to meet existing and projected future pitch requirements.

The main objectives for the PPS are identified as follows:

- Identify supply/demand issues for sport and recreation provision across the District.
- Identify priority sports for the area based on national governing body of sport (NGB) targets and local community needs.
- Enable the accompanying Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) to be kept up to date and responsive to needs including developer contributions/informing CIL Regulation 123 List, where appropriate and in compliance with CIL Regulations.
- Support external funding bids to assist the delivery of sports and recreation facilities in the District where there is evidence of need and further investment.
- Contribute to achieving a sound Local Plan.
- Provide evidence in relation to Rugeley particularly related to the recent closure of the Power Station. This site, which straddles the boundary between Lichfield and Cannock Chase districts is now being promoted for mixed use development and the Council has jointly produced a Supplementary Planning Document for the site with Lichfield District Council.

Local context

Cannock Chase Corporate Plan: 2018-2023

The priorities for the new Corporate Plan are ‘Promoting Prosperity’ and ‘Community Wellbeing’. These interlink and reinforce each other with a view to improving opportunities, wellbeing and quality of life of communities in Cannock Chase.

Promoting prosperity has seven key objectives which include:

- Creating a positive environment in which businesses in the District can thrive.
- Establishing McArthurGlen Designer Outlet Cannock as a major visitor attraction and maximising the benefits it will bring to the District.
- Improving housing choice.
- Increasing the skills levels of residents and the amount of higher skilled jobs in the District
- Creating strong and diverse towns centres to attract additional customers and visitors
- Increasing access to employment opportunities
- Commencing regeneration of the Rugeley Power Station site

The strategic priorities for improving health and wellbeing are:

- Opportunities for healthy and active lifestyle
- Sustaining safe and secure communities
- Supporting vulnerable people
- Promoting active and healthy lifestyles
This Corporate Plan is about looking ahead and identifying key priorities for the District over the next five year period (2018 - 2023). The new Corporate Plan is backed up by two new investment funds - the District Investment Fund for improving skills, infrastructure and business growth; plus the Housing Investment Fund to support a major expansion of high quality social housing in the District.

The Council, working with relevant public, private and voluntary sectors partners, will develop more detailed strategies and plans which will bring to life the priority commitments in this new Corporate Plan.

Health and Wellbeing in Cannock Chase

Chase Better Health is a partnership approach between Cannock Chase District Council, Staffordshire County Council and Cannock Chase Clinical Commissioning Group which seeks to shape and build Cannock Chase’s healthy future, by supporting the improvement of health and wellbeing outcomes for residents of the District. In particular Chase Better Health seeks to strive for communities within Cannock Chase that are prosperous, healthy, independent, safe, thriving and cohesive. Its key priorities are identified below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Areas for action</th>
<th>Start well</th>
<th>Grow well</th>
<th>Age well</th>
<th>End well</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Enable           | Commissioning that supports people to keep healthy through their individual actions and through the support of people around them |
| Re-enable        | Commissioned services to ensure the proportion of the population that experience episodes of poorer health or those that are at increased risk of becoming ill are given timely, proportionate and effective support to prevent an escalation of problems and ensure a return to wellbeing and independence |

| Public health priorities | Wider determinants | Health improvement | Health promotion | Healthcare public health |

The Mission for Chase Better Health is to embrace the big opportunity to shape and build Cannock Chase’s healthy future by working together to support residents and communities to take control of their health and wellbeing.

The vision for the wider partnership is that Cannock Chase will be a place where people have the opportunity to enhance their quality of life and achieve economic prosperity.

The goals are to:

- Reduce health inequalities through targeted intervention.
- Enable people to live independent lives.
- Empower people to make healthy life choices.
- Improve quality of life for vulnerable people.
- Improve communication and access to information, help and support.
The future of Cannock Chase; Partnerships Communication Strategy

The Local Strategic Partnership for the District is The Chase Community Partnership. CCDC is committed to developing close and strong working relationships with partners and local communities to deliver high quality services. It has a proven track record of effective partnership working and has a key role to play in the Chase Community Partnership. This comprises 17 organisations across Cannock Chase and Staffordshire, all working together at a local level to deliver improved public services for all.

The Communications Strategy aims to enhance the Partnership’s Vision which is that: “Cannock Chase will be a place where people have improved opportunities to enhance their quality of life and achieve economic prosperity”.

The three Partnership priorities are - to:

- Increase economic prosperity - more jobs, better skills, training and education.
- Improved health - better health status and healthier lifestyles.
- Community safety - more people feel safer.

The purpose for effective communications will help the people of Cannock Chase District understand the Partnership and the ambitions of the Local Partnership Plan.

A co-ordinated and comprehensive approach to communications will:

- Raise the profile of the Partnership working together and its associated work.
- Help to promote the area of Cannock Chase District as a whole.
- Gain community support for the work of the Partnership and help it meet its community involvement goals.
- Help to build community confidence/reassurance and improve public perception.

All Partnership member organisations and individuals have a key role in supporting effective communications and in delivering key messages. It seeks to build on current communication activity that is already in place and aims to maximise on the effective inter-agency approach in driving the Partnership forward.

Rugeley Power Station

Rugeley Power Station closed operations in June 2016. It was the last functioning power station in the West Midlands. Cannock Chase and Lichfield district councils are the joint planning authorities for the land as it straddles both areas.

The sports and social club subsequently closed down and has been vacant from summer 2017 onwards. With regard to sport and leisure provision, the land within the Power Station contained:

- 1 x adult football pitch
- 1 x cricket pitch (nine grass wickets)
- 2 x tennis courts
- 1 x bowling green
- An 18 hole golf course
Rugeley Power Station Development Brief Supplementary Planning Document (adopted February 2018) was jointly produced by CCDC and Lichfield District Council to guide future redevelopment of the site. The overall aim is to create a well-designed mixed-use development which incorporates market housing, affordable housing, self-build housing, employment provision, education provision and open space, sport and recreational facilities. It is envisaged that the new development will become a popular residential neighbourhood and place of work, creating a network of pedestrian and cycle routes and open spaces which connect the site with the surrounding area.

The site comprises of 139 hectares of land, (55 hectares in Cannock Chase District and 84 hectares in Lichfield District) and is roughly rectangular in shape. A report by the two authorities, entitled The Rugeley Power Station Development Brief Supplementary Planning Document, proposes developing the land for a minimum of 800 homes, employment uses and power/storage production. As noted, the supporting infrastructure will include a school, open space, play facilities and public art.

The loss of this provision would need to be re-provided, in line with both Sport England and National Planning Policy Framework.

Sport Across Staffordshire and Stoke-on-Trent (SASSOT)

SASSOT is the county sports partnership (CSP) which incorporates Cannock Chase. Its team provides services to partners involved with the delivery of sport, physical education and active recreation. The following is a brief overview of its stated services and programmes:

- Engaging with and supporting partners to develop their sport, PE and active recreation plans and programmes.
- Supporting volunteers and coaches.
- Supporting club development.
- Providing local insight and evidence of need for the development of programmes and facilities.
- Supporting the promotion of community sport and active recreation programmes.
- Advice on Safeguarding young people and vulnerable adults in sport.
- Equality and disability sport.
- Management of Satellite Clubs, Aiming High Inspire Multi Sport Club programmes.
- Supporting the development and delivery of Level 3 School Games Sports Festivals, Primary School PE and Sport premium.

Scope

The scope of the PPS will focus geographically on all local provision, including both public and private ownership and control in relating to club, education and industrial ownership, as follows:

- Cricket pitches
- Football pitches
- Artificial Grass Pitches (AGPs)
- Hockey AGPs
- Rugby union pitches

Pitch sports will be assessed using the guidance set out in Sport England’s Playing Pitch Strategy Guidance: An approach to developing and delivering a playing pitch strategy.
Outdoor sports

- Tennis
- Bowls
- Athletics
- Golf

Outdoor sports will be assessed using Sport England’s Assessing Needs and Opportunities Guidance (2014). Non-pitch outdoor sports require a different methodology to assess demand and supply to that used for pitch sports.

Management arrangements

A small Project Team from the Council has worked with KKP to ensure that all relevant information is readily available and to support the consultants as necessary to ensure that project stages and milestones are delivered on time, within the cost envelope and to the required quality standard to meet Sport England guidance.

Further to this, the Steering Group is and has been responsible for the direction of the PPS from a strategic perspective and for supporting, checking and challenging the work of the project team. The Steering Group is made up of representatives from the Council, Sport England and NGBs.

Study area

Cannock Chase District covers over seven thousand hectares on the northern fringe of the West Midlands conurbation, extending through the Cannock Chase Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) to the River Trent flood plain in the north. Walsall Metropolitan Borough Council and the Staffordshire Districts of Lichfield, Stafford and South Staffordshire border the District.

Since 2011, Cannock Chase has formed part of both the Greater Birmingham and Solihull Local Enterprise Partnership (along with Birmingham, Bromsgrove, East Staffordshire, Lichfield, Redditch, Solihull, Tamworth and Wyre Forest) plus the Stoke-on-Trent and Staffordshire Local Enterprise Partnership.

Given that 60% of the District is designated Green Belt, it is a crucial feature of the District’s overall character. Cannock Chase is a designated Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB). As well as being an amenity for local people it also attracts many visitors. The Council provides a range of formal parks (four of which have achieved national (Green Flag) standard plus play areas and open spaces.

The study will report on a Districtwide basis and by three distinct sub-geographical catchment areas, generally reflecting the main urban areas in the North East (Rugeley), Central/West (Cannock and Hednesford) and South East (Norton Canes, Heath Hayes and Rural). However, these will only be applied as relevant to the sport and where demand warrants it, for example, for football due to its size and spread of facilities across the District.

It should be noted that settlements within analysis areas have been grouped in the natural movement of residents to access sporting provision; therefore residents in Norton Canes are considered more likely to travel to access provision in Heath Hayes, than to Cannock or Rugeley; and vice versa.
Stage B: Gather information and views on the supply of and demand for provision

A clear picture of supply and demand for outdoor sports facilities in Cannock Chase District needs to be provided to include an accurate assessment of quantity and quality and to direct the delivery of provision at the proposed sports hubs. This is achieved through consultation with key stakeholders to ensure that they inform the subsequent strategy. It informs current demand, adequacy, usage, future demand and strategies for maintenance and investment for outdoor sports facilities in Cannock Chase District.

Gather supply information and views – an audit of outdoor sports facilities

PPS guidance uses the following definitions of a playing pitch and playing field. These definitions are set out by the Government in the 2015 ‘Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order’.

- **Playing pitch** – a delineated area which is used for association football, rugby, cricket, hockey, lacrosse, rounders, baseball, softball, American football, Australian football, Gaelic football, shinty, hurling, polo or cycle polo.
- **Playing field** – the whole of a site that encompasses at least one playing pitch.
Although the statutory definition of a playing field is the whole of a site with at least one pitch of 0.2ha or more, this PPS takes into account smaller sized pitches that contribute to the supply side, for example, 5v5 mini football pitches. This PPS counts individual grass pitches (as a delineated area) as the basic unit of supply. The definition of a playing pitch also includes artificial grass pitches (AGPs).

As far as possible the assessment report aims to capture all of the outdoor sports facilities within Cannock Chase District; however, there may be instances, for example, on school sites, where access was not possible and has led to omissions within the report. Where pitches have not been recorded within the report they remain as pitches and for planning purposes continue to be so. Furthermore, exclusions of a pitch does not mean that it is not required from a supply and demand point of view.

**Quantity**

Where known, all outdoor sports facilities are included irrespective of ownership, management and use. Sites were initially identified using Sport England’s Active Places web based database. The Council and NGBs supported the process by checking and updating this initial data. This was also verified against club information supplied by local leagues. For each site the following details were recorded in the project database (which will be supplied as an electronic file):

- Site name, address (including postcode) and location
- Ownership and management type
- Security of tenure
- Total number, type and quality of outdoor sports facilities

**Accessibility**

Not all outdoor sports facilities offer the same level of access to the community. The ownership and accessibility of playing pitches also influences their actual availability for community use. Each site is assigned a level of community use as follows:

- **Community use** - provision in public, voluntary, private or commercial ownership or management (including education sites) recorded as being available for hire and currently in use by teams playing in community leagues.
- **Available but unused** - provision that is available for hire but are not currently used by teams which play in community leagues; this most often applies to school sites but can also apply to sites which are expensive to hire.
- **No community use** - provision which as a matter of policy or practice is not available for hire or used by teams playing in community leagues. This should include professional club sites along with some semi-professional club sites where play is restricted to the first or second team.
- **Disused** – provision that is not being used at all by any users and is not available for community hire either. Once these sites are disused for five or more years they will then be categorised as ‘lapsed sites’.
- **Lapsed** - last known use was more than five years ago (these fall outside of Sport England’s statutory remit but still have to be assessed using the criteria in paragraph 96 of the National Planning Policy Framework).

In addition, there should be a good degree of certainty that the provision will be available to the community for at least the following three years. A judgement is made based on the information gathered and a record of secured or unsecured community use put against each site. This refers to pitches in community use and not lapsed/disused sites.
Quality

The capacity of outdoor sports facilities to regularly provide for competitive play, training and other activity over a season is most often determined by their quality. As a minimum, the quality and therefore the capacity of provision affects the playing experience and people’s enjoyment of a sport. In extreme circumstances it can result in provision being unable to cater for all or certain types of play during peak and off peak times.

It is not just the quality of the provision itself which has an effect on its capacity but also the quality, standard and range of ancillary facilities. The quality of both the outdoor sports facility and ancillary facilities will determine whether provision is able to contribute to meeting demand from various groups and for different levels and types of play.

The quality of all outdoor sports facilities identified in the audit and the ancillary facilities supporting them are assessed regardless of ownership, management or availability. Along with capturing any details specific to the individual facilities and sites, a quality rating is recorded within the audit for each outdoor sports facility. These ratings are used to help estimate the capacity of each facility to accommodate competitive and other play within the supply and demand assessment.

In addition to undertaking non-technical assessments (using the templates provided within the guidance and as determined by NGBs), users and providers were also consulted on the quality and in some instances the quality rating was adjusted to reflect this.

Gather demand information and views

Presenting an accurate picture of current demand for outdoor sports facilities (i.e. recording how and when pitches are used) is important when undertaking a supply and demand assessment. Demand for provision in Cannock Chase tends to fall within the following categories:

- Organised competitive play
- Organised training
- Informal play

In addition, unmet and displaced demand for provision is also identified on a sport by sport basis. Unmet demand is defined as the number of additional teams that could be fielded if access to a sufficient number of outdoor sport facilities (and ancillary facilities) was available. Displaced demand refers to teams that are generated from residents of the area but due to any number of factors do not currently play within the area.

Current and future demand for outdoor sports facilities is presented on a sport by sport basis within the relevant sections of this report.

A variety of consultation methods were used to collate demand information about leagues, clubs, county associations and national/regional governing bodies of sport. Face to face consultation was carried out with key clubs from each sport. This allowed for the collection of detailed demand information and an exploration of key issues to be interrogated and more accurately assessed.

For data analysis purposes an online survey (converted to postal if required) was utilised. This was sent to all clubs not covered by face to face consultation.
Local sports development officers, county associations and regional governing body officers advised which of the clubs to include in the face to face consultation. Sport England was also included within the consultation process prior to the project commencing. Issues identified by clubs returning questionnaires were followed up by telephone or face to face interviews.

The response rates of such consultation are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Total number</th>
<th>Number responding</th>
<th>Response rate</th>
<th>Methods of consultation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Football clubs</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>Face to face/online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football teams</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>survey/telephone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football leagues</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>Face to face/telephone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cricket clubs</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Online survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rugby union clubs</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Face to face/telephone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hockey clubs</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Face to face</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis clubs</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Online survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletics clubs</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Online survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf clubs</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Online survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary schools</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Face to face/telephone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary/SEN schools</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>Online survey/telephone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parish/Town Council</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>Online survey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Future demand**

Alongside current demand, it is important for a PPS to assess whether the future demand for outdoor sports facilities can be met. Using population projections, and proposed housing growth an estimate can be made of the likely future demand for playing pitches.

**Population growth**

The resident population in Cannock Chase is recorded as 98,534 (based on ONS 2016 mid-year estimates). By 2036 (in line with the Local Plan review period), the District’s population is projected to increase by 5,558 or 6% to 104,092 (ONS 2014-based projections²).

For the purposes of the Assessment Report it has been agreed to use ONS figures to calculate population growth in Cannock Chase as this is the most accurate reflection of growth which is able to be broken down to the team generation rate age groups.

On this basis, ONS 2014-based projections have been used as the Government advised to revert to using the 2014 figures ONS-based projections when setting housing need as it better reflects the likely population growth than the most up to date figures (ONS 2016 based projections).

Team generation rates are used to provide an indication of how many people it may take to generate a team (by gender and age group), in order to help estimate the change in demand for outdoor sports facilities that may arise from any population change in the study area.

---

Future demand for pitches is calculated by adding the percentage increases to the ONS population increases in each analysis area. This figure is then applied to the TGRs (unless otherwise stated) and is presented on a sport-by-sport basis within the relevant sections of this report.

Other information sources used to help identify future demand include:

- Recent trends in the participation in outdoor sports facilities.
- The nature of the current and likely future population and their propensity to participate in pitch sports.
- Feedback from sports clubs on their plans to develop additional teams.
- Any local and NGB specific sports development targets (e.g. increase in participation).

**Stage C: Assess the supply and demand information and views**

In line with Sport England’s Playing Pitch Guidance Stage C, an in-depth understanding of outdoor sports provision has been developed using the supply and demand information and by assessing views from stakeholders in light of local and national information. This stage should:

- Provide a clear understanding of the provision and management of outdoor sports facilities at individual sites.
- Develop the current and future picture of provision.
- Identify the key findings and issues

**Understand the situation at individual sites**

Qualitative ratings are linked to a capacity rating derived from NGB guidance and tailored to suit a local area. The quality and use of each playing pitch is assessed against the recommended capacity to indicate how many match equivalent sessions per week (per season for cricket) can be accommodated. This is compared to the number of matches actually taking place and categorised as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potential spare capacity</td>
<td>Play is below the level the site could sustain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At capacity</td>
<td>Play is at a level the site can sustain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overused</td>
<td>Play exceeds the level the site can sustain.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For non-pitch sports, capacity is generally not determined by the amount of activity per week (or per season) but rather by membership.

**Develop the current picture of provision**

Once capacity is determined on a site-by-site basis, actual spare capacity is calculated on an area by area basis via further interrogation of temporal demand. Although this may have been identified, it does not necessarily mean that there is surplus provision. For example, spare capacity may not be available when it is needed or the site may be retained in a ‘strategic reserve’ to enable rotation to reduce wear and tear.

Capacity ratings assist in the identification of sites for improvement/development, rationalisation, decommissioning and disposal.

**Develop the future picture of provision - scenario testing**

Modelling scenarios to assess whether existing provision can cater for unmet, displaced and future demand is made after the capacity analysis. This will also include, for example,
removing sites with unsecured community use to demonstrate the impact this would have if these sites were to be decommissioned in the future. Scenario testing occurs in the strategy report and therefore does not form part of the assessment report.

**Identify the key findings and issues**

By completing Steps 1-5 it is possible to identify several findings and issues relating to the supply, demand and adequacy of outdoor sports provision in Cannock Chase District. This report seeks to identify and present the key findings and issues, which should now be checked, challenged and agreed by the Steering Group prior to development of the Strategy (Section D).

The following sections summarise the local administration of the included outdoor sports facilities in Cannock Chase District. Each provides a quantitative summary of provision and a map showing the distribution of facilities. It also provides information about the availability of facilities to/for the local community and the governing body of each sport and regional strategic plan (where they exist). Local league details are provided in order to outline the competitive structure for each sport. The findings of club consultation and key issues for each sport are summarised.
PART 2: FOOTBALL

2.1: Introduction

The organisation primarily responsible for the development of football in Cannock Chase is Staffordshire FA, although some clubs in the District to affiliate to Birmingham FA. Both CFAs are responsible for the administration, in terms of discipline, rules and regulations, cup competitions and representative matches, development of clubs and facilities, volunteers, referees, coaching courses and delivering national football schemes.

This section of the report focuses on the supply and demand for grass football pitches. Part 6 captures supply and demand for third generation pitches (3G pitches) which are the preferred AGP (artificial grass pitch) surface type for football. In future, it is anticipated that there will be a growing demand for the use of 3G pitches for competitive football fixtures, especially to accommodate mini and youth football.

Consultation

In addition to face to face consultation with key clubs, an electronic survey was sent to all football clubs playing in Cannock Chase. Consultation (either through a survey or face to face interview) represents a 67% club response rate and 81% team response rate. The results are used to inform key issues within this section of the report. The following clubs were consulted with face to face:

- Brereton Lions FC
- Brereton Social FC
- Brereton Social Youth FC
- Cannock Town Juniors
- Creswell Wanderers FC
- Creswell Wanderers (Adult) FC
- Heath Hayes FC
- Heath Hayes Juniors FC
- Hednesford Town FC
- Hednesford Town Girls FC
- Hednesford Town Newlands FC
- Hednesford Town YDS
- Lea Hall Girls FC
- Lea Hall Youth FC

Local football leagues were also consulted with to gauge local football participation trends, as well as providing overviews of pitch/site quality. The following football leagues were also consulted with:

- Cannock Chase Sunday League
- Lichfield & District Recreational League
- Mid Staffs Junior League

2.2: Supply

The audit identifies a total of 72 grass football pitches across 40 sites in Cannock Chase, with 62 pitches across 33 sites identified as being available for community use on some level, whilst ten pitches at seven sites are unavailable for community use. Eighteen of the site are consider single pitch sites. The seven sites that are unavailable for community use are all education sites.

3 There is no additional grass pitch provision on site of either football or alternative sports.
Most available pitches in Cannock Chase (40%) are adult sized which is, in part, due to youth 11v11 teams playing on adult pitches. This is not ideal for youth players at U13-U16 and is not in line with the FA Youth Review. Just nine available pitches are youth 11v11 sized representing 15% of the supply considered available for community use in Cannock Chase which is low in relation to the proportion of youth teams (31 teams – 22% of all teams) which should be playing matches on this sized pitch.

### Table 2.2: Summary of grass pitches by analysis area (community use)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Availability for community use</th>
<th>Pitch type</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>Youth 11v11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North East</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adult pitches are evenly distributed throughout Cannock Chase District with each analysis area having at least eight pitches available for community use. Conversely, the South East Analysis Area is the only area to have mini 5v5 pitches available for community use. Overall, however, the supply of grass football provision is even, with the Central/West Analysis Area having two more pitches available for community use (22 pitches) that the North East and South East analysis areas (20 pitches each).

In accordance with the FA Youth Review, U17 and U18 teams can play on adult pitches. The FA’s recommended pitch size for adult football is 100x64 metres. Please refer to the table below for more detail on football pitch sizes:

### Table 2.3: FA recommended grass/3G pitch sizes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Playing format</th>
<th>Recommended pitch dimensions (metres excluding run offs)</th>
<th>Recommended pitch dimensions (metres including run offs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mini-Soccer U7/U8</td>
<td>5v5</td>
<td>37x27</td>
<td>43x33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini-Soccer U9/U10</td>
<td>7v7</td>
<td>55x37</td>
<td>61x43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth U11/U12</td>
<td>9v9</td>
<td>73x46</td>
<td>79x52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth U13/U14</td>
<td>11v11</td>
<td>82x50</td>
<td>88x56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth U15/U16</td>
<td>11v11</td>
<td>91x55</td>
<td>97x61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth U17/U18</td>
<td>11v11</td>
<td>100x64</td>
<td>106x70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 18/Adult</td>
<td>11v11</td>
<td>100x64</td>
<td>106x70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Future supply**

It is reported that The Hart School (Lower School) site is due to close, which would result in the loss of three youth pitches (two youth 11v11 and one youth 9v9). These pitches are currently used by Lea Hall Youth FC and Lakeside FC. Should the site close and the pitches become unavailable, then current users of the site would be required to relocate to alternate local venues. This concern was reported by Lea Hall Youth FC, with the Club having three teams based at the site. It should be noted that discussions are ongoing regarding this matter.

Heath Hayes FC report aspirations to sell its current home ground; with a view to then use those funds to establish a full size 3G pitch on Heath Hayes Park, whilst also securing a long term lease agreement with the Council, which owns the site; for said pitch and multiple grass pitches that the Club would also maintain. It is understood that the current landowners of Heath Hayes FC would gift the funds to the Club to allow developments at Heath Hayes Park to take place.

Hednesford Town FC is exploring the possibility of installing a 3G pitch at Keys Park, in place of the current adult pitch contained within the stadium. The Club report that this is in early stages of discussion and comes as a consequence of Hednesford Town Newlands FC and Hednesford Town Girls FC having growing demand, which is difficult to accommodate within the local area. The Club reports that Birmingham FA, to whom the Club affiliates, has told it to provide greater proof of need and that match funding would be required.

Since 2016, flood defence system development at Hagley Playing Fields has meant the temporary loss of a two adult football pitches. The site is owned by Staffordshire County Council and previously had both rugby union and football pitches marked out. Reports are that the site should return to operation in time for the 2019/20 season, resulting the re-supply of two adult pitches.

As part of previous planning permission granted for the establishment of a full size 3G pitch at 5’s Pavilion and Sports Ground, a youth sized grass pitch was also to be created on the site. Despite the establishment of the 3G pitch, the grass pitch has never been created, with insufficient space available on site for either a youth 9v9 or a youth 11v11 pitches. The area is also insufficient to accommodate a mini 7v7 pitch, but a mini 5v5 pitch could adequately fit on site.

Upon the commencement of the 2018/19 season an additional adult pitch will be marked at Cannock Stadium. The pitch was provided in previous seasons, however, due to site developments it was decided that, for the long term benefit, the third pitch on site would not be marked for the 2017/18 season, providing the pitch the opportunity to receive required remedial work, preventing a decline in pitch quality. It is reported by the District Council that this pitch will return for community use.

**Disused provision**

The closure of Rugeley Power Station and its associated sports & social club in 2017, resulted in the loss of an adult, grass, football pitch. Following the closure of the site the pitch ceased to be maintained and became unavailable for community use. Any development of the site should seek to re-provide the pitch within its current locale, servicing local demand.
Pitch quality

The quality of football pitches in Cannock Chase has been assessed via a combination of non-technical assessments (as determined by The FA) user consultation and any available FA PIP reports to reach and apply an agreed rating. Percentage parameters used for the non-technical assessments were as follows:

- Poor = 0-49.9%
- Standard = 50-79.9%
- Good = 80%+

As such, each pitch within Cannock Chase has a quality rating of good, standard or poor. Pitch quality is primarily influenced by the carrying capacity of the site; often pitches are over used and lack the required routine maintenance work necessary to improve drainage and subsequent quality. Pitches which receive little to no ongoing repair or post-season remedial work will be assessed as poor, therefore limiting the number of games able to take place each week without it having a detrimental effect on quality.
Conversely, well maintained pitches which are tended to regularly are likely to be of a higher standard and capable of taking a number of matches without a significant reduction in surface quality.

Table 2.4: Summary of football pitch quality (community use pitches)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pitch type</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth 11v11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth 9v9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini 7v7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini 5v5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
<td>48 (77%)</td>
<td>13 (21%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pitch quality ratings determined through a combination of non-technical assessments and user feedback show most pitches available for community use (77%) are rated as standard quality, with 21% rated as poor and only one pitch as good, equating to just 2%.

In general, club consultation indicates varying degrees of change in pitch quality over the previous three years. Of responding clubs, 68% report no significant difference, compared to 13% that report worsening pitch quality and 19% that report improving pitch quality.

The most common factors attributed to pitch improvements in Cannock Chase are the quality of maintenance being undertaken by either clubs or the Council, whilst poor drainage or significant unofficial use is true for pitches that of worsening in quality. Specific comments relating to pitch conditions at individual sites can be seen in the table below. The comments are from a combination of club feedback and site assessment information.

Table 2.5: Summary of pitch quality comments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Analysis area</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Brereton Sports and Social Club</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Poor site drainage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cotswold Road</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Uneven pitch that needs levelling, but generally the site is ok.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Heath Hayes Park</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Poor drainage and unofficial use of the site both contribute to the poor quality of pitches available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Hednesford Park</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Broken glass on site is a regular occurrence, whilst the pitches also have poor drainage. The pitches would be standard quality if only maintenance was assessed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Keys Park</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>The pitch would be good quality but there are some issues with the drainage in one corner. This should be rectified prior to the 2018/19 season.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Norton Canes Recreation Ground</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Good maintenance but drainage and official use of the site lowers the quality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>The Hart School (Lower School)</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Poor drainage at times but the site is of better quality than the Upper School site.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nationally, private sites (e.g. sports clubs) typically offer better quality facilities than Council parks/playing fields and school pitches. In general, such sports clubs tend to have dedicated ground staff or volunteers working on pitches and the fact that they are often secured by fencing prevents unofficial use. However, in Cannock Chase there appears to be no noticeable difference between the quality of these two pitch ownership types. Private site hire is often at full cost recovery.

The cost of self-maintenance can be limited by funds or equipment available and consequently some clubs may not be able to carry out the required level of maintenance each year, instead targeting specific areas of the pitch or times of the year, sometimes not every season.

**FA Pitch Improvement Programme (PIP)**

With quality of grass pitches becoming one of the biggest influences on participation in football, the FA has made it a priority to work towards improving quality of grass pitches across the country. This has resulted in the creation of the FA Pitch Improvement Programme (PIP). As part of the PIP, grass pitches identified as having quality issues undergo a pitch inspection from a member of the Institute of Groundsmanship (IOG). There are no reports of PIP inspections having taken place in Cannock Chase.

**Ancillary facilities**

Cannock Chase District Council manages and operates 12 football pitch sites, of which, seven are accompanied by changing provision. Changing facilities remain a key issue at football sites whether they are managed by the Council, sports clubs or community organisations. Some of the facilities are described as poor quality by users and some responding clubs state they do not have access or have demand for greater access to changing rooms or increased quantity to sufficiently cater for the number of pitches onsite. Clubs mention sites which are of poor quality having issues with the interior of the buildings rather than the exterior, with common references made to changing rooms needing modernisation and refurbishment.

Specific comments from clubs received relating to the ancillary facilities at these sites can be seen in the table below.

**Table 2.6: Summary of ancillary facilities quality comments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Analysis area</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Brereton Sports &amp; Social Club</td>
<td>Sports Club</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Poor quality ancillary facilities that require significant refurbishment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cannock Park</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Three changing rooms of standard quality, with communal showers and toilets. The overall ancillary facilities require some refurbishment to modernise and improve the quality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Heath Hayes FC</td>
<td>Sports Club</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Ancillary facilities on site meet minimum league requirements but are not considered fit for purpose, with insufficient electrical supply, inadequate car parking and no connection to main sewers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Heath Hayes Park</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>The number of changing rooms available is adequate to serve the number of pitches on</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## CANNOCK CHASE PLAYING PITCH STRATEGY ASSESSMENT REPORT

### Site Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Analysis area</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Keys Park</td>
<td>Sports Club</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Good quality ancillary facilities but would ideally have an extra officials’ changing room for female match officials. The stadium does not have mains utilities but will once a housing development is complete on part of the land.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Lea Hall Sports &amp; Social Club</td>
<td>Community Organisation</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Changing rooms are considered adequate at present, given that mini and junior teams tend to not to use them, but they will require refurbishment in the future to improve quality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Mount Road</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>No changing rooms or toilets on site which can be an issue, but the car parking on site is considered adequate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Norton Canes Recreation Ground</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>The number of changing rooms is inadequate to serve all pitches on site as one is currently used for storage. The cost of hiring the changing rooms is steadily increasing without a caretaker maintaining or opening the building, with the clubs being provided with keys to then clean and open the site each week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Old Fallow Road</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>No parking on site is inconvenient resulting in street parking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Yates Sports &amp; Social Club</td>
<td>Community Organisation</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Good quality ancillary facilities, the only thing that is missing is a dedicated medical room.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Car parking

Through consultation, only Old Fallow Road is identified as having inadequate car parking on site, with other sites considered to have appropriate car parking either on site or nearby.

### FA’s Safeguarding Operating Standards

Staffordshire FA (SCFA) is now required to comply with FA safeguarding operating standards. As part of this, SCFA recognises and advocates all football pitches require, as a minimum, access to toilet facilities for players for safeguarding and health & safety purposes. Furthermore, it is expected that pitch providers work in partnership with site users to ensure that the associated changing room and toilet requirements are accessible. Though SCFA strives to obtain this as a minimum requirement across its administrative areas, it is key to acknowledge that it does not have ownership or influence over all sites used for affiliated football and whilst it is able to influence and support site provision through partnership working (especially with local authorities), it is not directly able to do so at all sites such as those which may be privately operated.

### Security of tenure

The majority of teams in Cannock Chase play on Council managed pitches and are therefore considered to be secure for at least the next three years as part of the Council’s continued sports and leisure provision offering. Of clubs responding to consultation, 14 note that pitches are rented from the Council for match demand. Schools and academies generally state their own hire policies and are more likely to restrict levels of community use. The seven sites that are unavailable for community use are all education sites.
Reasons for not allowing community use vary. The most common example is that the schools want to protect pitches for curricular and extra-curricular purposes due to existing quality issues. Other reasons may include staffing issues, health and safety issues and a lack of profitability. Moreover, some schools which allow community use do so without providing security of tenure, meaning they could cease to allow use at any point. Some clubs in Cannock Chase either own the freehold or have long term lease agreements in place at their home venue. Lea Hall Youth & Girls FC and Brereton Social both own home venues due to being part of larger organisations.

Hednesford Town FC also has security of tenure, with the Club having a long term lease agreement with a holding company called Hednesford Town Football Club Limited, which it set up to secure both the Club and the site against potential financial difficulties, should they occur in the future. The lease agreement in place provides the Club with secure tenure at Keys Park.

Heath Hayes FC has a lease agreement in place with two landowners that own the Club’s home ground. It is understood that the lease agreement is not formalised at this time but that all parties are seeking to change this imminently. Until the lease agreement is formalised the Club is not considered to have security of tenure. Minimum league requirements for clubs competing at Step 6 of the pyramid, as Heath Hayes FC does, states that clubs are required to have security of tenure at home venues. Despite this not being the case for Heath Hayes FC it is understood that the League accepts the terms of the current agreement.

Brereton Town FC rents its primary home venue from the Council on an annual basis but has a lease agreement in place for the footprint of the clubhouse facility. These two arrangements mean that the Club’s use of the pitch is not secure but the ongoing use of the clubhouse will remain. During consultation the Club did note that it would be keen to lease the pitch from the Council, long term, provided that improvements could be made to the pitch quality, along with a potential perimeter fence to prevent unofficial use. This was caveated by the acknowledgement that given the pitch’s presence within a public park the likelihood of a fence being erected would be minimal.

2.3: Demand

At the start of the 2017/18 season, there are a total of 140 teams identified as playing competitive football matches or training within Cannock Chase. This consists of 39 men’s, one women’s, 51 youth boys’, six youth girls’ and 43 mini soccer teams.

However, not all of these teams are considered to be based in the District for matches, with 52 teams utilising pitches outside of the District either as part of central venue leagues, or to access pitches in other local authorities. This is explored in detail further in the section.

*Table 2.7: Summary of teams currently playing or training in Cannock Chase*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis area</th>
<th>No. of teams playing</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>Youth 11v11</td>
<td>Youth 9v9</td>
<td>Mini 7v7</td>
<td>Mini 5v5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North East</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannock Chase</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The majority of demand is based in the Central/West Analysis Area (56 teams), with the South East Analysis Area having the lowest demand figures (36 teams). The largest format of demand is from adult teams despite local adult leagues reporting that there has been a decline in the number of teams participating in respective leagues. Despite this, there has been a reported increase in participation amongst local, central venue, vets leagues.

Of the 88 teams identified as playing matches in Cannock Chase, 79 teams are recorded as consistently playing home matches on grass pitches during 2017/18 season. The remaining nine teams are recorded as playing on 3G pitches according to the FA affiliation data 2017/18 season and club consultation.

Table 2.8: Summary of football team demand in Cannock Chase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Number of teams playing on grass</th>
<th>Number of teams playing on 3G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior Men’s (16-45)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Women’s (16-45)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Boys (10-15)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Girls (10-15)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini-Soccer Mixed</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>79</strong></td>
<td><strong>9</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the mini soccer played in Cannock Chase, most (66%) is played on 3G pitches, with two teams based at 5’s Pavilion and Sports Ground and four at Cardinal Griffin Catholic College; 34 other mini teams access 3G venues outside of Cannock Chase as part of central venue leagues.

National League System

The National League System is a series of interconnected leagues for adult men’s football clubs in England. It begins below the football league (the National League) and comprises of seven steps, with various leagues at each level and more leagues lower down the pyramid than at the top. The system has a hierarchical format with promotion and relegation between the levels subject to meeting the required facilities criteria, allowing even the smallest club the theoretical possibility of rising to the top of the system.

Clubs within the step system must adhere to ground requirements set out by the FA. The higher the level of football being played the higher the requirements. Clubs cannot progress into the league above if the ground requirements do not meet the correct specifications. Ground grading assesses grounds from A to H, with ‘A’ being the requirements for Step 1 clubs.

In Cannock Chase, there are no professional clubs which play within the Football League system, however, there are two teams which play within the National League System.

Table 2.9: Summary of teams playing within the football pyramid structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team</th>
<th>League</th>
<th>Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heath Hayes FC</td>
<td>Midland Football League – Division One</td>
<td>Step 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hednesford Town FC</td>
<td>Evo-Stik League – Premier Division</td>
<td>Step 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For the purposes of demand U17 and U18 teams are considered to require adult pitches, though it should be noted that these age groups affiliate to their respective County FA as junior teams.
Competing just below the football pyramid structure, Brereton Social FC is one promotion away from competing at Step 7 and subsequently having to comply with minimum ground requirements; similarly, Cannock United FC is two promotions away from Step 7. At present only, the former would meet minimum ground requirements for that level, with Cannock United FC not having security of tenure in place.

A common issue for clubs entering the pyramid is changing facilities. For Step 7 football (ground grading H), changing rooms must be a minimum size of 18 square metres, exclusive of shower and toilet areas. The general principle for clubs in the football pyramid is that they have to achieve the appropriate grade by March 31st of their first season after promotion, which therefore allows a short grace period for facilities to be brought up to standard. This, however, does not apply to clubs being promoted to Step 7 (as they must meet requirements immediately).

Hednesford Town FC competes at Step 3 of the football pyramid and fully complies with minimum ground requirements. The Club would not have an issue if it were to be promoted to National League North (Step 2), with Keys Park meeting minimum ground requirements for that level of football.

As mentioned earlier, Heath Hayes FC does meet minimum ground requirements for Step 6 of the football pyramid but would struggle to comply if the Club was to be promoted. It acknowledges that ground grading has been lenient in the past; hence the Club’s aspirations to sell the ground and relocate to a purpose built facility.

**Burton Junior Football League (BJFL)**

The Burton Junior Football League (BJFL) is a large mini and youth football league which encompasses Cannock Chase and incorporates clubs in surrounding local authorities such as East Staffordshire, North West Leicestershire, Derbyshire Dales, South Derbyshire, Derby and Lichfield.

The League has grown in participation in the last three years and uses two locations (The Pingle Academy and John Port Spencer Academy) in South Derbyshire as central venues for its U10s age group. The remaining demand (U7s to U9s and U11 to U12s) is located at central venues in neighbouring authority East Staffordshire. Any team above U12s which is participating in the BJFL must be located at a club’s ‘home ground’ in order to play home and away fixtures. Three teams from Cannock Chase participate in the BJFL, all representing Lakeside FC and playing at Shobnall Leisure Centre (East Staffordshire) as a central venue.

**Mid Staffs Junior Football League (MSFL)**

The Mid Staffs Junior Football League (MSJFL) was formed in 1996, having previously been called the Rugeley and District Boys League. The League encompasses mini and junior teams from Stafford, Cannock Chase, Stoke and Wolverhampton. It operates on a central venue basis for mini football, two of which, are within Cannock Chase (5’s Pavilion and Sports Ground and Cardinal Griffin Catholic College); At both of these sites 3G pitches are utilised. Once teams are considered junior teams then, similarly to the BJFL, they must be located at a club’s ‘home ground’ in order to play home and away fixtures. Six clubs, providing 19 teams are displaced as part of the League structure to central venues outside of Cannock Chase predominantly accessing sites in Walsall.
Lichfield & District Recreation League (LDRL)

The Lichfield & District Recreational League caters for teams from U7 to U18, with a catchment area covering Bridgnorth, Wolverhampton, Cannock Chase, Lichfield and Walsall. Unlike the MSJFL and BJFL, it operates on a home and away basis, with the League assisting clubs with venues if they are unable to arrange their own. The League has a strong relationship with Lichfield Council which it utilises to provide some clubs with home venues, rather than the local authority that the Club is based in. 30 teams from Cannock Chase based clubs participate in the League, with 12 of those teams accessing pitches outside of the District. The League reports that a lack of youth 9v9 pitches in some areas, including Cannock Chase, means that it is helping clubs to access sites with this provision.

Walsall Junior Youth League (WJYL)

The Walsall Junior Youth League operate central venue sites for mini leagues, with junior football required to access provision on a home and away basis, arranged by each club. Cannock Chase based clubs have 21 teams playing in the League, 16 of which, are displaced outside of the District to access provision. Four of the 16 clubs access provision outside of the District out of choice, such as, Brereton Town Juniors, Cannock Town Juniors and Hednesford Town Newlands. The remaining 12 teams are all of mini ages and therefore attend central venue sites.

Midland Junior Premier League (MJPL)

The Midland Junior Premier League is an FA Charter Standard League operating in the Central region. The league consists of one division at each age group from U10 to U16, plus an U18 league. At the time of writing there are five Cannock Chase District based teams competing in the divisions. The League operates on a home and away basis, with cup competitions following the conclusion of the traditional league structure in April. As part of league rules clubs are required to provide match venues with separate, secure changing and washing facilities both teams and match officials; as well as, post-match hospitality for players, club officials and league representatives.

Unmet and latent demand

Unmet demand is existing demand that is not getting access to pitches. It is usually expressed, for example, when a team is already training but is unable to access a match pitch, or when a league has a waiting list. No clubs in Cannock Chase report any unmet demand present.

Latent demand is demand that evidence suggests may be generated from the current population should clubs have access to more or better pitches. Lakeside Juniors FC report that if there were more pitches available locally then it would be able to field an additional U8 (mini 5v5) and U9 (mini 7v7) teams. Other Rugeley based clubs, Lea Hall Juniors and Brereton Town Juniors also note that additional teams could potentially be created with an increase of youth 9v9 pitches in the Area but did not specify how many teams this could generate.

Displaced/exported demand

Displaced or exported demand refers to Cannock Chase based teams that are currently accessing pitches outside of the local authority for their home fixtures, normally because their pitch requirements cannot be met, which is usually because of pitch supply, in some cases quality issues or stipulated league requirements for access to certain facilities.
As previously stated, a number of Cannock Chase based clubs have teams accessing central venue league sites for match provision as part of league rules. In addition to the aforementioned mini and junior leagues, one team representing Brereton Social FC and one from Hednesford Town Girls FC, access central venue provision as part of the Staffs FA Vets League and the Staffordshire Girls and Ladies League, respectively.

Due to the nature of youth and mini teams being displaced as a result of league central venues, these teams have not been included in the following tables, as the same number of teams will continue to be displaced each season, due to the respective leagues locating outside of the study area.

In total 16 teams are displaced as a result a lack of provision in the District; nine utilising youth 11v11 pitches and seven accessing youth 9v9 provision. Accumulatively this equates to eight match equivalent sessions of match demand.

**Table 2.10: Teams displaced due to a lack of provision**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Club</th>
<th>Displaced demand</th>
<th>Match equivalent sessions per week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abbot Bromley FC</td>
<td>Youth 11v11 x 2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Youth 9v9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hednesford Town Newlands FC</td>
<td>Youth 11v11</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntington Harriers FC</td>
<td>Youth 11v11 x 2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Youth 9v9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milford Athletic FC</td>
<td>Youth 11v11 x 3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Youth 9v9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norton Canes FC</td>
<td>Youth 11v11</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Youth 9v9 x 4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clubs also access provision outside of Cannock Chase for training demand, with 30 teams training at sites outside of the District. From consultation it is considered that these teams choose to access AGPs outside of the District out of preference with no clubs expressing an aspiration to return to Cannock Chase to access supply.

Almost half of those teams (14) represent Creswell Wanderers FC which is based at Cannock Cricket & Hockey Club in South Staffordshire although the vast majority of its players are from Cannock Chase District. Despite this, the Club is not considered displaced as teams utilise the site for both match and training demand and this is unlikely to change in the future.

**Future demand**

Future demand can be defined in two ways, through participation increases and using population forecasts. Team generation rates (TGRs) are used below as the basis for calculating the number of teams likely to be generated in the future based on population growth up to 2036⁵.

---

⁵ TGRs are based on population forecasts to 2028 which is in line with Cannock Chase Local Plan timeframe.
Table 2.11: Team generation rates (District wide level)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Current population within age group</th>
<th>Current no. of teams</th>
<th>Team Generation Rate</th>
<th>Future population within age group</th>
<th>Predicted future number of teams (2036)</th>
<th>Additional teams that may be generated from the increased population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior Men’s (16-45)</td>
<td>18,393</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>18,005</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Women’s (16-45)</td>
<td>18,189</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18189</td>
<td>17,221</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Boys’ (12-15)</td>
<td>2,243</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>2,333</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Girls’ (12-15)</td>
<td>2,059</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>2,146</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Boys’ (10-11)</td>
<td>1,117</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1,108</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Girls’ (10-11)</td>
<td>1,053</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>1,022</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini-Soccer Mixed (8-9)</td>
<td>2,311</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>2,117</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini-Soccer Mixed (6-7)</td>
<td>2,322</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>2,125</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When TGRs are applied to individual analysis areas a more detailed representation of where exactly the predicated growth will occur emerges. It is considered that although this figure may be lower than when applied District wide, it provides a more accurate depiction of future teams, with some participation growth being insufficient to establish new teams and therefore being assimilated into existing playing squads.

Applying TGRs to specific analysis areas in Cannock Chase District does not forecast the creation of any additional teams; with participation increases in each Analysis Area being assimilated within existing playing squads.

Team generation rates (TGRs) are based exclusively on future population forecasts and do not account for societal factors or changes in the way people may wish to play sport. Similarly, TGRs cannot account for specific targeted development work within certain areas or focused towards certain groups, such as NGB initiatives or coaching within schools. For example, there is a focus on developing girls’ football both within Cannock Chase and nationally which is likely to lead to more girls’ teams in the future and therefore increased demand for pitches.

It is important to note that there has been a recent decrease nationally in participation at adult level and that the number of FA affiliated adult teams playing competitive football has dropped. Similarly, there has been a decline in the number of youth players making the transition from youth football to adult leagues.

Participation increases

A number of clubs highlight plans to increase the number of teams for next season, totalling a requirement for a further 7.5 match equivalent sessions across different pitch types.
### Table 2.12: Summary of future demand reported by clubs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Club</th>
<th>Analysis area</th>
<th>Future demand</th>
<th>Match equivalent sessions per week</th>
<th>Pitch type</th>
<th>Grass, 3G or displaced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brereton Town Juniors FC</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>2 x Mini (U7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mini 5v5</td>
<td>Displaced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 x Mini (U9)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Mini 7v7</td>
<td>Displaced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brereton Town Ladies FC</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>1 x Youth (U16)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Youth 11v11</td>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brereton Social FC</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>4 x Mini (U7)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mini 5v5</td>
<td>Displaced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannock Town Juniors FC</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>1 x Mini (U7)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Mini 5v5</td>
<td>Displaced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heath Hayes FC</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>1 x Adult (Women’s)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hednesford Town FC</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>1 x Adult (U21)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hednesford United Girls FC</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>1 x Mini (U7)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Mini 5v5</td>
<td>Displaced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakeside Juniors FC</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>1 x Mini (U7)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Mini 5v5</td>
<td>Displaced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lea Hall Juniors FC</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>2 x Mini (U7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mini 5v5</td>
<td>Displaced</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As seen in the table above, 5.5 match equivalent sessions of potential future mini 5v5 football will be created by clubs next season. Adding teams at this age group can be easier for clubs given the lower number of players required to form teams. This also helps create sustainability within the Club, introducing younger players each season.

Including both TGRs and club aspirations, the total amount of future demand across Cannock Chase District equates to one match equivalent session on adult pitches; 0.5 match equivalent sessions on youth 11v11 pitches; 0.5 match equivalent sessions on mini 7v7 pitches; and 5.5 match equivalents sessions on mini 5v5 pitch types; although much of this demand will be displaced out of the District as part of central venue leagues, as shown in Table 2.12.

Therefore, 1.5 match equivalent sessions of future demand will need to be accommodated on grass pitch provision, 0.5 of which will be on a youth 11v11 sized pitch.

It is important to note that TGRs are based on population figures and cannot account for specific targeted development work within certain areas or focused towards certain groups, such as NGB initiatives or coaching within schools. For example, the FA has committed to doubling women’s and girl’s football participation by 2020. In 2017 to assist in obtaining this goal, and in partnership with SSE, it has introduced SSE Wildcats Centres.

---

Analysis area refers to where respective clubs are nominally based, however, due to the location of central venue leagues some future teams will be displaced out of the District.
SSE Wildcats Centres

SSE Wildcats Centres work with County FA qualified coaches to deliver local weekly sessions, which provide opportunities for girls aged five to 11 to develop fundamental skills and experience football in a safe and fun environment. There are already 200 established centres which delivered the SSE Wildcats pilot in 2017, with a further 800 centres to be in place for 2018. As part of the expansion process, organisations extending beyond affiliated clubs to include other providers or community groups were invited to apply in late 2017 to become one of the new centres. All organisations delivering Wildcats centres receive a £900 start-up grant and 30 branded footballs in their first year of running the programme to help develop and increase girl's participation.

There are two Wildcat Centres in the District, located at 5’s Pavilion and Sports Ground (3G) and Staffordshire University Academy (grass). The former centre is being led by Inspiring Healthy Lifestyles, whilst the latter is being run by Midland Soccer Academy.

In light of both FA aspirations to double female participation in football through its Game Changer strategy and the establishment and foreseen future effect of the SSE Wildcats programme, it is likely that the growth in affiliated women’s and girl teams may exceed that shown through TGRs, however, at present to what extent is not quantifiable.

2.4: Capacity analysis

The capacity for pitches to regularly provide for competitive play, training and other activity over a season is most often determined by quality. As a minimum, the quality and therefore the capacity of a pitch affects the playing experience and people’s enjoyment of playing football. In extreme circumstances, it can result in the inability of the pitch to cater for all or certain types of play during peak and off-peak times. Pitch quality is often influenced by weather conditions and drainage.

As a guide, The FA has set a standard number of matches that each grass pitch type should be able to accommodate without adversely affecting its current quality (pitch capacity). Taking into consideration the guidelines on capacity the following was concluded in Cannock Chase:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pitch quality</th>
<th>Adult pitches Matches per week</th>
<th>Youth pitches Matches per week</th>
<th>Mini pitches Matches per week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.14 applies the above pitch ratings against the actual level of weekly play recorded to determine a capacity rating as follows:

- **Potential capacity**: Play is below the level the site could sustain
- **At capacity**: Play matches the level the site can sustain
- **Overused**: Play exceeds the level the site can sustain

The level of pitch usage is recorded in match equivalent sessions per week (MES). For football, pitches relate to a typical week within the season and one match per week equates to one match equivalent session per week if it occurs every week or more typically 0.5
match equivalent sessions per week if it occurs every other week (i.e. reflecting home and away fixtures).

**Informal use**

Where information is known, informal and unofficial use of pitches has been factored into current play. It must be noted, however, that informal use of these sites is not recorded and it is therefore difficult to quantify on a site-by-site basis. Instead, it is recommended that open access sites be protected through an improved maintenance regime and through retaining some spare capacity to protect quality.

**Education sites**

To account for curricular/extracurricular use of education pitches it is likely that use additional to recorded community use will need to be factored into the total current weekly usage. The only time this would not happen is when a school does not use its pitches at all and the sole use is community use. The extent of use added is typically dependent on the level of play, the number of pitches onsite and whether there is access to an AGP elsewhere onsite allowing rotation and protection of impact on grass pitches.

Table 2.14 shows community usage of available pitches. Where not overplayed as a result of community use, school sites are considered to have no spare capacity to accommodate further community use based on assumed curricular and extracurricular activity beyond the level of community use shown in Table 2.14. School sites which are available for community use but currently do not have any external use have been accredited one match equivalent session per week, per pitch, to indicate use for curricular and extracurricular activity as opposed to being completely unused.

Tenure at school sites is generally considered to be unsecure given the nature of rental with no formal community use or service level agreement (SLA) currently in place. No teams playing at school sites, either on grass or artificial pitches, are reported to have secure tenure through long term/formal community use agreements.

**Table 2.13: Summary of youth and adult teams playing at school sites across Cannock Chase District**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Club</th>
<th>Level of demand</th>
<th>Grass or 3G?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cannock Chase High School</td>
<td>Heath Hayes FC (Juniors)</td>
<td>0.5 MES (9v9)</td>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 MES (11v11)</td>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cardinal Griffin College</td>
<td>Cannock Town Juniors</td>
<td>0.5 MES (9v9)</td>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 MES (11v11)</td>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hawkins Sports Juniors</td>
<td>0.5 MES (5v5)</td>
<td>3G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 MES (7v7)</td>
<td>3G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hednesford Town Newlands</td>
<td>0.5 MES (11v11)</td>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hednesford United Girls</td>
<td>0.5 MES (9v9)</td>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stafford Rangers Juniors</td>
<td>0.5 MES (7v7)</td>
<td>3G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stafford Town Juniors</td>
<td>0.5 MES (7v7)</td>
<td>3G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 MES (9v9)</td>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wryley Vets</td>
<td>0.5 MES (Adult)</td>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wryley Juniors</td>
<td>0.5 MES (9v9)</td>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Kingsmead School</td>
<td>Hawkins Sports Juniors</td>
<td>0.5 MES (11v11)</td>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Longford School</td>
<td>Heath Hayes FC</td>
<td>0.5 MES (5v5)</td>
<td>Grass (7v7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Site Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Club</th>
<th>Level of demand</th>
<th>Grass or 3G?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Norton Canes High School</td>
<td>Mocefa FC</td>
<td>0.5 MES (Adult)</td>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Cannock Town Juniors</td>
<td>Pye Green Valley Primary</td>
<td>0.5 MES (9v9)</td>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>St Joseph’s Catholic Primary School</td>
<td>Brereton Town Juniors</td>
<td>0.5 MES (9v9)</td>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>The Hart School (Lower School)</td>
<td>Lakeside</td>
<td>0.5 MES (9v9)</td>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lea Hall Youth</td>
<td>0.5 MES (9v9)</td>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lea Hall Youth</td>
<td>1 MES (11v11)</td>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>The Hart School (Upper School)</td>
<td>AFC Castle</td>
<td>0.5 MES (Adult)</td>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lakeside</td>
<td>1 MES (11v11)</td>
<td>Grass (Adult)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Hob Hill CE/Methodist Primary School</td>
<td>Brereton Social Youth</td>
<td>0.5 MES (11v11)</td>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Peak time

Peak time demand for adult and mini 7v7 football pitches is Sunday morning. Both youth 11v11 and youth 9v9 pitches have a peak time of Sunday afternoon, which negates any potential issues, such as kick-off time clashes on adult pitches which are over marked for junior and mini football, or child welfare issues at sites where there are not sufficient changing facilities to service all pitches, as junior and mini teams require exclusive changing and shower areas which need to be carefully coordinated and managed.
### Canmore Chase Playing Pitch Strategy

#### Assessment Report

**Table 2.14: Football pitch capacity analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Analysis area</th>
<th>Community use availability</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Security of tenure*</th>
<th>Pitch type</th>
<th>Pitch size</th>
<th>No. of pitches</th>
<th>Agreed quality rating</th>
<th>Current play (MES)</th>
<th>Site capacity (MES)</th>
<th>Capacity balance</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Beaudesert Sports Field and Recreation Ground</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>Pitch has two MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Beaudesert Sports Field and Recreation Ground</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Mini (7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>Pitch has four MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Brearton Sports and Social Club</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Sports Club</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>Pitch is overplayed by 0.5 MES.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cannock Chase High School</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Youth (11v11)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>Pitch has 0.5 MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cannock Chase High School</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Youth (9v9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>Pitch has 0.5 MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cannock Park</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>Pitch has 0.5 MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cannock Park</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Youth (11v11)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pitch is overplayed by two MES.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cardinal Griffin Catholic College</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Youth (11v11)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Pitch is at capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cardinal Griffin Catholic College</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Youth (11v11)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Pitch is at capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Churchfield Primary School</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Mini (7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>Pitch has three MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cotswold Road</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Pitch has one MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Five Ways Primary School</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Mini (7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>Pitch has three MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Gorsemoor Primary School</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Mini (7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>Pitch has three MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Gorsemoor Primary School</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Youth (11v11)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Pitch has one MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Green Lane</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Pitch has one MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Green Lane</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Youth (9v9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Pitch is at capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Heath Hayes Park</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Sports Club</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>Pitch is overplayed by 0.5 MES.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Heath Hayes Park</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>Pitch has 0.5 MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Heath Hayes Park</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Youth (9v9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Pitch is at capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Heath Hayes Primary Academy</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Youth (9v9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Pitch has one MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Heath Hayes Primary Academy</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Youth (9v9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Pitch has one MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Hednesford Park</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Pitch has one MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Hednesford Park</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Youth (9v9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>Pitch has 0.5 MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Hednesford Valley High School</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Mini (7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>Pitch has three MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Keys Park</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Sports Club</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Pitch has one MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Kingsmead School</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>Pitch has 0.5 MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Kingsmead School</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Pitch has one MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Laburnum Avenue</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>Pitch has two MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Lea Hall Sports and Social Club</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Community Organisation</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Mini (7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>Pitch has four MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Lea Hall Sports and Social Club</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Community Organisation</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Youth (11v11)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Pitch is at capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Longford School</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Mini (7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>Pitch has 1.5 MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Moorhill Primary School</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Mini (7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>Pitch has three MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Mount Road</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>Pitch has 1.5 MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Norton Canes High School</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Poor/Standard</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>Pitch has 0.5 MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Norton Canes Primary Academy</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Mini (5v5)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>Pitch has three MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Norton Canes Primary Academy</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Mini (7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>Pitch has three MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Unless local information suggests otherwise it can be assumed that the availability of all pitches in Council, town and parish Council and sports club ownership will be secure.

1 Based on pitch quality The FA recommends a maximum number of match equivalent sessions to be accommodate per pitch type. Please refer to Section 2.4 for the full breakdown.

2 Red indicates overplay, green indicates potential spare capacity and amber indicates at capacity.
# Cannock Chase Playing Pitch Strategy

## Assessment Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Analysis area</th>
<th>Community use availability</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Security of tenure*</th>
<th>Pitch type</th>
<th>Pitch size</th>
<th>No. of pitches</th>
<th>Agreed quality rating</th>
<th>Current play (MES)</th>
<th>Site capacity (MES)</th>
<th>Capacity balance</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Norton Canes Primary Academy</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>(9v9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Pitch has one MES of potential capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Norton Canes Recreation Ground</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>Pitch has 0.5 MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Old Fallow Road</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>Pitch has 0.5 MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Cannock Stadium</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>Pitch has 1.5 MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Pype Green Valley Primary</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>(9v9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>Pitch has 0.5 MES of potential capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Ravenhill Park</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>Pitch has 0.5 MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>St Joseph’s Catholic Primary School</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>Pitch has six MES of potential capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>St Joseph’s Catholic Primary School</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>(9v9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>Pitch has 0.5 MES of potential capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>St Luke’s C of E Primary School</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>(9v9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Pitch has one MES of potential capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>St Luke’s C of E Primary School</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>(9v9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Pitch has one MES of potential capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>The Hart School (Lower School)</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>(11v11)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Pitch has one MES of potential capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>The Hart School (Lower School)</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>(9v9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Pitch is overplayed by one MES.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>The Hart School (Upper School)</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>Pitches are overplayed by one MES.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Yales Sports and Social Club</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Community Organisation</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>Pitch has two MES of potential capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Chase View Primary school</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>Pitch has six MES of potential capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>St Peter’s CE Primary school</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(5v5)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>Pitch has six MES of potential capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>St Peter’s CE Primary school</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>Pitch has three MES of potential capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Hob Hill CE/Methodist (VC) Primary school</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>(11v11)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>Pitch has 0.5 MES of potential capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>West Hill Primary school</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(5v5)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>Pitch has three MES of potential capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>West Hill Primary school</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>Pitch has three MES of potential capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>West Hill Primary school</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>(9v9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Pitch has one MES of potential capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>St Joseph’s Catholic primary</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>Pitch has six MES of potential capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Jerome Primary School</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>Pitch has three MES of potential capacity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pitch has three MES of potential capacity.*
Spare capacity

The next step is to ascertain whether or not any identified ‘potential capacity’ can be deemed ‘spare capacity’. There may be situations where, although a site is highlighted as potentially able to accommodate some additional play, this should not be recorded as spare capacity against the site. For example, a site may be managed to regularly operate slightly below full capacity to ensure that it can cater for a number of regular friendly matches and activities that take place but are difficult to quantify on a weekly basis.

Over marked pitches which are used and exhibit potential spare capacity have not been considered available to accommodate further play in order to protect pitch quality, given the nature of repeated and sustained use over a short period of time.

Where there is potential spare capacity exhibited at school sites beyond current community use, this has not been included due to the significant additional use during the week by schools for curricular, extracurricular and competitive sport.

Match equivalent sessions

Pitches have a limit of how much play they can accommodate over a certain period of time before their quality, and in turn their use, is adversely affected. As the main usage of pitches is likely to be for matches, it is appropriate for the comparable unit to be match equivalent sessions but may for example include training sessions and informal use.

At this stage, match equivalent sessions do not equate to the number of pitches which are required/surplus. For example, an Analysis Area might show three match equivalent sessions of spare capacity but you would need to cross reference back to individual sites to determine where the spare capacity originates from. If it is spread across a number of sites then there is minimal spare capacity within the site which may be required to accommodate strategic reserve. If the spare capacity is on one pitch then it might indicate a need to create a different type of pitch to address a deficiency. This will be fully determined and recommendations will be made accordingly within the Strategy and Action Plan.
### Table 2.15: Actual spare capacity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Analysis area</th>
<th>Community use availability</th>
<th>Pitch type</th>
<th>Pitch size</th>
<th>No. of pitches</th>
<th>Security of tenure</th>
<th>Agreed quality rating</th>
<th>Site capacity (MES)</th>
<th>Capacity balance</th>
<th>Match equivalent sessions available in peak period</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Beaudesert Sports Field and Recreation Ground</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>One MES of actual spare during the peak period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Beaudesert Sports Field and Recreation Ground</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>One MES of actual spare during the peak period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cannock Chase High School</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>(11v11)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cannock Chase High School</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>(9v9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cannock Chase High School</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5 MES of actual spare during the peak period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Churchfield Primary School</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cotswoold Road</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No actual spare capacity during the peak period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Five Ways Primary School</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Gorsemoor Primary School</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Gorsemoor Primary School</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>(11v11)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Green Lane</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>One MES of actual spare during the peak period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Heath Hayes Park</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5 MES of actual spare during the peak period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Heath Hayes Primary Academy</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Hednesford Park</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>(9v9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>One MES of actual spare during the peak period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Hednesford Valley High School</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Keys Park</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>One MES of actual spare during the peak period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Kingsmead School</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Kingsmead School</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>(9v9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Laburnum Avenue</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>One MES of actual spare during the peak period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Lea Hall Sports and Social Club</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>One MES of actual spare during the peak period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Longford School</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No actual spare capacity during the peak period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Longford School</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>(9v9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Moorhill Primary School</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Mount Road</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5 MES of actual spare during the peak period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Norton Canes High School</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Poor/Standard</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## CANNOCK CHASE PLAYING PITCH STRATEGY
### ASSESSMENT REPORT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Analysis area</th>
<th>Community use availability</th>
<th>Pitch type</th>
<th>Pitch size</th>
<th>No. of pitches</th>
<th>Security of tenure</th>
<th>Agreed quality rating</th>
<th>Site capacity (MES)</th>
<th>Capacity balance</th>
<th>Match equivalent sessions available in peak period</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Norton Canes Primary Academy</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(5v5)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Norton Canes Primary Academy</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Norton Canes Primary Academy</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>(9v9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Norton Canes Recreation Ground</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5 MES of actual spare during the peak period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Old Fallow Road</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No actual spare capacity during the peak period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Cannock Stadium</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No actual spare capacity during the peak period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Pye Green Valley Primary</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>(9v9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Ravenhill Park</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No actual spare capacity during the peak period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>St Joseph's Catholic Primary School</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>St Joseph's Catholic Primary School</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>(9v9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>St Luke's C of E Primary School</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>St Luke's C of E Primary School</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>(9v9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>The Hart School (Lower School)</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>(11v11)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Yates Sports and Social Club</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No actual spare capacity during the peak period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Chase View Primary school</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>St Peter's CE Primary school</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(5v5)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>St Peter's CE Primary school</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Hob Hill CE/Methodist (VC) Primary school</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>(11v11)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>West Hill Primary school</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(5v5)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>West Hill Primary school</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>West Hill Primary school</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>(9v9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>St Josephs Catholic primary</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Jerome Primary School</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>(7v7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The table below summarises actual spare capacity by analysis area and by pitch type.

**Table 2.16: Actual Spare capacity summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis area</th>
<th>Adult</th>
<th>Youth 11v11</th>
<th>Youth 9v9</th>
<th>Mini 7v7</th>
<th>Mini 5v5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North East</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>6</strong></td>
<td><strong>-</strong></td>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td><strong>-</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are nine match equivalent sessions of actual spare capacity (i.e. at peak time) located across 10 sites and 12 pitches. Any actual spare capacity at unsecured sites has been discounted as the long-term existence of those pitches cannot be relied upon in the future.

The majority of the actual spare capacity is available on adult pitches, with youth 9v9 and mini 7v7 format pitches having one and two match equivalent sessions, respectively. There is no actual spare capacity available during the peak period on either youth 11v11 or mini 5v5 pitch types.

The South East Analysis Area has the largest amount of actual spare capacity (four match equivalent sessions), whilst both the Central/West and North East analysis areas have 2.5 match equivalent sessions of actual spare capacity respectively.

**Overplay**

Overplay occurs when there is more play accommodated on a site than it is able to sustain (which can often be due to the low carrying capacity of the pitches). Overplay on football pitches in Cannock Chase amounts to 6.5 match equivalent sessions over six sites and eight pitches. Overplay on adult pitch amounts to 2.5 match equivalent sessions of the total, with youth 11v11 and youth 9v9 pitches both having two match equivalent sessions of overplay each. Overplay can also be as a result of training sessions which take place on the pitches during the week, which can also cause damage to the pitch and reduce spare capacity.

**Table 2.17: Overplay on football pitches**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Analysis area</th>
<th>Pitch type</th>
<th>No. of pitches</th>
<th>Match equivalent sessions per week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Brereton Sports and Social Club</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cannock Park</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Youth 11v11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cardinal Griffin Catholic College</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>Youth 9v9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Heath Hayes FC</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>The Hart School (Lower School)</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Youth 9v9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>The Hart School (Upper School)</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>8</strong></td>
<td><strong>6.5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Three of the overplayed sites are education sites, with curricular and extra-curricular demand contributing to the use of pitches. Notably, only one council owned/managed site is considered overplayed, by a total of two match equivalent sessions. The two remaining sites are both privately owned and managed.

Overplay is most prevalent in the North East Analysis Area, with five pitches overplayed by a combined three match equivalent sessions. The Central/West Area has three match equivalent sessions of overplay across two pitches, whilst the South West has one pitch overplayed by 0.5 match equivalent sessions.

2.5: Supply and demand analysis

Having considered supply and demand, the tables below identify the overall spare capacity in each of the analysis areas for the different pitch types, based on match equivalent sessions. Future demand is based on Team Generation Rates (TGRs) which are driven by population increases and club development plans (shown in Table 2.12).

Table 2.18: Supply/demand balance of adult pitches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis area</th>
<th>Actual spare capacity</th>
<th>Demand (match equivalent sessions)</th>
<th>Overplay</th>
<th>Current total</th>
<th>Future demand</th>
<th>Future total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North East</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>6</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>3.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.5</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Across Cannock Chase District there is available capacity of 3.5 match equivalent sessions per week on adult pitches, although the North East Analysis Area does show a current shortfall of 0.5 match equivalent sessions.

When future demand is considered, the current available capacity reduces to 2.5 match equivalent sessions, with the in the South East Analysis Area reduced to accommodate two additional senior teams. The shortfall displayed in the North East Analysis Area is not exacerbated.

Table 2.19: Supply/demand balance of youth 11v11 pitches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis area</th>
<th>Actual spare capacity</th>
<th>Demand (match equivalent sessions)</th>
<th>Overplay</th>
<th>Current total</th>
<th>Future demand</th>
<th>Future total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North East</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td><strong>2.5</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is a current shortfall totalling two match equivalent sessions on youth 11v11 pitches in Cannock Chase District. This shortfall is evident in the Central/West Analysis Area only with the North East and South East areas both displaying equilibrium between supply and demand. This does not remain the case when future demand is considered, with the overall shortfall increasing to 2.5 match equivalent sessions per week; and a shortfall developing in the North East Analysis Area. Supply in the South East remains sufficient although to actual spare capacity is evident.
Table 2.20: Supply/demand balance of youth 9v9 pitches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis area</th>
<th>Actual spare capacity</th>
<th>Demand (match equivalent sessions)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Overplay</td>
<td>Current total</td>
<td>Future demand</td>
<td>Future total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North East</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Across Cannock Chase District, there is a shortfall of one match equivalent session on youth 9v9 pitches, with both the Central/West and North East analysis areas displaying current overplay. The actual spare capacity in the Central/West Analysis Area negates this overplay, resulting in the Area being at capacity.

With neither TGRs nor clubs reporting the future creation of youth 9v9 teams it is likely that the current situation will remain, with a shortfall of one match equivalent sessions.

Table 2.21: Supply/demand balance of mini 7v7 pitches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis area</th>
<th>Actual spare capacity</th>
<th>Demand (match equivalent sessions)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Overplay</td>
<td>Current total</td>
<td>Future demand</td>
<td>Future total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North East</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are currently two match equivalent sessions of actual spare capacity on mini 7v7 pitches in Cannock Chase District. Both the North East Analysis Area and South East Analysis Area provide this; with none of the analysis areas having any overplay.

The current status remains when future demand is considered, with the one mini 7v7 team that clubs aspire to establish likely to be displaced outside of the District to access central venue leagues.

Current supply of mini 5v5 pitches is considered sufficient throughout the District, in all analysis areas. This remains intact when future demand is considered, with any future demand likely to be displaced out of the District as part of central venue leagues.

The current shortfalls on youth 9v9 and youth 11v11 pitches will be exacerbated if The Hart School (Lower School) site does close resulting in the loss of two youth 11v1 pitches and a youth 9v9 pitch, all of which are standard quality. This would then increase future shortfalls by one match equivalent session on youth 11v11 pitches and two match equivalent sessions on youth 9v9 pitches.

However, there is potential to resolve this via the reconfiguration of some sites; particularly is mini football continues the national trend to move towards increased utilisation of 3G pitches and central venues.
Football – grass pitch summary

Current and future supply of football pitch provision can sufficiently accommodate demand in Cannock Chase across adult, mini 7v7 and mini 5v5 pitch, with a current shortfall for both youth 11v11 and youth 9v9 pitches.

When accounting for future demand shortfalls are exacerbated on youth 11v11 and youth 9v9 pitches.

The audit identifies a total of 72 grass football pitches across 40 sites in Cannock Chase, with 62 pitches across 33 sites identified as being available for community use on some level. The ten pitches, across seven sites that are unavailable for community use are all located at education sites.

Most available football pitches in Cannock Chase (39%) are adult sized, in part due to youth 11v11 teams playing on adult pitches.

The potential closure of The Hart (Lower School) site could result in the loss of two youth 11v11 pitches and a youth 9v9 pitch.

Both Heath Hayes FC and Hednesford Town FC have aspirations to establish 3G pitches on sites in the District.

The pitch quality ratings determined through a combination of non-technical assessments and user feedback show most (77%) pitches available for community use are rated as standard quality, with 21% rated as poor and only one pitch as good, equating to just 2%.

Cannock Chase District Council manages and operates 11 football pitch sites, of which, seven are accompanied by changing provision.

Through consultation, clubs indicate that car parking at Old Fallow Road is inadequate with other sites considered to have appropriate car parking either on site or nearby.

Brereton Town FC reports aspirations to acquire a long-term lease of its home pitch in line with the current lease agreement it has for the ancillary facilities on the same site.

In total there are 140 teams identified as playing competitive football matches or training within Cannock Chase. This consists of 39 men’s teams, one women’s team, 51 youth boys’ teams, six youth girls’ teams and 43 mini soccer teams.

Due to the presence of a number of central venue mini and junior leagues to which Cannock Chase clubs affiliate, the majority of displaced demand will remain consistent in the future. There is, however, 6.5 match equivalent sessions of actual spare capacity of displaced demand that would prefer to be based within the District.

Including both TGRs and club aspirational the total amount of future demand across Cannock Chase equates to one match equivalent session on adult pitches, 0.5 match equivalents sessions on mini 7v7 pitch type and 5.5 match equivalent session on mini 5v5 pitches.

There are nine match equivalent sessions per week of actual spare capacity (i.e. at peak time) located across ten sites on 12 pitches. Any actual spare capacity at unsecured sites has been discounted from any totals as the long-term existence of those pitches cannot be relied upon in the future.

Overplay on football pitches in Cannock Chase amounts to 6.5 match equivalent sessions per week over six sites and eight pitches.
PART 3: CRICKET

3.1: Introduction

Staffordshire Cricket (SC) serves as the governing and representative body for cricket across Cannock Chase. Its aim is to promote the game at all levels through partnerships with professional and recreational cricketing clubs, and other appropriate agencies.

Senior cricket is typically played on Saturdays, however; there is some play both on Sundays and midweek when teams typically play in short format competitions. There are also a number of cricket leagues which service teams in Cannock Chase. Some of the main senior leagues include:

- South Staffs County League (SSCL)
- Birmingham & District Premier Cricket League (BDPCL)
- Lichfield Sunday Cricket League (LSCL)

The youth league structure in Cannock Chase tends to be club-based matches which are played midweek or on Sunday mornings dependant on age group. There is usually no conflict with access to squares and any midweek matches are usually played on different nights, whilst Sunday morning matches are played before senior matches in the afternoon. The main leagues for junior cricket are the Staffordshire County Youth Cricket League

Consultation

There are three cricket clubs servicing Cannock Chase District; each was given the opportunity for consultation via an online survey, with all clubs completing the survey and therefore representing a 100% response rate.

3.2: Supply

In total, there are two grass cricket squares located in Cannock Chase across two sites. In addition, there are two squares located at Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club, in neighbouring South Staffordshire but servicing demand from Cannock Chase. All squares are available for community use and are currently used by local clubs.

Non-turf pitches (NTPs)

The ECB highlights that NTPs which follow its TS6 guidance on performance standards are suitable for high level, senior play and are considered able to take 60 matches per season although this may include training sessions where on occasions mobile nets may be used as a practice facility.

The ECB Get the Game On campaign\textsuperscript{10} is focused on increasing participation and reducing the number of matches cancelled in order to keep people interested and playing. During the campaign’s inaugural year in 2015 there were 6% more games played nationally with 5% less matches cancelled. Use of NTPs for league cricket may present a way forward to fulfilling more fixtures and use of NTPs for league cricket may increase in future.

\textsuperscript{10} \url{http://getthegameon.co.uk/}
Table 3.1: Summary of NTPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Number of NTPs</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cannock and Rugeley Colliery Cricket Club</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Norton Canes Primary Academy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standalone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>St Luke’s C of E Primary School</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standalone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>St Peter’s CE Primary School</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standalone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club (South Staffordshire)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Rugeley Cricket Club</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Square</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In total, there are eight non-turf pitches (NTPs) servicing Cannock Chase. Of which, four are located accompanying natural turf squares at club sites and three are standalone based at school sites.

It should be noted that those education sites with NTPs are all primary school sites and are subject to minimal educational demand. The NTP at Norton Canes Primary Academy is considered poor quality by the School.

Table 3.2: Summary of cricket provision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Number of squares</th>
<th>Community use?</th>
<th>Number of wickets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grass Non-turf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cannock and Rugeley Colliery Cricket Club</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club (South Staffordshire)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>22 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Rugeley Cricket Club</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Future supply

Rugeley CC reports that it has been granted planning permission for change of use for a field adjacent to its home ground. This will convert the field to a second cricket square, which the Club will then lease from the private land owner.

Disused provision

Beaudesert Sports Field and Recreation Ground was previously accessed by, the now folded, Cannock Wood CC, as recently as 2016, meaning that there was previously a cricket square maintained on the site. The site is owned by Cannock Chase District Council and is protected by Fields in Trust, to be retained as playing fields.

Cannock Wood CC was still operating as a club as recently as 2017 but did not host any fixtures at its home venue during this season. Since, the Club has ceased to operate and the maintenance of the site as a cricket pitch has also halted.

It should be noted that prior to the closure of the site, there was a grass turf cricket square at Rugeley Power Station consisting of nine wickets. This supply was available for community use, along with accompanying clubhouse and changing facilities.
Quality

As part of the PPS Guidance, there are three levels to assessing the quality of cricket pitches: good, standard and poor. Maintaining high pitch quality is the most important aspect of cricket; if the wicket is poor, it can affect the quality of the game and can, in some instances, become dangerous. To obtain a full technical assessment of wicket and pitches, the ECB recommends a Performance Quality Standard (PQS) assessment. The PQS looks at a cricket square to ascertain whether the pitch meets the Performance Quality Standards which are benchmarked by the Institute of Groundsmanship (IOG).

Pitch quality ratings determined by non-technical quality assessments and user feedback found that all squares accessed by Cannock Chase clubs are considered good quality.

Despite all pitches considered to be good quality overall; each club does report issues with respective home venues. C&R Hawks CC reports that there are frequent issues with dog fouling and litter on the outfield which the Club have to take time to clear up prior to each session.
All three clubs also report that outfields are generally uneven as a result of either insect infestations; or, in the case of Cannock CC, from football pitches being marked on throughout the winter months adversely impacting on the evenness of the site.

All three clubs in Cannock Chase report that sites are maintained by the clubs and that this is not considered to be an issue in relation to sustaining pitch quality.

**Security of tenure**

Cannock CC which forms part of the overarching Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club owns its home ground and the freehold to the site meaning it has secure tenure. It should be noted that the overarching sports club is now insolvent and is in the process of being wound up. In order to clear outstanding debts, the Club is seeking to sell the clubhouse facility for the development of apartments¹¹. Remaining funds would then be reinvested back into facilities for each sport. The tenure of Cannock CC is not expected to be affected by the proposed developments. Given the financial issues facing the overarching sports club (Chase Park) then the site should be considered at risk, potentially leaving Cannock CC without a home venue, requiring provision within Cannock Chase District.

Both C&R Hawks CC and Rugeley CC lease their home venues; with the latter not considered to have security of tenure at present.

C&R Hawks CC leases is home venue from the Coal Industry Social Welfare Organisation (CISWO), with the length of the agreement having 25 years remaining. In the terms of the agreement the Club is responsible for both the management and maintenance of the site.

Rugeley CC leases its home venue but is not considered to have security of tenure. The Club’s lease agreement is with a private land owner and is a one year rolling agreement and as such this does not provide the Club with long term security of tenure. The Club recently agreed a new lease agreement, the terms of which are currently unknown. It is reported by Rugeley CC that the agreement will be a 15 year rolling lease which will provide it with security of tenure, enabling the Club to secure ECB funding. The higher the amount the longer the required lease agreement. Considering this the ECB notes that a 25 year agreement would be ideal. The new lease agreement will also incorporate the new cricket pitch in the field adjacent.

**Ancillary facilities**

Quality and access to required match day ancillary facilities varies between times of play; for example, senior teams playing at weekends typically need to access clubhouse and kitchen facilities to provide teas, whereas for junior and senior matches played midweek this is often not required and more emphasis is on access to suitable changing facilities.

Two clubs rate the ancillary facilities they use to be good quality, whilst Cannock CC reports ancillaries to be of standard quality; however, each site does have some issues.

It is understood from consultation that the clubhouse at Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club is due to be sold, with a new clubhouse facility to be built; which will have an adequate number of changing rooms to serve all pitches on site; as well as a large social space for pot-match functions. Current car parking on site is considered adequate, whilst

¹¹ At the time of this report planning permission has not been granted for this by South Staffordshire District Council.
there have been no reported incidents of vandalism. The proposal includes extending the existing cricket pavilion on site, whilst a new hockey clubhouse would be established on site.

C&R Hawks CC report that the ancillary provision at its home ground is of good quality, with adequate changing and car parking provision throughout busy periods.

There are no reported issues at Rugeley CC, with the Club considering ancillary provision to be of good quality.

**Training facilities**

Access to training facilities is important, particularly for pre-season/winter training. Only Rugeley CC reports demand for fixed cricket nets to allow training to take place away from the match square.

Both C&R Hawks CC and Cannock CC are currently satisfied with training facilities available to each club. Rugeley CC, however, reports ambitions to have fixed location cricket nets onsite to allow training to take place away from the match square.

3.3: Demand

Demand at cricket clubs in Cannock Chase is substantial with all clubs fielding at least two senior teams and a minimum of two junior teams.

*Table 3.4: Summary of team demand in Cannock Chase*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Club name</th>
<th>Senior men</th>
<th>Senior women</th>
<th>Junior boys</th>
<th>Junior girls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cannock CC</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&amp;R Hawks CC</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rugeley CC</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>14</strong></td>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td><strong>14</strong></td>
<td><strong>-</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are 15 senior and 14 junior teams playing competitive matches across the three clubs, totalling 29 cricket teams.

Women’s and girls’ cricket are a national priority and there is a target to establish two girls’ and one women’s team in every local authority over the next five years. 8-10% of the Whole Sport Plan funding is focused around women and girls and talent ID. Rugeley CC currently has the only women’s team in the District, whilst none of the three clubs has a dedicated junior girls’ teams.

Up until the end of last season there was an additional club operating in Cannock Chase District. Cannock Wood CC was based at Beaudesert Sports Field and Recreation Ground, fielding one senior men's team in its final season. It is believed that the remaining demand from this club has been assimilated within the playing squads of other remaining clubs.

**Participation trends**

The National Player Survey (NPS) conducted over the past three years by the ECB reveals that the nature of participation in traditional league cricket is currently suffering a
decline, although this is being offset by a rapid increase in non-traditional formats (such as LMS and T20 competitions), which are shorter, quicker formats of the game and are referenced further on in this report.

This trend in Cannock Chase is contrary to national findings as there is evidence of stability for men's open age and substantial growth in junior teams.

Table 3.5: Change in the number of teams over the previous three years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team type</th>
<th>Clu redundant</th>
<th>Decreased</th>
<th>Stayed the same</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men's open age</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women's open age</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Future demand**

Team generation rates (TGRs) are used as the basis for calculating the number of teams likely to be generated in the future based on population growth. However, in this instance, TGRs do not forecast the likely creation of any additional teams in Cannock Chase.

Further to TGRs there are also plans and strategies to increase the number of teams at some formats beyond what current population trends suggest. For example, female growth at women’s and girls’ age groups is relatively small, however there are planned increases in activity around female participation through both Allstars Cricket and a programme of softball cricket which are likely to reflect in growth above levels shown through TGRs.

Table 3.6 shows a summary of quantified club aspirations for future demand. Cannock CC reports that there are no formal club plans to establish new teams, however, should participation increase then that will be accommodated within existing playing squads.

Table 3.6: Summary of future demand (club aspirations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Club</th>
<th>Future demand (teams)</th>
<th>Senior men</th>
<th>Senior women</th>
<th>Junior</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior men</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior women</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Senior men’s teams competing at peak time (Saturday) currently play an average of ten home matches each season, so on the basis that new teams will participate at peak time this increase equates to a requirement for approximately ten additional adult match sessions per season (0.5 additional match sessions per week at peak time) to accommodate a new team.

For senior women's teams the average number of home matches representing six match sessions per season to accommodate a new women’s team, with peak time considered to be Sunday.

Junior boys’ teams play an average of five home matches per season representing a further requirement for approximately ten junior boys’ match sessions per season to
accommodate proposed new teams junior cricket takes place midweek and can therefore take place over a number of evenings.

Overall there is predicted future demand totalling 26 match equivalent sessions per season to be accommodated at Rugeley CC as the only club to report aspirations to grow; neither Cannock CC nor C&R Hawks CC aspire to create additional teams.

All Stars Cricket

In partnership with the ECB and Chance to Shine cricket clubs in Cannock Chase can register to become an ECB All Stars Cricket Centre. Once registered, a club can deliver the programme which aims to introduce cricket to children aged from five to eight. Subsequently, this may lead to increased interest and demand for junior cricket at clubs. The programme seeks to achieve the following aims:

- Increase cricket activity for five to eight year olds in the school and club environment
- Develop consistency of message in both settings to aid transition
- Improve generic movement skills for children, using cricket as the vehicle
- Make it easier for new volunteers to support and deliver in the club environment
- Use fun small sided games to enthuse new children and volunteers to follow and play the game

All three cricket clubs servicing Cannock Chase District demand ran All Stars Cricket sessions in both 2017 and 2018. Cannock CC has 41 participants, C&R Hawks had 12 and Rugeley CC had ten participants.

Chance to Shine

Chance to Shine is a national charity which aims to educate young people and teach them vital life skills through cricket. Since 2005 the Charity's school programme has helped combat the decline of participation in cricket, especially in state schools. In Cannock Chase, it works in partnership with local schools to engage both girls and boys to play and learn through engagement. This is achieved through but not limited by teacher training, club/school partnership and curricular/extracurricular coaching. These schools currently participate in the Chance to Shine Programme:

- Bridgtown Primary School
- Cannock Chase High School
- Chads Moor Community Infants and Nursery School
- Churchfield CofE Primary School
- Five Ways Primary School
- Gorse Moor Primary School
- Hednesford Valley High School
- St Luke's CofE Primary School
- St Peter's CofE Primary School

Displaced demand

Displaced or exported demand refers to Cannock Chase based teams that are currently accessing pitches outside of the local authority for their home fixtures, normally because their pitch requirements cannot be met, which is usually because of pitch supply, in some cases quality issues or stipulated league requirements for access to certain facilities. In the same manner, it also refers to demand imported from other local authorities into the District.
Although Cannock CC is based outside of the District, the majority of its playing members are Cannock Chase residents and as such it is considered a Cannock Chase club. Given the Club’s ownership of its home venue in South Staffordshire, the Club is happy with this arrangement and it is unlikely to change for the foreseeable future and is therefore not considered to be displaced.

A senior men’s team representing C&R Hawks CC also plays out of the District for home games due to a lack of peak time capacity at the Club’s home venue. The team utilises a square at Hawkins Sports and Social Club (South Staffordshire), with the site considered the Club’s secondary venue. Again, the Club is happy with this arrangement and it is unlikely to change for the foreseeable future and is therefore not considered to be displaced.

Similarly, Rugeley CC also has a senior men’s team displaced out of the District, with the Club’s 3rd XI men’s team accessing provision at Longdon Cricket Club (Lichfield) for pitch provision on Saturdays. The Club will be unable to access Longdon Cricket Club in 2019 but will have a second square available at its home venue in a few seasons, subject to finances, given that planning permission has been granted for change of use of an adjacent field. Given this, the ECB reports that it is likely that, unless an alternative secondary venue can be found, Rugeley CC will lose its 3rd XI men’s team.

**Unmet demand**

Unmet demand is existing demand that is not able to access sufficient pitches. It is usually expressed, for example, when a team is already training but is unable to access a match pitch, or when a league has a waiting list. There is no recorded unmet demand for cricket in Cannock Chase.

**Peak time demand**

An analysis of match play identifies peak time demand for senior cricket as Saturdays, with ten senior teams (67%) playing on this day. There is a level of demand for Sunday cricket, with five teams (33%) playing on this day, however, this is minimal.

For junior cricket, peak time demand is considered to be midweek, although four teams (29%) do play on a Sunday. It should therefore be noted that midweek cricket has the potential to be spread across numerous days Monday to Friday (though some time will be required for square preparation/repair and training) and as a result squares have greater capacity to carry junior demand providing the pitches are not overplayed.

**3.4: Capacity analysis**

Capacity analysis for cricket is measured on a seasonal rather than weekly basis, in units of match equivalent sessions (MES) per season. This is due to playability (i.e. only one match is generally played per square per day at weekends or weekday evening). Wickets are rotated throughout the season to reduce wear and allow repair. Therefore, it is more accurate to assess capacity seasonally rather than weekly. The capacity of a square to accommodate matches is driven by the number and quality of wickets. This section presents the current square stock available for cricket and illustrates the number of competitive matches per season per square.
To help calculate square capacity, the ECB suggests that a good quality natural turf wicket should be able to take five matches per season per natural turf wicket (adults). This information is used to allocate capacity ratings as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential capacity</th>
<th>Play is below the level the site could sustain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At capacity</td>
<td>Play matches the level the site can sustain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overused</td>
<td>Play exceeds the level the site can sustain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Education sites**

To account for curricular/extra-curricular use of education pitches it is likely that use additional to recorded community use will need to be factored into the total current weekly usage. The only time this would not happen is when a school does not use its pitches at all and the sole use is community use. The extent of use added is typically dependent on the level of play and the number of grass cricket pitches onsite.
### Table 3.7: Grass cricket square capacity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Security of tenure</th>
<th>Community use availability</th>
<th>Teams/groups accommodated</th>
<th>Quality rating</th>
<th>Number of pitches</th>
<th>Number of natural turf wickets</th>
<th>Actual play (MES per season)</th>
<th>Capacity (MES per season)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cannock and Rugeley Colliery Cricket Club</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>C&amp;R Hawks CC</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Cannock CC</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Rugeley Cricket Club</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Rugeley CC</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12 As derived from the non-technical site assessments (undertaken during the 2018 season).
13 Some squares may have wickets which cannot accommodate adult cricket due to distance from the boundaries, therefore junior use of end wickets is advocated where this may be a restrictive issue.
**Spare capacity**

The next step is to ascertain whether or not any identified 'potential capacity' can be deemed 'actual spare capacity' by which it is available at peak time. There may be situations where, although a site is highlighted as potentially able to accommodate some additional play, this should not be recorded as spare capacity against the site. For example, a site may be managed to regularly operate slightly below full capacity to ensure that it can cater for a number of regular training sessions, or to protect the quality of the site.

In order to fully establish actual spare capacity, the peak period needs to be established. An analysis of match play identifies that peak time demand for grass cricket squares for senior cricket is Saturday (ten teams).

Those sites which display potential capacity of less than 10 matches per season have been excluded from the capacity analysis on the basis that they do not exhibit enough spare capacity to accommodate another senior men’s club team, based on an average of 10 home matches per team each season.

Of those sites with existing community use, there are two sites which show potential spare capacity on senior grass cricket squares, totalling 56 match sessions per season.

*Table 3.8: Actual square capacity on grass cricket squares at senior peak time*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>No. of squares</th>
<th>Capacity rating (MES per season)</th>
<th>MES available (Saturday)</th>
<th>MES available (Sunday)</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cannock and Rugeley Colliery Cricket Club</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>No actual spare capacity available during the peak period (Saturday) but there is capacity on Sunday for additional demand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No actual spare capacity available during the peak period (Saturday) but there is capacity on Sunday for additional demand.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In practice, although two sites display potential spare capacity, there is no actual spare capacity available during the peak time for senior play (Saturday). The table does show that there is actual spare capacity on Sunday, with sufficient supply to accommodate a total of 28 match sessions per season.

Actual spare capacity at Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club, although currently available, may become unavailable at short notice given the Club’s financial insecurity. If the site does become unavailable then actual spare capacity on Sunday will reduce to seven match equivalent sessions. It should also be noted that if the site does close then there would be a need to accommodate senior cricket demand on Saturdays (four senior men’s teams) and Sundays (two senior men’s teams); as well as the Club’s eight junior teams playing across midweek and Sunday mornings.
Overplay

There are no cricket squares that are considered to be overplayed beyond their recommended playing capacity.

3.5: Supply and demand analysis

The table below explores total available spare capacity over the season, considered against total overplay and future demand identified and quantified through consultation and TGRs.

Match equivalent sessions per season for future demand has been calculated using the average number of matches played per season by the respective team type (10 matches for senior men and six matches for senior women). As previously mentioned, junior teams generally play midweek across a variety of days; consequently, spare capacity is considered to exist for junior matches both now and in the future and should be judged on a site by site basis where clubs have particular aspirations to increase the number of junior teams.

Table 3.9: Capacity of grass cricket squares (Saturday)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis area</th>
<th>Total spare capacity (match sessions)</th>
<th>Demand (match sessions per season)</th>
<th>Overplay</th>
<th>Current total</th>
<th>Displaced demand</th>
<th>Future demand (senior)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cannock Chase</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11&lt;sup&gt;14&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At present all current demand is being adequately accommodated on existing supply. Rugeley CC reports that its displaced demand wishes to return to the District meaning that this will impact the future total.

When future demand is also considered there will be a shortfall of 27 match sessions per season associated to Rugeley CC. However, it should be noted that part of this future demand is for the creation of a senior women’s team, for which, peak time is on Sundays, when there is 0.5 match equivalent sessions of actual spare capacity expressed at the site.

Although there is no actual spare capacity available on Saturdays for additional demand to be accommodated, the presence of a senior Sunday league in the District means that any new team would need to participate at this time in order to be accommodated on existing provision.

If Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club becomes unavailable due to closure, then actual spare capacity on Sunday reduces to seven match equivalent sessions (currently 28 match equivalent sessions); and current demand (133 match equivalent sessions per season) will become unmet demand requiring access to provision within the District<sup>15</sup>.

3.6: Conclusion

<sup>14</sup> This is the number of home matches per season by Rugeley 3<sup>rd</sup> XI; with the Club aspiring to return the team to Cannock Chase District.

<sup>15</sup> This is of the understanding that the majority of Cannock CC members are Cannock Chase District residents.
In summary, there is sufficient supply of cricket provision to cater for current demand; however, a shortfall is created once future and displaced demand are considered (specifically at Rugeley CC). In order for this to be accommodated on existing provision all future senior demand would need to take place outside of the peak period for senior men’s cricket (Saturday). Any peak time future demand would require access to more provision, however, should the second square be developed adjacent to Rugeley CC and secure for the Club’s use this would fully address the shortfall identified.

Whilst the aforementioned second square is developed at Rugeley CC, the Club’s current 3rd XI and potential 4th XI will be without a dedicated home venue as neither team could be accommodated at Rugeley CC on Saturday afternoons. A solution to this is imperative to support the Club’s continued growth.

**Cricket summary**

- There is sufficient supply of cricket provision to cater for current demand, however, a shortfall is created once displaced and future demand is considered.
- In order for this to be accommodated on existing provision all future senior demand would need to take place outside of the peak period for senior men’s cricket (Saturday). Any peak time future demand would require access to more provision, however, should the second square be developed adjacent to Rugeley CC and secure for the Club’s use this would fully address the shortfall identified.
- In total, there are two grass cricket squares in Cannock Chase located across two sites. In addition, there are two squares located at Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club, in neighbouring South Staffordshire but servicing demand from Cannock Chase. All grass squares are available for community use and used.
- In total, there are eight non-turf pitches (NTPs) servicing Cannock Chase demand. Of which, five are located accompanying natural turf squares at club sites and three are standalone based at school sites.
- Rugeley CC has been granted permission for a change of use of an adjacent field which will result in the creation of a second square to then be leased by the Club.
- Pitch quality ratings determined by non-technical quality assessments and user feedback found that all natural grass squares are of good quality.
- Both Cannock CC and C&R Hawks CC are considered to have security of tenure. There is a need to ensure that a long term lease agreement is signed by Rugeley CC to give the Club security of tenure.
- Consultation reports that the clubhouse facility at Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club is due to be sold, with a purpose built facility built elsewhere onsite.
- There are 15 senior cricket teams, one of which is a women’s team; and 14 junior teams playing competitive matches across the three clubs, totalling 29 cricket teams.
- Both Rugeley CC and C&R Hawks CC have senior men’s teams displaced to secondary venues outside of the District. C&R Hawks CC does not report aspirations to return its displaced team to the District. Rugeley CC, however, does plan to return its displaced senior team.
- Despite two sites having potential capacity totalling 56 match sessions per season, there is no actual spare capacity available during the peak period for senior men’s play (Saturday).
- No pitches are considered overplayed in Cannock Chase District.
PART 4: RUGBY UNION

4.1: Introduction

The Rugby Football Union (RFU) is split into six areas across the country with a workforce team that covers development, coaching, governance and competitions. Cannock Chase falls within Area Five (covering much of the North West and West Midlands), with a Rugby Development Officer (RDO) and a team of Community Rugby Coaches (CRC) that deliver core programmes in schools and clubs.

Its variety of programmes, which include 15 aside, 10 aside, 7 aside, Tag and the O2 Touch programme, all aim to increase and retain participation within the game. In order to sustain and increase participation in the game facilities need to be appropriate, affordable and accessible.

Club consultation

There is one rugby union club based in Cannock Chase, Rugeley RFC, whilst Cannock RFC is based just outside of the District, with the majority of its demand coming from Cannock Chase residents. Both clubs were consulted with either face to face or via telephone representing a response rate of 100%. The results are used to inform key issues within this section of the report together with additional information provided by the RFU Development Officer for the area.

4.2: Supply

In total there are six rugby union pitches in Cannock Chase across five sites, four of which are senior sized, with the other two of junior size. In addition there are two senior pitches and a junior pitch located at Cannock RUFC, which also contribute to the local supply. There are no dedicated mini pitches in Cannock Chase. All the pitches are available for community use, but those at education sites are not currently used by community clubs.

At present, there are no World Rugby (WR) compliant 3G pitches in Cannock Chase, with the nearest pitches located at Walsall RUFC (Walsall), the University of Wolverhampton (Walsall) and Keele University (Newcastle Under Lyme). This pitches are available to local rugby union clubs and could potentially support some demand from Cannock and Rugeley rugby clubs.

The audit only identifies dedicated, line marked pitches. For rugby union pitch dimension sizes please refer to Table 4.1 below.

Table 4.1: Summary of RFU recommended pitch sizes by age group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Pitch type</th>
<th>Maximum Pitch Dimensions (Metres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U7</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>20x12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U8</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>45x22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U9</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>60x30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U10</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>60x35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U11</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>60x43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U12</td>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>60x43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U13</td>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>90x60 (Girls 60x43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U14-U18</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>100x70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Table 4.2: Supply of rugby union pitches (in the District)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pitch type</th>
<th>Availability</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes - unused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Future supply**

Since 2016, flood defence system development at Hagley Playing Fields has meant the temporary loss of a senior rugby union pitch which was accessed by Rugeley RFC. The site is owned by Staffordshire County Council and previously had both rugby union and football pitches marked out. Rugeley RFC reports that it should regain access to the site from the 2019/20 season, with a temporary pitch provided at The Hart School for its use for the duration of the development under the terms of the planning permission granted to the Environment Agency’s work. The Club notes that if its mini and junior section continues to grow then it will require more than one rugby union pitch to be remarked on Hagley Playing Fields when it returns to use.
Ownership/management

St Augustine’s Field, the home venue of Rugeley RFC, is owned by Rugeley Open Spaces Association (ROSA), a charity and limited company. The clubhouse facility on site is leased to Rugeley RFC until 2022, however, it is understood that under the terms of the agreement this should be protected for a further ten years. Also, within the terms of the lease agreement, the Club is provided with a licence to use the field as and when it wishes. Community use of the site by the Club is considered secure, however, long term security of tenure that would enable the Club to be granted NGB funding is not evident in the terms of the current agreement.

Rugeley RFC is responsible for the management and maintenance of the site but does back charge ROSA for some of the work. The Club has received assistance from the RFU in the form of groundsman courses and £1,000 from Staffordshire RU for ground work done to enable the site to be able to accommodate all match demand.
Cannock RUFC leases its home venue from the Coal Industry Social Welfare Organisation (CISWO). The lease agreement has 17 years remaining meaning that the Club is considered to have security of tenure. The Club is aware that there have been two previous attempts to develop the site for housing and would seek to extend the current lease agreement if possible to secure the Club’s long-term residence on the site; as well as to enable the Club to successfully apply for NGB funding. Under the terms of the Club’s agreement it is responsible for the management and maintenance of the site.

The Club reports that ownership and management of the main access road to the site is disputed. With significant maintenance required to repair pot-holes, the Club has contacted Staffordshire County Council, CISWO and the Highways Agency to establish which party should fund the repairs.

**Pitch quality**

The criteria for assessing rugby pitch quality looks at two key elements; the maintenance programme and the level of drainage on each pitch. An overall quality based on both drainage and maintenance can then be generated.

The agreed rating for each pitch type also represents actions required to improve pitch quality. A breakdown of actions required based on the ratings can be seen below:

**Table 4.3: Definition of maintenance categories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M0</td>
<td>Action is significant improvements to maintenance programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>Action is minor improvements to maintenance programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>Action is no improvements to maintenance programme</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4.4: Definition of drainage categories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D0</td>
<td>Action is pipe drainage system is needed on pitch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>Action is pipe drainage is needed on pitch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>Action is slit drainage is needed on pitch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>No action is needed on pitch drainage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4.5: Quality ratings based on maintenance and drainage scores**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maintenance</th>
<th>Natural Inadequate (D0)</th>
<th>Natural Adequate (D1)</th>
<th>Pipe Drained (D2)</th>
<th>Pipe and Slit Drained (D3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poor (M0)</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate (M1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good (M2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All of the pitches assessed are considered poor quality, with low maintenance regimes inhibiting overall pitch quality. Drainage is noted not to be an issue on any of the pitches, with all sites having natural adequate drainage (D1).
Table 4.6: Summary of rugby union pitch quality (in the District)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pitch type</th>
<th>Pitch quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rugeley RFC reports that the Club spends £1,000 per annum on pitch maintenance, but notes that double or triple this is required to significantly improve the pitch to a level to accommodate all of the Club’s match demand. Similarly, Cannock RUFC noted that the cost of maintaining the pitches is significant, with the Club requiring new equipment to enable maintenance to improve. The Club highlighted aspirations for a new mower, tractor and spiker which is would willingly share with other local clubs; should the funds be available.
### Table 4.7: Rugby union pitch quality ratings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Community use?</th>
<th>Pitch type</th>
<th>Non-technical assessment rating</th>
<th>Quality rating</th>
<th>Number of pitches</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cannock Chase High School</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Yes - unused</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>M0/D1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A poor quality school pitch which is available for community use but is unused. The pitch receives basic maintenance but is considered to have adequate drainage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cardinal Griffin Catholic College</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Yes - unused</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>M0/D1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A poor quality school pitch which is available for community use but is unused. The pitch receives basic maintenance but is considered to have adequate drainage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Norton Canes High School</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Yes - unused</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>M0/D1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A poor quality school pitch which is available for community use but is unused. The pitch receives basic maintenance but is considered to have adequate drainage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>St Augustine’s Field</td>
<td>Sports Club</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>M0/D1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A poor quality pitch which is the home venue for Rugeley RFC, accommodating all the Club’s match demand. The Club provides basic maintenance of the site due to cost, with no reported drainage issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>The Hart School (Upper School)</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Yes - unused</td>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>M0/D1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Two poor quality school pitch which are available for community use but is unused. The pitches were provided as agreed with in the terms of the flood defence development work at Hagley Playing Fields with a view that Rugeley RFC would use the site, however, the Club reports little to no maintenance of the pitches occurs rendering them unsuitable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Cannock Rugby Club (OUTSIDE)</td>
<td>Sports Club</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>M0/D1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Two poor quality pitches which are the home venue for Cannock RUFC, accommodating some of the Club’s match demand. The Club provides basic maintenance of the site due to cost and a lack of equipment, with no reported drainage issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Cannock Rugby Club (OUTSIDE)</td>
<td>Sports Club</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>M0/D1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A poor quality pitch which is the home venue for Cannock RUFC, accommodating some of the Club’s match demand and all of training demand. The Club provides basic maintenance of the site due to cost and a lack of equipment, with no reported drainage issues.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ancillary facilities

Ancillary facilities at St Augustine’s Field are of poor quality, but Rugeley RFC considers the facility acceptable for the size of the Club. The clubhouse is a wooden building, built in the 1960s or 70s, which has had work done over the years to repair any issues. There are two small changing rooms which were previously one, but a partition wall was installed, reducing the overall size but increasing the total. Communal showers serve both the players’ and officials’ changing rooms, of which there is one. A bar facility exists in a hallway, with toilets on site shared between players, officials and spectators. There is no car parking on site with site users parking along the edge of the field as well as on Station Road. The Club notes that car parking is required to help the Club to grow.

Overall, Rugeley RFC’s ancillary facilities require significant improvement, with the changing rooms not meeting RFU or SE standards.

Changing facilities at Cannock RUFC are of a good quality, having recently been refurbished with funding from both SE and the RFU. The site has a clubhouse; dedicated player and officials’ changing rooms, with toilets; storage; and spectator toilets. Overall the facility is good quality. The Club does have ambitions to formalise the car parking; as well as aspirations to create two additional changing rooms on site if possible. It is reported by the RFU that the floodlighting on site requires improvement to enhance training sessions taking place. The lighting is fails to meet minimum specifications required to accommodate match play demand.

4.3: Demand

Competitive play

Cannock and Rugeley rugby clubs provide a total of 19 teams, only one of which is a women’s team whilst there are no dedicated girls’ teams in the District. There are five senior men’s teams, four of which compete regularly in leagues; seven junior boys’ team, with Rugeley RFC colts team the sole league participant; and six mini squads.

Cannock RUFC reports that it previously had a training group of U13 girls that moved club to Tamworth RFC at U15 age to participate in formal matches. Although there are currently no dedicated girls’ team mini squads are typically mixed sex and often field several small sided teams playing a number of matches depending on availability and player numbers on match days.

Table 4.8: Summary of rugby union demand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Club</th>
<th>Number of rugby union teams (age groups)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Senior (19+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannock RUFC</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rugeley RFC</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.9: Summary of overall demand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team play</th>
<th>No. of rugby union teams</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Senior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Club leagues</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Club friendlies/infrequent</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University leagues</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Training**

The junior pitch at Cannock RFC accommodates all of the Club’s training demand as it is the only floodlit pitch available on site. Training totals five match equivalent sessions per week from all squads.

Rugeley RFC, however, do not have a floodlit pitch or training area on site which means that its teams hire the small sized 3G pitch at Rugeley Leisure Centre for four hours per week, which the Club reports is sufficient. As these pitches are not World Rugby compliant no contact session can take place, limiting the quality of the training sessions undertaken by the Club. At the start and ends of the season, as well as during pre-season, the Club utilises St Augustine’s Field for training when daylight allows, however, this is less frequent in adverse weather.

**Touch rugby**

To actively encourage participation in rugby union clubs may provide alternative offers to traditional club competition. Touch rugby is one possible format which clubs can use; touch rugby is non-contact rugby sessions, during which participants compete in teams to score tries, following similar laws to traditional rugby union formats. Clubs, schools or community organisation can establish their own touch rugby sessions or can become hosts of O2 touch rugby sessions.

O2 touch rugby are 90 minutes long and combine music, fitness and rugby skills. Sessions are mixed in terms of gender, ability and age and is based on touch rugby being a social activity. Neither Cannock RUFC nor Rugeley RFC currently host touch rugby or O2 touch rugby sessions, with the nearest session of the latter located at Walton High School (Stafford) which is open to anyone over the age of 16.

**Use of artificial pitches**

Nationally, clubs identify the use of 3G pitches for training as a method of protecting the match pitches and providing a high quality surface for full contact practice. Competitive play continues to take place on grass pitches for the most part, with ad hoc use of 3G pitches for fixtures in the case of unsuitable pitches due to waterlogging or frost. Further detail on use of 3G pitches can be found in Part 7: Third Generation Artificial Pitches.

In December 2015, the RFU announced plans to increase the supply of available World Rugby (WR) certified pitches across the country as part of its Investment Strategy. This is to be made up of 60 pitches on rugby club sites, with a further 40 pitches on other community based sites with usage agreements securing capacity for use by local rugby clubs and groups.
The RFU believes increased investment into 3G pitches will allow it to sustain and grow participation in the game given increasing pressure on grass pitches, changing player expectations, competition from other sports investing in artificial pitches and changing weather conditions.

At present, there are no World Rugby (WR) compliant 3G pitches in Cannock Chase, with the nearest pitches located at the University of Wolverhampton (Walsall) and Keele University (Newcastle Under Lyme).

**Future demand**

Team generation rates are used below as the basis for calculating the number of teams likely to be generated in the future based on population growth up to 2036. However, in this instance, there are no teams forecasted to be created within any category, with any participation increases being accommodated within existing playing squads.

It is important to note that TGRs are based exclusively on population figures and do not account for specific targeted development work within certain areas or focused towards certain groups, such as coaching activity within schools linking to local clubs or NGB aims as part of the Targeted Work Programmes.

The RFU is actively exploring opportunities to assist with the transition between late junior years and senior rugby. This area has a strategic focus from the RFU and is being facilitated by encouraging casual play and midweek senior matches. The RFU recognises the traditional reduction in participation numbers at this time and it is hoped that by addressing the decrease and offering alternative match times then clubs may be able to retain a larger number of players.

This is not the sole focus of the RFU, which is focused on developing junior participation, based on a model of coaching sessions delivered in schools and local communities. Intentions are to form junior teams from these training groups which are linked to local schools and will use pitches at school sites, in some cases also linking to existing clubs to provide a performance pathway.

**Participation increases**

Future demand reported by Rugeley RFC indicates that it will re-establish a 3rd XV next season as the current colts’ team exceeds the age boundary. The Club also aspires to establish two additional junior squads over the forthcoming seasons, whilst sustaining its mini section with new participants each season. Its demand will therefore increase by 1.25 match equivalent sessions for next season.

Cannock RUFC noted aspirations to add an additional mini squad next season, probably at the U7 age group. The Club also indicated that the current U17 squad will become a colts’ team next season, run in conjunction with Barton under Needwood RUFC, with the team playing at Cannock RFC once every four weeks, reducing match demand to 0.25 match equivalent sessions per week. Overall demand on the pitches at the Club’s home venue will remain static next season.
Unmet, latent and displaced demand

Unmet demand is existing demand at clubs which is not able to access sufficient supply of pitches for match play or training. It is usually expressed, for example, where a team is already training but is unable to access a match pitch or where a league or club operates a waiting list. Latent demand is demand that evidence suggests may be generated from the current population should they have access to more or better provision. Neither Cannock nor Rugeley rugby clubs report the existence of any unmet or latent demand.

Displaced demand refers to existing demand which is exported outside of the study area; whilst is also refers to any imported demand from neighbouring districts that accesses facilities within the Cannock Chase study area due to a lack of available facilities in other local authorities where such team or club is based. Although the majority of demand for Cannock RUFC is from Cannock Chase District, this demand is not considered displaced given the geographical location of the Club; along with the fact that the site is not liable to alter in the near future. Therefore, no displaced demand exists in Cannock Chase.

Education

Rugby union is traditionally a popular sport within independent schools; however, the RFU is also active in developing rugby union in local state schools through the All Schools programme launched in September 2012 which aims to increase the number of secondary state schools playing rugby union. These schools link to a local team of RFU rugby development officers (RDOs) which deliver coaching sessions and support schools to establish rugby union as part of the curricular and extracurricular programme.

Rugby within schools in Cannock Chase is minimal with some extra-curricular activity at both Cardinal Griffin Catholic College and The Hart School. Rugby union at The Hart School is reported to be minimal, with local RFU Community Rugby Coaches providing some sessions for students The School has participated in some match activity although these were very structured games allowing students to match experience. Training activity at Cardinal Griffin Catholic College is slightly higher, with the school hosting more extra-curricular sessions, without forming formalised teams.

No extra-curricular rugby union activity occurs at Cannock Chase High School, Norton Canes High School, Kingsmead School or Staffordshire University Academy.

RFU priorities

The RFU actively works with all affiliated clubs to support growth and development of both participation and facilities. Within Cannock Chase, all clubs are supported by an area RDO. The RFU identifies the following priorities for future development of the respective clubs.

Table 4.10: Summary of RFU club priorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Club</th>
<th>RFU priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Cannock RUFC  | Support the Club’s summer engagement with new and returning players by providing touch rugby.  
|               | The RFU will also provide coaching support for next year's colts team, which will be shared with Barton under Needwood RUFC.  
|               | To improve the quality of the floodlighting on site to enhance training sessions. |
Rugeley RFC
Support the Club’s summer engagement with new and returning players by providing touch rugby. Assist the Club’s work throughout the summer period to fully establish its mini section.

In all instances where support for mini, junior and colts’ rugby union teams has been identified, the RFU and the respective clubs are exploring options to provide sessions and fixtures within local schools and community organisations to further aid development

**Infrequent play**

The RFU has plans to encourage participants which have previously played rugby union to re-engage through participation as part of a more casual sporting offer. The league will provide opportunities for teams and players which are unable to play weekly or frequently for various reasons and will operate on an occasional basis. The league will also act as an entry point for newly created clubs and provide competitive opportunities.

**4.5: Capacity analysis**

The capacity for pitches to regularly accommodate competitive play, training and other activity over a season is most often determined by quality. As a minimum, the quality, and therefore the capacity, of a pitch affect the playing experience and people’s enjoyment of playing rugby. In extreme circumstances, it can result in the inability of the pitch to cater for all or certain types of play during peak and off-peak times. To enable an accurate supply and demand assessment of rugby pitches, the following assumptions are applied to site by site analysis:

- All sites that are used for rugby union activity (regardless of whether this is secured community use) are included in the supply.
- All competitive play takes place on senior sized pitches as there are no mini pitches in the District.
- From U14 upwards, teams play 15 v15 and use a full pitch. Where mini pitches are not provided, mini (U7-12) teams play on half of a senior pitch i.e. two teams per senior pitch.
- For senior and youth teams, the current level of play per week is set at 0.5 for each match played based on all teams operating on a traditional home and away basis (assumes half of matches will be played away). For mini teams, play per week is set at 0.25 for each match played across half of one senior pitch, based on all teams operating on a traditional home and away basis.
- All male adult club league rugby takes place on a Saturday afternoon. U13-18 rugby generally takes place on a Sunday morning. Training that takes place on club pitches is reflected by the addition of team equivalents. Team equivalents have been calculated on the basis that 30 players (two teams) train on the pitch for 90 minutes (team equivalent of one) per night.
As a guide, the RFU has set a standard number of matches that each pitch should be able to accommodate. Capacity is based upon a basic assessment of the drainage system and maintenance programme ascertained through a combination of the quality assessment and the club survey as follows:

Table 4.11: Pitch capacity (matches per week) based on quality assessments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drainage</th>
<th>Maintenance</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor (M0)</td>
<td>Adequate (M1)</td>
<td>Good (M2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Inadequate (D0)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Adequate or Pipe Drained (D1)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipe Drained (D2)</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipe and Slit Drained (D3)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This guide should only be used as a very general measure of potential pitch capacity and does not account for specific circumstances at time of use and assumes average rainfall and an appropriate end of season rest and renovation programme.

The figures are based upon a pipe drained system at 5m centres that has been installed in the last eight years and a slit drained system at 1m centres completed in the last five years.
### Table 4.12: Rugby union provision and level of current use within Cannock Chase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Available for community use?</th>
<th>Security of tenure</th>
<th>Pitch type</th>
<th>Quality rating</th>
<th>Number of pitches</th>
<th>Floodlit?</th>
<th>Match equivalent sessions (per week)</th>
<th>Site capacity (sessions per week)</th>
<th>Capacity rating</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cannock Chase High School</td>
<td>Yes-unused</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>Poor (M0/D1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>The pitch has 0.5 MES of potential spare capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cardinal Griffin Catholic College</td>
<td>Yes-unused</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>Poor (M0/D1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>The pitch is at capacity from curricular &amp; extra-curricular use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Norton Canes High School</td>
<td>Yes-unused</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>Poor (M0/D1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>The pitch has 0.5 MES of potential spare capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>St Augustine's Field</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>Poor (M0/D1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>The pitch is overplayed by 1.25 MES, accommodating all Rugeley RFC match demand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>The Hart School (Upper School)</td>
<td>Yes-unused</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>Poor (M0/D1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>The pitch is at capacity from curricular &amp; extra-curricular use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>The Hart School (Upper School)</td>
<td>Yes-unused</td>
<td>Unsecure</td>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>Poor (M0/D1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>The pitch has 0.5 MES of potential spare capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Cannock Rugby Club</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>Poor (M0/D1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The pitch is overplayed by one MES, accommodating some match demand from Cannock RUFC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Cannock Rugby Club</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>Poor (M0/D1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>The pitch is overplayed by 0.75 MES, accommodating some match demand from Cannock RUFC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Cannock Rugby Club</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Secure</td>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>Poor (M0/D1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>The pitch is overplayed by 3.5 MES, accommodating all Cannock RUFC training demand.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most mini and junior play takes place on adult pitches and this has been added where applicable to calculate the actual play on sites. Match equivalent sessions per week for training sessions taking place on match pitches have also been added.

---

56 The pitch is considered unsecure, despite a formal community use agreement being in place, as its presence is not guaranteed for the minimum three years. The pitch was initially supplied as mitigation for flood defence work at Hagley Playing Field which resulted in the temporary loss of a junior rugby union pitch. The current community use agreement in place ends once the pitch at Hagley Playing Field is re-provided on site following the conclusion of development works prior to the 2019/20 season.
The peak period

In order to fully establish actual spare capacity, the peak period needs to be established. Peak time for senior rugby union matches is Saturday afternoons and is considered such within the subsequent analysis, although junior and mini teams play on senior pitches on Sunday mornings.

Actual spare capacity

The next step is to ascertain whether or not any identified ‘potential capacity’ can be deemed ‘actual capacity’. There may be situations where, although a site is highlighted as potentially able to accommodate some additional play, this should not be recorded as spare capacity against the site. For example, a site may be managed to regularly operate slightly below full capacity to ensure that it can cater for a number of regular friendly matches and activities that take place but are difficult to quantify on a weekly basis.

Pitches located at education sites which are available for community use but are currently unused are not considered to have actual spare capacity as security of use cannot be formally evidenced as guaranteed. As a consequence of this, despite three pitches showing an accumulative potential spare capacity of 1.5 match equivalent sessions per week there is no actual spare capacity on rugby union pitches of any type in Cannock Chase.

Overplay

Four pitches across two sites are overplayed, totalling 6.5 match equivalent sessions per week.

Table 4.13: Overplay summary (pitches used by community teams)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Overplay (match equivalent sessions per week)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>St Augustine’s Field</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Cannock Rugby Club (OUTSIDE)</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>6.5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The majority of overplay derives from Cannock RFC, home venue of Cannock RFC, with all three pitches on this site being overplayed. Two of those pitches accommodate all of the Club’s match demand whilst the junior floodlit pitch is used exclusively for training.

St Augustine’s Field is used by Rugeley RFC for all match demand, with training demand taking place off site at Rugeley Leisure Centre. If this training demand was to return to the one pitch on site the overplay would be exacerbated accumulating in a shortfall of 5.25 match equivalent sessions on the site. It should be noted that the Club elects to utilise the site for all match demand, despite having access to a junior pitch at The Hart School (Upper School) which was provided as mitigation for the temporary loss of a junior pitch at Hagley Playing Fields for the duration of site development works.

The poor quality and subsequent low carrying capacity of all overplayed pitches contributes to the level of overplay, with maintenance across all sites notably low (M0).
4.4: Supply and demand analysis

Having considered supply and demand, the table below identifies the overall spare capacity in each of the analysis areas for senior rugby union pitches based on match equivalent sessions per week. Future demand is based on both team generation rates (TGRs) which are driven by population increases, as well as reported club development plans. Displaced demand is also considered as in the future that demand may wish to return to the District.

*Table 4.14: Summary of supply and demand balance on rugby union pitches (Cannock Chase District)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis area</th>
<th>Actual spare capacity (^{17})</th>
<th>Demand (match equivalent sessions)</th>
<th>Future demand (^{18})</th>
<th>Future total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overplay</td>
<td>Current total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannock Chase</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall there is a requirement for 1.25 match equivalent sessions per week to eliminate current shortfalls in the District, which will increase to 2.5 match equivalent sessions if future demand is realised. All rugby union shortfalls in the District are on senior rugby union pitches given that this pitch type accommodates all match demand. There is no actual spare capacity available in the District, with potential capacity all located at unsecure education sites.

When future demand, of 1.25 match equivalent sessions, is considered the shortfall is exacerbated; with this demand coming from Rugeley RFC, which solely accesses St Augustine’s Field for match demand; and therefore, has been allocated to a senior pitch.

Overplay at Cannock Rugby Club has been discounted from Table 4.14 given that it is located outside of the District. However, the site is overplayed by 5.25 match equivalent sessions per week; with all three of the pitches on site overplayed. Future demand of new teams for Cannock RFC equals the reduction in match demand at the Club’s site once the current U17 team forms a colts’ team with Barton under Needwood RFC and therefore does not contribute additional future demand.

4.5: Conclusion

The requirement for additional match equivalent sessions per week and accumulated overplay on pitches across rugby union sites can be attributed to the low carrying capacity of pitches in the District due to poor pitch quality. Drainage on pitches is not a noted concern of community clubs or schools, with basic maintenance regimes being the more pressing concern. Cannock RFC teams training on the Club’s one floodlit pitch solely contributes to its overplay; whereas no training demand takes place on St Augustine’s Field, with the site accommodating all match demand from Rugeley RFC.

---

\(^{17}\) In match equivalent sessions per week

\(^{18}\) Where teams currently use a specific pitch type, this has been allocated to the same pitch type ie. Mini or junior demand on a senior pitch.
Improvements to maintenance regimes for both clubs along with access to improved equipment would help both overplayed sites improve pitch quality and increase capacity. Of additional concern to Rugeley RFC is the quality of its ancillary facilities, which require significant improvement to allow the Club to continue to develop. A potential solution to the issues facing Rugeley RFC could be securing a long term lease agreement at Hagley Playing Fields, once the site returns to being operational; and if planning permission for a purpose built clubhouse could be gained then the site presents as a possible venue for a relocation. This would be subject to the two football pitches on the site being re-provided elsewhere within the locality of the site.

Both Cannock RUFC and Rugeley RFC would benefit from an increase and improvement in the number of floodlit pitches available to assist each club's growth ambitions.

Rugby union summary

- Current supply is not sufficient to cater for the level of demand expressed in Cannock Chase at present, totalling a need for a further 1.25 match equivalent sessions on pitches. This is further exacerbated to create a future requirement for 2.5 match equivalent sessions.
- In total, there are six rugby union pitches in Cannock Chase across five sites, of which four are senior sized and two junior size. In addition, there are two senior pitches and a junior pitch located at Cannock Rugby Club, which also contribute to the local supply. All pitches are available for community use in some capacity.
- At present, there are no World Rugby (WR) compliant 3G pitches in Cannock Chase, with the nearest pitches located at the University of Wolverhampton (Walsall) and Keele University (Newcastle Under Lyme).
- The floodlit provision across the District is low. To support participation growth both clubs should have access to high quality floodlighting to allow them to train and play matches in a safe environment.
- All pitches are of poor quality, rated (M0/D1). Maintenance of pitches is considered basic, whilst drainage is not a reported issue on any of the sites.
- Ancillary facilities for Rugeley RFC are considered poor quality, whilst those servicing Cannock RUFC are of good quality, with minor issues relating to informal car parking and ownership of the access road.
- Cannock and Rugeley rugby clubs provide a total of 19 teams, only one of which is a women's team whilst there are no dedicated girls' teams in the District.
- Both clubs are considered to have security of tenure via lease agreements in the short term, although Rugeley RFC's agreement does not provide long term security of tenure which is required for NGB funding.
- None of Rugeley RFC’s training demand takes place on the match pitch due to a lack of floodlighting with training relocated to the small size 3G pitch at Rugeley Leisure Centre; whilst all of Cannock RUFC’s training is accommodated on the Club's one floodlit pitch.
- Future demand from both TGRs and participation growth contribute an additional 1.25 match equivalent sessions per week on pitches in the District.
- There is no actual spare capacity on rugby union pitches in the District with all potential capacity located at unsecure education sites.
- There are four pitches across two sites which are overplayed totalling 6.5 match equivalent sessions. Three of these are located at Cannock Rugby Club which is outside of Cannock Chase District; and is overplayed by 5.25 match equivalent sessions.
PART 5: HOCKEY

5.1: Introduction

Hockey in England is governed by England Hockey (EH) and is administered locally by the Staffordshire Hockey Association.

Competitive league hockey matches and training can only be played on sand filled, sand dressed or water based artificial grass pitches (AGPs). Although competitive, adult and junior club training cannot take place on third generation turf pitches (3G), 40mm pitches may be suitable for introductory level hockey, such as school curriculum low level hockey. EH’s Artificial Grass Playing Surface Policy details suitability of surface type for varying levels of hockey, as shown below.

*Table 5.1: England Hockey guidelines on artificial surface types suitable for hockey*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Surface</th>
<th>Playing Level</th>
<th>Playing Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>England Hockey Category 1</td>
<td>Water surface approved within the FIH Global/National Parameters</td>
<td><strong>Essential</strong> International Hockey - Training and matches</td>
<td><strong>Desirable</strong> Domestic National Premier competition Higher levels of EH Player Pathway Performance Centres and upwards England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England Hockey Category 2</td>
<td>Sand dressed surfaces within the FIH National Parameter</td>
<td><strong>Essential</strong> Domestic National Premier competition Higher levels of player pathway: Academy Centres and Upwards</td>
<td><strong>Desirable</strong> All adult and junior League Hockey Intermediate or advanced School Hockey EH competitions for clubs and schools (excluding domestic national league)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England Hockey Category 3</td>
<td>Sand based surfaces within the FIH National Parameter</td>
<td><strong>Essential</strong> All adult and junior club training and league Hockey EH competitions for clubs and schools Intermediate or advanced schools hockey</td>
<td><strong>Desirable</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England Hockey Category 4</td>
<td>All 3G surfaces</td>
<td><strong>Essential</strong> None</td>
<td><strong>Desirable</strong> Lower level hockey (Introductory level) when no category 1-3 surface is available.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For senior teams, a full sized pitch for competitive matches must measure at least 91.4 x 55 metres excluding surrounding run off areas which must be a minimum of two metres at the sides & three metres at the ends. England Hockey preference is for four metre side and five metre end run offs, with a preferred overall area of 101.4 x 63 metres though a minimum overall area of 97.4 x 59 metres is accepted.

It is considered that a hockey pitch can accommodate a maximum of four matches on one day (peak time) provided that the pitch has floodlighting. Training is generally midweek and requires access to a pitch and floodlights.

**Club consultation**

Although no hockey clubs are based within Cannock Chase District, it is considered that clubs based in neighbouring areas, namely Cannock HC and Lichfield HC service Cannock Chase and therefore, both clubs were consulted with via telephone consultation representing a 100% club response rate.

**5.2: Supply**

There are no hockey suitable AGPs located in Cannock Chase District, either full or small sized. The sites accessed by the two hockey clubs (Cannock HC and Lichfield HC), which accommodate the District’s hockey demand are based in South Staffordshire and Lichfield respectively.

It is understood that there are no plans to establish any new hockey suitable AGPs in the District as local demand is being met by provision in neighbouring authorities.

**Disused supply**

In addition to the two water based pitches at Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club (South Staffordshire) there is a disused, full size, sand based AGP which has not been used for several years.

**Quality**

*Table 5.2 Summary of full size hockey AGP quality accommodating demand from Cannock Chase*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Number of AGPs</th>
<th>Surface</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Year built (refurbished)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club (South Staffordshire)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Water based</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2007/2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Lichfield Sports Club (Lichfield)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sand dressed</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All hockey suitable AGPs accessed by Cannock Chase demand are of good quality, with Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club having two full size pitches on site, both of which are water based. There is a full size hockey suitable AGP located at Lichfield Sports Club which is sand
dressed. Despite the good quality of all three of the pitches, they all either exceed or are nearing their recommended ten year lifespans. It is considered that the carpet of an AGP usually lasts for approximately 10 years (depending on levels of use), though its lifespan can be prolonged in some cases where maintenance is of particularly high quality and rigor. This is evident with both pitches at both sites, with pitches which have received dedicated levels of maintenance by the clubs that has meant they are still good quality despite their age.

**Ownership/management**

Cannock HC owns its home ground as part of its membership of Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club. The overarching organisation owns the site with the member clubs then agreeing to the terms of use for the site. As Cannock HC is one of the founders of the ownership model for the site the Club currently has secure tenure, however, this would change should outstanding debts be called in and access is restricted.

Security of tenure is also secure for Lichfield HC, which leases its home ground as part of Lichfield Sports Club. Similar to Cannock HC, Lichfield HC is a member of a wider sports club which has a long term lease agreement in place with St John’s Trust. The current lease agreement has 45 years remaining.

Although both clubs are currently based outside of the District, without a requirement for provision within Cannock Chase; there would be demand for provision in Cannock Chase, should tenure for Cannock HC become unsecure given the Club’s financial issues; risk of debt being called in; and access to Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club being restricted. Lichfield HC is not considered likely to require access to provision within the District in the near future.

**Ancillary provision**

Cannock HC reports that ancillary facilities on site are of poor quality and have become poorer of the last few seasons; with poor changing facilities and improved lighting required. Car parking on site is deemed adequate, although there can be issues at the beginning and ends of the season due to cricket simultaneously using the site. The Club has submitted plans to South Staffordshire Council, the local authority in which the site is located, for a new clubhouse to be built; this would be funded via the sale of the previous clubhouse facility. It should be noted that Cannock Ladies HC requires suitable clubhouse and changing provision if the team is to achieve promotion from its current league. This requirement should be met by any site development.

Lichfield HC also reports ancillary provision at its home venue to be of poor quality, with a need for an increased number of changing rooms and car parking spaces required given the multi-sport nature of the site. The Club also reports that the changing facilities require refurbishment, having been poor quality for a number of years.

**5.3: Demand**

Cannock HC reports that the majority of its demand travels over five miles for home matches, with the centre of the District approximately that distance from the Club’s home venue.
Demand representing Lichfield HC travels a shorter distance for home fixtures. It is therefore deduced that the majority of the hockey demand within the District is accommodated at Cannock HC, with a small proportion representing Lichfield HC.

Cannock and Lichfield hockey clubs provide a total of 46 teams as summarised in the table below. Cannock HC has 220 playing members which is less than half of the number of members that Lichfield HC has (556 members).

Lichfield HC has seen a significant increase in the number of junior members representing the Club, with multiple junior teams being entered in to local competitions. The primary club coach also provides sessions at local schools and this has resulted in a significant increase in junior participation. Senior membership at the Club has also increased, resulting in the formation of an additional women’s team.

Conversely, membership at Cannock HC has reportedly decreased, with the Club reducing its number of senior teams by one. Junior membership has generally remained consistent with 66 junior members of the Club. The decrease in membership is considered to be linked to the ongoing concern for the future of the Club and the uncertain future that it faces.

Table 5.3: Summary of teams playing in Cannock Chase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of club</th>
<th>Men’s</th>
<th>Women’s</th>
<th>Mixed</th>
<th>Juniors</th>
<th>Members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cannock HC</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lichfield HC</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>11</strong></td>
<td><strong>12</strong></td>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
<td><strong>19</strong></td>
<td><strong>776</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lichfield HC reports that due to its number of members, the Club requires access to a secondary pitch. The Club aspires to establish a second hockey suitable AGP on site, but at present this remains an aspiration.

**EH Player Pathway**

The Player Pathway (PP) is the junior talent development pathway. It encompasses the whole of the hockey landscape which includes club and school activity as well as the PP Development Centres (DCs). The purpose of the PP is to provide development opportunities for young people, which is fair, equitable and consistent. It is to ensure that a suitable level of coaching and competition is offered for people at the appropriate stage of their development and to maximise the chance they have of fulfilling their potential whether that potential is as a club or International player, coach or official. The PP can be accessed by playing at school, a local club or attending one of the local centres. There is one entry point into the PP centres which is at DC level. The first time a player accesses the player pathway they must enter at DC level.

**Development Centres (DCs) and Academy Centres (ACs)**

DCs and ACs are local training centres for the U13 to U17 age groups. DCs are open to any hockey player who has been nominated by their club, school or coach, with ACs open to any player who has been nominated by a DC coach. After attending a DC, a AC is the next step on the player pathway. Included in the DCs/ACs are Goalkeeper (GK) Academies, which provide specific coaching sessions for goalkeepers. The nearest DCs to Cannock Chase District are located at Cannock Hockey and Cricket Club (South Staffordshire) and Lichfield Sports Club.
(Lichfield). These centres cover the South West and South East of Staffordshire respectively and are centres for both boys’ and girls’ development centres.
Displaced demand

Displaced or exported demand refers to Cannock Chase registered teams that are currently accessing pitches outside of the local authority for home fixtures, normally because their pitch requirements cannot be met. This is usually because of pitch supply, in some cases quality issues or stipulated league requirements for access to certain facilities. In the same manner, imported demand refers to that displaced from other local authorities into Cannock Chase based on the same reasoning.

Although hockey participants from the District access supply outside of Cannock Chase, given the permanence of the two nearest clubs being based outside of the District this demand is not considered displaced.

Latent demand

Latent demand is demand that evidence suggests may be generated from the current population should they have access to more or better provision. Neither Lichfield nor Cannock hockey clubs identify any latent demand.

Future demand

Given that the two hockey clubs servicing Cannock Chase are located in neighbouring authorities it is not appropriate to use population data alone to calculate need.

Further to this, team generation rates cannot account for specific targeted development work within certain areas or focused towards certain groups, such as NGB initiatives or coaching within schools. For example, nationally, since 2012, hockey has seen a 65% increase in juniors taking up the sport within the club environment. This increase is expected to continue across all age groups in the future, especially given the success of Great Britain’s women’s team in the 2016 Rio Olympics and the anticipated legacy impact.

Though there remains a desire from EH to increase participation within the club/league based game, not all future demand may be realised entirely as new formalised teams playing at peak time. Some clubs may decide to offer pay and play opportunities to participants or offer small sided formats such in a bid to increase participation and club memberships by providing a different hockey offer.

Lichfield HC has plans to increase the number of teams representing the Club by six, with the creation of one additional senior men’s team; two junior boys’ teams and three junior girls’ teams. The Club reports that the additional teams will play fixtures at either the Club’s home venue or at local school sites.

Cannock HC did not report any aspirations to create additional teams, with any increase in participation being accommodated within the existing playing squads.
Availability and usage

Sport England’s Facilities Planning Model (FPM) applies an overall peak period for AGPs of 34 hours per week (Monday to Thursday 17:00-21:00; Friday 17:00-19:00; Saturday and Sunday 09:00-17:00). Using this calculation, Cannock Chase District does not have any full sized hockey suitable AGPs, but those utilised by Cannock and Lichfield hockey clubs as home venues are fully available within the peak period.

Table 5.4: Usage of hockey suitable AGPs (available for community use)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site Description</th>
<th>Number of AGPs</th>
<th>Floodlit</th>
<th>Community use hours in the peak period (per AGP)</th>
<th>Clubs/groups using the pitch (teams)</th>
<th>Midweek/training capacity</th>
<th>Match capacity</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Weekday: 18 hours Weekend: 16 hours Total: 34 hours</td>
<td>Cannock HC</td>
<td>All Club training demand is accommodated on site with each of the pitches being utilised. The pitches are also subject to ad-hoc use by local football teams for midweek training demand.</td>
<td>All match demand for Cannock HC is accommodated on site, with no issues regarding access reported. The Club’s ambitions to increase participation will not affect access issues in the future, given the number of hockey suitable AGPs on site.</td>
<td>Cannock HC reports that it has demand to access the site more frequently, but it is also aware that the income generated from external lets helps fund the site’s ongoing maintenance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Lichfield Sports Club</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Weekday: 18 hours Weekend: 16 hours Total: 34 hours</td>
<td>Lichfield HC</td>
<td>All training demand from Lichfield HC is based at the site, with the Club reporting that it has demand to access any additional capacity on site. However, the pitch is also available for community lets, meaning that occasional use by local football teams for training demand does limit the Club’s ambitions to increase use at this time.</td>
<td>The venue is used to accommodate all home fixtures for the Club on both Saturdays and Sundays; whilst junior tournaments are also hosted by the Club on Sundays. The Club reports that it requires additional capacity to accommodate all of its growing match demand.</td>
<td>The Club reports that it has demand to access a second hockey suitable AGP to accommodate increased match demand. Ideally the Club would prefer a second AGP on site but will utilise pitches at education sites as required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Peak time demand**

Most hockey teams from the two clubs currently play on a Saturday, therefore this is considered to be the peak time for use of AGPs for competitive play. This is due to the number of senior teams that both clubs have; along with the fact that most junior teams for both clubs attend festivals at a variety of locations for match demand. Though peak time for match play is at the weekend, use for midweek training is also significant.

**5.4: Supply and demand analysis**

Cannock HC is considered to have sufficient supply to accommodate all its current match and training demand, with additional capacity available for both future club growth and continued external lets by other sports clubs.

Lichfield HC requires additional supply to accommodate current levels of demand, with 17 senior teams and 18 junior teams utilising the site as a home venue. Based on the 14 single-gender based teams requiring a pitch at peak time (Saturday), there is a requirement for two (rounded up from 1.75) full size, floodlit, hockey suitable AGPs based on teams playing home and away and based on a floodlit AGP being able to accommodate a maximum of four matches (eight teams) on one day.

This remains the same when accounting for the one additional senior men’s team forecasted by the Club. For junior hockey, the requirement for pitches is likely to be less given that teams generally participate in hockey festivals at various locations on Sundays. Therefore, current supply of hockey suitable AGPs is considered insufficient to accommodate the current level of hockey at both peak time and midweek training demand for this club.

**5.5: Conclusion**

The overall supply of hockey suitable AGPs outside of the District is considered sufficient to accommodate the current level of hockey demand for both peak time matches and training requirements. Although in reality this would mean that some demand from Lichfield HC would have to access supply at Cannock HC which may not be wholly realistic.

In practical terms there is no demand for the creation of a full size hockey suitable AGP in Cannock Chase District, with both clubs considered to have security of tenure at their home venues in neighbouring authorities\(^\text{19}\). There would be demand for provision in Cannock Chase, should tenure for Cannock HC become unsecure given the Club’s financial issues; risk of debt being called in; and access to Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club being restricted. Lichfield HC is not considered likely to require access to provision within the District in the near future.

The resurfacing of the hockey suitable AGPs is required in the near future, given their age; and to prevent a decline in quality.

The quality of ancillary facilities is a concern for Cannock HC, although the Club is progressing plans to establish new facilities of a good quality, capable of serving all pitches simultaneously. The number of changing rooms is an issue at Lichfield Sports Club, with the site’s multi-sport offering meaning that access to changing provision is not

---

\(^{19}\) Security of tenure for Cannock HC may removed should outstanding debts be recalled and access restricted.
guaranteed. Lichfield HC also requires access to an additional hockey suitable AGP to accommodate all current and future demand for both weekend and midweek use.

All hockey suitable AGPs accessed by the two clubs should be protected for continued hockey use.

Hockey summary

- The current supply of hockey suitable AGPs is considered sufficient to accommodate the current level of hockey demand for both at peak time and training requirements. Although in reality this would mean that some demand from Lichfield HC would have to access supply at Cannock HC which may not be wholly realistic.
- There is no demand for the creation of a full size hockey suitable AGP in Cannock Chase District, with both clubs considered to have security of tenure at their home venues in neighbouring authorities.
- Resurfacing of the hockey suitable AGPs is required in the near future, given their age; and to prevent a decline in quality.
- There are no hockey suitable AGPs located in Cannock Chase District, either full or small sized. The sites accessed by the two hockey clubs, which accommodate the District’s hockey demand are based in South Staffordshire and Lichfield respectively.
- All hockey suitable AGPs accessed by Cannock Chase demand are of good quality, however, all three pitches have either exceed or are nearing their recommended ten year lifespans.
- Both clubs servicing demand from Cannock Chase are considered to have security of tenure either through site ownership or leasehold.
- Combined, the two clubs provide a total of 46 teams with Cannock HC having 220 members and Lichfield HC having 556 members.
- Lichfield HC has plans to increase the number of teams representing the Club by six, with the creation of one additional senior men’s team; two junior boys’ teams and three junior girls’ teams. Cannock HC did not report any aspirations to create additional teams.
PART 6: THIRD GENERATION ARTIFICIAL GRASS PITCHES (3G PITCHES)

6.1: Introduction

There are several surface types that fall into the category of artificial grass pitch (AGP). The three main groups are rubber crumb (also known as third generation turf or 3G), sand based (filled or dressed) and water based.

Table 6.1: AGP type and sport suitability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rubber crumb</td>
<td>Long Pile 3G (60mm with shock pad)</td>
<td>Rugby surface – must comply with World Rugby type 22 and/or RFL Community Standard, requires a minimum of 60mm pile.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubber crumb</td>
<td>Medium Pile 3G (55-60mm)</td>
<td>Preferred football surface. Suitable for non-contact rugby union/league practice or play.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubber crumb</td>
<td>Short Pile 3G (40mm)</td>
<td>Acceptable surface for some competitive football.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>Sand Filled</td>
<td>Competitive hockey and football training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>Sand Dressed</td>
<td>Preferred hockey surface and suitable for football training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Water based</td>
<td>Preferred hockey surface and suitable for football training if irrigated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

England Hockey’s Artificial Grass Playing Surface Policy (June 2016) advises that 3G pitches should not be used for hockey matches or training and that they can only be used for lower level hockey (introductory level) when no sand-based or water-based AGPs are available.

Competitive football can take place on 3G surfaces that have been FA or FIFA certified and a growing number of 3G pitches are now used for competitive match play at mini soccer and youth level. The recommended FA dimensions for a full sized 3G pitch are 100x64 metres with additional run off areas of three metres required on each side. Minimum playing area dimensions to meet performance standard criteria for competitive football are 90x45 metres (or 100x64 metres for FIFA sanctioned international matches), with additional run off areas of three metres required on each side. FIFA 3G pitch certification is required to host competitive adult match play at Step 3 and below, whilst for teams playing at Steps 1 or 2 pitches are required to have FIFA Pro standard certification, further information on which is included later in the section. Football training can take place on sand and water based surfaces but is not the preferred option.

World Rugby produced the ‘Performance Specification for Artificial Grass Pitches for Rugby’, more commonly known as ‘Regulation 22’ that provides the necessary technical detail to produce pitch systems that are appropriate for rugby. The artificial surface standards identified in Regulation 22 allows matches to be played on surfaces that meet the standard. Full contact activity, including tackling, rucking, mauling and lineouts can take place. All full sized World Rugby compliant 3G pitches feature on the RFU register, including expiry dates of certification\(^{20}\). Upon registration, World Rugby compliancy is valid for two years before renewal and retesting is required.

6.2: Supply

For the purposes of the PPS, full sized 3G pitches are considered as meeting minimum dimension criteria for adult football (90x45 metres not including run off areas). It should be noted that within other external documentation, the FA typically refers to 3G pitches as 3G Football Turf Pitches (FTPs).

There are two full sized 3G pitches in Cannock Chase, both of which have floodlighting and are available for community use at Cardinal Griffin Catholic College and 5’s Pavilion and Sports Ground. There is also a smaller sized 3G pitches located at Rugeley Leisure Centre that is floodlit and available for community use.

Both full size 3G pitches are FA certified and can therefore be used for both match and training demand.

**Table 6.2: Full sized 3G pitches in Cannock Chase**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Floodlit</th>
<th>Dimensions&lt;sup&gt;21&lt;/sup&gt; (metres)</th>
<th>Certification</th>
<th>Renewal date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5’s Pavilion and Sports Ground</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100 x 64</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>6/1/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cardinal Griffin Catholic College</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100 x 64</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>24/8/2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Future plans for provision**

Though full sized 3G pitches are considered those which meet FA minimum pitch dimensions, it is recommended that any new 3G pitches built in future should meet FA recommended pitch dimensions so to ensure suitability for all formats of football and maximise opportunities for use.

**Table 6.3: FA recommended 3G pitch sizes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Playing format</th>
<th>Recommended pitch dimensions (metres excluding run offs)</th>
<th>Recommended pitch dimensions (metres including run offs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mini-Soccer U7/U8</td>
<td>5v5</td>
<td>37x27</td>
<td>43x33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini-Soccer U9/U10</td>
<td>7v7</td>
<td>55x37</td>
<td>61x43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth U11/U12</td>
<td>9v9</td>
<td>73x46</td>
<td>79x52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth U13/U14</td>
<td>11v11</td>
<td>82x50</td>
<td>88x56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth U15/U16</td>
<td>11v11</td>
<td>91x55</td>
<td>97x61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth U17/U18</td>
<td>11v11</td>
<td>100x64</td>
<td>106x70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 18/Adult</td>
<td>11v11</td>
<td>100x64</td>
<td>106x70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>21</sup> Excluding run off areas. FA/FIFA Performance Standard Testing Criteria requires 3m run off areas in each direction. Some pitches of or close to minimum dimensions though compliant may not be considered to be of sufficient size to accommodate adult football matches.
Current proposals

As detailed in Part 2: Football, Hednesford Town FC and Heath Hayes FC both report aspirations to establish 3G pitches, either on their current home venues (Hednesford Town FC) or at new sites (Heath Hayes FC).

There are also plans to create a full size 3G pitch at Rugeley Leisure Centre in place of the small sized 3G pitch. This conversion will require resurfacing and an extension to the current footprint of the pitches. Both the Football Foundation and Staffordshire FA are exploring options with the District Council to help fund this extension.

Conversion to 3G surfaces

Since the introduction of 3G pitches and given their popularity for football, providers have seen this as a way to replace a worn sand or water based carpet and generate increased revenue from hiring out a 3G pitch to football and rugby clubs and commercial football providers. This has often come at the expense of hockey, with players now travelling further distances to gain access to a suitable pitch and many teams consequently displaced from their preferred local authority.

Due to its impact on hockey, it is appropriate to ensure that sufficient sand based AGPs are retained for the playing development of hockey. To that end, a change of surface will require a planning application and the applicants will need to show that there is sufficient provision available for hockey in the locality. Advice from Sport England and EH should also be sought prior to any planning application being submitted.

It should also be noted that, if a surface is changed, it could require the existing floodlighting to be changed and in some instances noise attenuation measures may need to be put in place.

A 3G surface is limited in the range of sport that can be played or taught on it. Providers proposing a conversion should take advice from the appropriate sports’ governing bodies or refer to Sport England guidance: https://www.sportengland.org/facilities-planning/tools-guidance/design-and-cost-guidance/artificial-sports-surfaces/
6.3: Quality

The carpet of a 3G pitch is considered to have a surface life of approximately 10 years with assumed maintenance of the required standard, though its lifespan can be prolonged in some cases where maintenance is of particularly high quality and rigor.

Both full sized 3G pitches are rated as good quality and neither pitch exceeds the recommended lifespan; meaning that resurfacing is not required in the immediate future.

Table 6.4: Summary of full sized 3G pitch quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Year built (refurbished)</th>
<th>Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5’s Pavilion and Sports Ground</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cardinal Griffin Catholic College</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In order for competitive matches to be played on 3G pitches, the pitch should be FA or FIFA tested and approved and added to the FA pitch register, which can be found at: http://3g.thefa.me.uk/?countyfa=Staffordshire

Pitches can also undergo FIFA testing to become a FIFA Quality pitch (previously FIFA One Star) or a FIFA Quality Pro pitch (previously FIFA Two Star), with pitches commonly constructed, installed and tested in situ to achieve either accreditation. This comes after FIFA announced changes to 3G performance in October 2015 following consultation with member associations and licenced laboratories.

The changes are part of FIFA’s continued ambition to drive up performance standard in the industry and the implications are that all 3G pitches built through the FA framework will be constructed to meet the new performance criteria.

The changes from FIFA One Star to FIFA Quality will have minimal impact on the current hours of use guidelines, which suggest that One Star pitches place more emphasis on the product’s ability to sustain acceptable performance and can typically be used for 60-85 hours per week with a lifespan of 20,000 cycles. In contrast, pitches built to FIFA Quality Pro performance standards are unlikely to provide the hours of use that some FIFA Two Star products have guaranteed in the past (previously 30-40 hours per week with a lifespan of 5,000 cycles). Typically, a FIFA Quality Pro pitch will be able to accommodate only 20-30 hours per week with appropriate maintenance due to strict performance measurements.

Clubs playing in the football pyramid on 3G pitches meeting FIFA One Star or Two Star guidelines will still be required to certify their pitches annually, however, if any pitch replacement takes place the Club will need to meet the new FIFA performance criteria of FIFA Quality or Quality Pro. To stay on the FA register, pitches below the national league pyramid require FA testing every three years.
Availability and usage

The following table summarises the availability of full sized AGPs for community use in Cannock Chase. In addition, it records the availability of provision within the peak period. Sport England’s Facilities Planning Model (FPM) applies an overall peak period for AGPs of 34 hours a week (Monday to Thursday 17:00-21:00; Friday 17:00-19:00; Saturday and Sunday 09:00-17:00).

Table 6.5: Summary of 3G availability and usage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Floodlit?</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Total number of hours available for community use during peak period</th>
<th>Football usage</th>
<th>Rugby union usage</th>
<th>Other sports club usage</th>
<th>Spare capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5’s Pavilion and Sports Ground</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Weekday: 18 hours Weekend: 16 hours Total: 34 hours</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cardinal Griffin Catholic College</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Weekday: 18 hours Weekend: 16 hours Total: 34 hours</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Rugeley Leisure Centre (small size)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>Weekday: 18 hours Weekend: 16 hours Total: 34 hours</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spare capacity

The table above indicates both full size pitches have available capacity to accommodate additional demand. The majority of spare capacity on each pitch is on Sunday (midday to 5pm) which is undesirable times for clubs to use for training but suitable for competitive match play and can be utilised; or one Friday evenings (5pm to 7pm) which is undesirable for training given its proximity to matches taking place.

There is also capacity available on the small sized 3G pitch at Rugeley Leisure Centre, however, the size of the pitch restricts its use by local football teams.

---

22 Quality is assessed via a combination of non-technical assessments carried out by KKP but also take account of user views and opinions.
6.4: Demand

**Football**

There are 52 Cannock Chase based teams which consistently play competitive club football on 3G pitches, representing 37% of all teams. Many mini teams play on 3G pitches as part of the various mini football leagues which clubs from the District participate in, where matches are played on a central venue basis on small sized pitches on full sized 3G pitches, including at both Cardinal Griffin Catholic College and 5’s Pavilion and Sports Ground.

Access to affordable floodlit training facilities is a key issue for most clubs in Cannock Chase, particularly those with a large number of youth and mini teams. Access to affordable 3G provision is also a priority for the FA as many multi team junior clubs have various teams training across various venues in the District and neighbouring authorities. Many pitches both full sized and small sized operate commercial small sided football leagues which limit availability for club training; the pitch at 5’s Pavilion, for example, is utilised three times per week for a small sided leisure league.

The majority of clubs responding to the online survey report demand for additional training facilities. Of the 30 clubs that stated a demand for additional training facilities, 19 specifically stated a need for increased use of 3G pitches within Cannock Chase. Not only is it difficult for teams to access sufficient capacity but those at some large clubs are spread across a number of venues where they can manage to find available timeslots including on small sized 3G pitches.

**Rugby union**

Present use of 3G pitches for rugby union solely extends to training. Rugeley RFC utilise the small sized 3G pitch at Rugeley Leisure Centre for training demand. However, given the pitches lack of WR certification, training is limited to non-contact sessions.

**Future demand**

Population increases anticipated within the District are expected to generate additional demand for sports facilities, particularly 3G pitches which are used by a number of different sports for both matches and training. The PPS findings should be used to guide the development of any new sporting provision which may include the creation of additional pitches, new ancillary facilities or new sites to best accommodate both the newly generated demand and current requirements. Increases in population as a result of proposed housing growth and the subsequent equivalent demand generated for playing pitch provision are explored through scenario testing in the Stage D Strategy & Action Plan document.

The subsequent increased population will create a need for further infrastructure developments which may include additional or extended school provision. It is key to note that capacity a new 3G pitch creates is not considered double that of a grass pitch. This is the case for sports provision set out in BB103 Guidelines for Mainstream Schools which exclusively applies to school sites.

Future strategic planning should consider key areas in which 3G pitches can best meet demand for a number of sports where possible and appropriate and should in turn consider the optimal surface type for any potential new development in conjunction with NGBs as part of a joined up approach.
**Key trends and changes in demand for pitches**

**Football**

Demand from football for 3G pitches has increased in recent years due to demand from clubs for training but also due to a growing acceptance by local leagues of use for competitive matches, where play on 3G pitches is now included within the FA Standard Code of Rule. This considered, the general condition of local authority pitches and increasingly limited budget for regular and adequate maintenance may lead more teams to consider AGPs as a possible alternative should it be financially viable.

A number of leagues around the country now use 3G pitches as central venues where all play takes place. This is especially prominent in leagues that teams from Cannock Chase participate in; and the general format for mini and youth football up to older youth age groups is based on 3G pitches at central venues. Mini soccer leagues especially are increasingly adopting this approach either for whole seasons or a number of months throughout the winter because they can continually offer a high quality playing experience, in many cases beyond that of grass pitches which are generally of comparatively poorer quality. It also allows leagues to continue to run throughout the winter, largely unaffected by poor weather which has disrupted the football season over recent years, causing it to run into summer and clash more often with summer sports. This trend is likely to increase in the future and more mini soccer, Flexi and Vets leagues could be played exclusively on 3G pitches.

**Rugby union**

Given the inclement weather often experienced throughout the winter months of the rugby union season, more clubs are becoming increasingly receptive towards training on artificial pitches. Clubs traditionally train on match pitches where floodlights are in place or one pitch designated for all training in particular, unless there is a separate floodlight grass training area. It often results in deterioration in pitch quality coupled with decreasing maintenance budgets.

The recent RFU strategy on investment in 3G pitches both at club and community based sites is indicative of the growing acceptance of 3G pitches amongst clubs nationally. Nationally clubs identify the use of 3G pitches for training as a method of protecting the match pitches and providing a high quality surface for full contact practice. Competitive play continues to take place on grass pitches for the most part, with ad hoc use of 3G pitches for fixtures in the case of unsuitable pitches due to waterlogging or frost.

**6.4: Supply and demand analysis**

**FA training scenario**

The FA considers high quality third generation artificial grass pitches as an essential tool in promoting coach and player development. The FA can support intensive use and as such are great assets for both playing and training. Primarily such facilities have been installed for community use and training, however, are increasingly used for competition which The FA wholly supports.
The FA’s long-term ambition is to provide every affiliated team in England the opportunity to train once per week on floodlit 3G surface, together with priority access for every Charter Standard Community Club through a partnership agreement. The FA standard is calculated by using the latest Sport England research "AGPs State of the Nation March 2012" assuming that 51% of AGP usage is by sports clubs when factoring in the number of training slots available per pitch at peak times.

It is estimated that one full sized AGP can service 38 teams.

It is considered that there are 140 teams which require access to train once per week on floodlit 3G surface. It should be noted this figure excludes imported demand and includes any exported demand. This equates to the need for three full sized 3G pitches to service this level of training demand across the District. At present, there are two available full sized 3G pitches across the District. Therefore, there is a shortfall of one full sized 3G pitch to meet training demand for football.

However, when considered by analysis area, there is only a shortfall evidenced in the North East Analysis Area, which the Central/West area has sufficient supply; and the South East Analysis Area does not have the requirement for a full size 3G pitch.

The table below considers the number of full sized 3G pitches required if every team was to remain training within the analysis area in which they play. For this, please note that the 3G requirement is rounded down to the nearest whole number.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis area</th>
<th>Current number of teams</th>
<th>Current requirement</th>
<th>Current number of available 3G pitches</th>
<th>Current shortfall</th>
<th>Future number of teams</th>
<th>Future shortfall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1.47 – 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North East</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.26 – 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.95 – 0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannock Chase</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>3.68 – 3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When factoring in future demand, the shortfall, across the District, remains the same (one pitch), meaning that the current need warranting the creation of an additional 3G pitch remains the same. This is adjudged on the basis that there are no smaller sized 3G pitches or sand based AGPs in the Area to accommodate the increases in participation.

The model assumes that all pitches are fully available for club use at peak time when in practice a number of pitches operate commercial small sided leagues which reduce capacity available. It also projects all football teams as training on full sized 3G pitches when in practice a proportion of football training demand should be retained on sand based AGPs in order to maintain financial and commercial sustainability of these pitches.

The conversion of the small sized 3G pitch at Rugeley Leisure Centre will eliminate the current shortfall in the North East; as well as in the District as a whole.

---

23 Based on increased demand forecasted from team generation rates
If the displaced demand referred to in Section 2: Football, continues to opt for training provision outside of the District; then demand to be accommodated for reduces from 140 to 110, also lowering the requirement of the number of full size 3G pitches from three to two.

6.5: Conclusion

In conclusion, based on the FA affiliated team training model there is insufficient current supply of full sized 3G pitches to meet current demand, with forecasted future demand sustaining this shortfall of one full sized 3G pitch in the District. This shortfall will be eliminated once the planned conversion of the small sized 3G pitch at Rugeley Leisure Centre to a full sized 3G pitch is completed.

Though there is spare capacity at some level on both pitches, in practice there is very little midweek capacity for evening training which is the peak time for use, with most capacity midweek available in 5-6pm timeslots which are undesirable as many club volunteers are likely to be commuting from work. Limitations on midweek capacity available and demand exported to neighbouring local authorities suggest that there may be case for additional full sized 3G pitch provision in future if considered financially sustainable.

3G pitches summary

- In conclusion, based on the FA affiliated team training model there is an insufficient supply of full sized 3G pitches to meet current demand which will continue with forecasted future demand increases. However, this shortfall will be addressed once the conversion of the small sized 3G pitch at Rugeley Leisure Centre to a full size 3G pitch is completed.
- There are two full sized 3G pitches in Cannock Chase, both of which have floodlighting and are available for community use.
- There is a small sized 3G pitches, at Rugeley Leisure Centre which is also floodlit and available for community use.
- The two full sized 3G pitches at Cardinal Griffin Catholic College and 5’s Pavilion and Sports Ground are FA certified, therefore are both compliant for use for competitive matches.
- Both full size pitches are considered good quality with both being within the recommended ten year life spans, meaning that resurfacing will not be required in the short term.
- Both full size 3G pitches are used as central venues for mini and junior leagues in the area, meaning that they accommodate some imported match demand.
- Due to the site’s proximity to the Cannock Chase District, AGPs at Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club are used to accommodate some football training demand.
- Staffordshire FA reports that both the CFA and the Football Foundation are exploring options with CCDC to help fund the resurfacing and extension of the small sized 3G pitch at Rugeley Leisure Centre to create a full sized 3G pitch on site.
- Of the 30 clubs that stated a demand for additional training facilities, 19 specifically stated a need for increased use of 3G pitches.
- It is considered that there are 140 teams which require access to train once per week on floodlit 3G surface. When applied on a district-wide level, this equates to the need for three full sized 3G pitches to service this level of training demand. At present, there are two available full sized 3G pitches across the District. Therefore, there is a shortfall of one full sized 3G pitch to meet training demand for football.
PART 7: BOWLING GREENS

7.1: Introduction

All bowling greens in Cannock Chase are crown greens and the British Crown Green Bowling Association (BCGBA) is the governing body responsible for ensuring effective governance of the sport across the Country. The season for crown green bowling generally runs from April to September.

Consultation

Although several attempts were made to contact bowls clubs in Cannock Chase (following internet research) only C&R Hawks BC responded (playing at Cannock and Rugeley Colliery Cricket Club). Therefore, without sufficient response from clubs a clear picture of need cannot be provided.

7.2: Supply

There are ten identified crown bowling greens servicing Cannock Chase demand distributed across ten sites, with no double green sites. Nine of the sites are located within the District, with one green at Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club in South Staffordshire.

There are four disused crown bowling green in Cannock Chase, located at Hednesford Park, Cannock Park, Sycamore WMC and Hagley Playing Fields. The latter site is currently out of use due to ongoing flood defence development work.

It is understood that the Sycamore WMC site has since been acquired by a residential property developer, with the green ceasing to be maintained.

Table 7.1: Summary of bowling green provision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Type of green</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cannock and Rugeley Colliery Cricket Club</td>
<td>Crown</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Sports Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Bridgtown Social</td>
<td>Crown</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Community Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club (South Staffordshire)</td>
<td>Crown</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Sports Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Heath Hayes Cons Club</td>
<td>Crown</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Community Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Rugeley Progressive WMC</td>
<td>Crown</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Community Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Chadsmoor Bowling Club</td>
<td>Crown</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Sports Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Hednesford Ex-Service WMC</td>
<td>Crown</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>Community Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>The Rag</td>
<td>Crown</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>High Green</td>
<td>Crown</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Community Organisation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

24 Determined by non-technical assessment and user consultation
Disused provision

Given a lack of response from clubs in Cannock Chase District, it should be assumed that each club has capacity to accommodate additional demand. The closure of Rugeley Power Station and its associated sports & social club in 2017, resulted in the loss of a bowling green. The green ceased to be maintained and became unavailable for community use.

Given the assumption above, replacement of the green in the District may not be required; rather improvements made to existing provision and supporting ancillary facilities to encourage bowls participation. However, this is subject to housing development on the Rugeley Power Station site that, potentially, could generate sufficient demand to validate the need for re-provision. This should be addressed upon submission of any planning application for the site.

Figure 7.1: Map showing bowling greens in Cannock Chase District.
Quality

Non-technical site assessments indicate that half of the crown greens servicing Cannock Chase demand are good quality (five greens); whilst three greens are standard quality and two are poor.

The poor quality crown greens at Cannock and Rugeley Colliery Cricket Club and Heath Hayes Cons Club both have signs of significant wear on the surfaces, whilst the latter also appeared to be missing sideboards. The score for these greens could become standard quality if maintenance at each site was improved.

A consistent issue nationally regarding green maintenance revolves around the prohibitive cost of buying machinery required to aid continued green works. One way of resolving this issue may be for clubs to share equipment enabling all clubs and greens to benefit from more regular maintenance, provided that club volunteers can be found to undertake the work.

Ancillary facilities

All bowling greens in Cannock Chase have accompanying ancillary facilities, however, the quality and size of these facilities varies at each site. Lea Hall Sports and Social Club is considered to have good quality ancillary facilities, equipped with changing rooms, showers, a bar and a function room. Car parking on site is also sufficient for the Club, but there are issues on site with the path surrounding the green which requires some remedial work to take place.

The quality of paths surrounding greens is a recurring issue those at Heath Hayes Conservative Club and Cannock and Rugeley Colliery Cricket Club also requiring improvements to help make the greens more accessible. Disabled access is considered good at Bridgtown Social and Rugeley Progressive WMC, but requires improvement at Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club, Cannock and Rugeley Colliery Cricket Club, Heath Hayes Conservative Club and Lea Hall Sports and Social Club.

Issues affecting the quality of bowling greens and ancillary facilities nationally can be a lack of surrounding fencing prohibiting unofficial use; as well as vandalism and inappropriate use of greens such as riding bikes or playing football on sites causing damage. Vandalism of ancillary facilities is not considered an issue in Cannock Chase.

Ownership and management

The majority of greens in Cannock Chase operate as sports club sites on lease agreements or hold freehold status. There are no local authority owned and managed greens in operation in the District, with two of the disused greens managed and owned by the Council (Cannock Park and Hednesford Park). Hagley Playing Fields is also a disused site but is owned and managed by Staffordshire County Council.

There is one private green located at The Rag public house which is available for pay and play from the management. The green at High Green is secured via a Trust from 1896 which established that the land is to be retained as a bowling green, in perpetuity, provided that the Club has at least 15 members to maintain the site in an attractive condition.
7.3: Demand

There are 14 bowling clubs in Cannock Chase, each with multiple teams playing various evenings and afternoons throughout the week. Sycamore WMC BC reportedly folded when the Club’s venue, Sycamore WMC closed in 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bowls summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✷ There are ten crown bowling greens in Cannock Chase, located across ten sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✷ There are no multiple green sites in Cannock Chase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✷ There are four disused greens in the District, two of which are located at sites owned and managed by Cannock Chase District Council.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✷ Non-technical site assessments indicate that five greens in Cannock Chase are good quality; three are standard quality and two are poor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✷ All clubs in the District are considered to have security of tenure at home venues either having long term lease agreements in place or from owning sites freehold.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PART 8: TENNIS

8.1: Introduction

The Lawn Tennis Association (LTA) is the organisation responsible for the governance of
tennis and administers the sport locally across Cannock Chase. The LTA has recently
restructured its strategic approach to targeting a number of national focus areas whilst
has a current focus on developing tennis at park sites.

Consultation

There are two tennis clubs based in Cannock Chase both of which responded to
consultation via an online survey (Lea Hall TC and Etching Hill TC). Further to this,
Cheslyn Hay TC is based outside of the District in South Staffordshire, but much of its
demand accesses courts at Hednesford Park as an activity provider for the Tennis for
Free Scheme. As such the Club was included in the consultation and also provided a
response to the online survey.

In addition, Cannock LTC is based at Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club in South
Staffordshire, but the majority of its players are residents of Cannock Chase, therefore
the Club is considered a Cannock Chase club. However, the Club did not respond to
consultation requests and following internet research it is thought that it may have folded
and the courts have fallen into disrepair.

8.2: Supply

Quantity

There is a total of 43 tennis courts identified in Cannock Chase located across ten sites,
of which, 22 or 56% are considered to be available for community use. Those that are not
available for community use are all located at school sites and are unavailable due to a
lack of demand or access issues. Four of the courts in the District are junior size; all
located at Hednesford Park.

Table 8.1: Tennis courts in Cannock Chase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Community use?</th>
<th>No. of courts</th>
<th>Floodlit?</th>
<th>Court type</th>
<th>Court quality&lt;sup&gt;25&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cannock Park</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Tarmac</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Heath Hayes Park</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Macadam</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Hednesford Park</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Macadam</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(junior)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Macadam</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Kingsmead School</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Macadam</td>
<td>Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Lea Hall Sports and Social Club</td>
<td>Community Organisation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Macadam</td>
<td>Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Norton Canes High School</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Tarmac</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>25</sup> Assessed using a non-technical site assessment pro-forma and also takes account of user comments.
Disused provision

The closure of Rugeley Power Station and its associated sports & social club in 2017, resulted in the loss of tennis courts. The courts ceased to be maintained and became unavailable for community use.

Given that there is spare capacity in the North East Analysis Area (where the courts would most likely be replaced); there appears to be a greater requirement to improve the quality and accessibility of the existing provision in the North East Analysis Area, rather than replacing the courts. However, this is subject to housing development on the Rugeley Power Station site that, potentially, could generate sufficient demand to validate the need for re-provision. This should be addressed upon submission of any planning application for the site.
Figure 8.2: Map showing tennis courts in Cannock Chase District
Court type

Just over half of the courts in Cannock Chase have a macadam surface (51%), with the remaining 49% all being of tarmac surface type. It should be noted, however, that the majority of the tarmac tennis courts are located at school sites which are unavailable for community use; meaning that 75% (18 courts) available for community use in the District have a macadam surface.

The estimated lifespan of a macadam court is approximately ten years, dependent on levels of use and maintenance. To ensure courts can continue to be used beyond this time frame, it is recommended that a sinking fund is put into place for repair and eventual refurbishment. The LTA reports that this cost should amount approximately £1,200 a year per macadam court (which includes on-going maintenance costs).

Table 8.2: Summary of courts by surface type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface type</th>
<th>Available for community use</th>
<th>Unavailable for community use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Artificial Grass</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macadam</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarmac</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>24</strong></td>
<td><strong>19</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quality

Of available court provision, 11 courts (46%) are assessed as good quality; seven courts (38%) are assessed as poor quality and four courts are considered standard quality (16%).

Table 8.3: Quality of community available courts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cannock Chase</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The courts assessed as good quality are located at the following sites:

- Etching Hill Tennis Club (three courts)
- Hednesford Park (eight courts)

Lea Hall TC reports that the quality of its home courts has deceased in quality in recent years due to a lack of maintenance. It suggests that the surface quality is becoming poor but the quality of the net, line markings and fencing are good quality; preventing the site from being considered poor quality overall.

Cheslyn Hay TC utilises the courts at Hednesford Park, noting that the quality of the surface is beginning to decline given the general wear of the surface and moss beginning to appear in places.

Conversely to other sites accessed by the Club, the courts at Etching Hill Tennis Club are good quality and have reportedly improved in recent seasons due to a good maintenance regime and repainting of the surfaces.
Eight of the Council managed courts are of good quality, all located at Hednesford Park. Four of these courts are junior size and therefore unsuitable for adult tennis to take place on. The remaining seven courts at Council managed sites are poor quality, with six located at Cannock Park; this is due to poor grip underfoot, loose gravel and evidence of litter, glass and moss. The maintenance of such courts is also considered to be basic and infrequent, as opposed to club maintained courts which tend to receive more specialised and dedicated work.

Improving park courts is a national priority for the LTA; however, it reports that unless tennis courts are supported by changing facilities, floodlighting and in some cases a café, it becomes more difficult to operate a sustainable tennis programme, which therefore makes it more difficult to generate external investment. The LTA also advocates that sites with a minimum of four courts are likely to be more sustainable.

*Transforming British Tennis Together (TBTT)*

In 2017 the LTA introduced its largest funding initiative, Transforming British Tennis Together, to make courts across the country more usable and accessible to everyone in the next ten years. It has committed £125 million to improve community facilities and plans to unlock a further £125 million through match funding. The funding will ensure barriers such as floodlighting; access systems (ClubSpark) and covering courts so they are more accessible throughout the year are overcome. Investment from the TBTT will transform facilities by working with clubs, parks, community venues and education sites.

*Ownership/management*

The table below highlights the management of courts. Most of the courts are located at school managed sites (44%) and these are all unavailable for community use. The majority of the courts available for community use are located at council managed sites (68%).

*Table 8.4: Courts by ownership*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Club courts</th>
<th>Private/commercial courts</th>
<th>Council courts</th>
<th>Trust courts</th>
<th>Education courts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Security of tenure*

No clubs in Cannock Chase report issues regarding security of tenure. Cheslyn Hay TC is considered to have security of tenure at Hednesford Park courts as the Club provides tennis activity to the public as part of the Tennis for Free scheme.

Etching Hill TC reports that it has a long term lease agreement in place with the Village Hall providing the Club with security of tenure. The Club pays a nominal monthly fee as part of the terms of the agreement. However, the Club also reports issues with the Village Hall committee trying to impose a new tenancy agreement at an increased cost and liability, which the Club will not be able to afford. If this does happen then the Club may be forced to relocate to an alternative site, if possible, or close.

Lea Hall TC hires its court from Lea Hall Sports and Social Club at a cost of £60 per adult member per year. The Club considers itself a formal part of the overall club and therefore is considered to have security of tenure.
Floodlighting

Floodlit courts enable use throughout the year and are identified by the LTA as being particularly key for club development. There are eight floodlit courts in Cannock Chase, as found at the following sites:

- Etching Hill Tennis Club
- Lea Hall Sports and Social Club
- Ravenhill Park

All tennis clubs in Cannock Chase have access to floodlit courts. If a club does not have this provision it may impact on their ability to cater for demand as floodlit courts have greater capacity for use than non-floodlit courts and can be used all-year round.

Ancillary provision

All clubs playing in Cannock Chase report they have access to suitable ancillary facilities for their needs. The only issue highlighted by Cheslyn Hay TC is that the changing facilities at Hednesford Park are poor quality and therefore rarely used. It suggests that if these were improved it would help to attract more users.

The ancillary provision servicing non-club courts is also generally considered to be poor quality as sites either do not provide changing facilities or provide them too far away from courts to be used. Often, the facilities predominately service football users and therefore are not readily available or specifically suited to tennis users.

8.3: Demand

Competitive tennis

The two Cannock Chase based clubs (Etching Hill TC and Lea Hall TC) provide a total of 49 members.

Further to this, Cheslyn Hay TC has a total of 1,100 members (which covers members playing at Club’s home venue in South Staffordshire and those playing at Hednesford Park in the Tennis for Free initiative).

Table 8.5: Summary of club membership

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of club</th>
<th>Number of members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seniors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheslyn Hay TC</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etching Hill TC</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lea Hall TC</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>441</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cheslyn Hay TC reports plans to grow its large membership numbers further with an aspiration for an additional 300 senior members and 100 junior members. Although these new members will likely play at both its home venue in South Staffordshire, the Club considers there to be issues growing the sport in Cannock Chase, given that other council owned park sites are of poor quality i.e. Cannock Park. Improvement to secondary or tertiary sites in the District, providing a similar offer to that at Hednesford Park would enable tennis participation to grow.
Etching Hill TC acknowledges that club membership has decreased in recent years, with senior membership decreasing by 40% over ten years, with fewer junior members replacing them. The Club does not report ambitions to increase current figures.

Similarly, Lea Hall TC reports that membership has decreased across both senior and junior sections of the Club. With only six members the Club will continue to play recreationally and is content to retain this level of participation.

**Informal tennis**

It is considered that all courts in Cannock Chase that are not accessed by clubs have spare capacity for a growth in demand, although this is difficult to quantify as use is not recorded due to the open access nature of some sites. The majority of current use is assumed to take place throughout the summer months following events such as Wimbledon.

The LTA has recently set up an initiative to change the way in which people access council courts. Instead of providing free access, some local authorities are now securing their courts as per a membership scheme that allows members access through the use of a fob system following payment of a small yearly fee. Not only does this deter unofficial use of courts but it also allows official use to be tracked, thus providing data on how well and how often courts are being accessed. Nevertheless, some investment may be required to bring courts up to standard before the initiative can be rolled out.

**Tennis for Free**

Tennis for Free is a charity that was established in 2004 to increase tennis activity, bringing the benefits of playing tennis and becoming engaged in sport to a wider community. The Charity works in partnership with local schools, tennis clubs and local authorities throughout the UK, providing access to community tennis courts, free coaching sessions and free to use equipment.

Hednesford Park is the local site for Tennis for Free sessions, every Sunday; and are supported by Cheslyn Hay TC. The Club provides coaching sessions are open to all with participants required to book in prior to each session. Sessions are then provided for all with players grouped with those of a similar quality.

**Future demand**

Of the clubs which responded to consultation, only Cheslyn Hay TC expressed any future demand to increase its membership. The Club reports plans to grow its large membership numbers further with an aspiration for an additional 300 senior members and 100 junior members. These new members will likely play at both the Club’s home venue in South Staffordshire and on courts in Cannock Chase by expending the Tennis for Free initiative if the quality at council sites is improved, with adjoining ancillary provision also provided or refurbished.

It should be considered that an increase in membership is limited by the supply available to service this demand; therefore the Club’s reported aspirations may prove unrealistic until an increased number of courts are provided.
**Trends in Cannock Chase**

Recent figures for tennis nationally have shown a recent upturn in regular players, however, the long-term trend has shown a decrease. Participation in Cannock Chase indicates it opposes the recent national trend of increased regular players with the majority of clubs stating a decrease in both senior and junior participation.

*Table 8.6: Change in the number of teams over the previous five years*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membership</th>
<th>Clubs response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The LTA states that although Cannock Chase is not specifically on its strategic development plan, it is willing to support with any partners with are able to deliver transformational projects for tennis.

**8.4: Supply and demand analysis**

The LTA advises that a non-floodlit hard court can accommodate a maximum of 40 members, whereas a floodlit hard court can accommodate 60 members. Indoor courts can accommodate 200 members with air dome covered outdoor courts being able to host 100. As grass courts are only available for approximately 12 weeks of the year they are not included in membership numbers, though there are no grass courts within the District. Club membership and their home site capacity is summarised in the table below.

*Table 8.7: Summary of supply and demand*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of club</th>
<th>Total members</th>
<th>Site capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cheslyn Hay TC</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>680[^26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etching Hill TC</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lea Hall TC</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**8.4: Conclusion**

Using above figures, Lea Hall TC and Etching Hill TC are considered to have sufficient court capacity to accommodate current and future membership, with neither club reporting aspirations for future demand to increase participation.

In contrast, the Cheslyn Hay TC is considered to be operating over capacity. The courts used by the Club at both its home venue in South Staffordshire and Hednesford Park have a combined capacity for 680 members despite a current membership of 1,100 members. As such the Club requires access to additional good quality courts, supportive of its aspirations to improve court provision in the District.

As all remaining courts are deemed to have spare capacity, focus should be on improving quality to an adequate standard for informal play, particularly at publicly available sites that are assessed as poor or standard quality.

[^26]: Includes capacity at the Club’s home venue which has six floodlit, macadam tennis courts; and the eight courts, four of which are junior size, available and used at Hednesford Park.
Tennis summary

- Generally, there is sufficient supply of tennis courts in Cannock Chase to meet current demand. However, courts at Hednesford Park are likely overplayed by Cheslyn Hay TC.
- As such Cheslyn Hay TC requires access to additional court capacity, supportive of its aspirations to improve court provision in the District.
- There is a total of 43 tennis courts identified in Cannock Chase located across ten sites, of which, 24 or 56% are as considered to be available for community use. Four of the courts are junior size, all located at one site, Hednesford Park.
- Of available court provision in Cannock Chase, 11 courts (46%) are assessed as good quality, four courts (16%) are assessed as standard quality and seven courts (38%) are assessed as poor quality.
- Most poor quality courts are located at council managed sites and in particular Cannock Park which accommodates six courts is considered to be a key site.
- There are two tennis clubs based in Cannock Chase (Lea Hall TC and Etching Hill TC). Further to this, Cheslyn Hay TC is based outside of the District in South Staffordshire, but much of its demand access courts at Hednesford Park as an activity provider for the Tennis for Free Scheme.
- Cannock LTC is based at Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club in South Staffordshire, but the majority of its players are residents of Cannock Chase, therefore the Club is considered a Cannock Chase club. However, the Club did not respond to consultation requests and following internet research it is thought that it may have folded and the courts have fallen into disrepair.
- The two Cannock Chase based clubs (Etching Hill TC and Lea Hall TC) provide a total of 49 members.
- Further to this, Cheslyn Hay TC has a total of 1,100 members (which covers members playing at Club’s home venue in South Staffordshire and those playing at Hednesford Park in the Tennis for Free initiative).
- Lea Hall TC and Etching Hill TC are considered to have sufficient court capacity to accommodate current and future membership, with neither club reporting aspirations for future demand to increase participation.
- Cheslyn Hay TC is considered to be operating over capacity. The courts used by the Club at both its home venue in South Staffordshire and Hednesford Park have a combined capacity for 680 members despite a current membership of 1,100 members.
PART 9: ATHLETICS

9.1: Introduction

As a Governing Body, UK Athletics is responsible for developing and implementing the rules and regulations of the sport, including everything from anti-doping, health and safety, facilities and welfare, to training and education for coaches and officials and permitting and licensing. Locally it is governed through England Athletics via a Club Support Manager covering the Staffordshire, Leicestershire and Derbyshire counties, within the NGB’s athletics and running department.

Consultation

There are four athletics/running clubs based in Cannock Chase; all of which were provided the opportunity to respond to consultation via the completion of a survey. Two of the four clubs provided comment to inform the report, resulting in a 50% response rate. The clubs that did not respond to consultation are:

- Cannock & Stafford AC
- Chase Harriers

9.2: Supply

There are no permanent athletics tracks in Cannock Chase. There was historically a synthetic, eight-lane 400m track located at Cannock Sports Stadium, but the track was closed in 2008.

The closest stadium is located at Rowley Park Sports Stadium (Stafford Borough), a 400-metre floodlit eight lane synthetic track. The facility also provides a grass area for field events (including a throwing cage) and two sand pits for long jump and triple jump. It is managed by Freedom Leisure on behalf of Stafford Borough Council and is accessed by Cannock & Stafford AC.

9.3: Demand

There is one athletics club in Cannock Chase; Cannock & Stafford Athletics Club. In addition, there are running clubs as detailed in the sub-sections below.

Cannock & Stafford Athletics Club

The Club accesses Rowley Park Sports Stadium Athletics track every Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday evenings and Sunday mornings; providing training and competition for all track, field and general running events.

Club membership ranges from junior members to veterans with the Club offering various membership prices, dependant on category, ranging from £20 to £55 with a family membership deal available on application.

The Club competes in various county, regional and national competitions including Staffordshire AAA Championships; Midland U20, senior and veterans championships; and England Athletics U20 and U23 Championships.
Running clubs

There are three running clubs which access sites within the District for training and competitive demand, these are:

- Chasewater Running Club
- Chase Harriers
- Rugeley Runners

Although running clubs within the District may be nominally based at certain sites, they frequently participate in road running; with courses and routes mapped out by leaders of individual groups. Therefore, the requirement for a specific running venue is not present, although consistent streetlighting and maintenance of paths is needed.

Chasewater Running Club

Chasewater Running Club is nominally based at Chasewater Country Park (Lichfield), but the Club report that much of the demand are considered residents of Cannock Chase District. The Club has a total membership of 650 members, with a recent increase in adult participation, which the Club report is the result of doubling the number of beginner running sessions.

Chasewater Country Park is the Club’s primary venue throughout British Summer Time (BST), however, as the site is not floodlit the Club relocates to local roads during the winter months.

The Club is not affiliated to England Athletics, but is listed as a RunTogether group.

Chase Harriers

Chase Harriers caters for a full range of abilities ensuring that everybody as at least one other person to run with. The Club offers Sunday morning meets throughout the year commencing and finishing at the Cannock Chase Visitor Centre.

During the winter months the Club bases itself at Cannock Chase Leisure Centre, where participants can park their cars and then join their allocated group for road running. Throughout the summer the Club provides sessions on Tuesday and Thursday evenings from the White House car park, with participants again running in the group allocated to them based on ability.

Rugeley Runners

Initially founded as Rugeley & District Athletic Club, Rugeley Runners is now, solely, a running club based from Rugeley Cricket Club. The Club meets every Tuesday and Thursday evening, offering various groups which participants can join depending on the distance and speed that is being run. There are currently 105 members of the Club, with membership having increased in recent years.

Membership of the Club costs between £15 and £25 per year after a one month free trial.

The Club helps organise the Rugeley 10, a ten mile race across Rugeley and Brereton villages. The course is UK Athletic timed with each individual chip times. There is also a Rugeley Runners Challenge that the Club has created for its members consisting of a series of events throughout the year that participants aim to complete. Points are allocated depending on finishing place and time; with prizes awarded at the end of the year.
The Club plans to develop a running path around the site of Rugeley Cricket Club. This route will follow the perimeter of the, now increased, site, including both the original and new cricket outfields.

**Staffordshire Athletics Network**

A group of local athletics and running clubs work together to deliver the widest possible support and training for coaches and athletes across Staffordshire, including Cannock Chase, known as the Staffordshire Athletics Network. The network focuses on club development and the attraction and retention of officials, coaches and volunteers.

The following clubs form the network:

- Tamworth Athletics Club
- Cannock & Stafford Athletics Club
- Burton Athletics Club
- Staffordshire Harriers
- Washlands Women Road Racing Club
- Newcastle Athletics Club
- Lichfield Running Club
- Trentham Running Club
- City of Stoke Athletics Club

Of these, Cannock & Stafford Athletics Club is based within Cannock Chase in some form. All remaining member clubs are based outside of the District in neighbouring local authorities.

**Park Run**

Park Run is a series of 5k runs held on Saturday mornings in areas of open space around the UK. They are open to all, free and are safe and easy to take part in. For those aged between 4 and 14, junior Park Run events are also available. In order to take part, runners must first register online in order to access a printed barcode that provides access to all Park Run events.

There is one Park Run event identified in Cannock Chase, based at Marquis Drive. The route is a single loop circuit with mixed terrain (tarmac and trail). The event is free to join and encourages participants to socialise together with a post-run drink at the Cannock Chase Visitor Centre Café.

There are no Junior Park Runs identified in Cannock Chase although there is one located approximately 4.5 miles away in neighbouring authority Walsall Metropolitan Borough at King George V Memorial Playing Fields (Bloxwich).

**Run Together**

Run Together (previously known as Run England) is an official England Athletics recreational running project that aims to get the whole nation running. The role of Run Together includes:

- Enabling people to join registered running groups.
- Supporting affiliated clubs and other partners in the provision of running groups for an increasing range of people.
- Training more people to qualify as running group leaders through the Leadership in Running Fitness qualification.
Signposting people to routes that have been created by local providers.

9.4: Supply and demand analysis

England Athletics guidance states that for a running track to be sustainable it should be accommodating at least 200 members. Given that Cannock & Stafford AC is currently accommodated within Stafford Borough there appears to be no demand, locally, to warrant the creation of new athletics facilities. Greater emphasis should therefore be placed on supporting the activity of the three local running clubs, potentially providing dedicated running routes that are well lit and maintained.

An England Athletics’ facility priority is to encourage innovative approached to the location and design of facilities for individual components of the sport in order to increase reach and create sustainability and viability. As part of this EA will:

- Support clubs in exploring improved environmental sustainability.
- Support the development of compact athletics facilities where there is a viable business case based on proven demand, good coaching and strong partnership working.
- Consider supporting facility innovations involving non-standard track shapes, designs and uses providing they are backed by a sound business case and do not negatively impact on the core market.
- Continue to lobby bodies with an influence over urban design, to ensure that the needs of runners are considered alongside those of cyclists and pedestrians.
- Continue to lobby for the free use of public parks and open spaces by runners and voluntary groups.
- Assist road running clubs that are looking for somewhere to meet by introducing them to sports clubs in the Area with suitable facilities.
- Produce guidelines on the key characteristics of a good running route and encourage future route providers to self-assess against this.

Considering this clubs should be encouraged to utilise the recently improved routes at Cannock Stadium. The site now has fully lit running routes of varying distances which are free to use.

Future options should be explored in relation to Run Together groups and routes given members of each club are travelling outside of the District to partake in such events.

Athletics summary

- Priority should be focused on supporting the activity of local running groups given that Cannock & Stafford AC is currently accommodated in Stafford Borough.
- Future options should be explored in relation to Run Together groups and routes given that none are currently in place.
- There are no dedicated athletics facilities within Cannock Chase, with the nearest track located at Rowley Park Sports Stadium (Stafford Borough).
- There is one athletics club in Cannock Chase; Cannock & Stafford Athletics Club which is based at Rowley Park Sports Stadium (Staffford Borough). Further to this there are also three running clubs which are based at sites throughout the District.
- There is one Park Run event in Cannock Chase, based from Marquis Drive; however, there are no junior Park Run events, with the closest located at King George V Playing Fields (Bloxwich).
- There are no Run Together groups in the District.
PART 10: GOLF

10.1: Introduction

Golf is the fifth largest participation sport in England, with around 675,000 members belonging to one of 1900 affiliated clubs and a further two million people playing independently outside of club membership.

Nationally, it is governed by England Golf. The role of the NGB includes providing competitions for all ages and abilities, identifying and developing the most talented golfers, maintaining a uniform system of handicapping, administering and applying the rules and introducing new golfers via its initiative ‘get into golf’.

Since March 2017, England Golf solely oversees the Whole Sport Plan and receive golf’s National Lottery grant under Sport England’s strategy. England Golf’s Whole Sport Plan, identifies how England will achieve its vision of becoming ‘the leading golf nation in the world by 2020’ from grass roots through to elite level.

The previous 18 hole golf course located at Rugeley Power Station (Lakeside Golf Course), which is partly located in Cannock Chase District, is subject to planning for potential housing development. There does not appear to be a requirement for a replacement facility, given the capacity at both courses in Cannock Chase District; and the availability of courses in neighbouring Lichfield District; it may be more beneficial to improve the quality and offering at local facilities to encourage and supporting growth in golf activity.

However, this is subject to housing development on the Rugeley Power Station site that, potentially, could generate sufficient demand to validate the need for re-provision. This should be addressed upon submission of any planning application for the site. No currently operational golf courses in Cannock Chase are considered to be at risk from development or change of use.

Consultation

Beau Desert Golf Club and Cannock Park Golf Club were both provided the opportunity to respond to consultation requests via an online survey. Neither club completed the aforementioned survey meaning with information regarding the clubs derived through online research and from information provided by England Golf.

10.2: Supply

There are two golf courses situated within Cannock Chase:

- Beau Desert Golf Club
- Cannock Park Golf Club

Beau Desert Golf Club is operated by the Club of the same name; whilst Cannock Park Golf Club is operated by a social enterprise, Inspiring Healthy Lifestyles, which manages the site on behalf of Cannock Chase District Council.

Lakeside Golf Course located on the site of Rugeley Power Station, is partly located within the Cannock Chase District boundary and was previously accessed by Cannock Chase demand prior to site closure. The site is subject to redevelopment, with both Cannock Chase District Council and Lichfield District Council working cooperatively to ensure any loss of sporting provision is re-provided in the locale.
Course facilities

The range of facilities on offer varies between courses in Cannock Chase. Both courses offer 18-hole courses and putting greens; whilst Beau Desert Golf Club is accompanied by a ten-bay driving range.

Table 10.1: Summary of golf courses in Cannock Chase

| Course name            | Number of holes | Par | Yardage  
|------------------------|-----------------|-----|-------
| Beau Desert Golf Club  | 18              | 70  | 6,458 |
|                        |                 |     | 5,897 |
|                        |                 |     | 5,322 |
| Cannock Park Golf Club | 18              | 67  | 4,826 |

As mentioned above, both golf courses in Cannock Chase are traditional 18-hole courses.

Quality

Both courses are considered to be good quality, with a dedicated maintenance regime carried out at each facility.

Ancillary facilities

The provision of a clubhouse can often be key for golf clubs nationally as it can provide an income stream, not only from members but also through hiring the venue out for occasions (e.g. wedding receptions).

Beau Desert Golf Club host clubhouse facilities including showers, toilets, kitchen and changing rooms, whereas Cannock Park Golf Club does not have a dedicated clubhouse for members but does have an accompanying leisure complex offering changing rooms, a café and access to facilities for other sports.

Membership and costs

Access to Cannock Park Golf Club and Beau Desert Golf Club is available via both membership and pay and play, although at the latter pay and play is limited; throughout the winter months it is unavailable to non-members at the weekend; and only with limited availability throughout the summer.

Nationally, many clubs have begun to alter their pricing structure to allow for discounted rates following a decline in golf participation. For instance, some clubs now offer a six-day or five-day membership (whereby members can access the course on specific days but not on a Saturday and/or a Sunday), whilst others now provide discounts based on age that is no longer limited to junior players. Previously, many clubs throughout the country had a waiting list for membership but that is rarely the case in the present day.

Table 10.2: Summary of membership in Cannock Chase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course name</th>
<th>Membership summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

27 White denotes Championship tees; yellow denotes men’s tees and red denotes ladies tees.
Table 10.3: Summary of England Golf demand segmentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Facilities mix and experience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1: Relaxed members</td>
<td>Prefers an 18 hole / 9 hole course, covered driving range, social clubhouse, affordable, convenient location.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Older traditionalists</td>
<td>Prefers a first class 18 hole course, coaching and facilities to improve game, social clubhouse, off peak membership.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Younger traditionalists</td>
<td>Prefers an 18 hole / 9 hole / par 3 courses, driving range, practice area, social clubhouse, convenient location.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: Younger fanatics</td>
<td>Prefers an 18 hole / 9 hole / par 3 courses, driving range, practice area, affordable and flexible payment, pay and play, convenient location.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: Late enthusiasts</td>
<td>Prefers an 9 hole / par 3 courses, covered driving range, affordable flexible membership, pay and play, other facilities (gym, swimming pool), convenient location.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6: Occasionals/time-pressed</td>
<td>Prefers an 18 hole / access to shorter courses, covered driving range, affordable/ flexible membership, pay and play.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7: Social couples</td>
<td>Prefers a 9 hole / par 3 course, covered driving range, social clubhouse, affordable, affordable/ flexible membership, pay and play.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8: Casual fun</td>
<td>Prefers a social clubhouse, shorter courses, mini golf, pitch and putt, pay and play, convenient location, covered driving range.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To align with this, a facility mapping tool has been created to provide a statistical data engine that identifies golfing demand within a 20-minute drive time of each facility within England using the segments above. The tool highlights the dominant profiles within each catchment area and also within access to each course. This can then be used to predict likely demand for each type of facility and can support informed marketing, development and investment decisions whilst allowing providers to adapt their offer to cater for a range of different needs.

The demand for golf within Cannock Chase by segment type is seen in the table below. The figures represent the number of people within each profile that are within a 20-minute drive time of each course. It is averaged to ensure no double counting.

**Table 10.4: Summary of demand in Cannock Chase by segment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment no.</th>
<th>Segment name</th>
<th>Average number of people per affiliated facility (20 minute drive time)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Relaxed members</td>
<td>8,707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Older traditionalists</td>
<td>7,432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Younger Traditionalists</td>
<td>8,611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Younger fanatics</td>
<td>7,744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Younger actives</td>
<td>8,311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Late enthusiasts</td>
<td>7,682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occasional time pressed</td>
<td>8,436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Social couples</td>
<td>8,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Casual fun</td>
<td>7,591</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In total, an average of 72,514 people are identified as current or potential users of each golf course within Cannock Chase. The most dominant profile is relaxed members, followed by younger traditionalists, although each profile is well represented. These profiles are applied on a course-by-course basis in the following table.

**Table 10.5: Summary of demand per course by profile type**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beau Desert Golf Club</td>
<td>5,350</td>
<td>4,657</td>
<td>5,175</td>
<td>4,837</td>
<td>5,116</td>
<td>4,820</td>
<td>5,136</td>
<td>5,008</td>
<td>4,832</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannock Park Golf Club</td>
<td>12,064</td>
<td>10,206</td>
<td>12,047</td>
<td>10,651</td>
<td>11,505</td>
<td>10,543</td>
<td>11,736</td>
<td>10,994</td>
<td>10,351</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table summarises that demand is likely to be the highest for Cannock Park Golf Club, which has 100,097 potential users, although it must be noted that the facilities offered may not appeal to certain club-based segments that would generally prefer not to access a standard 18-hole course. This number is significantly higher than at Beau Desert Golf Club, which has 44,931 potential users.

---

28 In line with England Golf’s preference for a 20 minute drive time.
Current membership

The table below summarises recent and current membership for each course located in Cannock Chase.

**Table 10.6: Summary of membership in Cannock Chase**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course name</th>
<th>Affiliated membership numbers</th>
<th>Consultation (2018)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beau Desert Golf Club</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannock Park Golf Club</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current membership levels are unknown as neither club was responsive to consultation requests.

**Golf Express**

In partnership with England Golf, Golf Express promotes and creates availability for people to play nine-hole golf and other short formats of the sport. The nine-hole round is an offering to people who want to play the sport but do not have enough time to complete the traditional 18-hole round. A nine-hole round can be completed in half the time (estimated two hours) and is compatible with the rules of golf and the handicap system. It was introduced in 2015 as a way of increasing membership and participation in the sport. Neither course in Cannock Chase offers this format.

**Footgolf**

Footgolf is a relatively new activity that is played on a golf course using a football. The object of the game is to get the ball into the hole using only your feet in the fewest number of shots possible. It is governed by the UK Footgolf Association.

Nationally, there are currently 160 recognised golf courses that incorporate Footgolf, with many clubs recognising it as a chance to provide an income stream that can provide sustainability at a time when participation in the traditional game has decreased. Cannock Park Golf Club offers Footgolf on site from April to October. The course is available from 2.30pm-4pm on Saturdays and Sundays, with a UK Footgolf affiliated 18-hole course. Par for the course is 72, measuring 2,570 yards.

From April 2019, a nine-hole footgolf course will be established at Cannock Park Golf Club. The course will be available for community use and affiliated to UK Footgolf.

**Get into Golf**

Get into Golf is a national campaign, delivered locally, to inspire people to take up golf. The campaign is run by England Golf and is provided by local golf facilities and PGA professionals. Its purpose is to create awareness for the sport and opportunities for beginners by providing support and low-cost activities including:

- Taster sessions
- Beginner courses
- Learn golf in a day
- Improvers courses
Neither club in Cannock Chase participates in the national campaign.

**Supply and demand analysis**

The overall picture suggests that there is substantial demand for golf within Cannock Chase, meaning each course should be protected together with accompanying facilities such as driving ranges. Further to this, clubs should be supported to maximise their usage throughout the week.

Cannock Chase’s supply of facilities does not meet all nine segments of demand, despite the introduction of Footgolf at Cannock Park Golf Club. Further developing the sites should be explored, enabling clubs to provide more than a standard 18-hole course, enabling different playing formats such as Golf Express and Get into Golf programmes to be offered, which can attract a wider range of demand; however, this is down to each club’s prerogative regarding their chosen target market.

There is considered to be sufficient capacity within the District to accommodate potential demand; however, as mentioned the variety of facilities on offer at both sites requires addressing to support the sustainability of each course, as well as to attract new participants to the sport.

---

**Golf summary**

- The overall picture suggests that there is significant demand for golf within Cannock Chase, meaning each course should be protected. Further to this, clubs should be supported to maximise their usage throughout the week.
- There are two courses within Cannock Chase, Beau Desert Golf Club and Cannock Park Golf Club.
- Beau Desert Golf Club is operated by the named club, whilst Cannock Park Golf Club is operated by a social enterprise, Inspiring Healthy Lifestyles, that manages the course on behalf of the Council.
- Both courses of 18-hole courses and putting greens, whilst Beau Desert Golf Club also has a ten-bay driving range.
- Both courses are considered to be good quality.
- Both courses are available via both membership and pay and play.
- In total, an average of 72,514 people are identified as current or potential users of each golf course within Cannock Chase.
- Demand is likely to be highest for Cannock Park Golf Club, which has 100,097 potential users.
- Cannock Park Golf Club accommodates a dedicated UK Footgolf affiliated 18-hole course.
APPENDIX 1: SPORTING CONTEXT

The following section outlines a series of national, regional and local policies pertaining to the study and which will have an important influence on the Strategy.

National context

The provision of high quality and accessible community outdoor sports facilities at a local level is a key requirement for achieving the targets set out by the Government and Sport England. It is vital that this strategy is cognisant of and works towards these targets in addition to local priorities and plans.

*Department of Media Culture and Sport Sporting Future: A New Strategy for an Active Nation (2015)*

The Government published its strategy for sport in December 2015. This strategy confirms the recognition and understanding that sport makes a positive difference through broader means and that it will help the sector to deliver five simple but fundamental outcomes: physical health, mental health, individual development, social and community development and economic development. In order to measure its success in producing outputs which accord with these aims it has also adopted a series of 23 performance indicators under nine key headings, as follows:

- More people taking part in sport and physical activity.
- More people volunteering in sport.
- More people experiencing live sport.
- Maximising international sporting success.
- Maximising domestic sporting success.
- Maximising domestic sporting success.
- A more productive sport sector.
- A more financially and organisationally sustainable sport sector.
- A more responsible sport sector.

*Sport England: Towards an Active Nation (2016-2021)*

Sport England has recently released its new five year strategy ‘Towards an Active Nation’. The aim is to target the 28% of people who do less than 30 minutes of exercise each week and will focus on the least active groups; typically women, the disabled and people from lower socio-economic backgrounds.

Sport England will invest up to £30m on a plan to increase the number of volunteers in grassroots sport. Emphasis will be on working with a larger range of partners with less money being directed towards National Governing Bodies.

The Strategy will help deliver against the five health, social and economic outcomes set out in the Government’s Sporting Future strategy:

- Physical Wellbeing
- Mental Wellbeing
- Individual Development
- Social & Community Development
- Economic Development
National Planning Policy Framework

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets out planning policies for England. It details how these changes are expected to be applied to the planning system. It also provides a framework for local people and their councils to produce distinct local and neighbourhood plans, reflecting the needs and priorities of local communities.

The NPPF states the purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. It identifies that the planning system needs to focus on three themes of sustainable development: economic, social and environmental. A presumption in favour of sustainable development is a key aspect for any plan-making and decision-taking processes. In relation to plan-making the NPPF sets out that Local Plans should meet objectively assessed needs.

The ‘promoting healthy communities’ theme identifies that planning policies should be based on robust and up-to-date assessments of the needs for open space, sports and recreation facilities and opportunities for new provision. Specific needs and quantitative or qualitative deficiencies or surpluses in local areas should also be identified. This information should be used to inform what provision is required in an area.

As a prerequisite the NPPF states existing open space, sports and recreation buildings and land, including playing fields, should not be built on unless:

- An assessment has been undertaken, which has clearly shown that the open space, buildings or land is surplus to requirements.
- The loss resulting from the proposed development would be replaced by equivalent or better provision in terms of quantity and quality in a suitable location.
- The development is for alternative sports and recreational provision, the needs for which clearly outweigh the loss.

In order for planning policies to be ‘sound’ local authorities are required to carry out a robust assessment of need for open space, sport and recreation facilities.

The FA National Football Facilities Strategy (2018-28)

The Football Association’s (FA) National Football Facilities Strategy (NFFS) provides a strategic framework that sets out key priorities and targets for the national game (i.e., football) over a ten-year period. The Strategy is presently in draft and is due for publication in 2018.

The Strategy sets out shared aims and objectives it aims to deliver on in conjunction with The Premier League, Sport England and the Government, to be delivered with support of the Football Foundation.

These stakeholders have clearly identified the aspirations for football to contribute directly to nationally important social and health priorities. Alongside this, the strategy is clear that traditional, affiliated football remains an important priority and a core component of the game, whilst recognising and supporting the more informal environments used for the community and recreational game.

Its vision is: “Within 10 years we aim to deliver great football facilities, wherever they are needed”
£1.3 billion has been spent by football and Government since 2000 to enhance existing football facilities and build new ones. However, more is needed if football and Government’s shared objectives for participation, individual well-being and community cohesion are to be achieved. Nationally, direct investment will be increased – initially to £69 million per annum from football and Government (a 15% increase on recent years).

The NFFS investment priorities can be broadly grouped into six areas, recognising the need to grow the game, support existing players and better understand the different football environments:

- **Improve 20,000 Natural Turf pitches**, with a focus on addressing drop off due to a poor playing experience;
- **Deliver 1,000 3G AGP ‘equivalents’** (mix of full size and small sided provision, including MUGAs - small sided facilities are likely to have a key role in smaller / rural communities and encouraging multi-sport offers), enhancing the quality of playing experience and supporting a sustainable approach to grass roots provision;
- **Deliver 1,000 changing pavilions/clubhouses**, linked to multi-pitch or hub sites, supporting growth (particularly in women and girls football), sustainability and providing a facility infrastructure to underpin investment in coaching, officials and football development;
- **Support access to flexible indoor spaces**, including equipment and court markings, to support growth in futsal, walking football and to support the education and skills outcomes, exploiting opportunities for football to positively impact on personal and social outcomes for young people in particular;
- **Refurbish existing stock to maintain current provision**, recognising the need to address historic under-investment and issues with refurbishment of existing facilities;
- **Support testing of technology and innovation**, building on customer insight to deliver hubs for innovation, testing and development of the game.

**Local Football Facility Plans**

To support in delivery of the NFFS, The FA has commissioned a national project. Over the next two years to 2020, a Local Football Facility Plan (LFFP) will be produced for every local authority across England. Each plan will be unique to its area as well as being diverse in its representation, including currently underrepresented communities.

Identifying strategic priorities for football facilities across the formal, recreational and informal game, LFFPs will establish a ten-year vision for football facilities that aims to transform the playing pitch stock in a sustainable way. They will identify key projects to be delivered and act as an investment portfolio for projects that require funding. As such, around 90% of all will be identified via LFFPs. LFFPs will guide the allocation of 90% of national football investment (The FA, Premier League and DCMS) and forge stronger partnerships with local stakeholders to develop key sites. This, together with local match-funding will deliver over one billion pounds of investment into football facilities over the next 10-years.

It is important to recognise that a LFFP is an investment portfolio of priority projects for potential investment - it is not a detailed supply and demand analysis of all pitch provision in a local area. Therefore, it cannot be used as a replacement for a Playing Pitch Strategy (PPS) and it will not be accepted as an evidence base for site change of use or disposal.

A LFFP will; however, build on available/existing local evidence and strategic plans and may adopt relevant actions from a PPS and/or complement these with additional investment priorities.
The FA: National Game Strategy (2018-2021)

The FA launched its new National Game Strategy in July 2018 which aims to inspire a lifelong journey in football for all. To achieve this, the strategy will focus on five key aspects of the game:

- A high quality introduction to football
- Developing clubs and leagues
- Embrace all formats of football and engage all participants
- Recruit, develop and support the workforce
- Develop sustainable facilities

Through these five pillars, The FA’s objectives are to:

- Increase the number of male affiliated and recreational players by 10%.
- Double the number of female affiliated and recreational players via a growth of 75%.
- Increase the number of disability affiliated and recreational players by 30%.
- Ensure affiliated Futsal is available across the country in order to increase the number of Futsal affiliated and recreational players.

The sustainable football facilities should provide support to an agreed portfolio of priority projects that meet National Football Facility Strategy (NFFS) investment priorities.

England and Wales Cricket Board (ECB) Cricket Unleashed 5 Year Plan (2016-2021)

The England and Wales Cricket Board unveiled a new strategic five-year plan in 2016 (available at [http://www.cricketunleashed.com](http://www.cricketunleashed.com)). Its success will be measured by the number of people who play, follow or support the whole game.

The plan sets out five important headline elements and each of their key focuses, these are:

- **More Play** – make the game more accessible and inspire the next generation of players, coaches, officials and volunteers. Focus on:
  - Clubs and leagues
  - Kids
  - Communities
  - Casual

- **Great Teams** – deliver winning teams who inspire and excite through on-field performance and off-field behaviour. Focus on:
  - Pathway
  - Support
  - Elite Teams
  - England Teams

- **Inspired Fans** – put the fan at the heart of our game to improve and personalise the cricket experience for all. Focus on:
  - Fan focus
  - New audiences
  - Global stage
  - Broadcast and digital

- **Good Governance and Social Responsibility** – make decisions in the best interests of the game and use the power of cricket to make a positive difference. Focus on:
  - Integrity
  - Community programmes
  - Our environments
  - One plan
Strong Finance and Operations – increase the game’s revenues, invest our resources wisely and administer responsibly to secure the growth of the game. Focus on:
- People
- Revenue and reach
- Insight
- Operations

The Rugby Football Union Strategic Plan (2017-2021)

The RFU has released its new strategic vision for rugby in England. The strategy is based on four main elements which are; Protect, Engage, Grow and Win. It covers all elements of rugby union ranging from elite rugby to grassroots, although the general relevancy to the PPS is centred around growing the game.

The RFU exists to promote and develop rugby union in England and ensure the long-term sustainability of clubs by growing player numbers and retaining them across all age groups. Responding to wider market influences, work will continue on developing new ways to take part in all forms of the game, without comprising the sports traditions. This will ensure a lasting legacy from elite success by attracting new players and encouraging current male and female adult players to play.

The four key aims to ensure long term sustainability are to:
- Improve player transition from age grade to adult 15-a-side rugby
- Expand places to play through Artificial Grass Pitches (AGPs)
- Engage new communities in rugby
- Create a community 7’s offering

England Hockey (EH) - A Nation Where Hockey Matters 2013

The vision is for England to be a ‘Nation Where Hockey Matters’.

We know that delivering success on the international stage stimulates the nation’s pride in their hockey team and, with the right events in place, we will attract interest from spectators, sponsors and broadcasters alike. The visibility that comes from our success and our occasions will inspire young people and adults to follow in the footsteps of their heroes and, if the right opportunities are there to meet their needs, they will play hockey and enjoy wonderful experiences.

Underpinning all this is the infrastructure which makes our sport function. We know the importance of our volunteers, coaches, officials, clubs and facilities. The more inspirational our people can be, the more progressive we can be and the more befitting our facilities can be, the more we will achieve for our sport. England Hockey will enable this to happen and we are passionate about our role within the sport. We will lead, support, counsel, focus and motivate the Hockey Nation and work tirelessly towards our vision.

As a governing body, we want to have a recognisable presence to participants of the game, be that through club or association website or their communications, or through the work of the many outstanding coaches in our game, so that players understand that their club is part of a wider team working together to a common goal.
The core objectives are as follows:

1. Grow our Participation
2. Deliver International Success
3. Increase our Visibility
4. Enhance our Infrastructure
5. For England Hockey to be proud and respected custodians of the sport

Club participation

Our club market is well structured and clubs are required to affiliate to England Hockey to play in community leagues. As a result only relatively few occasional teams lie outside our affiliation structure. Schools and Universities are the other two areas where significant hockey is played.

Hockey is clearly benefiting from a double Olympic legacy. After Great Britain’s women won bronze in front of a home crowd in London in 2012 the numbers of young girls playing the sport doubled and a historic gold in Rio 2016 saw more than 10,000 players promptly joining clubs. These triumphs have inspired the nation to get active and play hockey. Thanks to the outstanding work of the network of clubs across the country, England Hockey has seen unprecedented growth at both ends of the age range. There has been an 80% increase in the number of boys and girls in clubs, as well as a 54% increase in players over the age of 46.

Hockey clubs have reaped the rewards of the improved profile of the sport, focussing on a link with schools to provide excellent opportunities for young players. Programmes such as Quick sticks – a small-sided version of hockey for 7-11 year olds – in Primary Schools have been hugely successful in allowing new players to take part in the sport from an early age. The growth in the sport since the eve of London 2012 has been seen across the country, examples being a 110% increase in under 16s club participation in London, and a 111% growth in the North West in the same age bracket.

England Hockey Strategy

England Hockey's Facilities Strategy can be found here.

Vision: For every hockey club in England to have appropriate and sustainable facilities that provide excellent experiences for players.

Mission: More, Better, Happier Players with access to appropriate and sustainable facilities

The 3 main objectives of the facilities strategy are:

1. PROTECT: To conserve the existing hockey provision

   - There are currently over 800 pitches that are used by hockey clubs (club, school, universities) across the country. It is important to retain the current provision where appropriate to ensure that hockey is maintained across the country.

2. IMPROVE: To improve the existing facilities stock (physically and administratively)

   - The current facilities stock is ageing and there needs to be strategic investment into refurbishing the pitches and ancillary facilities. England Hockey works to provide more support for clubs to obtain better agreements with facilities providers & education around owning an asset.
3. DEVELOP: To strategically build new hockey facilities where there is an identified need and ability to deliver and maintain. This might include consolidating hockey provision in a local area where appropriate.

England Hockey has identified key areas across the country where there is a lack of suitable hockey provision and there is a need for additional pitches, suitable for hockey. There is an identified demand for multi pitches in the right places to consolidate hockey and allow clubs to have all of their provision catered for at one site.

2015-2018 British Tennis Strategy

The new strategy is presented in a concise one page framework that includes key strategies relating to three participation "focus" areas, six participation "drivers" and three participation "enablers". To achieve success, the 12 strategy areas will need to work interdependently to stem the decline and unlock sustainable growth.

The three participation “focus” areas are where tennis is consumed:

- Deliver great service to clubs
- Build partnerships in the community, led by parks
- Enhance the tennis offer in education

The six participation "drivers" are the areas that will make the biggest difference where tennis is consumed. They must all be successful on a standalone and interconnected basis and include:

- Becoming more relevant to coaches
- Refocusing on recreational competition
- Providing results orientated facility investment
- Applying best in class marketing and promotion
- Jump starting the peak summer season
- Establishing a "no compromise" high performance programme with focus

The final layer is comprised of three participation "enablers" that underpin our ability to be successful. These enablers are rooted in how the LTA will get better; how the entire network of partners must be harnessed to work together and the need to raise more financial resources to fund our sport's turnaround. They include:

- Becoming a more effective and efficient LTA
- Harnessing the full resource network
- Generating new revenue

For further information and more detail on the framework please go to [http://www.lta.org.uk/about-the-lta/structure-vision](http://www.lta.org.uk/about-the-lta/structure-vision)

British Crown Green Bowling Association

Please note there is no current facility guidance provided by British Crown Green Bowling Association responsible for crown green bowls in England.
Growing the Game of Golf in England (2017-2021)

In 2014, England Golf developed its first national strategy to help golf in England rise to some serious challenges. Membership was declining, many clubs were facing financial and business problems and the perception of the game was proving damaging. As such, it decided to set out recommendations for actions that would help “raise the game”.

The 2014 strategy helped achieve the following:

- 427,111 people being introduced to golf for the first time.
- 31,913 new members for England’s golf clubs from national initiatives.
- Over £25 million generated for golf clubs through new members.
- Four counties to merge their men’s and women’s unions associations.
- Support for 15,200 national, regional and county squad players.
- Over 150 championships and events organised across the country.

Following the above strategy, England Golf is now setting out to “grow the game” of golf through seven strategic objectives. Developed in consultation with the golfing community, six of these are developed from the previous work in 2014, whilst one (being customer focussed) is brand new and intends on boosting the impact of them all.

The objectives are:

- Being customer focussed
- Stronger counties and club
- Excellent governance
- Improve image
- More members and players
- Outstanding championships, competitions and events
- Winning golfers


Facilities are essential to attracting, retaining and developing athletes of the future. Having the right facilities in the right place will be crucial in meeting growing demand, increasing participation in physical activity and athletics, improving the health of the nation and supporting a new generation of athletes in clubs and schools through to national and world class level.

UKA and the Home Country Athletics Federations (HCAFs) recognise the challenges faced by facility owners and venue operators, and the 5 year Facility Strategy (2014-2019) uses a Track & Field facility model designed to support a sustainable UK network of development, training and competition venues that meet Home Country needs aligned to UKA’s Athlete/Participant Development Model. In addition to Track and Field provision, UKA recognises the huge amount of club activity that takes place on roads, paths and trails and the strategy also maps out a plan for future “running” facilities.

The strategy does not seek to identify priority facilities, clubs or geographical areas. Instead, it provides the direction and guidance that will enable the four Home Country Athletics Federations (England Athletics, Athletics Northern Ireland, Scottish Athletics and Welsh Athletics) to establish their own priorities and deliver the principles of the UKA Facilities Strategy within their own national context.
Key outcomes:

- Increased participation across all athletics disciplines
- Increased club membership by providing facilities that support a participation pathway from novice through to club member
- Increased talent pool
- Long term improvement in the development of athletes of all ages and abilities
- Securing the long term future of existing facilities
- More attractive and inspiring facilities for existing and potential athletes
- Improving the athletics experience for all participants
- Improved relationships and interactions between stakeholders, particularly clubs and facility operators
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PART 1: INTRODUCTION

This is the Cannock Chase Draft Playing Pitch Strategy for the period 2018-2036. Recommendations are drawn from the Playing Pitch Assessment Report, researched and prepared initially between December 2017 and April 2018; and updated in December 2018; by specialist sport and leisure consultancy, Knight Kavanagh and Page Ltd (KKP). Both the Assessment Report and the Strategy were prepared in accordance with Sport England’s Sport England’s Playing Pitch Strategy Guidance: An approach to developing and delivering a Playing Pitch Strategy and in consultation with Cannock Chase District Council (CCDC), Sport England, national governing bodies of sport (NGBs), local sports clubs and key stakeholders.

Purpose

A Playing Pitch Strategy will provide the evidence required to help protect playing fields to ensure sufficient land is available to meet existing and projected future pitch requirements. Planning Policy and other relevant sport related corporate strategies must be based upon a robust evidence base in order to ensure planning, local policies and sport development criteria can be implemented efficiently and effectively.

Cannock Chase both aspires and needs to consider its facilities planning, particularly in the context of future growth needs; the changing economic and demographic profile of the area and the potential change of circumstance following the closure of Rugeley Power Station and its surrounds.

The focus of this Strategy is to provide clear direction to all partners so that together they can plan and develop the more modern, efficient and sustainable range of outdoor sport and leisure facilities that Cannock Chase requires. This will ensure that residents have the opportunity to be physically active and healthier and where appropriate take forward their sporting ambitions within their local community.

Scope

The following types of outdoor sports facilities are included in the Assessment and Strategy:

- Cricket pitches
- Football pitches
- Artificial Grass Pitches (AGPs)
- Hockey AGPs
- Rugby union pitches

Pitch sports were assessed using the guidance set out in Sport England’s Playing Pitch Strategy Guidance: An approach to developing and delivering a playing pitch strategy.

Outdoor sports

- Tennis
- Bowls
- Athletics
- Golf

Outdoor sports were assessed using Sport England’s Assessing Needs and Opportunities Guidance (2014). Non-pitch outdoor sports require a different methodology to assess demand and supply to that used for pitch sports.
Strategy structure

The Strategy has been developed from research and analysis of playing pitch provision and usage within Cannock Chase to provide:

- A vision for the future improvement and prioritisation of outdoor sports facilities.
- A number of aims to help deliver the recommendations and actions.
- A series of sport by sport recommendations which provide a strategic framework for sport led improvements to provision.
- A range of sport by sport and local authority wide scenarios to help inform policy recommendations and prioritisation of actions.
- A series of strategic recommendations which provide a strategic framework for the improvement, maintenance, development and, as appropriate, rationalisation of provision.
- A prioritised area-by-area action plan to address key issues.

The Strategy and Action Plan recommends a number of priority projects for Cannock Chase which should be implemented over the next ten years. It provides a framework for improvement and, although resources may not currently be in place to implement it, potential partners and possible sources of external funding (see Appendix Four: Funding Plan) have been identified.

Monitoring and updating

It is important that there is regular annual monitoring and review against the actions identified in the Strategy. This monitoring should be led by the Council and supported by the Steering Group. As a guide, if no review and subsequent update has been carried out within three years of the PPS being signed off by the Steering Group, then Sport England and the NGBs would consider the PPS and the information on which it is based to be out of date. If the PPS is used as a ‘live’ document, and kept up to date, the time frame can be extended to five years.

The PPS should be reviewed on an annual basis from the date it is formally signed off by the Steering Group. This will help to maintain the momentum and commitment that would have been built up when developing the PPS. Taking into account the time to develop the PPS this should also help to ensure that the original supply and demand information is no more than two years old without being reviewed. Part 8 of this strategy report contains a suggested process for carrying out the update and monitoring. The Steering Group will need to agree the process prior to adoption of this strategy.

Study area

Cannock Chase District covers over seven thousand hectares on the northern fringe of the West Midlands conurbation, extending through the Cannock Chase Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) to the River Trent flood plain in the north. Walsall Metropolitan Borough Council and the Staffordshire Districts of Lichfield, Stafford and South Staffordshire border the District.

Since 2011, Cannock Chase has formed part of both the Greater Birmingham and Solihull Local Enterprise Partnership (along with Birmingham, Bromsgrove, East Staffordshire, Lichfield, Redditch, Solihull, Tamworth and Wyre Forest) plus the Stoke-on-Trent and Staffordshire Local Enterprise Partnership.

---

1 Please note that Sport England funding streams will be subject to change throughout 2018/19.
Given that 60% of the District is designated Green Belt, it is a crucial feature of the District’s overall character. Cannock Chase, to the north of the district, is a designated Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB). As well as being an amenity for local people it also attracts many visitors which must be carefully managed due to both landscape and ecological sensitivities. The Council provides a range of formal parks (four of which have achieved national (Green Flag) standard plus play areas and open spaces.

The study will report on a Districtwide basis and by three distinct sub-geographical (catchment areas), generally reflecting the main urban areas in the North East (Rugeley), Central/West (Cannock and Hednesford) and South East (Heath Hayes and Norton Canes). However, these will only be applied as relevant to the sport and where demand warrants it, for example, for football due to its size and spread of facilities across the District.

Figure 1.1: Analysis areas
Context

Population growth

The resident population in Cannock Chase is recorded as 98,534 (based on ONS 2016 mid-year estimates). By 2036 (in line with the Local Plan review period), the District’s population is projected to increase by 5,558 or 6% to 104,092 (ONS 2014-based projections²).

The basis for identifying future demand within the PPS has been ONS 2014-based projections on the basis that the Government advised to revert to using the 2014 figures ONS-based projections when setting housing need as it better reflects the likely population growth.

The population is at its most dense around Cannock in the west and Rugeley in the north of the District. Cannock Chase has similar levels of deprivation to the rest of Staffordshire; 30% of the District population lives in areas covered by the country’s three most deprived cohorts (national average;30%). In contrast to this, 20.7% live in the three least deprived groupings in the country; compared to a ‘norm’ of c.30%.

Planning context

The Government is changing the way in which housing need is calculated, using a new national standardised methodology. CCDC will need to use the figure provided to use once this methodology is finalised. As an indication the draft methodology recently consulted upon gives CCDC a figure (which could still change) of 284 dwellings per year (for the period 2016-2026) compared to the current Local Plan (Part 1) requirement of 241 dwellings per annum (for the period 2006-2028). Using the new requirement figures, the performance of CCDC is also likely to be measured using a new Housing Delivery Test for which the Government are still developing details but there are likely to be sanctions for under-delivery. Acceleration of housing delivery is also a key aim of the West Midlands Combined Authority which is producing a Strategic Investment and Delivery Plan so the Council will need to be mindful of this as it prepares the new plan.

CCDC is one of fourteen local authority areas which fall within the Greater Birmingham Housing Market Area (GBHMA). Across this wider area, there is a significant housing shortfall of 28,150 dwellings to 2031 and 60,900 to 2036. Under the legal Duty to Cooperate, work has been ongoing to look at ways of addressing this shortfall and a report has recently been published which considers the following across the entire Housing Market Assessment area:

- Current capacity
- Potential for increasing densities
- Further supply on non-Green Belt sites
- Potential Green Belt opportunities utilising a strategic Green belt review

CCDC is not able to say how much growth it might be able to accommodate at present as it will need further evidence to see what capacity it might have (for example impacts on the transport network, schools, health facilities and so on) as well as assessing other impacts (for instance on the landscape and the environment). The Local Plan is being reviewed and, potentially, may need to explore some Green Belt options if sufficient urban capacity and brownfield options cannot be found to deliver the growth needed. This would require a range of evidence based work to be utilised e.g. information on potential capacity from non-Green Belt land and the District Green Belt Study (2016).

In addition, CCDC’s Rugeley Power Station Development Brief Supplementary Planning Document (adopted February 2018) was jointly produced by CCDC and Lichfield District Council to guide future redevelopment of the site. The overall aim is to create a well-designed mixed use development which incorporates market housing, affordable housing, self-build housing, employment provision, education provision and open space and recreational facilities. It is envisaged that the new development will become a popular residential neighbourhood and place of work, creating a network of pedestrian and cycle routes and open spaces which connect the site with the surrounding area.

The site comprises of 139 hectares of land, (55 hectares in Cannock Chase District and 84 hectares in Lichfield District) and is roughly rectangular in shape. A report by the two authorities, entitled The Rugeley Power Station Development Brief Supplementary Planning Document, proposes developing the land for a minimum of 800 homes, employment uses and power/storage production. As noted, the supporting infrastructure will include a school, open space, play facilities and public art.

**National Planning Policy Framework July 2018**

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets out planning policies for England. It details how changes are expected to be applied to the planning system. It also provides a framework for local people and their councils to produce distinct local and neighbourhood plans, reflecting the needs and priorities of local communities.

It states that the purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. It identifies the need to focus on three themes of economic, social and environmental sustainable development.

A presumption in favour of sustainable development is a key aspect for any plan-making and decision-taking processes. In relation to plan-making, the NPPF states that local plans should meet objectively assessed needs. It is clear about sport's role delivering sustainable communities via the promotion of health and well-being. Sport England, working within the provisions of the NPPF, wishes to see local planning policy protect, enhance and provide for sports facilities based on robust and up-to-date assessments of need, as well as helping to realise the wider benefits that participation in sport can bring.

The **promoting healthy communities** theme identifies that planning policies should be based on robust, up-to-date assessment of need for open space, sports and recreation facilities and opportunities for new provision. Specific needs, quantitative/qualitative deficiencies and surpluses should be identified and used to inform provision requirements in an area. In addition, the Government has recently consulted on developer contributions and although the outcomes are awaited, they have the potential to impact on the delivery mechanism.
It is essential that CCDC, as the local planning authority, retains oversight of all potential facility developments. This includes policies and potential supplementary planning documents setting out the approach to securing sport and recreational facilities through new housing development. It also includes the Council’s approach to ensuring that community use of new facilities is agreed at the outset so as to ensure that they contribute to the wider sport and physical activity aspirations of the Council and its partners. The approach taken to this is pivotal to strategy delivery over coming years.

### Headline findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Analysis area</th>
<th>Current picture in match equivalent sessions (MES)</th>
<th>Future picture by 2036 in match equivalent sessions (MES)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Football (grass pitches)</td>
<td>Central/West</td>
<td>- Spare capacity of 1.5 adult MES&lt;br&gt;- Shortfall of 2 youth 11v11 MES&lt;br&gt;- Youth 9v9 pitches at capacity&lt;br&gt;- Mini 7v7 pitches at capacity&lt;br&gt;- Mini 5v5 pitches at capacity</td>
<td>- Spare capacity of 1.5 adult MES&lt;br&gt;- Shortfall of 2 youth 11v11 MES&lt;br&gt;- Youth 9v9 pitches at capacity&lt;br&gt;- Mini 7v7 pitches at capacity&lt;br&gt;- Mini 5v5 pitches at capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>- Shortfall of 0.5 adult MES&lt;br&gt;- Youth 11v11 pitches at capacity&lt;br&gt;- Shortfall of 1 youth 9v9 MES&lt;br&gt;- Spare capacity of 1 mini 7v7 MES&lt;br&gt;- Mini 5v5 pitches at capacity</td>
<td>- Shortfall of 0.5 adult MES&lt;br&gt;- Shortfall of 0.5 youth 11v11 MES&lt;br&gt;- Shortfall of 1 youth 9v9 MES&lt;br&gt;- Spare capacity of 1 mini 7v7 MES&lt;br&gt;- Mini 5v5 pitches at capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>- Spare capacity of 2.5 adult MES&lt;br&gt;- At capacity for youth 11v11&lt;br&gt;- At capacity for youth 9v9&lt;br&gt;- Spare capacity of 1 mini 7v7 MES&lt;br&gt;- Mini 5v5 pitches at capacity</td>
<td>- Spare capacity of 1.5 adult MES&lt;br&gt;- At capacity for youth 11v11&lt;br&gt;- At capacity for youth 9v9&lt;br&gt;- Spare capacity of 1 mini 7v7 MES&lt;br&gt;- Mini 5v5 pitches at capacity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Football 3G pitches**

- Study Area: Shortfall of 1 full size, floodlit 3G pitch.
- Future demand will be met through increased provision at Rugeley Leisure Centre.

**Rugby union**

- Study Area: Shortfall of 1.25 senior MES
- Shortfall of 2.5 senior MES

**Cricket**

- Study Area: Current demand is being met.
- Future demand is being met in neighbouring authorities of in South Staffordshire and Lichfield. However, resurfacing is required to retain quality.

**Hockey (Sand/water AGPs)**

- Study Area: Current demand is being met in neighbouring authorities of in South Staffordshire and Lichfield. However, resurfacing is required to retain quality.
- Future demand is being met in neighbouring authorities of in South Staffordshire and Lichfield. However, resurfacing is required to retain quality.

**Bowling greens**

- Study Area: Current demand is likely to be being met. However, quality needs addressing.
- Future demand is likely to be being met. However, quality needs addressing.

**Tennis courts**

- Study Area: Cheslyn Hay TC requires access to additional court capacity currently in place in the District.
- Cheslyn Hay TC requires access to additional court capacity currently in place in the District.

---

3 Based on accommodating 38 teams to one full size pitch for affiliated team training.
Note: MES = match equivalent sessions

Conclusions

The assessment report identifies that the existing position for all the specified sports is either that demand is broadly being met or that there is a shortfall (albeit this is small in the case of football). In terms of the future position, current shortfalls are shown as exacerbating or new shortfalls emerge in respect of some facility types in some areas.

As such, there is a need to protect all existing outdoor sports provision, or to deliver an equivalent or better quantity and quality of provision to offset any loss of facility prior to the loss taking place.

The only exception to the above would be in the case of sports provision being replaced by a different form of sports provision (e.g. a sand-based AGP being replaced by a 3G pitch) subject to no clubs/sports users being displaced without suitable alternative arrangements being in place, and also subject to overall sports benefits being achieved and proposals being agreed upon by Sport England in conjunction with appropriate NGBs.

In part, natural turf (‘grass’) overplay shortfalls expressed could be addressed by improving quality at some existing operational sites, particularly in the case of football pitches. However, the extent of increased capacity achievable through this route would clearly be dependent upon practical opportunities arising and funding being identified for delivering enhancements at specific sites, and also upon secure maintenance arrangements being put in place to sustain the higher quality standards in the long term.

Definitions

Match equivalent sessions

Pitches have a limit of how much play they can accommodate over a certain period of time before their quality, and in turn their use, is adversely affected. As the main usage of pitches is likely to be for matches, it is appropriate for the comparable unit to be match equivalent sessions but may for example include training sessions.

Based on how they tend to be played this unit for football, rugby union and rugby league pitches relate to a typical week within the season for each sport. For cricket pitches it is appropriate to look at the number of match equivalent sessions (MES) over the course of a season.
Pitch capacity

The capacity for pitches to regularly provide for competitive play, training and other activity over a season is most often determined by quality. As a minimum, the quality and therefore the capacity of a pitch affects the playing experience and people’s enjoyment of playing football. In extreme circumstances it can result in the inability of the pitch to cater for all or certain types of play during peak and off peak times. Pitch quality is often influenced by weather conditions and drainage.

As a guide, each NGB has set a standard number of matches that each grass pitch type should be able to accommodate without adversely affecting its current quality (pitch capacity):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Pitch type</th>
<th>Number of matches per week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Good quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football</td>
<td>Adult pitches</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Youth pitches</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mini pitches</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cricket</td>
<td>One grass wicket</td>
<td>5 per season</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One synthetic wicket</td>
<td>60 per season</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rugby union pitches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drainage rating</th>
<th>Maintenance rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural Inadequate (D0)</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Adequate (D1)</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipe Drained (D2)</td>
<td>Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipe and Slit Drained (D3)</td>
<td>Standard</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shortfalls

Please note that shortfalls are expressed in match equivalent sessions rather than as pitches as it is possible that shortfalls could be accommodated in various ways (e.g. through pitch improvements) and not just by providing more pitches. For a full Glossary of terms please refer to Appendix Four.
PART 2: VISION

A vision has been set out to provide a clear focus with desired outcomes for the Cannock Chase PPS. It builds upon the conclusions identified in the Assessment Report (August 2018) and Sport England’s five-year strategy ‘Towards an Active Nation’, which aims to target the 28% of people who do less than 30 minutes of exercise each week and focuses on the least active groups; typically, women, the disabled and people from lower socio-economic backgrounds.

This strategy provides a framework within which a clear, coherent way forward for the management and delivery of leisure and sports facilities in Cannock Chase can be identified. The primary focus is to enable residents to gain access to outdoor sports facilities of which they can be proud. It is also vital to ensure that facilities support sport and physical activity programmes for all of the population that lead to increases in regular participation, taking account of the projected changes in age profile of the population in the District up until 2036.

To provide accessible high quality leisure and sports facilities in Cannock Chase that encourages an active lifestyle, increases participation and helps improve health and wellbeing.

To achieve this strategic vision, the strategy seeks to deliver the following objectives:

- Ensure that all valuable facilities are protected for the long-term benefit of sport
- Promote a sustainable approach to the provision of playing pitches and management of sports clubs
- Ensure that there are enough facilities in the right place to meet current and projected future demand
- Ensure that all clubs have access to facilities of appropriate quality to meet current needs and longer-term aspirations.
PART 3: AIMS

The following overarching aims are based on the three Sport England themes (see Figure 1 below). Delivery of the Strategy is the responsibility of and relies on, the Steering Group.

It is recommended that the following are adopted by the Council and its partners to enable it to achieve the overall vision of the Playing Pitch Strategy and Sport England’s requirements.

**Aim 1**
To **protect** the existing supply of playing pitches where it is needed for meeting current and future needs

**Aim 2**
To **enhance** playing fields, pitches and ancillary facilities through improving quality and management of sites

**Aim 3**
To **provide** new playing pitches where there is current or future demand to do so

*Figure 1: Sport England planning objectives - Protect, Enhance and Provide*
PART 4: SPORT SPECIFIC ISSUES SCENARIOS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendations detailed herein are not solely for the Council to action, nor do they imply an expectation that the Council will or can afford to make the necessary investments required. Rather, the purpose of the recommendations is to guide investment for any relevant bodies, including but not limited to Sport England and the NGBs for the sports the recommendations refer to.

In order to help develop the recommendations/actions and to understand their potential impact a number of relevant scenario questions are tested against the key issues in this section for the playing pitch sports resulting in the sport specific recommendations.

Football pitches

Summary

- Current and future supply of football pitch provision can sufficiently accommodate demand in Cannock Chase across adult, mini 7v7 and mini 5v5 pitch, with a current shortfall for both youth 11v11 and youth 9v9 pitches.
- When accounting for future demand shortfalls are exacerbated on youth 11v11 and youth 9v9 pitches.
- The audit identifies a total of 72 grass football pitches across 40 sites in Cannock Chase, with 62 pitches across 33 sites identified as being available for community use on some level. The ten pitches, across seven sites that are unavailable for community use are all located at education sites.
- Most available football pitches in Cannock Chase (39%) are adult sized, in part due to youth 11v11 teams playing on adult pitches.
- The potential closure of The Hart (Lower School) site could result in the loss of two youth 11v11 pitches and a youth 9v9 pitch.
- Both Heath Hayes FC and Hednesford Town FC have aspiration to establish 3G pitches on sites in the District.
- The pitch quality ratings determined through a combination of non-technical assessments and user feedback show most (77%) pitches available for community use are rated as standard quality, with 21% rated as poor and only one pitch as good, equating to just 2%.
- Cannock Chase District Council manages and operates 11 football pitch sites, of which, seven are accompanied by changing provision.
- Through consultation, clubs indicate that car parking at Old Fallow Road and 5’s Pavilion and Sports Ground is inadequate with other sites considered to have appropriate car parking either on site or nearby.
- Brereton Town FC reports aspirations to acquire a long-term lease of its home pitch in line with the current lease agreement it has for the ancillary facilities on the same site.
- In total there are 140 teams identified as playing competitive football matches or training within Cannock Chase. This consists of 39 men’s teams, one women’s team, 51 youth boys’ teams, six youth girls’ teams and 43 mini soccer teams.
- Due to the presence of a number of central venue mini and junior leagues to which Cannock Chase clubs affiliate, the majority of displaced demand will remain consistent in the future. There is, however, 6.5 match equivalent sessions of actual spare capacity of displaced demand that would prefer to be based within the District.
- Including both TGRs and club aspirational the total amount of future demand across Cannock Chase equates to one match equivalent session on adult pitches, 0.5 match equivalents sessions on mini 7v7 pitch type and 5.5 match equivalent session on mini 5v5 pitches.
There are nine match equivalent sessions per week of actual spare capacity (i.e. at peak time) located across ten sites on 12 pitches. Any actual spare capacity at unsecured sites has been discounted from any totals as the long-term existence of those pitches cannot be relied upon in the future.

Overplay on football pitches in Cannock Chase amounts to seven match equivalent sessions per week over seven sites and nine pitches.

**Scenarios**

**Improving pitch quality**

Improving pitch quality on overplayed pitches (i.e. through increased maintenance or drainage improvements) to good quality will increase capacity and therefore help to accommodate expressed overplay. As can be seen in the table below, if quality was improved to good quality at some sites this would eradicate overplay and create spare capacity.

Please see the table below for a site-by-site breakdown of capacity rating should currently overplayed pitches be improved to good quality. As a reminder, the capacity rating for each type and quality rating is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pitch quality</th>
<th>Matches per week</th>
<th>Pitch quality</th>
<th>Matches per week</th>
<th>Pitch quality</th>
<th>Matches per week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Overplay if all pitches were good quality**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Pitch type</th>
<th>No. of pitches</th>
<th>Current quality</th>
<th>Current Capacity rating</th>
<th>Good quality capacity rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Brereton Sports and Social Club</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cannock Park</td>
<td>Youth 11v11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cardinal Griffin Catholic College</td>
<td>Youth 9v9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Heath Hayes FC</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>The Hart School (Lower School)</td>
<td>Youth 9v9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>The Hart School (Upper School)</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Indicates where pitch quality has improved from poor to good quality, rather than just by one increment (poor to standard or standard to good).

---

4 Match equivalent sessions
5 Match equivalent sessions
Where overplayed pitches are assessed as poor quality, it may be more feasible to increase to standard quality. Therefore, the table above shows the capacity rating if improved to standard rather than good. In one instance this will be enough to address overplay, at The Hart (Upper School); however, it would not eliminate overplay at Cannock Park, this would require improving the pitch quality to good, thus creating potential capacity of one match equivalent session.

Where, even after improving quality, overplay is still present, there is also a need to consider transfer of play to alternative pitches/sites in order to eradicate overplay.

Given the costs of improving pitch quality, alternatives also need to be considered that can offer a more sustainable model for the future of football. The alternative to grass pitches is the use of 3G pitches for competitive matches. Not only can this alleviate overplay of grass pitches but it can also aid quality improvements through the transfer of play and therefore reduced use.

**Loss of access to unsecure sites**

There are currently 52 match equivalent sessions per week across 16 sites taking place on pitches with unsecure tenure across Cannock Chase District. Of this, 37 match equivalent sessions are from curricular and extra-curricular demand; meaning that 15 match equivalent sessions of community use is taking place on unsecure pitches. These pitches are all located at education sites, with no form of community use agreements in place and accounting for approximately 37% of all community play taking place on pitches in Cannock Chase District.

In particular, Cardinal Griffin Catholic College accommodates match demand from 12 teams on both grass and 3G pitches with no secure tenure. A loss of access to the site would generate the need to re-accommodate six match equivalent sessions across Cannock Chase District.

**Loss of pitches**

It is reported that The Hart School (Lower School) site is due to close, resulting in the loss of its pitch provision for community use. Currently there are two youth 11v11 pitches and a youth 9v9 pitch on site; all of which are accessed by community clubs.

Youth teams representing both Lea Hall Youth FC and Lakeside FC access the site for match demand; and the loss of the pitches on this site would result in the requirement to relocated one match equivalent session of youth 9v9 demand and one match equivalent sessions of youth 11v11 demand in the North East Analysis Area.

**Closure of The Hart School (Lower School)**

At present, youth 11v11 pitches in the North East Analysis Areas are at capacity; meaning that the loss of the provision at The Hart School (Lower School) would result in a shortfall of one match equivalent sessions in the Analysis Area; increasing overall shortfall of three match equivalent sessions on youth 11v11 pitches throughout Cannock Chase District.

There is currently a shortfall of youth 9v9 pitches in the North East Analysis Area, of one match equivalent session; which is sustained when Cannock Chase District is considered. The loss of the youth 9v9 pitch at The Hart School (Lower School) site would require the relocation of the aforementioned one match equivalent session on youth 9v9 pitches, which would then result in a shortfall of two match equivalent sessions on youth 9v9 pitches in both North East Analysis Area and Cannock Chase District.
Third generation artificial grass pitches (3G pitches)

Summary

- In conclusion, based on the FA affiliated team training model there is an insufficient supply of full sized 3G pitches to meet current demand which will continue with forecasted future demand increases. However, this shortfall will be addressed once the conversion of the small sized 3G pitch at Rugeley Leisure Centre to a full size 3G pitch is completed, the timescale for which is currently unknown.
- There are two full sized 3G pitches in Cannock Chase, both of which have floodlighting and are available for community use.
- There is a small sized 3G pitches, at Rugeley Leisure Centre which is also floodlit and available for community use.
- The two full sized 3G pitches at Cardinal Griffin Catholic College and 5’s Pavilion and Sports Ground are FA certified, therefore are both compliant for use for competitive matches.
- Both full size pitches are considered good quality with both being within the recommended ten year life spans, meaning that resurfacing will not be required in the short term.
- Both full size 3G pitches are used as central venues for mini and junior leagues in the area, meaning that they accommodate some imported match demand.
- Due to the site’s proximity to the Cannock Chase District, AGPs at Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club are used to accommodate some football training demand.
- Staffordshire FA reports that both the CFA and the Football Foundation are exploring options with CCDC to help fund the resurfacing and extension of the small sized 3G pitch at Rugeley Leisure Centre to create a full sized 3G pitch on site.
Of the 30 clubs that stated a demand for additional training facilities, 19 specifically stated a need for increased use of 3G pitches.

It is considered that there are 140 teams which require access to train once per week on floodlit 3G surface. When applied on a district-wide level, this equates to the need for three full sized 3G pitches to service this level of training demand. At present, there are two available full sized 3G pitches across the District. Therefore, there is a shortfall of one full sized 3G pitch to meet training demand for football.

Scenarios

Accommodating football training demand

As identified within the Assessment Report, there is an actual shortfall of one full size 3G pitch in Cannock Chase District to meet football training demand.

To alleviate this shortfall, consideration should be given to this being provided within the North East area, particularly given there are no full size 3G pitches in the Area. On the basis that according to The FA standard, one full sized 3G pitch can service 38 teams, there is enough demand in the Area for this to be feasible but would require further investigation works as to the best location to achieve this.

If the displaced demand referred to in the Assessment Report Section 2: Football, continues to opt for training provision outside of the District; then demand to be accommodated for reduces from 140 to 110, also lowering the requirement of the number of full size 3G pitches from three (3.7) to two (2.9). However, given a lack of small sized or sand based AGPs to accommodate residual demand; three full size 3G pitches would still be recommended.

Moving football match play demand to 3G pitches

Moving match play to 3G pitches is supported by the FA. To further the use of 3G pitches for matches, the FA is particularly keen to work with local authorities to understand the potential demand for full size floodlit 3G pitches should all competitive matches that are currently played on council pitches be transferred. The following table therefore calculates the number of teams currently using council and parish/town council facilities in Cannock Chase for each pitch type at peak time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pitch type</th>
<th>Pitch size</th>
<th>Peak period</th>
<th>No. of teams</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>11v11</td>
<td>Sunday AM</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>11v11</td>
<td>Sunday PM</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>9v9</td>
<td>Sunday PM</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that there are no mini teams currently playing on council or parish/town council grass mini pitches in Cannock Chase.

The FA suggests an approach for estimating the number of full size, floodlit 3G pitches required to accommodate the above demand for competitive matches, as seen in the table below.

---

6 Refer to Appendix One: FA 3G Pitch Scenarios for the programming model used.
Full size 3G pitches required for the transfer of council pitch demand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Format</th>
<th>No teams per time (x)</th>
<th>No matches at PEAK TIME (y) = x/2</th>
<th>3G units per match (z)</th>
<th>Total units required formats (A)=(y)*(z)</th>
<th>3G pitches required (B)= (A)/64</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>5.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11v11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9v9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Given that peak time for the youth pitch types (Sunday PM) is different to peak time for adult football (Sunday AM), transferring the majority of matches currently on council pitches would equate for the need for five full size 3G pitches. This would accommodate most of the adult match demand on 3G pitches, but retain some demand on local authority grass pitches.

If the pitch at Rugeley Leisure Centre is extended and resurfaced to a full size 3G pitch; and both Heath Hayes FC and Hednesford Town FC were successful in establishing full size 3G pitches then this would meet the above need, provided that all pitches were certified for competitive football and on the FA Register.

However, the establishment of these additional pitches may adversely impact the financial viability of all full size 3G pitches in the District, particularly in relation to the FA’s training model, which suggests a current and future need for three full size 3G pitches. Business models should be considered before planning permission is approved for the development of the prospective pitches.

New provision

It is understood that the District Council and Football Foundation are currently exploring options to help fund an extension and resurfacing of the small sized 3G provision at Rugeley Leisure Centre, creating a full size 3G pitch on the site. Increasing the provision of full sized 3G pitches in the District, specifically in the North East Analysis Area, would meet the current shortfall demonstrated by the FA’s training model for 3G pitches.

There are currently 36 teams based in the South East Analysis Area, two short of meeting the requirement for a full size 3G pitch. This may warrant a need for a new full size 3G pitch in the Analysis Area, meeting not only demand from the District but also cross-boundary (Lichfield, Walsall and Bloxwich districts). This should be considered as an option moving forward with the Norton Canes settlement the area with greatest potential given its proximity to bordering authorities and its distance from other larger settlements (Cannock and Rugeley) within Cannock Chase District. The population and development in this area should be considered as factors when assessing this.
CANNOCK CHASE DISTRICT COUNCIL
PLAYING PITCH STRATEGY & ACTION PLAN

Recommendations – 3G pitches
- Protect current stock of 3G pitches.
- As a priority, identify funding to extend and resurface the small sized 3G pitch at Rugeley Leisure Centre to a full size pitch.
- Ensure that the extended pitch is FA registered, whilst considering the value of making the pitch WR compliant.
- Encourage all current and future providers to put in place a sinking fund to ensure long-term sustainability.
- Encourage more match play demand to transfer to 3G pitches, where possible to help address overplay identified on grass pitches.
- Identify feasible sites to increase provision of full size 3G pitches to meet training and competitive demand, with North East being the priority analysis area.
- Consider the establishment of a full size 3G pitch in the South East Analysis Area, specifically in Norton Canes, to meet increasing demand in the settlement, as well as some demand from neighbouring authorities which could easily access provision.
- Ensure that all new 3G pitches are constructed to meet FA/RFU recommended dimensions and quality performance standards to meet performance testing criteria.

Cricket pitches

Summary

- There is sufficient supply of cricket provision to cater for current demand, however, a shortfall is created once displaced and future demand is considered.
- In order for this to be accommodated on existing provision all future senior demand would need to take place outside of the peak period for senior men’s cricket (Saturday). Any peak time future demand would require access to more provision, however, should the second square be developed adjacent to Rugeley CC and secure for the Club’s use this would fully address the shortfall identified.
- In total, there are two grass cricket squares in Cannock Chase located across two sites. In addition, there are two squares located at Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club, in neighbouring South Staffordshire but servicing demand from Cannock Chase. All grass squares are available for community use and used.
- In total, there are eight non-turf pitches (NTPs) servicing Cannock Chase. Of which, five are located accompanying natural turf squares at club sites and three are standalone based at school sites.
- Rugeley CC has been granted permission for a change of use of an adjacent field (CH/18/106) which will result in the creation of a second square to then be leased by the Club.
- Pitch quality ratings determined by non-technical quality assessments and user feedback found that all natural grass squares are of good quality7.
- Both Cannock CC and C&R Hawks CC are considered to have security of tenure8. There is a need to ensure that a long term lease agreement is signed by Rugeley CC to give the Club security of tenure.
- Consultation reports that the clubhouse facility at Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club is due to be sold, with a purpose built facility built elsewhere onsite.
- There are 15 senior cricket teams, one of which is a women’s team; and 14 junior teams playing competitive matches across the three clubs, totalling 29 cricket teams.

---

7 When non-technical assessments were undertaken pitch quality at C&R Hawks was considered to be good quality. It has since been reported by the ECB that the quality of the pitch has since declined.
8 Tenure of Cannock CC will become unsecure if outstanding debt is called in and access to the site restricted. This would then result in a need for additional provision within Cannock Chase District given that club members are mainly from the District.
Both Rugeley CC and C&R Hawks CC have senior men’s teams displaced to secondary venues outside of the District. C&R Hawks CC does not report aspirations to return its displaced team to the District. Rugeley CC, however, does plan to return its displaced senior team, however, it is predicted that this team will be lost until the second pitch at Rugeley Cricket Club is established in three to four seasons time.

Despite two sites having potential capacity totalling 56 match sessions per season, there is no actual spare capacity available during the peak period for senior men’s play (Saturday).

No pitches are considered overplayed in Cannock Chase District.

**Scenarios**

**Alleviating future overplay**

Current demand can be sufficiently accommodated on existing provision; with all clubs also having security of tenure. However, should current provision remain the same there will be a future shortfall of 27 match equivalent sessions once displaced (11 match equivalent sessions) and future (16 match equivalent sessions) are considered, specifically at Rugeley CC.

In order for future demand to be accommodated on existing provision it would be required to take place outside of the peak time for senior men’s cricket (Saturday). The presence of a senior Sunday League in the District means that this could be accommodated on existing provision.

Alternatively, the establishment of a second square at Rugeley CC could accommodate current displaced and future senior demand during the peak period (Saturday), without creating an overall shortfall.

It should be noted that whilst the aforementioned second square is developed at Rugeley CC, the Club’s current 3rd XI and future 4th XI are without a dedicated home venue as neither could be accommodated at Rugeley CC on Saturday afternoons. Added to this the 3rd XI team, which is currently displaced, will no long be able to access its displaced site after the conclusion of the 2018 season.

A solution may be that the 3rd XI team either obtains use of another venue outside of Cannock Chase District or participates in the senior Sunday league, utilising the pitch at Rugeley CC, until the new square is fully established. If alternative provision can not be found then it is likely that the team will be lost.
### Recommendations – cricket

- Existing quantity of cricket pitches to be protected.
- Seek to improve standard quality facilities for matches and training, such as identified at Cannock Cricket & Hockey Club.
- Support clubs with aspirations to improve and extend ancillary provision including access to external funding opportunities where possible and available.
- Sustain the current quality of pitches servicing Cannock Chase District demand; reducing the impact of unofficial use, dog fouling or overmarking.
- Continue to deliver All Stars Cricket and women & girls programmes and seek to increase junior and female participation as a result.
- Support the development of new cricket provision at Rugeley Cricket Club, enabling the Club’s displaced team to return to the District whilst also increasing capacity for future growth. Additional changing facilities will also be required to support this second pitch.
- Support Rugeley CC 3rd XI to access alternative provision locally whilst the second square at Rugeley Cricket Club is established.
- Understand the impact of potential developments at Chase Park to the tenure and sustainability of Cannock CC.
- Support Cannock CC to develop its ancillary provision, improving the quality.
- Once the new second pitch at Rugeley CC is established (2020) there is no requirement for new cricket provision in the District.

---

9 Recommendations in relation to Cannock CC is subject to the Club retaining security of tenure to its current home venue. If tenure is lost due to outstanding debt being called in and, subsequently, access to the site is restricted, then feasibility should be undertaken to identify an appropriate site(s) to accommodate club demand given that the majority of the membership are Cannock Chase District residents.
Rugby union pitches

Summary

- Current supply is not sufficient to cater for the level of demand expressed in Cannock Chase at present, totalling a need for a further 1.25 match equivalent sessions on pitches. This is further exacerbated to create a future requirement for 2.5 match equivalent sessions.
- Also, there are current and future shortfalls totalling 5.25 match equivalent session at Cannock Rugby Club (South Staffordshire) which serves some demand from the Cannock Chase District population.
- In total, there are six rugby union pitches in Cannock Chase across five sites, of which four are senior sized and two junior size. In addition there are two senior pitches and a junior pitch located at Cannock Rugby Club, which also contribute to the local supply. All pitches are available for community use in some capacity.
- At present, there are no World Rugby (WR) compliant 3G pitches in Cannock Chase, with the nearest pitches located at the University of Wolverhampton (Walsall) and Keele University (Newcastle Under Lyme). A new WR compliant 3G pitch is now full operational at Walsall RUFC as part of the RFU's Rugby365 programme.
- The Floodlit provision across the District is low. To support participation growth both clubs should have access to high quality floodlighting to allow them to train and play matches in a safe environment.
- All pitches are of poor quality, rated (M0/D1). Maintenance of pitches is considered basic, whilst drainage is not a reported issue on any of the sites.
- Ancillary facilities for Rugeley RFC are considered poor quality, whilst those servicing Cannock RUFC are of good quality, with minor issues relating to informal car parking and ownership of the access road.
- Cannock and Rugeley rugby clubs provide a total of 19 teams, only one of which is a women’s team whilst there are no dedicated girls' teams in the District.
- Both clubs are considered to have security of tenure via lease agreements in the short term, although Rugeley RFC’s agreement does not provide long term security of tenure which is required for NGB funding.
- None of Rugeley RFC’s training demand takes place on the match pitch due to a lack of floodlighting with training relocated to the small size 3G pitch at Rugeley Leisure Centre; whilst all of Cannock RUFC’s training is accommodated on the Club’s one floodlit pitch.
- Future demand from both TGRs and participation growth contribute an additional 1.25 match equivalent sessions per week on pitches in the District.
- There is no actual spare capacity on rugby union pitches in the District with all potential capacity located at unsecure education sites.
- There are four pitches across two sites which are overplayed totalling 6.5 match equivalent sessions.

Scenarios

Improving pitch quality

As a guide, the RFU has set a standard number of matches that each pitch should be able to accommodate. Capacity is based upon a basic assessment of the drainage system and maintenance programme ascertained through a combination of the quality assessment and the club survey as follows:

Pitch capacity (matches per week) based on quality assessments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maintenance</th>
<th>Poor (M0)</th>
<th>Adequate (M1)</th>
<th>Good (M2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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As shown overleaf, improving maintenance from poor (M0) to standard (M1) or standard (M1) to good (M2) at sites with secure tenure would create additional capacity of two match equivalent sessions (MES) per week across the four overplayed pitches.

**Increasing maintenance by one increment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Pitch type</th>
<th>No. of pitches</th>
<th>Current quality</th>
<th>Current capacity rating</th>
<th>Improved quality</th>
<th>New capacity rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>St Augustine’s Field</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M0/D1 (Poor)</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>M1/D1 (Standard)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Cannock Rugby Club</td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>M0/D1 (Poor)</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>M1/D1 (Standard)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Cannock Rugby Club</td>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M0/D1 (Poor)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>M1/D1 (Standard)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Improving the maintenance regime by one increment at St Augustine’s Field and Cannock Rugby Club would reduce overplay, however, all pitches would remain overplayed, meaning future growth would not be able to be accommodated.

Improving maintenance by two increments (M0 to M2) would eliminate overplay at St Augustine’s Field, creating potential capacity for 0.25 match equivalent sessions on the site. Alternatively, installing pipe and slit drainage, along with improving the maintenance by one increment would also eliminate overplay at the site.

Similarly, maintenance improvements at Cannock Rugby Club by two increments (M0 to M2) would eliminate overplay on senior pitches at the site, whilst also creating 0.75 match equivalent sessions of potential capacity. An alternative would be improving the maintenance by one increment (M0 to M1) whilst also installing pipe drainage both pitches; which would result in 0.25 match equivalent sessions of potential capacity.

No amount of improvements to maintenance and/or drainage on the junior pitch at Cannock Rugby Club would fully eliminate overplay; with the pitch accommodating five match equivalent sessions of demand, including all of the Club’s midweek training demand as the pitch is the only one on site with floodlighting.

One solution to removing all overplay from Cannock Rugby Club site, whilst retaining all demand on grass pitch provision would be to improve maintenance by two increments (M0 to M2) whilst also installing pipe drainage on all pitches (D1 to D2). This would provide the site with sufficient overall capacity to accommodate all demand from Cannock RFC, however, all pitches would also need to be floodlit to allow training demand to be distributed evenly across the three pitches.

The final solution would be for the Club to either access alternative grass provision in the locale or have access to a WR compliant 3G for all training and some match demand.

**Creation of new rugby union pitch provision**

---

10 Match equivalent sessions
11 Match equivalent sessions
Hagley Playing Field

Currently Hagley Playing Field is unavailable due to flood defence development work being undertaken. Provided on the site prior to the development was two grass football pitches and a senior rugby union pitch. Once the site returns to use from the 2019/20 season, the grass pitch provision should be re-established to provide a senior rugby union pitch.

If the returned pitch has a quality rating of M0/D1 and Rugeley RFC were provided with a community use agreement for the pitch; then this would eliminate overplay at St Augustine’s Field without qualitative improvements being required. However, Rugeley RFC training demand would continue to take place on a non-WR compliant 3G pitch which is not conducive to player development.

Alternative options in the Analysis Area would be to re-mark football pitches accommodating little or no demand to rugby union pitches; whilst also ensuring that football demand is accommodated elsewhere within the locale. There are two sites in the North East Analysis Area which have potential spare capacity and could be considered to a change of sport, namely, Mount Road and Green Lane.

Mount Road currently has one, poor quality, adult football pitch which accommodates 0.5 match equivalent sessions per week. Whilst provision at Green Lane is of two, poor quality, adult pitches which accommodate one match equivalent sessions per week. The latter of the two options would be the most feasible to re-purpose with the one match equivalent session able to be accommodated on a single adult pitch. This would then open the possibility of the second adult pitch being changed to a senior rugby union pitch.

Issues with either option would be the lack of ancillary provision; currently at Mount Road that has been highlighted as an issue in the PPS Assessment Report; and the current shortfall of 0.5 match equivalent sessions in the North East Analysis Area for adult football pitches; coupled with a future shortfall of 0.5 match equivalent sessions for youth 11v11 pitches in the same Area.

Should Rugeley RFC be relocated to an alternative site then floodlit provision should be provided on site to enable the Club to provide members with appropriate and safe midweek training.

Floodlit provision

At present there is minimum floodlit provision available to rugby union clubs accommodating rugby union demand from the District. Rugeley RFC does not currently have any adequate, grass floodlit provision, which is significantly prohibiting club growth. The Club is currently accessing a small size 3G pitch which is not WR compliant for some training demand; but would be more appropriately supported through the provision of a floodlit pitch or dedicated training area.

Cannock RUFC currently has one floodlit pitch, of junior size; but has a requirement for three floodlit pitches to accommodate training and match demand (coupled with pitch improvements). The quality of the current floodlighting at Cannock Rugby Club is also of a low quality and requires improvement to safely allow the Club to train and host midweek, evening matches.

Should floodlit provision in the District and at Cannock Rugby Club improve then this will allow Rugeley RFC and Cannock RUFC to deliver more to current and prospective club members; increasing overall rugby union participation.
3G provision

Walsall RFC

Encourage use of the newly established WR compliant 3G pitch at Walsall RFC (Walsall) to relocate midweek training demand off match pitches; thus reducing overplay at home venues of Rugeley RFC and Cannock RUFC.

Also, ensure that any rugby union activity taking place on the 3G pitch at Rugeley Leisure Centre does not incorporate any contact or line-out sessions; as the pitch does not meet WR compliance and is therefore not certified.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendations – rugby union</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>➤ Protect existing quantity of rugby union pitches.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➤ Reduce overplay at Cannock Rugby Club and St Augustine’s Field through improved maintenance regimes to increase capacity and match/training options.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➤ Although there is still a short-term priority to ensure poor quality is addressed and overplay minimised, the need for new pitches needs to be addressed as a priority for the short, medium and long-term sustainability of the clubs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➤ Support a relationship between The Hart School and Rugeley RFC, understanding why the pitch provided as mitigation for the Hagley Playing Field development is currently unused by the Club.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➤ Increase the amount of floodlit rugby union provision in the District and/or at Cannock RUFC to assist rugby union clubs to prosper. This is particularly important given that Rugeley RFC currently accesses a small size 3G pitch which is not WR compliant for training demand; which significantly limits the type of training that can take place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➤ Floodlit provision should be a priority for Rugeley RFC should the Club’s access to the 3G pitch at Rugeley Leisure Centre diminish if it is extended to full size with greater football use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➤ Improve ancillary provision servicing Rugeley RFC, providing adequate changing provision and social space; in addition to on-site car parking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➤ Assist Cannock RUFC to formalise car parking provision on site; whilst also understanding ownership/responsibility for maintenance of the access road.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➤ Should new rugby provision be provided to support the growth of Rugeley and Cannock rugby clubs then appropriate ancillary facilities should be provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➤ Consider making Hagley Playing Fields the home venue of Rugeley RFC, with three full senior pitches and appropriate ancillary provision, including floodlighting.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hockey pitches (AGPs)

Summary

- The current supply of hockey suitable AGPs is considered sufficient to accommodate the current level of hockey demand for both at peak time and training requirements. Although in reality this would mean that some demand from Lichfield HC would have to access supply at Cannock HC which may not be wholly realistic.
- There is no demand for the creation of a full size hockey suitable AGP in Cannock Chase District, with both clubs considered to have security of tenure at their home venues in neighbouring authorities.
- Resurfacing of two of the hockey suitable AGPs is required in the near future, given their age; and to prevent a decline in quality.
- There are no hockey suitable AGPs located in Cannock Chase District, either full or small sized. The sites accessed by the two hockey clubs, which accommodate the District’s hockey demand are based in South Staffordshire and Lichfield respectively.
- All hockey suitable AGPs accessed by Cannock Chase demand are of good quality, however, all pitches either exceed or are nearing their recommended ten year lifespans.
- Both clubs servicing demand from Cannock Chase are considered to have security of tenure either through site ownership or leasehold; however, Cannock HC’s long term presence at its site is subject to financial sustainability.
- Combined, the two clubs provide a total of 46 teams with Cannock HC having 220 members and Lichfield HC having 556 members.
- Lichfield HC has plans to increase the number of teams representing the Club by six, with the creation of one additional senior men’s team; two junior boys’ teams and three junior girls’ teams. Cannock HC did not report any aspirations to create additional teams.

Scenarios

Access to provision in neighbouring authorities

There is no demand for the creation of a full size hockey suitable AGP in Cannock Chase District, with both clubs (Cannock HC & Lichfield HC) having security of tenure at their home venues in neighbouring authorities. It is considered that Cannock Chase demand is being serviced by the two clubs. Given the age of the hockey suitable AGPs accessed by the two clubs, despite quality, one pitch has exceeded the recommended lifespan, at Cannock Cricket & Hockey Club; whilst the two other pitches are nearing the end of respective lifespans.

It should be noted that given the uncertainty surrounding the long term sustainability of Chase Park (Outside), home to Cannock HC, then there may be a requirement in the future to provide hockey suitable provision in the District; particularly given that the majority of club members are Cannock Chase District residents. Further exploratory/feasibility work should be undertaken to ascertain the most appropriate venue for this if required.

---

12 As Cannock HC part owns the Chase Park site it is considered that the Club has security of tenure. However, financial pressures surrounding the continued viability of Chase Park means that the Club’s presence on the site could soon change.
Recommendations – hockey

- Protect hockey suitable AGPs utilised by both Cannock HC and Lichfield HC.
- Understand the impact of potential developments at Chase Park to the tenure and sustainability of Cannock HC.
- Secure increased access to midweek and non-peak time capacity to allow for both growth in training demand and development and delivery of alternative hockey formats, such as short format matches/leagues and less formal participation based sessions.
- Resurface those pitches that have exceeded recommended lifespans; also ensuring that sinking funds are in place for future refurbishment/resurfacing.
- Further explore possible secondary venues for Lichfield HC to access as club demand increases.
- Continue to increase participation driven through community clubs and schools.
- Monitor growth in hockey activity at Lichfield HC; with the Club taking part in EH’s Hockey Heroes initiative for five to eight year olds. An increase in membership may mean that the Club requires access to a second hockey suitable AGP.
- Improve ancillary provision available to Cannock HC, whilst ensuring that Lichfield HC obtains guaranteed access to changing facilities for match demand.

Bowling greens

Summary

- There are ten crown bowling greens in Cannock Chase, located across ten sites.
- There are no multiple green sites in Cannock Chase.
- There are four disused greens in the District, two of which are located at sites owned and managed by Cannock Chase District Council.
- Non-technical site assessments indicate that five greens in Cannock Chase are good quality; three are standard quality and two are poor.
- All clubs in the District are considered to have security of tenure at home venues either having long term lease agreements in place or from owning sites freehold.

Recommendations – bowls

- Protect existing levels of bowling green provision.
- Improve green quality at sites assessed as poor or standard quality and sustain quality of sites assessed as good.
- Ensure clubs have access to appropriate quality ancillary facilities to meet the needs of the clubs.
- Support clubs to maximise membership through promotion of pay and play opportunities.
- Look to support clubs with a small membership base to ensure they are not at risk of folding.
- Due to a lack of response from clubs; there does not appear to be a local need to re-provide the bowling green that was previously lost following the closure of Rugeley Power Station. Investment would be better served improving the quality and sustainability of provision locally.

---

13 Support to improve facilities available to Cannock HC is subject to the Club retaining security of tenure at Chase Park. Should outstanding debt be called in and access to the site restricted then the Club will no longer have security of tenure; with a need for an alternative venue to support club demand.
Tennis courts

Summary

- Generally, there is sufficient supply of tennis courts in Cannock Chase to meet current demand. However, courts at Hednesford Park are likely overplayed by Cheslyn Hay TC.
- As such Cheslyn Hay TC requires access to additional court capacity, supportive of its aspirations to improve court provision in the District.
- There is a total of 41 tennis courts identified in Cannock Chase located across nine sites, of which, 22 or 54% are as considered to be available for community use. Four of the courts are junior size, all located at one site, Hednesford Park.
- Of available court provision in Cannock Chase, 11 courts (50%) are assessed as good quality, four courts (18%) are assessed as standard quality and seven courts (32%) are assessed as poor quality.
- Most poor quality courts are located at council managed sites and in particular Cannock Park which accommodates six courts is considered to be a key site.
- There are two tennis clubs based in Cannock Chase (Lea Hall TC and Etching Hill TC). Further to this, Cheslyn Hay TC is based outside of the District in South Staffordshire, but much of its demand access courts at Hednesford Park as an activity provider for the Tennis for Free Scheme.
- Cannock LTC is based at Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club in South Staffordshire, but the majority of its players are residents of Cannock Chase, therefore the Club is considered a Cannock Chase club. However, the Club did not respond to consultation requests and following internet research it is thought that it may have folded and the courts have fallen into disrepair.
- The two Cannock Chase based clubs (Etching Hill TC and Lea Hall TC) provide a total of 49 members.
- Further to this, Cheslyn Hay TC has a total of 1,100 members (which covers members playing at Club's home venue in South Staffordshire and those playing at Hednesford Park in the Tennis for Free initiative).
- Lea Hall TC and Etching Hill TC are considered to have sufficient court capacity to accommodate current and future membership, with neither club reporting aspirations for future demand to increase participation.
- Cheslyn Hay TC is considered to be operating over capacity. The courts used by the Club at both its home venue in South Staffordshire and Hednesford Park have a combined capacity for 680 members despite a current membership of 1,100 members.
- Cannock Chase District has been identified by the LTA, as one of 72 optimal locations, across the Country, for indoor courts. The District is ranked 22nd nationally which highlights the level of demand for tennis locally. As such, the LTA is keen to increase the number of indoor tennis courts in the District in the coming years.

Scenarios

Increasing capacity

The LTA suggests that a non-floodlit hard court can accommodate a maximum of 40 members, whereas a floodlit hard court can accommodate 60 members.

Cheslyn Hay TC is considered to be operating over the recommended LTA capacity. Club membership stands at 1,100 members despite courts at Hednesford Park and the Club's home venue in South Staffordshire having a combined capacity of 680 members.

Providing the Club with a community use agreement at Cannock Park (six courts) whilst also improving the quality of those courts, from poor quality, would then increase the Club's
capacity. Providing floodlighting at both Hednesford Park and Cannock Park would also increase capacity on both sites, to alleviate current overplay.

Recommendations – tennis

- Protect existing quantity of tennis courts, particularly those used by clubs.
- Review quality issues relating to poor quality courts and seek improvements, where possible.
- Support Cheslyn Hay TC’s aspiration to increase tennis activity in the District by improving current provision.
- Improving the quality of courts at Cannock Park, alongside expanding the Free Tennis provision would increase tennis activity.
- Assist both Etching Hill TC and Lea Hall TC to increase membership levels to ensure that the courts at these sites are sustainable.
- Improve ancillary provision at non-club sites to improve the casual tennis offer.
- Explore feasibility of creating a membership scheme at council courts via an access control system.
- Assist clubs in engaging with the County LTA to obtain advice and potential funding streams.
- Given that there are no capacity issues in the North East Analysis Area; re-provision of the two tennis courts that were lost following the closure of Rugeley Power Station, would not appear to best serve the District. Investment should be made to improve the quality of courts in the Analysis Area; whilst also encouraging participation in tennis with the development of LTA programmes such as Tennis for Free.
- These programmes, however, require quality provision available to be sustainable.

Athletics tracks

Summary

- Priority should be focused on supporting the activity of local running groups given that Cannock & Stafford AC is currently accommodated in Stafford Borough.
- Future options should be explored in relation to Run Together groups and routes given that none are currently in place.
- There are no dedicated athletics facilities within Cannock Chase, with the nearest track located at Rowley Park Sports Stadium (Stafford Borough).
- There is one athletics club in Cannock Chase; Cannock & Stafford Athletics Club which is based at Rowley Park Sports Stadium (Stafford Borough). Further to this there are also three running clubs which are based at sites throughout the District.
- There is one Park Run event in Cannock Chase, based from Marquis Drive; however, there are no junior Park Run events, with the closest located at King George V Playing Fields (Bloxwich).
- There are no Run Together groups in the District.
- The Council is exploring ways of increasing running routes, as shown through its development of three routes being provided in Cannock Stadium.

Recommendations – athletics

- Actively support local running groups in the District by providing safe off-road running routes; akin to that established at Cannock Stadium.
- Cannock Chase based clubs should remain actively engaged within the Staffordshire Athletics Network to provide participants will the widest possible support and training.
- Continue to run/promote Run Together groups and Parkrun routes in the District.
Golf courses

Summary

- The overall picture suggests that there is significant demand for golf within Cannock Chase which can be met by the two clubs currently in existence, meaning each course should be protected. Further to this, clubs should be supported to maximise their usage throughout the week.
- There are two courses within Cannock Chase, Beau Desert Golf Club and Cannock Park Golf Club.
- Beau Desert Golf Club is operated by the named club, whilst Cannock Park Golf Club is operated by a social enterprise, Inspiring Healthy Lifestyles, that manages the course on behalf of the Council.
- Both courses of 18-hole courses and putting greens, whilst Beau Desert Golf Club also has a ten-bay driving range.
- Both courses are considered to be good quality.
- Both courses are available via both membership and pay and play.
- In total, an average of 72,514 people are identified as current or potential users of each golf course within Cannock Chase.
- Demand is likely to be highest for Cannock Park Golf Club, which has 100,097 potential users.
- Cannock Park Golf Club accommodates a dedicated UK Footgolf affiliated 18-hole course, with a nine hole course coming online from April 2019.
- There are a large number of non-golf commercial opportunities that could take place at golf clubs, ranging from weddings and conferences to fun runs, footgolf, walking and even cycling and running clubs. These activities could provide additional income streams and open up golf clubs to an entirely new customer base, including the more independent golfing segments.
- A golf course at Rugeley Power Station has closed; and is subject to loss through wider site developments.

Recommendations – golf

- Protect existing provision in order to continue to meet current and future need in Cannock Chase District.
- Support clubs to maximise their usage, encouraging clubs to offer a variety of golf formats; including Golf Express and Get in to Golf.
- Given the location of golf clubs in neighbouring Lichfield District; and those in Cannock Chase District, there does not appear to be a need to fully replace the 18-hole golf course that was lost following the closure of Rugeley Power Station. It may be that it would be more appropriate to provide funds to the two remaining golf course, enhancing the golfing offer available to Cannock Chase District residents; or by creating an alternative non-traditional provision in the Area to encourage golf participation.

Please note that given that all golf courses are assessed as good quality and have no reported issues, these are not included within the action plan.
PART 5: STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATIONS

The strategic recommendations for the Strategy have been developed from the key issues cutting across all playing pitch sports and categorised under each of the Strategy Aims. They reflect overarching and common areas to be addressed which apply across outdoor sports facilities and may not be specific to just one sport.

**Aim 1**
To protect the existing supply of playing pitch facilities where it is needed for meeting current or future needs

**Recommendations:**

a. Protect playing field sites through local planning policy

b. Secure tenure and access to sites for high quality, development minded clubs, through a range of solutions and partnership agreements.

c. Maximise community use of education facilities where there is a need to do so.

Recommendation a – Protect playing field sites through local planning policy

The PPS Assessment shows that all currently used playing field sites require protection and therefore cannot be deemed surplus to requirements because of shortfalls now and in the future. Therefore, based on the outcomes of the PPS, local planning policy should reflect this situation.

Paragraph 97 of the Framework states that existing open space, sports and recreational buildings and land, including playing fields, should not be built on unless:

- An assessment has been undertaken which has clearly shown the open space, buildings or land to be surplus to requirements; or
- The loss resulting from the proposed development would be replaced by equivalent or better provision in terms of quantity and quality in a suitable location; or
- The development is for alternative sports and recreational provision, the needs for which clearly outweigh the loss.

Lapsed and disused – playing field sites that formerly accommodated playing pitches but are no longer used for formal or informal sports use.

- **Disused** – sites that are not being used at all by any users and are not available for community hire either. Once these sites are disused for five or more years they will then be categorised as ‘lapsed sites’.
- **Lapsed** - last known use was as a playing field more than five years ago. These sites fall outside of Sport England’s statutory remit but still have to be assessed using the criteria in paragraph 97 of the National Planning Policy Framework and Sport England would nonetheless challenge a proposed loss of playing pitches/playing field which fails to meet such criteria. It should be emphasised that the lawful planning use of a lapsed site is still that of a playing field.
The PPS Assessment shows that all currently used playing field sites require protection and therefore cannot be deemed surplus to requirements because of shortfalls now and in the future. Lapsed, disused, underused and poor quality sites should also be protected from development or replaced as there is potential need for playing field land to accommodate more pitches to meet the identified shortfalls.

It is recommended that the Council allocates all disused/lapsed sites as playing field in the first instance until such time as the Council, NGB/Community group or a developer expresses an interest in the site. It is also recommended that the following priority order of options is adopted with regards to addressing disused/lapsed sites:

1) Firstly, explore the feasibility of bringing the site back into use. A feasibility study may show either:
   a) The site can be brought back into sustainable use where funding is available and use is secured by the Council and relevant NGBs/Community Groups; or
   b) The site is not in a sustainable location and in which case no amount of money will make it desirable.

2) The site could become public open space to meet a need identified in the Open Space Study; or

3) Redevelop the site for an alternative use but use the capital receipt to invest in existing sites in the locality

Each currently disused/lapsed site is included within the action plan together with a recommendation in relation to the need to bring the site back into use or mitigate the loss on a replacement site to address the shortfalls identified with the Assessment.

**New housing development** - where proposed housing development is located within access of a high quality playing pitch, this does not necessarily mean that there is no need for further pitch provision or improvements to existing pitches in that area in order to accommodate additional demand arising from that development. The PPS should be used to help determine what impact the new development will have on the demand and capacity of existing sites in the area, and whether there is a need for improvements to increase capacity or if new provision is required.

**Development management** - the PPS should be used to help inform Development Management decisions that affect existing or new playing fields, pitches and ancillary facilities. All applications are assessed by the Local Planning Authority on a case by case basis taking into account site specific factors. In addition, Sport England as statutory consultee on planning applications that affect or prejudice the use of playing field will use the PPS to help assess that planning application against paragraph 96 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and its Playing Fields Policy.\(^{14}\)

Sport England will oppose the granting of planning permission for any development which would lead to the loss of, or would prejudice the use of:

- all or any part of a playing field, or
- land which has been used as a playing field and remains undeveloped, or
- land allocated for use as a playing field unless, in the judgement of Sport England,
- the development as a whole meets with one or more of five specific exceptions.

Sport England’s playing field policy exception E1 only allows for development of lapsed or disused playing fields if a PPS shows a clear excess in the quantity of playing pitch provision at present and in the future across all playing pitch sports types and sizes.

Exception 1:

‘A robust and up-to-date assessment has demonstrated, to the satisfaction of Sport England, that there is an excess of playing field provision in the catchment, which will remain the case should the development be permitted, and the site has no special significance to the interests of sport.’

Where the PPS cannot demonstrate the site, or part of a site, is clearly surplus to requirements then replacement of the site, or part of a site, will be required to comply with Sport England policy exception E4.

Exception 4:

‘The area of playing field to be lost as a result of the proposed development will be replaced, prior to the commencement of development, by a new area of playing field:

- of equivalent or better quality, and
- of equivalent or greater quantity, and
- in a suitable location, and
- subject to equivalent or better accessibility and management arrangements’

Further to this, all playing fields should be protected or replaced up until the point where all satisfied demand has been met within the study area or each individual sports catchment areas within a sub area.

Local authorities wanting to dispose of school playing field land need consent under Section 77 of the Schools Standards and Framework Act 1998, but consent is now also required for disposal of any land used by a school or academy under Schedule 1 to the Academies Act 2010.

It should be noted that consent under Section 77 of the Schools Standards and Framework Act does not necessarily mean subsequent planning approval will be granted. Therefore, any application for planning permission must meet the requirements of the relevant policy, in this case paragraph 74 of the Framework, Local Plan Policy and Sport England Policy. Indeed, applicants are advised to engage Sport England before submitting applications. Robust implementation of the statutory obligation will ensure protection of school playing fields for use by pupils (and sometimes the community as a whole) to ensure receipt is ploughed back into sports education.
Recommendation b – Secure tenure and access to sites for high quality, development minded clubs through a range of solutions and partnership agreements

A number of school sites are being used in Cannock Chase for competitive play, predominately for football. In all cases use of pitches has not been classified as unsecure, however, use is not necessarily formalised and further work should be carried out to ensure an appropriate Community Use Agreement (CUA) is in place (including access to changing provision where required).

NGBs can often help to negotiate and engage with schools where the local authority may not have direct influence.

Sport England has also produced guidance, online resources and toolkits to help open up and retain school sites for community use and can be found at: http://www.sportengland.org/facilities-planning/use-our-school/

Local sports clubs should be supported by partners including the Council, NGBs or the County Sports Partnership (CSP) to achieve sustainability across a range of areas including management, membership, funding, facilities, volunteers and partnership working. For example, support club development and encourage clubs to develop evidence of business and sports development plans to generate an income through their facilities. All clubs could be encouraged to look at different management models such as registering as Community Amateur Sports Clubs (CASC)\textsuperscript{15}. Clubs should also be encouraged to work with partners locally whether volunteer support agencies or linking with local businesses.

As well as improving the quality of well-used, local authority sites, there are a number of sites which have poor quality (or no) ancillary facilities. The Council should further explore opportunities where security of tenure could be granted to the clubs playing on these sites (minimum 25 years as recommended by Sport England and most NGBs) so the clubs are in a position to apply for external funding to improve the ancillary facilities.

Further to this there could be examples in Cannock Chase where long term leases could be put into place for the continued use of a site. Each club should be required to meet service and/or strategic recommendations. However, an additional set of criteria should be considered, which consider the quality of the club, aligned to its long-term development objectives and sustainability.

In the context of the Comprehensive Spending Review, which announced public spending cuts, it is increasingly important for the Council to work with voluntary sector organisations in order that they may be able to take greater levels of ownership and support the wider development and maintenance of facilities.

To facilitate this, the Council should support and enable clubs to generate sufficient funds to allow this.

\textsuperscript{15} http://www.cascinfo.co.uk/cascbenefits
Recommended criteria for lease of sport sites to clubs/organisations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Club</th>
<th>Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clubs should have Clubmark/FA Charter Standard accreditation award. Clubs commit to meeting demonstrable local demand and show pro-active commitment to developing school-club links. Clubs are sustainable, both in a financial sense and via their internal management structures in relation to recruitment and retention policy for both players and volunteers. Ideally, clubs should have already identified (and received an agreement in principle) any match funding required for initial capital investment identified. Clubs have processes in place to ensure capacity to maintain sites to the existing, or better, standards.</td>
<td>Sites should be those identified as ‘Club Sites’ (recommendation d) for new clubs (i.e. not those with a District wide significance) but which offer development potential. For established clubs which have proven success in terms of self-management ‘Key Centres’ are also appropriate. As a priority, sites should acquire capital investment to improve (which can be attributed to the presence of a Clubmark/Charter Standard club). Sites should be leased with the intention that investment can be sourced to contribute towards improvement of the site. An NGB/Council representative should sit on a management committee for each site leased to a club.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Council can further recognise the value of NGB club accreditation by adopting a policy of prioritising the clubs that are to have access to these better quality facilities. This may be achieved by inviting clubs to apply for season long leases on a particular site as an initial trial.

The Council should establish a series of core outcomes to derive from clubs taking on a lease arrangement to ensure that the most appropriate clubs are assigned to sites. As an example, outcomes may include:

- Increasing participation.
- Supporting the development of coaches and volunteers.
- Commitment to quality standards.
- Improvements (where required) to facilities, or as a minimum retaining existing standards.

In addition, clubs should be made fully aware of the associated responsibilities/liabilities when considering leases of multi-use public playing fields.

**Community asset transfer**

The Council should continue to work towards adopting a policy which supports community management and ownership of assets to local clubs, community groups and trusts. This presents sports clubs and national governing bodies with opportunities to take ownership of their own facilities; it may also provide non-asset owning sports clubs with their first chance to take on a building.

The Sport England Community Sport Asset Transfer Toolkit is a bespoke, interactive web based tool that provides a step by step guide through each stage of the asset transfer process: [http://www.sportengland.org/facilities-planning/tools-guidance/asset-transfer/](http://www.sportengland.org/facilities-planning/tools-guidance/asset-transfer/)
Recommendation c – Maximise community use of education sites where there is a need to do so

Given the mix of provider in Cannock Chase, including for example, parish/town councils and private clubs, there is a need for the Council and NGBs to work with other partners to help maximise use of outdoor sports facilities and in particular grass pitches and AGPs.

In order to maximise community use of educational facilities it is recommended to establish a more coherent, structured relationship with schools. The ability to access good facilities within the local community is vital to any sports organisation, yet many clubs struggle to find good quality places to play and train. In Cannock Chase pricing policies at facilities can be barrier to access at some of the education sites but physical access and resistance from schools to open up provision is also an issue.

A number of sporting facilities are located on education sites and making these available to sports clubs can offer significant benefits to both the school/college and the local clubs. Where appropriate, it will be important for schools to negotiate and sign formal and long-term agreements that secure community use.

It is not uncommon for school pitch stock not to be fully maximised for community use. Even on established community use sites, access to grass pitches for community use is limited.

In some instances, grass pitches are unavailable for community use due to poor quality and therefore remedial works will be required before community use can be established. As detailed earlier, Sport England has also produced guidance, online resources and toolkits to help open up and retain school sites for community use and can be found at: http://www.sportengland.org/facilities-planning/use-our-school/

Although there are a growing number of academies and college sites in Cannock Chase, which the Council has no control over the running of, it is still important to understand the significance of such sites and attempt to work with the schools where there are opportunities for community use. In addition, the relevant NGB has a role to play in supporting the Council to deliver the strategy and communicating with schools where necessary to address shortfalls in provision, particularly for football pitches.
Aim 2
To enhance playing fields, pitches and ancillary facilities through improving quality and management of sites

Recommendation:

d. Improve quality

e. Adopt a tiered approach (hierarchy of provision) for the management and improvement of sites.

f. Work in partnership with stakeholders to secure funding

Recommendation d – Improve quality

There are a number of ways in which it is possible to increase pitch quality and these are explored below. One way for improving quality on football sites is via the FA’s pitch improvement programme.

The FA Pitch Improvement Programme (PIP)

The key principles behind the service are to provide football clubs with advice/practical solutions on a number of areas and discounts on machinery and materials to support improving the clubs’ playing surface. The programme should be utilised in order to help any clubs that take on the management and maintenance of sites or which are currently managing and maintaining their own pitch site.

As subsidy is removed for pitch maintenance the PIP is an essential toolkit in supporting self-management/maintenance of sites, particularly on adult sites that have historically been maintained by the Council.

Addressing quality issues

Generally, where pitches are assessed as standard or poor quality and/or overplayed, review/improve maintenance regimes to ensure it is of an appropriate standard to sustain/improve pitch quality. Ensuring existing maintenance of good quality pitches continues is also important.

Based on an achievable target using existing quality scoring to provide a baseline, a standard should be used to identify deficiencies and investment should be focused on those sites which fail to meet the proposed quality standard (using the site audit database, provided in electronic format). The Strategy approach to these outdoor sports facilities achieving these standards should be to enhance quality and therefore the planning system should seek to protect them.

For the purposes of the Quality Assessments, this Strategy will refer to pitches and ancillary facilities separately as Good, Standard or Poor quality. In Cannock Chase, for example, some good quality sites have poor quality elements i.e. changing rooms or a specific pitch.
Good quality refers to pitches that have, for example, a good maintenance regime coupled with good grass cover, an even surface, are free from vandalism, litter etc. In terms of ancillary facilities, good quality refers to access for disabled people, sufficient provision for referees, juniors/women/girls and appropriate provision of showers, toilets and car parking. For rugby union, a good pitch is also pipe and/or slit drained.

Standard quality refers to pitches that have, for example, an adequate maintenance regime coupled with adequate grass cover, minimal signs of wear and tear, goalposts may be secure but in need of minor repair. In terms of ancillary facilities, standard quality refers to adequately sized changing rooms, storage provision and provision of toilets. For rugby union, drainage is natural and adequate.

Poor quality refers to pitches that have, for example, poor levels of maintenance coupled with inadequate grass cover, uneven surface and damage. In terms of ancillary facilities, poor quality refers to inappropriate size of changing rooms, no showers, no running water and old dated interior. For rugby union, drainage is natural and inadequate.

Please refer to the Sport England/NGB quality assessments. Sites played beyond capacity may require remedial action to help reduce this.

In terms of ancillary facilities, poor quality refers to inappropriate size of changing rooms, no showers, no running water and old dated interior.

Without appropriate, fit for purpose ancillary facilities, good quality pitches may be underutilised. Changing facilities form the most essential part of this offer and therefore key sites such as those mentioned above should be given priority for improvement.

For improvement/replacement of AGPs refer to Sport England and the NGBs 'Selecting the Right Artificial Surface for Hockey, Football, Rugby League and Rugby Union' document for a guide as to suitable AGP surfaces:


Addressing overplay

In order to improve the overall quality of the playing pitches stock; it is necessary to ensure that pitches are not overplayed beyond recommended weekly carrying capacity. This is determined by assessing pitch quality (via a non-technical site assessment) and allocating a weekly match limit to each. Each NGB recommends a number of matches that a good quality grass pitch should take:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Pitch type</th>
<th>Number of match equivalent sessions per week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Good quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football</td>
<td>Adult pitches</td>
<td>3 per week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Youth pitches</td>
<td>4 per week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mini pitches</td>
<td>6 per week</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There are also a number of sites that are poor quality but are not overplayed. These sites should not be overlooked as often poor quality sites have less demand than other sites but demand could increase if the quality was to increase. Improving pitch quality should not be considered in isolation from maintenance regimes.

Whilst it works both ways in so much as poor pitch condition is a symptom of pitches being over played, potential improvements may make sites more attractive and therefore more popular.

There is also a need to balance pitch improvements alongside the transfer of play to alternative pitch sites. Therefore, work with clubs to ensure that sites are not played beyond their capacity and encourage play, where possible, to be transferred to alternative venues which are not operating at capacity.

**Increasing pitch maintenance**

Standard or poor grass pitch quality may not just be a result of poor drainage. In some instances, ensuring there is an appropriate maintenance for the level/standard of play can help to improve quality and therefore increase pitch capacity. Each NGB can provide assistance with reviewing pitch maintenance regimes.

For example, the FA, ECB and RFL in partnership have recently introduced a Pitch Advisor Scheme and have been working in partnership with Institute of Groundmanship (IOG) to develop a Grass Pitch Maintenance service that can be utilised by grassroots football clubs with the simple aim of improving the quality of grass pitches. The key principles behind the service are to provide football clubs with advice/practical solutions on a number of areas, with the simple aim of improving the club’s playing surface.

At local authority sites in Cannock Chase, maintenance of grass pitches is deemed to be basic and for football covers grass cutting and seeding only, resulting in many pitches being assessed as poor quality. Where local authority pitches are recommended for improvement within the action plan, carrying out additional regular work such as aerating, sand dressing, fertilising and/or weed killing will all improve quality. An improvement in post season remedial work is also recommended. It is recommended that the Council works with users and the Staffordshire County Football Association (SCFA) to fully determine the most appropriate pitch improvements on a site by site basis.

---

16 The RFU believes that it is most appropriate to base the calculation of pitch capacity upon an assessment of the drainage system and maintenance programme afforded to a site.
In relation to cricket, maintaining high pitch quality is the most important aspect of cricket. If the wicket is poor, it can affect the quality of the game and can, in some instances, become dangerous. The ECB recommends full technical assessments of wickets and pitches available through a Performance Quality Standard Assessment (PQS). The PQS assesses a cricket square to ascertain whether the pitch meets the Performance Quality Standards that are benchmarked by the Institute of Groundsmanship. Please note that PQS assessments are also available for other sports, whilst the Groundsman’s Association offers maintenance tips to local clubs as well as an onsite assessment service with subsequent report advising recommended maintenance actions.

Improving changing provision

There is a need to address changing provision at some sites in the District, including some local authority sites. It is recommended that a holistic view is taken in regard to improvements and provision on site.

Sites which predominantly accommodate adult and/or older junior age group sports should be prioritised for improvements, whilst there is a trend for younger junior age groups (particularly for football) not to require use of changing provision, with suitable male and female toilet provision for players and spectators considered to be of greater importance.

Further to the above, there may be potential for wider development of existing changing facilities and buildings to include spaces for wider community activity. For example, development of new changing facilities with toilets, social space and catering facilities would allow for local meetings, activity such as fitness classes or chair-based exercise, a base for community organisations or charities, whilst also acting as social space for the resident sports clubs and providing revenue generation opportunities through the sale of snacks and beverages. This may also be achievable at sites where this kind of infrastructure already exists, for example rugby union or cricket clubs which are largely asset owning or have long-term leasehold.

Recommendation e – Adopt a tiered approach (hierarchy of provision) for the management and improvement of sites

To allow for facility developments to be programmed within a phased approach the Council should adopt a tiered approach to the management and improvement of playing pitch sites and associated facilities. Please refer to Part 7: Action Plan for the proposed hierarchy.

Recommendation f – Work in partnership with stakeholders to secure funding

Partners, led by the Council, should ensure that appropriate funding secured for improved sports provision is directed to areas of need, underpinned by a robust strategy for improvement in playing pitch facilities.

In order to address the community’s needs, to target priority areas and to reduce duplication of provision, there should be a coordinated approach to strategic investment. In delivering this recommendation the Council should maintain a regular dialogue with local partners and through the Playing Pitch Steering Group.

Some investment in new provision will not be made by the Council directly, it is important, however, that the Council therefore seeks to direct and lead a strategic and co-ordinated approach to facility development by education sites, NGBs, sports clubs and the commercial sector to address community needs whilst avoiding duplication of provision.
Aim 3
To provide new outdoor sports facilities where there is current or future demand to do so
Recommendations:

g. Rectify quantitative shortfalls in the current pitch stock.

h. Identify opportunities to add to the overall stock to accommodate both current and future demand.

Recommendation g - Rectify quantitative shortfalls in the current pitch stock

The Council and its partners should work to rectify identified inadequacies and meet identified shortfalls as outlined in the Assessment Report and the sport by sport specific recommendations.

It is important that the current levels of grass pitch provision are protected, maintained and enhanced to secure provision now and in the future. For most sports, the future demand for provision identified in Cannock Chase can be overcome through maximising use of existing pitches through a combination of:

- Improving pitch quality in order to improve the capacity of pitches to accommodate more matches.
- The re-designation of pitches for which there is an oversupply.
- Securing long term community use at school sites.
- Working with commercial and private providers to increase usage.

While maximising the use of existing pitches offers scope to address the quantitative deficiencies for most sports, new or additional pitches may be required to meet the levels of demand identified for football and rugby both now and in the future.

There may be an opportunity to use some senior pitches to provide senior, junior or mini pitches (through different line markings/coning areas of the pitch). However, further work should be undertaken on this as an action for the Council/NGBs. Furthermore, the re-designation of adult pitches that are not currently used may lead to a deficiency of adult pitches in the medium to longer term as younger players move up the ages. It is likely that for some sports, particularly football, that the provision of new pitches and facilities will be required in the future to support the predicted future demand.

Unmet demand, changes in sport participation and trends, and proposed housing growth should be recognised and factored into future facility planning. Assuming an increase in participation and housing growth occurs, it will impact on the future need for certain types of sports facilities. Sports development work also approximates unmet demand which cannot currently be quantified (i.e., it is not being suppressed by a lack of facilities) but is likely to occur. The following table highlights the main development trends in each sport and their likely impact on facilities. However, it is important to note that these may be subject to change.
Furthermore, retaining some spare capacity allows some pitches to be rested to protect overall pitch quality in the long term. Therefore, whilst in some instances it may be appropriate to re-designate a senior pitch where there is low demand identified a holistic approach should be taken to re-designation for the reasons cited. The site-by-site action planning will seek to provide further clarification on where re-designation is suitable.

### Likely future sport-by-sport demand trends

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Future development trend</th>
<th>Strategy impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Football</td>
<td>As a result of the FA Youth Development Review pitch demands are changing. This could also see changes in the seasonal demand of pitches (youth football).</td>
<td>Consider re-allocating leases to Community Charter Standard clubs with a large number of teams.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Demand for senior football is likely to be sustained based on current trends and the move to small sided football. County FA focus to maintain growth of youth football through to adults.</td>
<td>Work with clubs to identify facility development opportunities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>An increase in women and girls football following £2.4m investment from Sport England between 2014 and 2016 to increase the number of women and girls taking part in football sessions. Additionally, one of the major goals of The FA’s ‘Game Changer’ strategy for Women’s and Girls’ football (2017-2020) is to double participation from the current 6,000 teams to 12,000.</td>
<td>A need to provide segregated ancillary facilities and the potential need for more pitches.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cricket</td>
<td>Demand is likely to remain static for grass wickets for adult participation. The ECB targets participation increases at junior level through the Allstars Cricket Programme which may have a subsequent future impact on requirement for grass and non-turf cricket provision.</td>
<td>Isolated pockets of demand for access to additional facilities where pitches are operating at capacity. A need to encourage greater use of non-turf wickets particularly for junior use to help meet shortfalls.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women’s and girls’ cricket is a national priority and there is a target to establish two girls’ and one women’s team in every local authority over the next five years.</td>
<td>Support clubs to ensure access to segregated changing and toilet provision and access to good quality cricket pitches to support growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rugby union</td>
<td>Locally, the RFU wants to ensure access to pitches that satisfies existing demand and predicted growth. It is also an aim to protect and improve pitch quality, as well as ancillary facilities including changing rooms and floodlights.</td>
<td>Clubs are likely to field more teams in the future. It is important, therefore, to work with the clubs to maintain the current pitch stock, support facility development where appropriate and increase the number of floodlit pitches where necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport</td>
<td>Future development trend</td>
<td>Strategy impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGPs</td>
<td>Demand for 3G pitches for competitive football will increase. It is likely that future demand for the use of 3G pitches to service competitive football, particularly mini and youth will result in some reduced demand for grass pitches. Provision of 3G pitches which are World Rugby compliant will help to reduce overplay as a result of training on rugby pitches.</td>
<td>Ensure that access to AGP provision across the District is maximised and that community use agreements are in place. Utilise Sport England/NGB guidance on choosing the correct surface: <a href="http://www.sportengland.org/media/30651/Selecting-the-right-artificial-surface-Rev2-2010.pdf">http://www.sportengland.org/media/30651/Selecting-the-right-artificial-surface-Rev2-2010.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hockey</td>
<td>Potential increase of participation, however, it is not thought this will create new teams.</td>
<td>Sinking funds in place to improve quality and ensure continued use of provision for current and future hockey demand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis</td>
<td>Membership of clubs is expected to increase, whilst casual play is expected to remain static.</td>
<td>Increases in participation can be accommodated through existing court provision. An increase in casual play can be encouraged through adopting LTA initiatives such as the access control system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowls</td>
<td>No expected net increase in memberships although an increasing elderly population could change this.</td>
<td>Some greens already operating at or over capacity and should be monitored in terms of quality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletics</td>
<td>Membership is expected to remain static.</td>
<td>Ensure membership stays above 200 to ensure that facilities are sustainable, with quality improvements encouraged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected increase in Park Run and Run Together Groups</td>
<td>Identify sites that can accommodate such facilities/demand.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf</td>
<td>Declining membership expected to ‘level off’.</td>
<td>Clubs are likely to see a rise in membership by offering non-traditional formats.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-traditional formats of play are becoming increasingly popular.</td>
<td>Clubs should tailor their offer towards non-traditional formats of play to attract new users.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Active aging**

Within its Towards an Active Nation Strategy\(^{17}\), Sport England identifies a priority to reduce inactivity amongst particular populations, one demographic being older adults. The Strategy evidences that 42% per cent of people aged 55 and over are inactive compared to 26% of the adult population\(^{18}\). As such, this research shows that as people get older, they are far more likely to be inactive and do less than 30 minutes of physical activity each week.

Through its Active Aging Fund opened in December 2016, Sport England is investing ten million pounds in projects and schemes to get older people more active and increase opportunity in order to reduce levels of inactivity. The investment also includes attaining learning outcomes throughout, in order to better understand how older adults can be supported in physical activity and how behavioural and lifestyle changes can be made more likely to be sustained.

---

\(^{17}\) [https://www.sportengland.org/media/10629/sport-england-towards-an-active-nation.pdf](https://www.sportengland.org/media/10629/sport-england-towards-an-active-nation.pdf)

\(^{18}\) [https://www.sportengland.org/media/11410/active-ageing-prospectus.pdf](https://www.sportengland.org/media/11410/active-ageing-prospectus.pdf)
Recommendation h - Identify opportunities to add to the overall pitch stock to accommodate both current and future demand

The Council should use, and regularly update, the Action Plan within this Strategy for improvements to its own pitches whilst recognising the need to support partners. The Action Plan lists improvements to be made to each site focused upon both qualitative and quantitative improvements as appropriate for each area.

Furthermore, any potential school sites which become redundant over the lifetime of the Strategy may offer potential for meeting community needs on a localised basis. Where schools are closed their playing fields may be converted to dedicated community use to help address any unmet community needs.

Some sites (or adjacent land) in Cannock Chase also have the potential to accommodate more pitches which may be a solution to meeting shortfalls identified, as is further explored within the action plan.
PART 6: ACTION PLAN

Introduction

The site-by-site action plan list seeks to address key issues identified in the accompanying Assessment Report. It provides recommendations based on current levels of usage, quality and future demand, as well as the potential of each site for enhancement.

It should be reviewed in the light of staff and financial resources in order to prioritise support for strategically significant provision and provision that other providers are less likely to make. Recommendation e below explains the hierarchy of priorities on the list. It is imperative that action plans for priority projects should be developed through the implementation of the strategy.

The Council should make it a high priority to work with NGBs and other partners to comprise a priority list of actions based on local priorities, NGB priorities and available funding.

Recommendation e - Adopt a tiered approach (hierarchy of provision) to the management and improvement of sites

To allow for facility developments to be prioritised and programmed within a phased approach a tiered model to for the improvement of playing pitch sites and associated facilities is useful.

The identification of sites is based on their strategic importance in a District-wide context i.e. they accommodate the majority of demand or the recommended action has the greatest impact on addressing shortfalls identified either on a sport by sport basis or across the District as a whole. Recommended tiered site criteria:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hub sites</th>
<th>Key sites</th>
<th>Local sites</th>
<th>Reserve sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strategically located. Priority sites for NGB.</td>
<td>Strategically located within the Analysis Area.</td>
<td>Services the local community. Likely to include education sites.</td>
<td>Services the local community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodates three or more good quality grass pitches.</td>
<td>Accommodates two or more good quality grass pitches.</td>
<td>Accommodates more than one pitch.</td>
<td>Likely to be single-pitch site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single or multi-sport provision. Could also operate as a central venue.</td>
<td>Single or multi-sport provision. Could also operate as a central venue.</td>
<td>Single or multi-sport provision.</td>
<td>Supports informal demand and/ or training etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance regime aligns with NGB guidelines.</td>
<td>Maintenance regime aligns with NGB guidelines.</td>
<td>Standard maintenance regime either by the club or in-house maintenance contract.</td>
<td>Basic level of maintenance i.e. grass cutting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good quality ancillary facility on site, with sufficient changing rooms and car parking to serve the number of pitches.</td>
<td>Good quality ancillary facility on site, with sufficient changing rooms and car parking to serve the number of pitches.</td>
<td>Appropriate access changing to accommodate both senior and junior use concurrently (if required).</td>
<td>No requirement for access changing to accommodation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hub sites are of Districtwide importance where users are willing to travel to access the range and high quality of facilities offered and are likely to be multi-sport. These have been identified on the basis of high impact on addressing the issues identified in the assessment.
Key sites although these sites are more community focused, some are still likely to service a wider Analysis Area (or slightly wider). However, there may be more of a focus on a specific sport i.e. a dedicated site.

It is considered that some financial investment will be necessary to improve the ancillary facilities at both Hub sites and Key Centres to complement the pitches in terms of access, flexibility (i.e. single-sex changing if necessary), quality and that they meet the rules and regulations of local competitions.

Local sites refer to those sites which are generally one and two pitch sites and may be Council owned hired to clubs for a season or are sites which have been leased on a long-term basis. However, they are also likely to be private club sites serving one particular sport.

The level of priority attached to them for Council-generated investment may be relatively low and consideration should be given, on a site-by-site basis, to the feasibility of a club taking a long-term lease on the site (if not already present), in order that external funding can be sought.

It is possible that sites could be included in this tier which are not currently hired or leased to a club, but have the potential to be leased to a suitable club. NGBs would expect the facility to be transferred in an adequate condition that the club can maintain. In the longer term, the Club should be in a position to source external funding to improve/extend the facilities.

Management and development

The following issues should be considered when undertaking sports related site development or enhancement:

- Financial viability.
- Security of tenure.
- Planning permission requirements and any foreseen difficulties in securing permission.
- Adequacy of existing finances to maintain existing sites.
- Business Plan/Masterplan – including financial package for creation of new provision where need has been identified.
- Analysis of the possibility of shared site management opportunities.
- The availability of opportunities to lease sites to external organisations.
- Options to assist community groups to gain funding to enhance existing provision.
- Availability of funding for hub site development.
- Impact on all sports that use a site regardless of the sport that is the subject of enhancements.

Action plan columns

Partners

The column indicating Partners refers to the main organisation that the Council will liaise with in helping to deliver the actions. The next stage in the development of the action plan will be to agree a Lead Partner to help deliver the actions.
Site hierarchy tier and priority level

Although Hub Sites are mostly likely to have a high priority level as they have District wide importance, high priority sites have been identified on the basis of the impact that the site will have on addressing the key issues identified in the assessment and therefore some Key Centres are also identified as having a high priority level. It is these projects/sites which should generally be addressed within the short term (1-2 years).

It is recommended that as the Steering Group reviews and updates the action plan that medium and low priority sites are then identified as the next level of sites for attention. As a guide, it is recommended that:

Key centres are a medium priority and have Area importance and have been identified on the basis of the impact that the site will have on addressing the issues identified in the assessment.

Low priority sites generally have local specific importance and have been identified on a site by site basis as issues appertaining to individual sites but that may also contribute to addressing the issues identified in the assessment.

Costs

The strategic actions have also been ranked as low, medium or high based on cost. The brackets in which these sit are:

- Low (L): less than £50k
- Medium (M): £50k-£250k
- High (H): £250k and above.

These are based on Sport England’s estimated facility costs which can be found at https://www.sportengland.org/media/13346/facility-costs-q2-18.pdf

Timescales

The action plan has been created to be delivered over a ten-year period. The information within the Assessment Report, Strategy and Action Plan will require updating as developments occur. The timescales relate to delivery times and are not priority based. Timescales are recommended within the following three categories:

- Short (S) – 1 to 2 years
- Medium (M) - 3 to 5 years
- Long (L) - 6+ years

Aim

Each action seeks to meet at least one of the three aims of the Strategy; Enhance, Provide, Protect.
Central/West Analysis Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Analysis area</th>
<th>Current picture in match equivalent sessions (MES)</th>
<th>Future picture by 2036 in match equivalent sessions (MES)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Football (grass pitches) | Central/West  | ♦ Spare capacity of 1.5 adult MES  
♦ Shortfall of 2 youth 11v11 MES  
♦ Youth 9v9 pitches at capacity  
♦ Mini 7v7 pitches at capacity  
♦ Mini 5v5 pitches at capacity | ♦ Spare capacity of 1.5 adult MES  
♦ Shortfall of 2 youth 11v11 MES  
♦ Youth 9v9 pitches at capacity  
♦ Mini 7v7 pitches at capacity  
♦ Mini 5v5 pitches at capacity |
| Football 3G pitches | Study Area 19 | ♦ Shortfall of 1 full size, floodlit 3G pitch.                                                                  | ♦ Future demand will be met through increased provision at Rugeley Leisure Centre.                                  |
| Rugby union            | Study Area    | ♦ Shortfall of 1.25 senior MES                                                                                   | ♦ Shortfall of 2.5 senior MES                                                                                   |
| Cricket                | Study Area    | ♦ Current demand is being met.                                                                                   | ♦ Shortfall of 27 MES per season (accounting for displaced and future demand).                                   |
| Hockey (Sand/water AGPs) | Study Area   | ♦ Current demand is being met in neighbouring authorities of in South Staffordshire and Lichfield. However, resurfacing is required to retain quality. | ♦ Future demand is being met in neighbouring authorities of in South Staffordshire and Lichfield. However, resurfacing is required to retain quality. |
| Bowling greens         | Study Area    | ♦ Current demand is likely to be being met. However, quality needs addressing.                                   | ♦ Future demand is likely to be being met. However, quality needs addressing.                                    |
| Tennis courts          | Study Area    | ♦ Cheslyn Hay TC requires access to additional court capacity currently in place in the District.               | ♦ Cheslyn Hay TC requires access to additional court capacity currently in place in the District.               |
| Athletics tracks       | Study Area    | ♦ Current formal demand is being met by provision in Stafford Borough at Rowley Park Sports Stadium.             | ♦ Future formal demand is being met by provision in Stafford Borough at Rowley Park Sports Stadium.             |
| Golf courses           | Study Area    | ♦ Current demand is being met.                                                                                   | ♦ Future demand can be met.                                                                                     |

**Key recommendations and likely impact on shortfalls identified**

♦ To meet the current and future shortfall of youth 11v11 football match equivalent sessions, a combination of reconfiguration of adult pitches with appropriate goals for youth 11v11 football (Laburnum Avenue) and pitch improvements should be undertaken in line with those identified as part of the scenarios (e.g. Cannock Park).
♦ Current and future shortfalls of District-wide rugby union provision should be addressed through a combination of pitch quality improvements; and the establishment of additional floodlit provision to support club growth.
♦ Future shortfalls of cricket match equivalent sessions across the District should be addressed through the retention of a cricket square at Rugeley Power Station; and the

---

19 Based on accommodating 38 teams to one full size pitch for affiliated team training.
establishment of a second square at Rugeley Cricket Club (both North East Analysis Area).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Site Management</th>
<th>Current Status</th>
<th>Recommended Actions</th>
<th>Lead Partners</th>
<th>Site hierarchy tier</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Timescales</th>
<th>Cost 21</th>
<th>Aim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>A full sized 3G pitch which is of good quality. The pitch is currently on the FA register and hosts one of the District's two Wildcat centres. The pitch was built in 2017 and has 25% spare capacity, which is currently impacted by insufficient car parking. The site operates as a central venue for a mini league.</td>
<td>Sustain pitch quality by continuing with the current maintenance regime. Ensure that sinking funds are in place for future refurbishment/resurfacing. Consider providing either user clubs or resident league, formal community use agreements giving security of tenure. Continue to make the pitch available for community use. Planning permission to extend the car parking on site was granted and the project is reportedly close to completion.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td>Key</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Protect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bowls</td>
<td>Sports Club</td>
<td>A poor quality crown green, which is the home venue of C&amp;R Hawks BC. The green has significant signs of wear on the surface. There is limited disabled access on the site.</td>
<td>Explore funding opportunities to increase the green quality and where possible improve disabled access.</td>
<td>BCGBA</td>
<td>Key</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Protect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cricket</td>
<td></td>
<td>A square consisting of 12 grass wickets, alongside an NTP. The site is the home venue of C&amp;R Hawks CC, accommodating 46 match equivalent sessions per season. Despite the potential spare capacity, there is no actual spare capacity on Saturday, but there is 0.5 match equivalent sessions available on Sunday. The Club does have a displaced team; however, it is content with this arrangement and is not seeking to return to Cannock Chase. C&amp;R Hawks CC has 25 years remaining on its lease agreement. Ancillary provision is considered good quality. When non-technical site assessments were undertaken the pitch was good quality. Since then, however, pitch quality has declined and is currently considered to be standard quality.</td>
<td>Sustain the quality of the ancillary provision by continuing with the current maintenance regime. Improve the maintenance programme of the square to increase quality from standard to good.</td>
<td>ECB</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Enhance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Two youth 11v11 pitches and a youth 9v9 pitch; all of which are standard quality. The pitches are available for community use and are used by Heath Hayes Juniors FC. Actual spare capacity of 2.5 match equivalent sessions has been discounted from the total due to the unsecure nature of pitch availability.</td>
<td>Improve quality as required and look to provide a community use agreement to secure club use. Utilise actual spare capacity through the transfer of demand from overplayed sites or via future demand.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Protect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20 (S) - Short (1-2 years); (M) - Medium (3-5 years); (L) - Long (6+ years)
21 (L) - Low - less than £50k; (M) - Medium - £50k-£250k; (H) - High £250k and above
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Current status</th>
<th>Recommended actions</th>
<th>Lead Partners</th>
<th>Site hierarchy tier</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Timescales</th>
<th>Cost 20</th>
<th>Aim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cannock Park</td>
<td>Bowls</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>A disused crown bowling green.</td>
<td>Further work required to establish local demand for future use. Consider alternative uses.</td>
<td>CCDC</td>
<td>Key</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Protect Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Football</td>
<td></td>
<td>One adult pitch and a youth 11v11 pitch, both of poor quality. The youth 11v11 pitch is overplayed by two match equivalent sessions, whilst the adult pitch has 0.5 match equivalent sessions of actual spare capacity. Ancillary provision on site consists of three changing rooms of standard quality, with communal showers and toilets. The overall ancillary facilities require some refurbishment to modernise and improve the quality.</td>
<td>Improve pitch quality from poor to good in order to increase capacity and reduce overplay at the site and in the Analysis Area. Explore funding opportunities to refurbish the changing rooms to an acceptable standard.</td>
<td>FF</td>
<td>SCFA</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tennis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Six poor quality tarmac tennis courts which are available for community use but are not floodlit. The quality of the surface, as opposed to the netting and/or surrounding fencing lowers the quality of the courts.</td>
<td>Resurface the courts and improve the maintenance regime to increase court quality. If improvements are made consider providing Cheslyn Hay TC with a community use agreement to accommodate the Club’s growing demand; as well as possibly extending the Free Tennis offer.</td>
<td>LTA</td>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cardinal Griffin Catholic College</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>An adult pitch, a youth 11v11 pitch and a youth 9v9 pitch; all of standard quality and available for community use. The youth 9v9 pitch is overplayed by one match equivalent session whilst the others are at capacity.</td>
<td>Improve pitch quality in order to increase capacity and reduce overplay at the site. Ensure community use is secured.</td>
<td>FF</td>
<td>SCFA</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Protect Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rugby union</td>
<td></td>
<td>A poor quality school pitch which is available for community use but is unused. The pitch receives basic maintenance but is considered to have adequate drainage (M0/D1). Although the School does not have a formal team the pitch does accommodate some extra-curricular activity. With this in mind the pitch is at capacity.</td>
<td>Retain provision and improve pitch quality to increase capacity at the site. In particular for curricular and extracurricular demand.</td>
<td>RFU</td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site ID</td>
<td>Site Name</td>
<td>Sport</td>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Current status</td>
<td>Recommended actions</td>
<td>Lead Partners</td>
<td>Site hierarchy tier</td>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>Timescales</td>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>Aim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football</td>
<td>Cotswold Road</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>A standard quality adult pitch which does not have any actual spare capacity available for community use. The pitch is uneven and needs levelling, but generally the site is adequate.</td>
<td>Sustain pitch quality by continuing with the current maintenance regime. Ensure that sinking funds are in place for future refurbishment/resurfacing. Continue to make the pitch available for community use. Provide current users with formal community use agreements to give security of tenure.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football</td>
<td>Hednesford Park</td>
<td>Bowls</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>A disused crown bowling green.</td>
<td>Further work required to establish local demand for future use. Consider alternative uses.</td>
<td>CCDC</td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Protect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football</td>
<td>Hednesford Valley High School</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>One mini (7v7) pitch of standard with no community use.</td>
<td>Retain provision as required for curricular and extracurricular demand.</td>
<td>CCDC</td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Protect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football</td>
<td>Laburnum Avenue</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>One standard quality adult pitch with one match session of actual spare during the peak period.</td>
<td>Retain pitch for community use. Seek to increase quality by improving the current maintenance regime. Reconfigure the pitch as youth 11v11 size to help eliminate shortfalls of this format from the Analysis Area.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Enhance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Site ID | Site | Sport | Management | Current status | Recommended actions | Lead Partners | Site hierarchy tier | Priority | Timescales 20 | Cost 21 | Aim
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
25 | Longford School | Football | School | One mini (7v7) and one youth (9v9) pitch both standard quality and used for community use. Although pitches have spare capacity this is discounted due to being unsecure. | Improve quality as required and look to provide a community use agreement to secure club use. Utilise actual spare capacity through the transfer of demand from overplayed sites or via future demand. | FF SCFA | Local | L | S | L | Protect
32 | Cannock Stadium | Athletics | Local Authority | Following site developments running routes around the site have been established. Free to use and fully floodlit, the venue could benefit local running and athletics clubs. | Promote use throughout Cannock in order to help maximise use of the site. | EA CCDC | Key | M | L | L | Protect
33 | Pye Green Valley Primary School | Football | School | One standard quality youth 9v9 pitch with no community use. | Improve quality as required and look to provide a community use agreement to secure club use. | FF SCFA | Local | M | S | L | Enhance
36 | St Luke’s C of E Primary School | Football | School | One mini 7v7 and two youth 9v9 with unsecured community use. All standard quality. Spare capacity discounted due to being unsecure. | Improve quality as required and look to provide a community use agreement to secure club use. | FF SCFA | Local | M | S | L | Enhance
52 | Bridgtown Social Bowls | Bowls | Community Organisation | One good quality bowling green. Disabled access is considered good. Membership unknown. | Further establish membership numbers in order to determine future sustainability. | CDC | Local | H | S | L | Protect
56 | Chaddesmoor Bowling Club | Bowls | Sports Club | One good quality bowling green. Membership unknown. | Further establish membership numbers in order to determine future sustainability. | CDC | Local | H | S | L | Protect
56 | Hednesford Ex-Service Working Men’s Club | Bowls | Community Organisation | One standard quality bowling green. Membership unknown. | Improve quality as required. Further establish membership numbers in order to determine future sustainability. | CDC | Local | H | S | L | Enhance
64 | High Green | Bowls | Community Organisation | One good quality bowling green. Membership unknown. Site secured via a Trust from 1896 which established that the land is to be retained as a bowling green, in perpetuity, provided that the Club has at least 15 members to maintain the site in an attractive condition. | Further establish membership numbers in order to determine future sustainability. | CDC | Local | H | S | L | Protect
North East Analysis Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Analysis area</th>
<th>Current picture in match equivalent sessions (MES)</th>
<th>Future picture by 2036 in match equivalent sessions (MES)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Football (grass pitches)</td>
<td>North East</td>
<td>✳ Shortfall of 0.5 adult MES ✳ Youth 11v11 pitches at capacity ✳ Shortfall of 1 youth 9v9 MES ✳ Spare capacity of 1 mini 7v7 MES ✳ Mini 5v5 pitches at capacity</td>
<td>✳ Shortfall of 0.5 adult MES ✳ Shortfall of 0.5 youth 11v11 MES ✳ Shortfall of 1 youth 9v9 MES ✳ Spare capacity of 1 mini 7v7 MES ✳ Mini 5v5 pitches at capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football 3G pitches) 22</td>
<td>Study Area</td>
<td>✳ Shortfall of 1 full size, floodlit 3G pitch.</td>
<td>✳ Future demand will be met through increased provision at Rugeley Leisure Centre.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rugby union</td>
<td>Study Area</td>
<td>✳ Shortfall of 1.25 senior MES</td>
<td>✳ Shortfall of 2.5 senior MES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cricket</td>
<td>Study Area</td>
<td>✳ Current demand is being met.</td>
<td>✳ Shortfall of 27 MES per season (accounting for displaced and future demand).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hockey (Sand/water AGPs)</td>
<td>Study Area</td>
<td>✳ Current demand is being met in neighbouring authorities of in South Staffordshire and Lichfield. However, resurfacing is required to retain quality.</td>
<td>✳ Future demand is being met in neighbouring authorities of in South Staffordshire and Lichfield. However, resurfacing is required to retain quality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowling greens</td>
<td>Study Area</td>
<td>✳ Current demand is likely to be being met. However, quality needs addressing.</td>
<td>✳ Future demand is likely to be being met. However, quality needs addressing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis courts</td>
<td>Study Area</td>
<td>✳ Cheslyn Hay TC requires access to additional court capacity currently in place in the District.</td>
<td>✳ Cheslyn Hay TC requires access to additional court capacity currently in place in the District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletics tracks</td>
<td>Study Area</td>
<td>✳ Current formal demand is being met by provision in Stafford Borough at Rowley Park Sports Stadium.</td>
<td>✳ Future formal demand is being met by provision in Stafford Borough at Rowley Park Sports Stadium.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf courses</td>
<td>Study Area</td>
<td>✳ Current demand is being met.</td>
<td>✳ Future demand can be met.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key recommendations and likely impact on shortfalls identified**

- To meet the current and future shortfall of adult and youth 9v9 football match equivalent sessions then pitch improvements in in line with those identified as part of the scenarios would be sufficient to address this.
- Future shortfalls of youth 11v11 provision should be addressed through a reconfiguration of some adult pitches with appropriate goals as no youth 11v11 pitches in the Analysis Area were identified for pitch quality improvements in the scenarios.

---

22 Based on accommodating 38 teams to one full size pitch for affiliated team training.
Current and future shortfalls of District-wide rugby union provision should be addressed through a combination of pitch quality improvements; and the establishment of additional floodlit provision to support club growth.

Future shortfalls of cricket match equivalent sessions across the District should be addressed through the retention of a cricket square at Rugeley Power Station; and the establishment of a second square at Rugeley Cricket Club (both North East Analysis Area).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Current status</th>
<th>Recommended actions</th>
<th>Lead Partners</th>
<th>Site hierarchy</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Timescales</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Aim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Brereton Sports and Social Club</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>Sports Club</td>
<td>One standard quality adult pitch which is overplayed by 0.5 match sessions. Poorly drained pitches. Poor quality ancillary facilities that require significant refurbishment.</td>
<td>Explore funding options to improve pitch quality in order to address overplay and in the longer term to improve changing facilities.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Protect Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Churchfield Primary School</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>One mini 7v7 pitch of standard quality. No community use.</td>
<td>Retain provision as required for curricular and extracurricular demand.</td>
<td>CCDC</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Protect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Green Lane</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Two adult and one youth 9v9 pitch, all poor quality. Some spare capacity due to quality issues.</td>
<td>Explore options to improve quality and maximise use by accommodating demand from one pitch sites in the District. If football clubs do not prefer to access the site; then consider re-marking the pitches for alternative provision e.g. rugby union, to help address evident shortfalls.</td>
<td>FF SCFA RFU</td>
<td>Key</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Protect Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Hagley Playing Fields</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Currently unavailable due to flood defence work the site previously had two adult football pitches and a senior rugby union pitch on site, along with changing facilities.</td>
<td>These pitches should be re-established following the work (2019). Assessment identifies more demand to bring back into use for rugby. Consider as a potential new home venue for Rugeley RFC, with three pitches and a clubhouse. This would result in St Augustine’s Field becoming a football pitch. This would also be subject to the 3G at Rugeley Leisure Centre being extended but not made World Rugby compliant.</td>
<td>FF SCFA RFU</td>
<td>Key</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Protect Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Lea Hall Sports and Social Club</td>
<td>Bowls</td>
<td>Community Organisation</td>
<td>One standard quality bowling green. Membership unknown. Disabled access could be improved.</td>
<td>Explore funding opportunities to increase the green quality and where possible improve disabled access.</td>
<td>BCGBA</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Protect Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Football</td>
<td></td>
<td>One mini 7v7 and youth 11v11 pitch both standard quality. One match session of actual spare during the peak period. Changing rooms will require refurbishment in the future.</td>
<td>Explore funding options to improve pitch quality in order to address overplay and in the longer term to improve changing facilities.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Protect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tennis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Four floodlit macadam courts, all standard quality. Hired by Lea Hall TC which reports no specific issues.</td>
<td>Improve courts as required in order to sustain usage.</td>
<td>LTA</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Mount Road</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>One standard quality adult pitch with 0.5 match sessions of actual spare during the peak period. No changing rooms or toilets on site which can be an issue, but the car parking on site is considered adequate.</td>
<td>As a one pitch site with no changing rooms investigate the need to maintain this pitch and whether demand could be accommodated elsewhere. The site will still need to be kept in reserve should demand in the District increase. Consider remarking the pitch for an alternative sport, e.g. rugby union; helping address demonstrated shortfalls.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Protect Enhance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

23 (S) - Short (1-2 years); (M) - Medium (3-5 years); (L) - Long (6+ years)  
24 (L) - Low - less than £50k; (M) - Medium - £50k-£250k; (H) - High £250k and above
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Current status</th>
<th>Recommended actions</th>
<th>Lead Partners</th>
<th>Site hierarchy tier</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Timescales</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Aim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 34      | 34      | Ravenhill Park | Football | Local Authority | One standard quality adult pitch with no actual spare capacity during the peak period. Brereton Town FC rents the pitch but has a lease agreement in place for the footprint of the clubhouse facility (poor quality). The Club would be keen to lease the pitch from the Council, however, pitch quality needs improving and unofficial use addressing. | Exploring funding options to improve grass pitch and refurbish changing facilities. In the longer term consider extending the existing lease to include the pitch and explore options for addressing unofficial use, for example, perimeter fencing. | FF SCFA | Key | H | S | M | Protect
Enhance |
|         |         |       |       |             | Tennis | One poor quality, floodlit macadam court. | No local demand in current state. Consider alternative sporting uses for example creation of a multi-use games area. | CCDC | | M | M | M |
| 35      | 35      | Rugeley Leisure Centre | Football | Local Authority | One small size 3G pitch, standard quality with capacity, however, the size of the pitch restricts its use by local football teams. There are plans to create a full size 3G in place of the small sized 3G pitch. This conversion will require resurfacings and an extension to the current footprint of the pitches. Both the Football Foundation and Staffordshire FA are exploring options with the District Council to help fund this extension. It is currently used for football and rugby union. | There is currently a shortfall of one full size 3G pitch within the District to service training demand, which will be eradicated by this development. Consider options to provide additional car parking provision as part of the 3G pitch development. | FF SCFA RFU | Key | H | S | H | Protect
Enhance
Provide |
| 36      | 36      | St Augustine's Field | Rugby union | Sports Club | Home venue of Rugeley RFC. The senior pitch rated M0/D1 is overplayed by 1.25 match equivalent sessions as a result of accommodating all club match demand. The Club leases the clubhouse facility from Rugeley open Spaces Association (ROSA) until 2022, although this should be protected for a further ten years; whilst the pitch is licenced under the same agreement. The Club is unable to install floodlighting on site due to land owner objections (ROSA); and ancillary facilities are poor quality. Training takes place off-site at Rugeley Leisure Centre 3G which is neither full size nor World Rugby compliant. | Provide the Club with access to better maintenance equipment to assist with pitch improvements. Improve the pitch quality to reduce current levels of overplay. Extend the current lease agreement for the ancillary provision whilst also extending this to include the pitch. Re-provide a clubhouse facility on site as the current provision is inadequate, with changing rooms not meeting either SE or RFU standards. Alternatively, explore options for relocation to Hagley Playing Fields with this pitch to be remarked as football. | RFU | Local | H | M | H | Protect
Enhance
Provide |
| 37      | 37      | St Joseph's Catholic Primary School | Football | School | Two mini 7v7 and one youth 9v9 with unsecured community use. All standard quality. Spare capacity discounted due to being unsecure. | Improve quality as required and look to provide a community use agreement to secure club use. Utilise actual spare capacity through the transfer of demand from overplayed sites or via future demand. | FF SCFA | Local | L | S | L | Protect
Enhance |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Current status</th>
<th>Recommended actions</th>
<th>Lead Partners</th>
<th>Site hierarchy tier</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Timescales 23</th>
<th>Cost 24</th>
<th>Aim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>The Hart School (Lower School)</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>It is reported that the site is due to close, which would result in the loss of three youth pitches (two youth 11v11 and one youth 9v9). These pitches are currently used by Lea Hall Youth FC and Lakeside FC. Pitches are standard quality and one is overplayed. It should be noted that discussions are ongoing regarding this matter.</td>
<td>Work to secure use of the site for current clubs or alternatively should the site close and the pitches become unavailable, then current users of the site would be required to relocate to alternate local venues.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td>Key</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Protect Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>The Hart School (Upper School)</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Three poor quality adult pitches. Unsecure community use and overplayed by 1.5 match sessions.</td>
<td>Improve quality as required and look to provide a community use agreement to secure club use.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td>Key</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Protect Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Chase View Primary school</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Two mini 7v7 pitches both standard quality with unsecure community use. Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
<td>Improve quality as required and look to provide a community use agreement to secure club use.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Protect Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Hob Hill CE/Methodist (VC) Primary School</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>One youth 11v11 pitch, standard quality. Actual spare capacity not considered due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
<td>Improve quality as required for curricular and extracurricular demand.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Protect Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Etching Hill Tennis Club</td>
<td>Tennis</td>
<td>Sports Club</td>
<td>Three good quality, floodlit macadam courts. Have reportedly improved in recent seasons due to a good maintenance regime and repainting of the surfaces. Etching Hill TC acknowledges that club membership has decreased in recent years. Provision is adequate for club needs.</td>
<td>Sustain court quality and promote spare capacity locally in a drive to increase membership. Also consider informal tennis opportunities.</td>
<td>LTA</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Protect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Rugeley Progressive WMC</td>
<td>Bowls</td>
<td>Community Organisation</td>
<td>One good quality green membership unknown.</td>
<td>Further establish membership numbers in order to determine future sustainability.</td>
<td>BCGBA</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Protect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Rugeley Cricket Club</td>
<td>Athletics</td>
<td>Sports Club</td>
<td>Rugeley Runners is based at the site as social space for both pre and post running activities.</td>
<td>Consider developing a running path around the site; accommodating Rugeley Runners on site.</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Protect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site ID</td>
<td>Sport</td>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Current status</td>
<td>Recommended actions</td>
<td>Lead Partners</td>
<td>Site hierarchy tier</td>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>Timescales</td>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>Aim</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cricket</td>
<td>One square consisting of 12 grass wickets alongside an NTP. The square is of good quality. The site is the home venue of Rugeley CC; and does not have any actual spare capacity on Saturdays, resulting in the Club displacing a senior men’s team out of the District. The Club is looking for this team to return given that its current home will become unavailable. The Club has been granted a lease for an adjacent field, in which a second square will be establish, meaning that the Club will be able to accommodate both displaced and future demand on site. Ancillary facilities on site are good quality.</td>
<td>Sustain pitch quality by continuing with the current maintenance regime. Sustain ancillary quality through consistent maintenance. Once the second square is established on site, relocate the displaced demand to Cannock Chase District. Until the pitch is operational, a secondary venue for the 3rd XI must be sought otherwise the team will be lost. New changing provision will be required to support the second square once it is useable for match cricket.</td>
<td>ECB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Provide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rugeley Power Station (disused)</td>
<td>Bowls</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>A disused crown green, which was previously home to Rugeley Power Station Sports &amp; Social Club. The green became disused in August 2017 following closure of the site. Supporting ancillary facilities on site were also available.</td>
<td>There does not appear to be a local need to re-provide the bowling green and on this basis, investment would be better served improving the quality and sustainability of provision locally. Provision located outside of the Cannock Chase District boundary, in the Lichfield District boundary needs to be assessed as part of the future Lichfield District Council PPS before decisions are made on the future of sporting provisions.</td>
<td>BCBSE</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cricket</td>
<td>A natural grass square, consisting of nine wickets. The square was previously used as part of Rugeley Power Station Sports &amp; Social Club but became disused in August 2017 following closure of the site. Supporting ancillary facilities on site were also available.</td>
<td>Once the new second pitch at Rugeley CC is established there is no requirement for new cricket provision in the District, unless Cannock Cricket and Hockey Club closes, resulting in the relocation of Cannock CC to Cannock Chase District. However, from a Playing Field Policy point of view, there is a need to replace the playing field land to meet shortfalls identified for other pitch sports i.e. rugby and football. In order to fully establish how mitigation should be provided further discussions are required with the NBGs and should be linked to the football pitch provision identified below.</td>
<td>EGB</td>
<td>FF</td>
<td>RFU</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>Enhance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>One adult pitch which was available as part of Rugeley Power Station Sports &amp; Social Club. The pitch became disused following closure of the site. Supporting ancillary facilities on site were also available.</td>
<td>Ensure that the pitch is re-provided as part of any site development, supported by appropriate ancillary facilities. Any new pitch should be of adult size to address current and future shortfalls and should be linked to Key site developments in the District.</td>
<td>FF</td>
<td>SCFA</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>Enhance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site ID</td>
<td>Site ID</td>
<td>Sport</td>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Current status</td>
<td>Recommended actions</td>
<td>Lead Partners</td>
<td>Site hierarchy tier</td>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>Time scales</td>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>Aim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Golf</td>
<td></td>
<td>An 18-hole golf course which became disused as part of the whole site closing in August 2017.</td>
<td>Given the location of golf clubs in neighbouring Lichfield District; and those in Cannock Chase District, there does not appear to be a need to fully replace the golf course. In terms of mitigation, seek to enhance the golfing offer available to Cannock Chase District residents; or by creating an alternative non-traditional provision in the Area to encourage golf participation.</td>
<td>EG SE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tennis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Two macadam tennis courts which were available for community use but were not floodlit. The courts were available at part of Rugeley Power Station Sports and Social Club but became disused following closure of the site. Supporting ancillary facilities on site were also available.</td>
<td>Given that there are no capacity issues in the North East Analysis Area; re-provision of the two tennis courts that were lost following the closure of Rugeley Power Station, would not appear to best serve the District. Investment should be made to improve the quality of courts in the Analysis Area; whilst also encouraging participation in tennis with the development of LTA programmes such as Tennis for Free.</td>
<td>LTA SE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
South East Analysis Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Analysis area</th>
<th>Current picture in match equivalent sessions (MES)</th>
<th>Future picture by 2036 in match equivalent sessions (MES)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Football (grass pitches)</td>
<td>South East</td>
<td>◆ Spare capacity of 2.5 adult MES ◆ At capacity for youth 11v11 ◆ At capacity for youth 9v9 ◆ Spare capacity of 1 mini 7v7 MES ◆ Mini 5v5 pitches at capacity</td>
<td>◆ Spare capacity of 1.5 adult MES ◆ At capacity for youth 11v11 ◆ At capacity for youth 9v9 ◆ Spare capacity of 1 mini 7v7 MES ◆ Mini 5v5 pitches at capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football 3G pitches</td>
<td>Study Area</td>
<td>◆ Shortfall of 1 full size, floodlit 3G pitch.</td>
<td>◆ Future demand will be met through increased provision at Rugeley Leisure Centre.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rugby union</td>
<td>Study Area</td>
<td>◆ Shortfall of 1.25 senior MES</td>
<td>◆ Shortfall of 2.5 senior MES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cricket</td>
<td>Study Area</td>
<td>◆ Current demand is being met.</td>
<td>◆ Shortfall of 27 MES per season (accounting for displaced and future demand).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hockey (Sand/water AGPs)</td>
<td>Study Area</td>
<td>◆ Current demand is being met in neighbouring authorities of in South Staffordshire and Lichfield. However, resurfacing is required to retain quality.</td>
<td>◆ Future demand is being met in neighbouring authorities of in South Staffordshire and Lichfield. However, resurfacing is required to retain quality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowling greens</td>
<td>Study Area</td>
<td>◆ Current demand is likely to be being met. However, quality needs addressing.</td>
<td>◆ Future demand is likely to be being met. However, quality needs addressing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis courts</td>
<td>Study Area</td>
<td>◆ Cheslyn Hay TC requires access to additional court capacity currently in place in the District.</td>
<td>◆ Cheslyn Hay TC requires access to additional court capacity currently in place in the District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletics tracks</td>
<td>Study Area</td>
<td>◆ Current formal demand is being met by provision in Stafford Borough at Rowley Park Sports Stadium.</td>
<td>◆ Future formal demand is being met by provision in Stafford Borough at Rowley Park Sports Stadium.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf courses</td>
<td>Study Area</td>
<td>◆ Current demand is being met.</td>
<td>◆ Future demand can be met.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key recommendations and likely impact on shortfalls identified**

- Current and future shortfalls of District-wide rugby union provision should be addressed through a combination of pitch quality improvements; and the establishment of additional floodlit provision to support club growth.
- Future shortfalls of cricket match equivalent sessions across the District should be addressed through the retention of a cricket square at Rugeley Power Station; and the

---

25 Based on accommodating 38 teams to one full size pitch for affiliated team training.
establishment of a second square at Rugeley Cricket Club (both North East Analysis Area).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site (Name)</th>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Current status</th>
<th>Recommended actions</th>
<th>Lead Partners</th>
<th>Site hierarchy tier</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Timescales 26</th>
<th>Cost 27</th>
<th>Aim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Beaudesert Sports Field and Recreation Ground</td>
<td>Cricket</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>There is a disused cricket square on site which was previously used Cannock Wood CC that folded in 2017.</td>
<td>If no local demand for community use retain as informal use.</td>
<td>ECB</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>L S</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Enhance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Football An adult pitch and a mini 7v7 pitch, both of standard quality and both currently unused by community clubs.</td>
<td>If no local demand for community use retain as informal use.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td></td>
<td>L S</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Five Ways Primary School</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>A standard quality mini 7v7 pitch which is available for community use but is unused.</td>
<td>Improve quality as required for curricular and extracurricular demand.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>L L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Enhance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Gorsemoor Primary School</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>A mini 7v7 pitch and a youth 11v11 pitch; both are standard quality and available for community use but are unused.</td>
<td>Improve quality as required for curricular and extracurricular demand.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>L L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Enhance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Heath Hayes FC</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>Sports Club</td>
<td>One standard quality adult pitch which is overplayed by 0.5 match sessions, accommodating. Heath Hayes FC report aspirations to sell the site which is in Green belt; with a view to then using those funds to establish a full size 3G pitch on Heath Hayes Park, whilst also securing a long term lease agreement with the Council, which owns the site; for said pitch and multiple grass pitches that the Club would also maintain. It is understood that the current landowners of Heath Hayes FC would gift the funds to the Club to allow developments at Heath Hayes Park to take place. Ancillary facilities on site meet minimum league requirements but are not considered fit for purpose, with insufficient electrical supply, inadequate car parking and no connection to main sewers. The site does not meet minimum requirements for Step 5, which the Club would enter if it was promoted.</td>
<td>Proposals would need to meet Sport England Playing Field Policy. Further research is also required to examine the validity of an agreement to provide the Club with some funds from the sale of the site to help establish a 3G pitch at Heath Hayes Park.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td>Key</td>
<td>H S</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Enhance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Heath Hayes Park</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>A poor quality adult pitch which has 0.5 match equivalent sessions of actual spare capacity and a poor quality youth 9v9 pitch which is at capacity. The site has poor drainage and unofficial use; both contribute to the poor quality of pitches available. The quality of the changing rooms is poor quality and requires improvement. Heath Hayes FC has ambitions to sell its current home venue and relocate to the site, establishing a 3G pitch that is available for community use. The plans would also have the Club managing the grass pitch provision on site.</td>
<td>There is not currently enough training demand to warrant the creation of another full size 3G pitch but based on competitive demand there may be enough demand to warrant it, especially if Heath Hayes FC moves to the site. If not, a smaller sized 3G pitch may be remain an option. Grass pitches to be brought up to sufficient quality to accommodate Heath Hayes FC and changing rooms require bringing up to standard (if relocation is successful). Explore funding options to improve grass pitches and refurbish the changing facilities.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td>Key</td>
<td>H S</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Enhance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

26 (S) - Short (1-2 years); (M) - Medium (3-5 years); (L) - Long (6+ years)
27 (L) - Low - less than £50k; (M) - Medium - £50k-£250k; (H) - High £250k and above
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Current status</th>
<th>Recommended actions</th>
<th>Lead Partners</th>
<th>Site hierarchy</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Timescales 26</th>
<th>Cost 27</th>
<th>Aim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tennis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Two poor quality macadam tennis courts that are available for community use but are not floodlit.</td>
<td>Enhance the current maintenance regime to improve court quality and encourage community use.</td>
<td>LTA</td>
<td>L S</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Enhance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Heath Hayes Primary Academy</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>A standard quality mini 7v7 pitch which is unavailable for community use.</td>
<td>Improve quality as required for curricular and extracurricular demand.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>L L L L L L</td>
<td>Protect Enhance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Keys Park</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>Sports Club</td>
<td>A standard quality adult pitch which is the home venue of Hednesford Town FC which compete at Step 3 of the football pyramid. The pitch has one match equivalent session of actual spare capacity during the peak period. The pitch would be good quality but there are some issues with the drainage in one corner. This should be rectified prior to the 2018/19 season. Good quality ancillary facilities but would ideally have an extra officials’ changing room for female match officials. The stadium does not have mains utilities but will once a housing development is complete on part of the land. The site meets minimum ground requirements for Step 2 of the football pyramid. The Club has ambitions to establish a 3G pitch on site in place of the grass adult pitch. It is understood that the site was recently up for sale but was subsequently taken off the market with the owner agreeing to collaboratively work with a local consortium for 12 months to support club growth.</td>
<td>Improve pitch quality by improving the drainage issue in one area of the pitch. Continue with the current maintenance regime. Sustain the quality of the ancillary facilities, increasing the number of officials’ changing rooms. Obtain mains utilities once the housing development locally is underway. Consider the Club’s application to establish a 3G pitch on site provided that a sustainable business case can be supported. Monitor the working relationship between the landowner and local consortium; with the risk that the site could be put up for sale again in the future, risking the Club’s security of tenure.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td>Key</td>
<td>M M M</td>
<td>Protect Enhance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Kingsmead School</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>An adult pitch and a youth 9v9 pitch, both of standard quality and available for community use. The youth 9v9 pitch is currently unused but the adult pitch is accessed by one community team, a youth 11v11 team representing Hawkins Sports Juniors FC.</td>
<td>Sustain the quality of both pitches by continuing with the current maintenance regime. Consider remarking the adult pitch as youth 11v11 size to accommodate both school and community demand. Continue to make the pitches available for community use provided that this does not adversely affect quality for curricular demand.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td></td>
<td>Protect Enhance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tennis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Four, standard quality, macadam courts which are neither floodlit nor available for community use.</td>
<td>Improve quality as required and retain for school use.</td>
<td>LTA</td>
<td>L S</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site ID</td>
<td>Sport</td>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Current status</td>
<td>Recommended actions</td>
<td>Lead Partners</td>
<td>Site hierarchy tier</td>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>Timescales 28</td>
<td>Cost 29</td>
<td>Aim</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Norton Canes High School</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Two adult pitches, on standard quality and one poor. Both are available for community use and are used but unsecure. 1.5 match equivalent sessions of actual spare capacity has been discounted due to the unsecure nature of the site.</td>
<td>Improve quality as required and look to provide a community use agreement to secure club use.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Protect, Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rugby union</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A senior pitch which is rated as M0/D1; the pitch is available for community use but is currently unused. Consequently, it has 0.5 match equivalent sessions of potential capacity.</td>
<td>Improve quality as required and retain for school use.</td>
<td>RFU</td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tennis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Seven, poor quality, tarmac tennis courts which are neither floodlit nor available for community use.</td>
<td>Improve quality as required and retain for school use.</td>
<td>LTA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Norton Canes Primary Academy</td>
<td>Cricket</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>A standalone NTP of poor quality. The pitch is available for community use but is currently unused.</td>
<td>Improve quality as required and retain for school use.</td>
<td>ECB</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Protect, Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Football</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Three pitches of standard quality; a youth 9v9 pitch, a mini 7v7 and a mini 5v5. All pitches are available for community use but are currently unused.</td>
<td>Explore opportunities to accommodate demand from one pitch sites if secured community use can be achieved and quality sustained.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Norton Canes Recreation Ground</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>A standard quality adult pitch which has 0.5 match equivalent sessions of actual spare capacity during the peak period. Good maintenance but drainage and unofficial use of the site lowers the quality. The number of changing rooms is inadequate to serve all pitches on site as one room is currently used for storage.</td>
<td>Consider future use of the site and options for improvements required.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Protect, Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Yates Sports and Social Club</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>Community Organisation</td>
<td>A good quality adult pitch which has no actual spare capacity during the peak period despite having two match equivalent sessions of potential capacity. Good quality ancillary facilities, the only thing that is missing is a dedicated medical room. The site is the home venue of both Talbot FC and Yates Sports FC, both senior men’s teams.</td>
<td>Sustain pitch quality by continuing with the current maintenance regime. Explore the option of establishing a formal medical room.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Protect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>St Peter’s CE Primary School</td>
<td>Cricket</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>A standalone NTP of standard quality. The pitch is available for community use but is currently unused.</td>
<td>Sustain pitch quality by continuing with the current maintenance regime. Continue to make the pitch available for community use.</td>
<td>ECB</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Protect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Football</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Two standard quality mini 5v5 pitches and a mini 7v7 pitch, also of standard quality; all of which are available for community use but are currently unused.</td>
<td>Improve as required and retain for school use.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>St Joseph’s Catholic primary</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Two standard quality mini 7v7 pitches which are unavailable for community use.</td>
<td>Improve as required and retain for school use.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Protect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Jerome Primary School</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>A standard quality mini 7v7 pitch which is unavailable for community use.</td>
<td>Improve as required and retain for school use.</td>
<td>FF SCFA</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Protect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

28 (S) - Short (1-2 years); (M) - Medium (3-5 years); (L) - Long (6+ years)

29 (L) - Low - less than £50k; (M) - Medium - £50k-£250k; (H) - High £250k and above
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Current status</th>
<th>Recommended actions</th>
<th>Lead Partners</th>
<th>Site hierarchy</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Timescales</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Aim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Heath Hayes Cons Club</td>
<td>Bowls</td>
<td>Community Organisation</td>
<td>A poor quality, crown bowling green which is home to Heath Hayes Cons BC. The green is considered to have capacity for additional play. The path surrounding the green requires resurfacing.</td>
<td>Increase the current maintenance regime to improve green quality. Resurface the surrounding path to reduce safety concerns.</td>
<td>BCGBA</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Protect Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>The Rag</td>
<td>Bowls</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>A standard quality bowling green which is available for community use, including pay and play. Unknown membership. The green is managed by the pub at which it is located.</td>
<td>Improve green quality by increasing the current maintenance regime.</td>
<td>BCGBA</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Protect Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site ID</td>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Sport</td>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Current status</td>
<td>Recommended actions</td>
<td>Lead Partners</td>
<td>Site hierarchy</td>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>Timescales</td>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>Aim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Cannock Cricket &amp; Hockey Club</td>
<td>Cricket</td>
<td>Sports Club</td>
<td>There are two squares on site consisting of 22 and 13 grass wickets. The former also has two NTPs alongside whilst the latter has one. Both squares are considered good quality. The site is the home venue of Cannock CC which owns the site alongside Cannock HC. There is no actual spare capacity on site on Saturdays but there is one match equivalent sessions available on Sundays. Cricket ancillary facilities are considered poor quality. Part of the site is under offer, to be sold for housing development, funds from which will extend and refurbish the existing cricket pavilion, as well as establishing a hockey clubhouse on site.</td>
<td>Sustain pitch quality by continuing with the current maintenance regime. Improve the cricket ancillary provision on site, through both extension and refurbishment. Investigate the Club’s ambitions to develop the site, recognising the financial issues experienced by Chase Park (Cannock Cricket &amp; Hockey Club).</td>
<td>South Staffordshire District Council</td>
<td>Hub</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Protect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hockey</td>
<td></td>
<td>Two good quality, hockey suitable AGPs, both full size. The site is the home venue of Cannock HC, which owns the site along with Cannock CC. The pitches are water based, whilst there is also a disused sand dressed, full size AGP on site. One water based pitch requires resurfacing given the age of the surface; whilst the other water based pitch will require resurfacing in the near future given its age. The pitches are accessed by some local football clubs for training demand. Although the Club owns the site (as mentioned) the level of debt in existence means that the Club’s long term presence on the site is vulnerable. Part of the site is under offer, to be sold for housing development, funds from which will extend and refurbish the existing cricket pavilion, as well as establishing a hockey clubhouse on site. Current ancillary provision is considered poor</td>
<td>Sustain pitch quality by continuing with the current maintenance regime. Resurface the pitches that have exceeded the recommended ten year lifespans; or closely monitor quality, resurfacing once quality declines. Improve hockey ancillary provision on site to support the Club’s growth. Ensure sinking funds are in place for future resurfacing/refurbishment. Protect the pitch for hockey use in the future. Continue to make the pitches available for external lets to generate income. Investigate the Club’s ambitions to develop the site, recognising the financial issues experienced by Chase Park (Cannock Cricket &amp; Hockey Club). Should the Club be removed from the site due to financial issues then sites for new hockey provision will be required.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Enhance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(S) - Short (1-2 years); (M) - Medium (3-5 years); (L) - Long (6+ years)
(L) - Low - less than £50k; (M) - Medium - £50k-£250k; (H) - High £250k and above
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Current status</th>
<th>Recommended actions</th>
<th>Lead Partners</th>
<th>Site hierarchy tier</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Timescales</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Aim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Cannock Rugby Club</td>
<td>Rugby union</td>
<td>Sports Club</td>
<td>Two senior pitches which are not floodlit and are overplayed by one and 0.75 match equivalent sessions respectively; alongside a floodlit junior pitch which is overplayed by 3.5 match equivalent sessions. All pitches are rated M0/D1, with the Club highlighting the cost of maintaining the site. The junior pitch accommodates all midweek training demand. The site is the home venue of Cannock RUFC, which leases the site from CISWO and has 17 years remaining on the agreement. Ancillary provision on site is good quality having recently been refurbished. The Club has ambitions to formalise car parking on site; whilst ownership and responsibility of the access road is debated. The RFU reports that the floodlighting provision requires improving.</td>
<td>Improve pitch quality by increasing the maintenance regime. Provide the Club with access to improved maintenance equipment to support pitch improvement. Upgrade the current floodlighting on site to support the Club’s continued development. Formalise the existing car parking provision. Further investigation is required to establish which organisation is responsible for improving the access road. Should the 3G pitch at Rugeley Leisure Centre become World Rugby compliant upon extension then provide Cannock RUFC with a formal community use agreement to allow some training demand to be relocated off site.</td>
<td>RFU</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Protect, Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Lichfield Sports Club</td>
<td>Hockey</td>
<td>Sports Club</td>
<td>The home venue of Lichfield HC, which is considered to service some of Cannock Chase District hockey demand. The site has one sand dressed AGP, full size and of good quality; although the surface will require replacement in the near future given that it was installed in 2008. The Club reports a requirement to access a second full size pitch to accommodate growing demand. Lichfield HC does have issues accessing changing facilities on match days given that the site is shared with other sports.</td>
<td>Sustain the pitch quality by continuing with the current maintenance regime. Explore options for a secondary venue for which the Club could access during peak period for match demand. Provide the Club with dedicated hockey changing rooms which can be accessed during peak periods. Ensure sinking funds are in place for future resurfacing/refurbishment. Protect the pitch for hockey use in the future. Lichfield HC has signed up for EH’s Hockey Heroes programme, which will see an increase in junior club members in coming seasons. If this is achieved then access to a secondary venue will be required to accommodate all demand.</td>
<td>Lichfield District Council EH</td>
<td>Key</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Protect, Provide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PART 7: HOUSING GROWTH SCENARIOS

The PPS provides an estimate of demand for pitch sport based on population forecasts and club consultation to 2036 (in line with the Local Plan period). This future demand is translated into teams likely to be generated, rather than actual pitch provision required. The Sport England Playing Pitch New Development Calculator (NDC) adds to this, updating the likely demand generated for pitch sports based on housing increases and converts the demand into match equivalent sessions and the number of pitches required. This is achieved via team generation rates (TGRs) in the Assessment Report to determine how many new teams would be generated from an increase in population derived from housing growth and gives the associated costs of supplying the increased pitch provision.

The PPS will help evidence the review of the Local Plan, however, as detailed earlier, the Council is not yet in a position to fully understand the level of housing growth that will be planned to 2036. Levels of housing growth are currently unclear as the Government issued a revised National Planning Policy Framework (in July 2018) which established a standardised methodology for calculating minimum annual local housing need. This methodology is, however, subject to review when the impact of the revised national household growth projections, published in September 2018, have been fully assessed.

Therefore, the scenario below is an example of how the NDC will show the additional demand for pitch sports generated from future housing growth.

- **Scenario One**: Development of Rugeley Power Station with 2,000 houses forecasted.
- **Scenario Two**: Development of Rugeley Power Station with 1,000 houses forecasted as being located within the Cannock Chase District boundary.

The demand is shown in match equivalent sessions per week for the majority of sports, with the exception of cricket, where match equivalent sessions are by season. This is also equated to pitches required at peak time for each sport rounded up or down to the nearest whole pitch.

For the time being, the indicative figures are based on the assumption that population growth will average 2.4 people per dwelling (until such time that a clearer indication of actual population growth can be provided). Please note that the scenarios can be updated as required over the Local Plan period throughout the lifespan of the PPS to reflect population projections and change in the average household size.

The number of pitches required in the following tables has been rounded up or down accordingly, however capital and revenue costs are based on indicative pitch costs, proportionate to the total match equivalent sessions required rather than just whole pitches required. Though increases in match sessions for some sports are not sufficient to warrant the creation of new pitches, the associated costs have been incorporated and investment into alternative sites could instead be considered to increase capacity to accommodate this new demand.

---

32 Please note that not all growth may be based in the Cannock Chase District Local Authority; with some development occurring in Lichfield District within in which part of the site sites. This could result in different figures due to TGRs differing.
Scenario 1: Development of Rugeley Power Station with 2000 houses

The estimated additional population derived from housing growth on the Rugeley Power Station sites is 4,800 (based on 2,000 dwellings being delivered). This population increase equates to 3.52 match equivalent sessions of demand per week for grass pitch sports; and 6.71 match equivalent sessions of demand per season for cricket.

Training demand equates to 6.8 hours of use per week for football on 3G pitches and 0.13 match equivalent sessions per week of training for rugby union on a floodlit grass pitch.

Table 7.1: Likely demand for grass pitch sports generated from housing growth (2000 new homes on Rugeley Power Station)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pitch sport</th>
<th>Estimated demand by sport (2036)</th>
<th>Match demand (MES) per week</th>
<th>Training demand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult football</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>6.8 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth football</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini soccer</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rugby union</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.13 MES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult hockey</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior &amp; mixed hockey</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cricket</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table below translates estimated demand into new pitch provision with associated capital and lifestyle costs.

Table 7.2: Estimated demand and costs for new pitch provision (2000 homes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pitch type</th>
<th>Estimated demand and costs for new pitches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of pitches to meet demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult football</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth football</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini soccer</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rugby union</td>
<td>0 (0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cricket</td>
<td>0 (0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand based AGPs</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3G</td>
<td>0 (0.18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further to the above, the NDC also estimates that there will be a need to provide 4.39 changing rooms to support new pitch provision which is identified in the table above. The total capital cost to deliver this level of provision is £697,390.

Please note that this scenario excludes demand currently based outside of the District (Cannock CC, Cannock RFC, Cannock HC and Lichfield HC), despite these clubs

---

33 As per the PPS Guidance, demand for cricket is considered in terms of match equivalent sessions per season rather than per week.
34 Hours equate to access to a full size floodlit 3G pitch or hockey suitable AGP
36 Lifecycle costs are based on the % of the total project cost per annum as set out in Sport England’s Life Cycle Costs Natural Turf Pitches and Artificial Surfaces documents (2012)
supporting Cannock Chase District population. If, in the future, demand from these clubs is to be accommodated within the District then a new housing growth scenario will need to be run including these teams.

Scenario 2: Development of Rugeley Power Station with 1000 houses located within the Cannock Chase District boundary

The estimated additional population derived from housing growth on the Rugeley Power Station sites is 2,400 (based on 1,000 dwellings being delivered within Cannock Chase District). This population increase equates to 1.77 match equivalent sessions of demand per week for grass pitch sports; and 3.35 match equivalent sessions of demand per season for cricket.

Training demand equates to 3.4 hours of use per week for football on 3G pitches and 0.08 match equivalent sessions per week of training for rugby union on a floodlit grass pitch.

Table 7.3: Likely demand for grass pitch sports generated from housing growth (1000 new homes on Rugeley Power Station in the Cannock Chase District boundary)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pitch sport</th>
<th>Estimated demand by sport (2036)</th>
<th>Match demand (MES) per week</th>
<th>Training demand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult football</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>3.4 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth football</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini soccer</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rugby union</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08 MES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult hockey</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior &amp; mixed hockey</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cricket</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table below translates estimated demand into new pitch provision with associated capital and lifestyle costs.

Table 7.4: Estimated demand and costs for new pitch provision (1000 homes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pitch type</th>
<th>Estimated demand and costs for new pitches</th>
<th>Number of pitches to meet demand</th>
<th>Capital cost</th>
<th>Lifecycle Cost (per annum)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult football</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 (0.49)</td>
<td>£42,432</td>
<td>£8,953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth football</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>£50,388</td>
<td>£10,581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini soccer</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>£12,671</td>
<td>£2,661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rugby union</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 (0.07)</td>
<td>£9,149</td>
<td>£1,958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cricket</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 (0.08)</td>
<td>£20,875</td>
<td>£4,217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand based AGPs</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>£0</td>
<td>£0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3G</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 (0.09)</td>
<td>£85,546</td>
<td>£3,263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

37 As per the PPS Guidance, demand for cricket is considered in terms of match equivalent sessions per season rather than per week.
38 Hours equate to access to a full size floodlit 3G pitch or hockey suitable AGP
40 Lifecycle costs are based on the % of the total project cost per annum as set out in Sport England’s Life Cycle Costs Natural Turf Pitches and Artificial Surfaces documents (2012)
Further to the above, the NDC also estimates that there will be a need to provide 2.2 changing rooms to support new pitch provision which is identified in the table above. The total capital cost to deliver this level of provision is £340,695.

Please note that this scenario, as Scenario 1 also does, excludes demand currently based outside of the District (Cannock CC, Cannock RFC, Cannock HC and Lichfield HC), despite these clubs supporting Cannock Chase District population. If, in the future, demand from these clubs is to be accommodated within the District then a new housing growth scenario will need to be run including these teams.

**Conclusions**

The examples above show that for a growth of 2000 or 1000 houses, on the Rugeley Power Station site, demand would be generated for all pitch sports apart from rugby league and hockey. The requirement is in addition to the recommendations made in the PPS regarding the sporting provision which is identified to be either re-provided onsite or through off site contributions.

This position is indicative of developing housing on Rugeley Power Station. It does not specify where on the site the housing is likely to be located or which existing playing fields the additional demand is likely to migrate to. It should be noted that not all housing developments are of sufficient scale to generate demand in their own rate; however, the Sport England Playing Pitch New Development Calculator should be used to assess this need when details of site allocations are firmed up, or when a planning application is submitted.

Once housing developments have been identified in the new local plans, the individual Councils could consider using CIL (if adopted) to obtain contributions to priority sites, or pooling S106 contributions from major housing schemes to invest in priority sites. In either case, the preceding Action Plan and future consultation with NGBs should inform the playing fields that most require investment.
PART 8: DELIVER THE STRATEGY AND KEEP IT ROBUST AND UP TO DATE

Delivery

The Playing Pitch Strategy seeks to provide guidance for maintenance/management decisions and investment made across Cannock Chase in the years up to 2036. By addressing the issues identified in the Assessment Report and using the strategic framework presented in this Strategy, the current and future sporting and recreational needs of Cannock Chase can be satisfied. The Strategy identifies where there is a deficiency in provision and identifies how best to resolve this in the future.

It is important that this document is used in a practical manner, is engaged with partners and encourages partnerships to be developed, to ensure that outdoor sports facilities are regarded as a vital aspect of community life and which contribute to the achievement of Council priorities.

The production of this Strategy should be regarded as the beginning of the planning process. The success of this Strategy and the benefits that are gained are dependent upon regular engagement between all partners involved and the adoption of a strategic approach.

Each member of the steering group should take the lead to ensure the PPS is used and applied appropriately within their area of work and influence. The role of the steering group should not end with the completion of the PPS document.

To help ensure the PPS is well used it should be regarded as the key document within the study area guiding the improvement and protection of playing pitch provision. It needs to be the document people regularly turn to for information on how the current demand is met and what actions are required to improve the situation and meet future demand. In order for this to be achieved the steering group need to have a clear understanding of how the PPS can be applied and therefore delivered.

The process of developing the PPS will hopefully have already resulted in a number of benefits that will help with its application and delivery. These may include enhanced partnership working across different agendas and organisations, pooling of resources along with strengthening relationships and understanding between different stakeholders and between members of the steering group and the sporting community. The drivers behind the PPS and the work to develop the recommendations and action plan will have also highlighted, and helped the steering group to understand, the key areas to which it can be applied and how it can be delivered.

Monitoring and updating

It is important that there is regular annual monitoring and review against the actions identified in the Strategy. This monitoring should be led by the local authority and supported by all members of, and reported back to, the steering group. Understanding and learning lessons from how the PPS has been applied should also form a key component of monitoring its delivery. This should form an on-going role of the steering group.

As a guide, if no review and subsequent update has been carried out within three years of the PPS being signed off by the steering group, then Sport England and the NGBs would consider the PPS and the information on which it is based to be out of date.
The nature of the supply and in particular the demand for playing pitches will likely to have changed over the three years. Therefore, without any form of review and update within this time period it would be difficult to make the case that the supply and demand information and assessment work is sufficiently robust.

Ideally the PPS could be reviewed on an annual basis from the date it is formally signed off by the steering group. This will help to maintain the momentum and commitment that would have been built up when developing the PPS. Taking into account the time to develop the PPS this should also help to ensure that the original supply and demand information is no more than two years old without being reviewed.

An annual review should not be regarded as a particularly resource intensive task. However, it should highlight:

- How the delivery of the recommendations and action plan has progressed and any changes required to the priority afforded to each action (e.g. the priority of some may increase following the delivery of others)
- How the PPS has been applied and the lessons learnt
- Any changes to particularly important sites and/or clubs in the area (e.g. the most used or high quality sites for a particular sport) and other supply and demand information, what this may mean for the overall assessment work and the key findings and issues
- Any development of a specific sport or particular format of a sport
- Any new or emerging issues and opportunities.

Once the PPS is complete the role of the steering group should evolve so that it:

- Acts as a focal point for promoting the value and importance of the PPS and playing pitch provision in the area
- Monitors, evaluates and reviews progress with the delivery of the recommendations and action plan
- Shares lessons learnt from how the PPS has been used and how it has been applied to a variety of circumstances
- Ensures the PPS is used effectively to input into any new opportunities to secure improved provision and influence relevant programmes and initiatives
- Maintains links between all relevant parties with an interest in playing pitch provision in the area;
- Reviews the need to update the PPS along with the supply and demand information and assessment work on which it is based. Further to review the group should either:
  - Provide a short annual progress and update paper;
  - Provide a partial review focussing on particular sport, pitch type and/or sub area; or
  - Lead a full review and update of the PPS document (including the supply and demand information and assessment details).

Alongside the regular steering group meetings a good way to keep the strategy up to date and maintain relationships may be to hold annual sport specific meetings with the pitch sport NGBs and other relevant parties. These meetings could look to update the key supply and demand information, if necessary amend the assessment work, track progress with implementing the recommendations and action plan and highlight any new issues and opportunities.
These meetings could be timed to fit with the annual affiliation process undertaken by the NGBs which would help to capture any changes in the number and nature of sports clubs in the area. Other information that is already collected on a regular basis such as pitch booking records for local authority and other sites could be fed into these meetings. The NGBs will also be able to indicate any further performance quality assessments that have been undertaken within the study area. Discussion with the league secretaries may also indicate annual league meetings which it may be useful to attend to pick up any specific issues and/or enable a review of the relevant club details to be undertaken.

The steering group should regularly review and refresh area by area plans taking account of any improvements in pitch quality (and hence increases in pitch capacity) and also any new negotiations for community use of education sites in the future.

It is important that the Council maintains the data contained with the accompanying Playing Pitch Database. This will enable it to refresh and update area by area plans on a regular basis. The accompanying databases are intended to be refreshed on a season by season basis and it is important that there is cross-departmental working, including for example, grounds maintenance and sports development departments, to ensure that this is achieved and that results are used to inform subsequent annual sports facility development plans. Results should be shared with partners via a consultative mechanism.
To help ensure the PPS is delivered and is kept robust and up to date, the steering group can refer to the new methodology Stage E Checklist: Deliver the strategy and keep it robust and up to date:


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage E: Deliver the strategy and keep it robust and up to date</th>
<th>Tick</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Requires Attention</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Step 9: Apply & deliver the strategy**
1. Are steering group members clear on how the PPS can be applied across a range of relevant areas?

2. Is each member of the steering group committed to taking the lead to help ensure the PPS is used and applied appropriately within their area of work and influence?

3. Has a process been put in place to ensure regular monitoring of how the recommendations and action plan are being delivered and the PPS is being applied?

**Step 10: Keep the strategy robust & up to date**
1. Has a process been put in place to ensure the PPS is kept robust and up to date?

2. Does the process involve an annual update of the PPS?

3. Is the steering group to be maintained and is it clear of its on-going role?

4. Is regular liaison with the NGBs and other parties planned?

5. Has all the supply and demand information been collated and presented in a format (i.e. single document that can be filtered accordingly) that will help people to review it and highlight any changes?

6. Have any changes made to the Active Places Power data been fed back to Sport England?
APPENDIX ONE: SPORTING CONTEXT

The following section outlines a series of national, regional and local policies pertaining to the study and which will have an important influence on the Strategy.

National context

The provision of high quality and accessible community outdoor sports facilities at a local level is a key requirement for achieving the targets set out by the Government and Sport England. It is vital that this strategy is cognisant of and works towards these targets in addition to local priorities and plans.

Sport England: Towards an Active Nation (2016-2021)

Sport England has recently released its new five year strategy ‘Towards an Active Nation’. The aim is to target the 28% of people who do less than 30 minutes of exercise each week and will focus on the least active groups; typically women, the disabled and people from lower socio-economic backgrounds.

Sport England will invest up to £30m on a plan to increase the number of volunteers in grassroots sport. Emphasis will be on working with a larger range of partners with less money being directed towards National Governing Bodies.

The Strategy will help deliver against the five health, social and economic outcomes set out in the Government’s Sporting Future strategy.

- Physical Wellbeing
- Mental Wellbeing
- Individual Development
- Social & Community Development
- Economic Development

National Planning Policy Framework

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets out planning policies for England. It details how these changes are expected to be applied to the planning system. It also provides a framework for local people and their councils to produce distinct local and neighbourhood plans, reflecting the needs and priorities of local communities.

The NPPF states the purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. It identifies that the planning system needs to focus on three themes of sustainable development: economic, social and environmental. A presumption in favour of sustainable development is a key aspect for any plan-making and decision-taking processes. In relation to plan-making the NPPF sets out that Local Plans should meet objectively assessed needs.

The ‘promoting healthy communities’ theme identifies that planning policies should be based on robust and up-to-date assessments of the needs for open space, sports and recreation facilities and opportunities for new provision. Specific needs and quantitative or qualitative deficiencies or surpluses in local areas should also be identified. This information should be used to inform what provision is required in an area.
As a prerequisite, the NPPF states existing open space, sports and recreation buildings and land, including playing fields, should not be built on unless:

- An assessment has been undertaken, which has clearly shown that the open space, buildings or land is surplus to requirements.
- The loss resulting from the proposed development would be replaced by equivalent or better provision in terms of quantity and quality in a suitable location.
- The development is for alternative sports and recreational provision, the needs for which clearly outweigh the loss.

In order for planning policies to be ‘sound’ local authorities are required to carry out a robust assessment of need for open space, sport and recreation facilities.

The FA National Football Facilities Strategy (2018-28)

The Football Association’s (FA) National Football Facilities Strategy (NFFS) provides a strategic framework that sets out key priorities and targets for the national game (i.e., football) over a ten-year period. The Strategy is presently in draft and is due for publication in 2018.

The Strategy sets out shared aims and objectives it aims to deliver on in conjunction with The Premier League, Sport England and the Government, to be delivered with support of the Football Foundation.

These stakeholders have clearly identified the aspirations for football to contribute directly to nationally important social and health priorities. Alongside this, the strategy is clear that traditional, affiliated football remains an important priority and a core component of the game, whilst recognising and supporting the more informal environments used for the community and recreational game.

Its vision is: “Within 10 years we aim to deliver great football facilities, wherever they are needed”

£1.3 billion has been spent by football and Government since 2000 to enhance existing football facilities and build new ones. However, more is needed if football and Government’s shared objectives for participation, individual well-being and community cohesion are to be achieved. Nationally, direct investment will be increased – initially to £69 million per annum from football and Government (a 15% increase on recent years).

The NFFS investment priorities can be broadly grouped into six areas, recognising the need to grow the game, support existing players and better understand the different football environments:

- **Improve 20,000 Natural Turf pitches**, with a focus on addressing drop off due to a poor playing experience;
- **Deliver 1,000 3G AGP ‘equivalents’** (mix of full size and small sided provision, including MUGAs - small sided facilities are likely to have a key role in smaller / rural communities and encouraging multi-sport offers), enhancing the quality of playing experience and supporting a sustainable approach to grass roots provision;
- **Deliver 1,000 changing pavilions/clubhouses**, linked to multi-pitch or hub sites, supporting growth (particularly in women and girls football), sustainability and providing a facility infrastructure to underpin investment in coaching, officials and football development;
Support access to flexible indoor spaces, including equipment and court markings, to support growth in futsal, walking football and to support the education and skills outcomes, exploiting opportunities for football to positively impact on personal and social outcomes for young people in particular;  
Refurbish existing stock to maintain current provision, recognising the need to address historic under-investment and issues with refurbishment of existing facilities;  
Support testing of technology and innovation, building on customer insight to deliver hubs for innovation, testing and development of the game.

Local Football Facility Plans

To support in delivery of the NFFS, The FA has commissioned a national project. Over the next two years to 2020, a Local Football Facility Plan (LFFP) will be produced for every local authority across England. Each plan will be unique to its area as well as being diverse in its representation, including currently underrepresented communities.

Identifying strategic priorities for football facilities across the formal, recreational and informal game, LFFPs will establish a ten-year vision for football facilities that aims to transform the playing pitch stock in a sustainable way. They will identify key projects to be delivered and act as an investment portfolio for projects that require funding. As such, around 90% of all will be identified via LFFPs. LFFPs will guide the allocation of 90% of national football investment (The FA, Premier League and DCMS) and forge stronger partnerships with local stakeholders to develop key sites. This, together with local match-funding will deliver over one billion pounds of investment into football facilities over the next 10-years.

It is important to recognise that a LFFP is an investment portfolio of priority projects for potential investment - it is not a detailed supply and demand analysis of all pitch provision in a local area. Therefore, it cannot be used as a replacement for a Playing Pitch Strategy (PPS) and it will not be accepted as an evidence base for site change of use or disposal.

A LFFP will; however, build on available/existing local evidence and strategic plans and may adopt relevant actions from a PPS and/or complement these with additional investment priorities.

The FA: National Game Strategy (2018-2021)

The FA launched its new National Game Strategy in July 2018 which aims to inspire a life-long journey in football for all. To achieve this, the strategy will focus on five key aspects of the game:

- A high quality introduction to football
- Developing clubs and leagues
- Embrace all formats of football and engage all participants
- Recruit, develop and support the workforce
- Develop sustainable facilities

Through these five pillars, The FA’s objectives are to:

- Increase the number of male affiliated and recreational players by 10%.
- Double the number of female affiliated and recreational players via a growth of 75%.
- Increase the number of disability affiliated and recreational players by 30%.
- Ensure affiliated Futsal is available across the country in order to increase the number of Futsal affiliated and recreational players.
The sustainable football facilities should provide support to an agreed portfolio of priority projects that meet National Football Facility Strategy (NFFS) investment priorities.

**England and Wales Cricket Board (ECB) Cricket Unleashed 5 Year Plan (2016-2021)**

The England and Wales Cricket Board unveiled a new strategic five-year plan in 2016 (available at [http://www.cricketunleashed.com](http://www.cricketunleashed.com)). Its success will be measured by the number of people who play, follow or support the whole game.

The plan sets out five important headline elements and each of their key focuses, these are:

- **More Play** – make the game more accessible and inspire the next generation of players, coaches, officials and volunteers. Focus on:
  - Clubs and leagues
  - Kids
  - Communities
  - Casual

- **Great Teams** – deliver winning teams who inspire and excite through on-field performance and off-field behaviour. Focus on:
  - Pathway
  - Support
  - Elite Teams
  - England Teams

- **Inspired Fans** – put the fan at the heart of our game to improve and personalise the cricket experience for all. Focus on:
  - Fan focus
  - New audiences
  - Global stage
  - Broadcast and digital

- **Good Governance and Social Responsibility** – make decisions in the best interests of the game and use the power of cricket to make a positive difference. Focus on:
  - Integrity
  - Community programmes
  - Our environments
  - One plan

- **Strong Finance and Operations** – increase the game’s revenues, invest our resources wisely and administer responsibly to secure the growth of the game. Focus on:
  - People
  - Revenue and reach
  - Insight
  - Operations

**The Rugby Football Union Strategic Plan (2017-2021)**

The RFU has released its new strategic vision for rugby in England. The strategy is based on four main elements which are; Protect, Engage, Grow and Win. It covers all elements of rugby union ranging from elite rugby to grassroots, although the general relevancy to the PPS is centred around growing the game.

The RFU exists to promote and develop rugby union in England and ensure the long-term sustainability of clubs by growing player numbers and retaining them across all age groups. Responding to wider marker influences, work will continue on developing new ways to take part in all forms of the game, without comprising the sports traditions.
This will ensure a lasting legacy from elite success by attracting new players and encouraging current male and female adult players to play.

The four key aims to ensure long term sustainability are to:

- Improve player transition from age grade to adult 15-a-side rugby
- Expand places to play through Artificial Grass Pitches (AGPs)
- Engage new communities in rugby
- Create a community 7’s offering

**England Hockey (EH) - A Nation Where Hockey Matters 2013**

The vision is for England to be a 'Nation Where Hockey Matters'.

We know that delivering success on the international stage stimulates the nation's pride in their hockey team and, with the right events in place, we will attract interest from spectators, sponsors and broadcasters alike. The visibility that comes from our success and our occasions will inspire young people and adults to follow in the footsteps of their heroes and, if the right opportunities are there to meet their needs, they will play hockey and enjoy wonderful experiences.

Underpinning all this is the infrastructure which makes our sport function. We know the importance of our volunteers, coaches, officials, clubs and facilities. The more inspirational our people can be, the more progressive we can be and the more befitting our facilities can be, the more we will achieve for our sport. England Hockey will enable this to happen and we are passionate about our role within the sport. We will lead, support, counsel, focus and motivate the Hockey Nation and work tirelessly towards our vision.

As a governing body, we want to have a recognisable presence to participants of the game, be that through club or association website or their communications, or through the work of the many outstanding coaches in our game, so that players understand that their club is part of a wider team working together to a common goal.

The core objectives are as follows:

1. Grow our Participation
2. Deliver International Success
3. Increase our Visibility
4. Enhance our Infrastructure
5. For England Hockey to be proud and respected custodians of the sport

**Club participation**

Our club market is well structured and clubs are required to affiliate to England Hockey to play in community leagues. As a result only relatively few occasional teams lie outside our affiliation structure. Schools and Universities are the other two areas where significant hockey is played.

Hockey is clearly benefiting from a double Olympic legacy. After Great Britain’s women won bronze in front of a home crowd in London in 2012 the numbers of young girls playing the sport doubled and a historic gold in Rio 2016 saw more than 10,000 players promptly joining clubs. These triumphs have inspired the nation to get active and play hockey. Thanks to the outstanding work of the network of clubs across the country, England Hockey has seen unprecedented growth at both ends of the age range.
There has been an 80% increase in the number of boys and girls in clubs, as well as a 54% increase in players over the age of 46.

Hockey clubs have reaped the rewards of the improved profile of the sport, focusing on a link with schools to provide excellent opportunities for young players. Programmes such as Quick sticks – a small-sided version of hockey for 7-11 year olds – in Primary Schools have been hugely successful in allowing new players to take part in the sport from an early age. The growth in the sport since the eve of London 2012 has been seen across the country, examples being a 110% increase in under 16s club participation in London, and a 111% growth in the North West in the same age bracket.

**England Hockey Strategy**

England Hockey's Facilities Strategy can be found here.

**Vision:** For every hockey club in England to have appropriate and sustainable facilities that provide excellent experiences for players.

**Mission:** More, Better, Happier Players with access to appropriate and sustainable facilities

The 3 main objectives of the facilities strategy are:

1. **PROTECT: To conserve the existing hockey provision**
   - There are currently over 800 pitches that are used by hockey clubs (club, school, universities) across the country. It is important to retain the current provision where appropriate to ensure that hockey is maintained across the country.

2. **IMPROVE: To improve the existing facilities stock (physically and administratively)**
   - The current facilities stock is ageing and there needs to be strategic investment into refurbishing the pitches and ancillary facilities. England Hockey works to provide more support for clubs to obtain better agreements with facilities providers & education around owning an asset.

3. **DEVELOP: To strategically build new hockey facilities where there is an identified need and ability to deliver and maintain. This might include consolidating hockey provision in a local area where appropriate.**

England Hockey has identified key areas across the country where there is a lack of suitable hockey provision and there is a need for additional pitches, suitable for hockey. There is an identified demand for multi pitches in the right places to consolidate hockey and allow clubs to have all of their provision catered for at one site.

**2015-2018 British Tennis Strategy**

The new strategy is presented in a concise one page framework that includes key strategies relating to three participation "focus" areas, six participation "drivers" and three participation "enablers". To achieve success, the 12 strategy areas will need to work interdependently to stem the decline and unlock sustainable growth.
The three participation “focus” areas are where tennis is consumed:

- Deliver great service to clubs
- Build partnerships in the community, led by parks
- Enhance the tennis offer in education

The six participation "drivers" are the areas that will make the biggest difference where tennis is consumed. They must all be successful on a standalone and interconnected basis and include:

- Becoming more relevant to coaches
- Refocusing on recreational competition
- Providing results orientated facility investment
- Applying best in class marketing and promotion
- Jump starting the peak summer season
- Establishing a "no compromise" high performance programme with focus

The final layer is comprised of three participation "enablers" that underpin our ability to be successful. These enablers are rooted in how the LTA will get better; how the entire network of partners must be harnessed to work together and the need to raise more financial resources to fund our sport's turnaround. They include:

- Becoming a more effective and efficient LTA
- Harnessing the full resource network
- Generating new revenue

For further information and more detail on the framework please go to [http://www.lta.org.uk/about-the-lta/structure-vision](http://www.lta.org.uk/about-the-lta/structure-vision)

**British Crown Green Bowling Association**

Please note there is no current facility guidance provided by British Crown Green Bowling Association responsible for crown green bowls in England.

**Growing the Game of Golf in England (2017-2021)**

In 2014, England Golf developed its first national strategy to help golf in England rise to some serious challenges. Membership was declining, many clubs were facing financial and business problems and the perception of the game was proving damaging. As such, it decided to set out recommendations for actions that would help “raise the game”.

The 2014 strategy helped achieve the following:

- 427,111 people being introduced to golf for the first time.
- 31,913 new members for England’s golf clubs from national initiatives.
- Over £25 million generated for golf clubs through new members.
- Four counties to merge their men’s and women’s unions associations.
- Support for 15,200 national, regional and county squad players.
- Over 150 championships and events organised across the country.

Following the above strategy, England Golf is now setting out to “grow the game” of golf through seven strategic objectives. Developed in consultation with the golfing community, six of these are developed from the previous work in 2014, whilst one (being customer focussed) is brand new and intends on boosting the impact of them all.
The objectives are:

- Being customer focussed
- Stronger counties and club
- Excellent governance
- Improve image
- More members and players
- Outstanding championships, competitions and events
- Winning golfers


Facilities are essential to attracting, retaining and developing athletes of the future. Having the right facilities in the right place will be crucial in meeting growing demand, increasing participation in physical activity and athletics, improving the health of the nation and supporting a new generation of athletes in clubs and schools through to national and world class level.

UKA and the Home Country Athletics Federations (HCAFs) recognise the challenges faced by facility owners and venue operators, and the 5 year Facility Strategy (2014-2019) uses a Track & Field facility model designed to support a sustainable UK network of development, training and competition venues that meet Home Country needs aligned to UKA’s Athlete/Participant Development Model. In addition to Track and Field provision, UKA recognises the huge amount of club activity that takes place on roads, paths and trails and the strategy also maps out a plan for future “running” facilities.

The strategy does not seek to identify priority facilities, clubs or geographical areas. Instead, it provides the direction and guidance that will enable the four Home Country Athletics Federations (England Athletics, Athletics Northern Ireland, Scottish Athletics and Welsh Athletics) to establish their own priorities and deliver the principles of the UKA Facilities Strategy within their own national context.

**Key outcomes:**

- Increased participation across all athletics disciplines
- Increased club membership by providing facilities that support a participation pathway from novice through to club member
- Increased talent pool
- Long term improvement in the development of athletes of all ages and abilities
- Securing the long term future of existing facilities
- More attractive and inspiring facilities for existing and potential athletes
- Improving the athletics experience for all participants
- Improved relationships and interactions between stakeholders, particularly clubs and facility operators
APPENDIX TWO: FUNDING PLAN

Funding opportunities

In order to deliver much of the Action Plan it is recognised that external partner funding will need to be sought. Although seeking developer contributions in applicable situations and other local funding/community schemes could go some way towards meeting deficiencies and/or improving provision, other potential/match sources of funding should be investigated. Below is a list of current funding sources that are relevant for community improvement projects involving sports facilities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Awarding body</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big Lottery Fund</td>
<td>The Big Lottery Fund distributes over £500m a year to communities across the UK, raised by players of The National Lottery.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| http://www.biglotteryfund.org.uk/ | **Awards for All** – this fund offers National Lottery grants between £300 and £10,000. Applications can be made by: voluntary or community organisations, registered charities, constituted groups or clubs, not-for-profit companies or community interest companies, socials enterprises, schools and statutory bodies (including town, parish and community councils). To receive funding, the applicant must meet at least one of the funding priorities listed for these grants. The funding priorities are:  
  - Bringing people together and building strong relationships in and across communities.  
  - Improving the places and spaces that matter to communities.  
  - Enabling more people to fulfil their potential by working to address issues at the earliest possible stage.  

| Empowering Young People       | this grants programme is designed to support projects in Northern Ireland that give young people aged 8 to 25 the ability to overcome the challenges they face. Funding between £30,000 and £500,000 is available and is available to projects which meet one or more of the following objectives:  
  - Equip young people with the skills they need for the future.  
  - Improve young people’s relationships with their support networks and communities.  
  - Improve the health and well-being of young people.  

| Reaching Communities England  | this programme provides flexible funding over £10,000 for up to five years to organisations in England who want to act on the issues that matter to people and communities. Grants will be awarded to voluntary and community organisations or social enterprises to fund project activities, operating costs, organisational development and capital costs. Ideas must meet one or more of the following funding priorities:  
  - Bringing people together and building strong relationships in and across communities.  
  - Improving the places and spaces that matter to communities.  
  - Enabling more people to fulfil their potential by working to |

---

41 Up to date as of April 2018.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Awarding body</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sport England</td>
<td>Sport England’s vision is that everyone in England feels able to take part in sport or activity, regardless of age, background or ability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The current funding streams may change throughout 2017/18 so refer to the website for the latest information: <a href="https://www.sportengland.org/funding/">https://www.sportengland.org/funding/</a></td>
<td>Small Grants – this programme offers funding to projects involving adults and young people aged 14 or over which meet one or more of the aims of their ‘Towards an Active Nation’ strategy. These aims are: get inactive people more active, develop lasting sporting habits, engender more positive attitudes among young people, develop more diverse volunteers and to improve progression and inclusion among the most talented. Projects with mixed age groups may still be considered if there is a focus on people aged 14 and over.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Asset Fund</td>
<td>Community Asset Fund – this programme is dedicated to enhancing the spaces in your local community that give people the opportunity to be active.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Events Engagement Fund</td>
<td>Major Events Engagement Fund – Sport England’s ‘Towards an Active Nation’ strategy commits them to invest £2m in helping national governing bodies (NGBs) to host major events which evolve their existing business model and derive a greater financial return from their existing customers (players, volunteers or spectators). This funding can also be used to develop programmes that engage with individuals local to the major event, who are currently less likely to take part regularly in sport or physical activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football Foundation</td>
<td>This trust provides financial help for football at all levels, from national stadia and FA Premier League clubs down to grass-roots local development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.footballfoundation.org.uk/funding-schemes/">http://www.footballfoundation.org.uk/funding-schemes/</a></td>
<td>Premier League &amp; The FA Facilities Fund – this fund is available to football clubs, schools, councils and local sports associations that improve facilities for football and other sport in local communities, sustain or increase participation amongst children and adults, regardless of background age or ability and to help children and adults to develop their physical, mental, social and moral capacities through regular participation in sport. Grants are available for: Grass pitch drainage/improvements, Pavilions, clubhouses and changing rooms, 3G Football Turf Pitches (FTPs) and multi-use games areas, Fixed floodlights for artificial pitches. Premier League &amp; The FA Facilities Fund Small Grants Scheme – this scheme awards grants of up to £10,000 for the provision of capital items, or to refurbish/improve existing facilities. This scheme aims to support the growth of football clubs and activity, prevent a decline in football participation and make improvements to facilities to address any health and safety issues. Grants, which cannot exceed 50% of the total project cost, are awarded to support the costs of the following list of projects and items: Replacement of unsafe goalposts, Portable floodlights, Storage containers, Changing pavilion/clubhouse refurbishment and external works (not including routine maintenance works),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awarding body</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Grounds maintenance equipment, Pitch improvement works (not including routine maintenance works), Fencing. The scheme is available to local authorities, educational establishments, grassroots football clubs and professional and semi-professional football clubs and their associated community organisations, to support their community outreach programmes. **Premier League Primary Stars Kit and Equipment Scheme** - this scheme, run in partnership with Nike and delivered by the Football Foundation, gives teachers the opportunity to get their hands on free resources to aid their pupils' learning. Primary school teachers registered at plprimarystars.com can access either a free Nike football strip, or a free equipment pack which can be used across the curriculum. **Rugby Football Foundation (RFF)** [http://www.rugbyfootballfoundation.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=14&Itemid=113](http://www.rugbyfootballfoundation.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=14&Itemid=113) The **Grant Match Scheme** in particular provides easy-to-access grant funding for playing projects that contribute to the recruitment and retention of community rugby players. Grants are available on a ‘match funding’ 50:50 basis to support a proposed project. Projects eligible for funding include: 1. Pitch Facilities – Playing surface improvement, pitch improvement, rugby posts, floodlights. 2. Club House Facilities – Changing rooms, shower facilities, washroom/lavatory, and measures to facilitate segregation (e.g. women, juniors). 3. Equipment – Large capital equipment, pitch maintenance capital equipment (e.g. mowers). ‘**Helping Hand Grants**’ can award funding from £500 to £1,500. The Groundmatch Grant Scheme provides funding from £1,501 to £5,000. RFU Accredited clubs at level 5 and below are eligible to apply. The RFF also offer loan schemes for RFU Accredited clubs at level 3 and below. The first scheme is the Interest Free Loan scheme which can provide up to £100,000 as an interest free loan for capital works. Green Deal Loans up to the value of £20,000 are available to clubs to support them in the installation of facility solutions that reduce utility costs. Repayments are structured to be the equivalent of the projected savings over the agreed ‘payback’ period. **The England and Wales Cricket Trust** [https://www.ecb.co.uk/be-involved/club-support/club-funding](https://www.ecb.co.uk/be-involved/club-support/club-funding) The **Interest Free Loan Scheme** provides finance to clubs for various capital projects such as buildings, equipment purchase, fine turf, land purchase and non-turf. All ECB affiliated cricket clubs are eligible to apply, as well as other organisations that can evidence achievement/delivery of the EWCT’s charitable aims. Clubs with a junior section can apply for funding from £1,000 to £50,000 whereas clubs without a junior section can apply for funding from £1,000 to £20,000. A minimum of 10% partnership funding is required from the applicant. **The Small Grant Scheme** aims to support the ECB’s national programmes – Get the Game On, All Stars Cricket, Women’s Cricket and U19 Club T20. Clubs can apply for funding towards the purchase of relevant products or materials, and associated...
Awarding body | Description
--- | ---
 | professional labour costs.
 | Project themes:
 | • Covers – supporting Get the Game On,
 | • Family Friendly Facilities – supporting All Stars Cricket,
 | • Improved Changing Facilities for Females – supporting Women’s Cricket,
 | • Great Events – supporting U19 Club T20.
EU Life Fund [http://ec.europa.eu/environment/funding/intro_en.htm](http://ec.europa.eu/environment/funding/intro_en.htm) | LIFE is the EU’s financial instrument supporting environmental and nature conservation projects throughout the EU. LIFE also finances some grants for non-governmental organisations active in the field of the environment because they are key players in the development and implementation of environmental policy.
National Hockey Foundation [http://www.thenationalhockeyfoundation.com/](http://www.thenationalhockeyfoundation.com/) | The Foundation primarily makes grants to a wide range of organisations that meet one of the areas of focus: young people and hockey, young people and sport in Milton Keynes, enabling the development of hockey at youth or community level and smaller charities. There is no limit on the amount of funding that an organisation can request. However, the Foundation does not generally award grants for less than £10,000 or more than £75,000.
Rugby Football League [https://www.rlwc2021.com/facilities](https://www.rlwc2021.com/facilities) | Rugby League World Cup 2021 Capital Facilities Legacy funding. Small Grants Scheme awards grants of up to £15,000 for the provision of capital items, kit and equipment or to refurbish/improve existing facilities. Large capital grants programme of £15,000 plus focused on supporting the development of new or refurbished local rugby league facilities. The programme is split into four themes:
1. Welcoming environments
2. More players
3. Community engagement
4. Innovation fund

Funder’s requirements

Below is a list of funding requirements that can typically be expected to be provided as part of a funding bid, some of which will fall directly out of the Playing Pitch Strategy:

- Identify need (i.e., why the Project is needed) and how the Project will address it.
- Articulate what difference the Project will make.
- Identify benefits, value for money and/or added value.
- Provide baseline information (i.e., the current situation).
- Articulate how the Project is consistent with local, regional and national policy.
- Financial need and project cost.
- Funding profile (i.e., Who’s providing what? Unit and overall costs).
- Technical information and requirements (e.g., planning permission).
- Targets, outputs and/or outcomes (i.e., the situation after the Project/what the Project will achieve)
- Evidence of support from partners and stakeholders.
- Background/essential documentation (e.g., community use agreement).
- Assessment of risk.
Indicative costs

The indicative costs of implementing key elements of the Action Plan can be found on the Sport England website:

https://www.sportengland.org/facilities-planning/design-and-cost-guidance/cost-guidance/

The costs are for the development of community sports facilities and are based on providing good quality sports facility based on the last quarter. The Facilities Costs are updated on the Sport England website every quarter. These rounded costs are based on schemes most recently funded through the Lottery (and therefore based on economies of scale), updated to reflect current forecast price indices provided by the Building Cost Information Service (BCIS), prepared by Technical Team Lead of Sport England.
APPENDIX THREE: GLOSSARY

**Displaced demand** generally relates to play by teams or other users of playing pitches from within the study area (i.e. from residents of the study area) which takes place outside of the area. This may be due to issues with the provision of pitches and ancillary facilities in the study area, just reflective of how the sports are played (e.g. at a central venue for the wider area) or due to the most convenient site for the respective users just falling outside of the local authority/study area.

**Unmet demand** is demand that is known to exist but unable to be accommodated on current supply of pitches. This could be in the form of a team with access to a pitch for matches but nowhere to train or vice versa. This could also be due to the poor quality and therefore limited capacity of pitches in the area and/or a lack of provision and ancillary facilities which meet a certain standard of play/league requirement. League secretaries may be aware of some unmet demand as they may have declined applications from teams wishing to enter their competitions due to a lack of pitch provision which in turn is hindering the growth of the league.

**Latent demand** is demand that evidence suggests may be generated from the current population should they have access to more or better provision. This could include feedback from a sports club who may feel that they could set up and run an additional team if they had access to better provision.

**Future demand** is an informed estimate made of the likely future demand for pitches in the study area. This is generally based on the most appropriate current and future population projections for the relevant age and gender groupings for each sport. Key trends, local objectives and targets and consultation also inform this figure.

**Casual use** or other use could take place on natural grass pitches or AGPs and include:

- Regular play from non-sports club sources (e.g. companies, schools, fitness classes)
- Infrequent informal/friendly matches
- Informal training sessions
- More casual forms of a particular sport organised by sports clubs or other parties
- Significant public use and informal play, particularly where pitches are located in parks/recreation grounds.

**Carrying capacity** is the amount of play a site can regularly accommodate (in the relevant comparable unit) for community use without adversely affecting its quality and use. This is typically outlined by the NGB.

**Overplay** is when a pitch is used over the amount that the carrying capacity will allow, (i.e. more than the site can accommodate). Pitches have a limit of how much play they can accommodate over a certain period of time before their quality, and in turn their use, is adversely affected.

**Spare capacity** is the amount of additional play that a pitch could potentially accommodate in additional to current activity. There may be reasons why this potential to accommodate additional play should not automatically be regarded as actual spare capacity, for example, a site may be managed to regularly operate slightly below its carrying capacity to ensure that it can cater for a number of friendly matches and training activity. This needs to be investigated before the capacity is deemed **actual spare capacity**.
Match equivalent sessions is an appropriate comparable unit for pitch usage. For football, rugby union and rugby league, pitches should relate to a typical week within the season and one match = one match equivalent session if it occurs every week or 0.5 match equivalent sessions if it occurs every other week (i.e. reflecting home and away fixtures). For cricket pitches, it is appropriate to look at the number of match equivalent sessions over the course of a season and one match = one match equivalent session.
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SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1: Introduction

Knight, Kavanagh & Page Ltd (KKP) was commissioned by Cannock Chase District Council (CCDC) to undertake an indoor and outdoor sports facilities strategy and playing fields strategy in November 2017. It is intended that these strategies will seek to support the Council and its partners in the creation of:

‘an accessible, high quality and sustainable network of sport pitches and other outdoor sports facilities that supports delivery of the Deal for the Future (promoting community self-reliance, increasing the health and wellbeing of residents and communities, providing opportunities for young people and fostering belief in the District) through increased participation by all residents, at all levels of play, from grassroots to elite.’

To achieve this strategic vision, the strategies reflect the following objectives - to:

- Ensure that all valuable facilities are protected for the long-term benefit of sport.
- Promote a sustainable approach to the provision of playing pitches and management of sports clubs.
- Ensure that there are sufficient facilities in the right place to meet current and projected future demand.
- Ensure that all clubs have access to facilities of appropriate quality to meet current needs and their longer-term aspirations.

1.2: Background

Cannock Chase is a local government district covering over seven thousand hectares on the northern border of the West Midlands conurbation. It is one of the eight districts of the County of Staffordshire. It is bordered by Lichfield District, South Staffordshire, East Staffordshire, Walsall and Stafford Borough. The District itself incorporates the towns of Cannock, Rugeley and Hednesford and is divided into fifteen wards. A key feature is the strong transport infrastructure including the M6, M6 Toll and A5 trunk road. In addition to a network of bus routes, railway stations at Rugeley, Hednesford and Cannock provide connections to Stafford in the North and Birmingham and Walsall to the South.

Since 2011, Cannock Chase has formed part of both the Greater Birmingham and Solihull Local Enterprise Partnership (along with Birmingham, Bromsgrove, East Staffordshire, Lichfield, Redditch, Solihull, Tamworth and Wyre Forest) plus the Stoke-on-Trent and Staffordshire Local Enterprise Partnership. In addition, Cannock Chase is a non – constituent member of the West Midlands Combined Authority, and also part of the Greater Birmingham and Black Country Housing Market Area.

Given that 60% of the District is designated Green Belt, it is a crucial feature of the District's overall character. Cannock Chase is a designated Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (ANOB). As well as being an amenity for local people it also attracts many visitors. The Council provides a range of formal parks (four of which have achieved national (Green Flag) standard plus play areas and open spaces.
1.3: Scope of the project

The report provides detail as to what exists in the District, its condition, location, availability and overall quality. It considers demand for facilities based on population distribution, planned growth and taking into consideration health and economic deprivation. The facilities/sports covered include sports halls, swimming pools, health and fitness, squash courts and indoor bowls. Tennis provision is included within the Playing Pitch element of the study.
In delivering this report KKP has:

- Individually audited identified sports halls (conventional i.e. three plus court halls as per Sport England definitions) swimming pools (minimum size 160m²), health and fitness facilities (including, within reason, dance studios) and squash courts (public, private and voluntary sector owned/managed).
- Analysed supply and demand to identify gaps and opportunities for improved provision.
- Assessed the extent to which leisure facility delivery is undertaken with full reference to the corporate strategies of the Council and other relevant strategic influences.
- Identified areas of good practice and opportunities for improved service in order to drive up participation levels.

This factual report provides a quantitative and qualitative audit-based assessment of the facilities identified above. It is a robust, up-to-date assessment of need for sports halls, health and fitness and specialist facilities and examines opportunities for new, enhanced and rationalised provision. Specific deficiencies and surpluses are identified to inform the provision required. The specific objectives of the audit and assessment are to:

- Identify supply and demand issues for sport and recreation provision across the district.
- Identify priority sports for the area based on national governing of sport (NGB) targets and local community needs.
- Enable the accompanying Infrastructure Delivery Plan to be kept up to date and responsive to needs including developer contributions/ informing CIL Regulation 123 List where appropriate and in compliance with CIL regulations.

The specific tasks addressed within the study include:

- A review of relevant Council strategies, plans, reports, corporate objectives.
- A review of the local, regional and national strategic context.
- Analysis of the demographics of the local population.
- Audit of indoor facilities provided by public, private, voluntary and education sectors.
- Consideration of potential participation rates and modelling of likely demand.
- Supply and demand analysis.
- Analysis of the balance between supply of and demand for sports facilities and identification of potential under and over-provision.
- Identification of key issues to address in the future provision of indoor sports facilities.
1.4 Report structure

The Royal Town Planning Institute (RTPI) in a report entitled ‘Strategic Planning: Effective Co-operation for Planning Across Boundaries (2015)’ puts the case for strategic planning based on six general principles:

- Have focus.
- Be genuinely strategic.
- Be spatial.
- Be collaborative.
- Have strong leadership and
- Be accountable to local electorates.

In the preparation of this report, KKP has paid due regard to these strategic principles and it is, as a consequence, structured as follows:

- Section 2 - review of background policy documentation (national/regional/local) and a profile of the population and socio-demographic characteristics of the District. This includes cross boundary consultation and engagement.
- Section 3 - description of methodology employed to review indoor provision.
- Section 4 - review of sports hall provision.
- Section 5 - review of swimming pool provision.
- Section 6 - review of health and fitness provision.
- Section 7 - review of membership data.
- Section 8 - review of significant other sports.
- Section 9 - review of village halls/community centres.
- Section 10 - identification of strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats.
SECTION 2: BACKGROUND

2.1: National context

Sport England aims to ensure positive planning for sport, enabling the right facilities to be provided in the right places, based on up to date assessment of needs for all levels of sport and all sectors of the community. This draft assessment report has been produced for Cannock Chase District Council applying the principles and tools identified in the Sport England Guide Assessing Needs and Opportunities for Indoor and Outdoor Sports Facilities (ANOG).

*Figure 2.1: ANOG model*

As illustrated, Sport England regards an assessment of need as core to the planning for sporting provision. This report reviews indoor and built sporting facility needs in Cannock Chase and provides a basis for future strategic planning.

*‘Sporting Future: A New Strategy for an Active Nation’*

This Government strategy for sport was released in December 2015. It confirms the recognition and understanding that sport makes a positive difference through broader means and it will help the sector to deliver fundamental outcomes: physical wellbeing, mental wellbeing, individual development, social and community development and economic development. It has identified the following outputs.

- Maximising international and domestic sporting success and the impact of major events.
- More people from every background regularly and meaningfully taking part in sport and physical activity, volunteering and experiencing live sport.
- A more productive, sustainable and responsible sport sector.

It further identifies the following actions will need to occur that:

- Meet the needs of the elite and professional system and deliver successful major sporting events.
- Meet customer needs and enable them to engage in sport and physical activity.
- Strengthen the sport sector and make it more effective and resilient.
Sport England: Towards an Active Nation (2016-2021)

In its strategy, Sport England identifies that it will invest in:

- Tackling inactivity.
- Children and young people.
- Volunteering – a dual benefit.
- Taking sport and activity into the mass market.
- Supporting sport’s core market.
- Local delivery.
- Facilities.

These seven investment programmes are underpinned by its Workforce Strategy and a Coaching Plan.

Revised National Planning Policy Framework 2018

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets out planning policies for England. It details how changes are expected to be applied to the planning system. It also provides a framework for local people and their councils to produce distinct local and neighbourhood plans, reflecting the needs and priorities of local communities.

It states that the purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. It identifies the need to focus on three themes of economic, social and environmental sustainable development.
A presumption in favour of sustainable development is a key aspect for any plan-making and decision-taking processes. In relation to plan-making, the NPPF states that local plans should meet objectively assessed needs. It is clear about sport’s role delivering sustainable communities via the promotion of health and well-being. Sport England, working within the provisions of the NPPF, wishes to see local planning policy protect, enhance and provide for sports facilities based on robust and up-to-date assessments of need, as well as helping to realise the wider benefits that participation in sport can bring.

The promoting healthy communities theme identifies that planning policies should be based on robust, up-to-date assessment of need for open space, sports and recreation facilities and opportunities for new provision. Specific needs, quantitative/qualitative deficiencies and surpluses should be identified and used to inform provision requirements in an area. In addition, the Government has recently consulted on developer contributions and although the outcomes are awaited, they have the potential to impact on the delivery mechanism.

Economic value of sport to the nation

Sport, leisure, recreation and culture are all important economic drivers. In 2015, sport and sport-related activity contributed £20.3 billion to the English economy. Its contribution to employment was even greater with sport and sport-related activity estimated to support over 450,000 full-time equivalent jobs. Volunteering in sport, and the health benefits derived, also have an impact on the economy. The estimated economic value of sport-related volunteering is £6.9 billion. The annual value of health benefits from people taking part in sport is estimated at £21.0 billion.

Benefits of sport include the well-being/happiness of individuals taking part, improved health and education, reduced youth crime, environmental benefits, regeneration and community development, and to the individual and wider society through volunteering. Consumption of sport benefits includes the well-being/happiness of spectators, and the national pride/feel good factor derived from sporting success/achievement.

Participation in sport contributes to reducing crime and anti-social behaviour, particularly among young people. It also has a net impact on the environment; where, for example, more people walk and cycle, emissions and congestion can reduce. In summary, it can provide a range of economic and health benefits to Cannock Chase and its population as well as helping to provide jobs and opportunities to spectators and participate in physical activity.

Public Health England: Everybody Active, Everyday

In October 2014, Public Health England (PHE) produced its plan to tackle low activity levels across the country. Along with making the case for physical activity, this identifies four areas where measures need to be taken at a national and local level:

- Active society: creating a social movement, shifting social norms so that physical activity becomes a routine part of daily life.
- Moving professionals: activating networks of expertise. Making every contact with the health sector count to push the ‘active’ message and to deliver the message through other sectors including education, sports and leisure, transport and planning.
- Active environments: creating the right spaces. Making available and accessible appropriate environments that encourage people to be active every day.
Moving at scale: scaling up interventions that make us active. Maximising existing assets that enable communities to be active.

**Investment in school sport**

The Government 2013 Primary PE and Sport Premium fund of £150 million per annum provided two years of investment in school sport. Supported by the Government’s Education, Health and DCMS departments, funds went directly to primary school head teachers for them to spend on sport. Its four objectives were to:

- Improve the quality of existing PE teaching through continuing professional learning in PE for generalists, so that all primary pupils improve their health, skills and physical literacy and have a broader exposure to a range of sports.
- Increase participation levels in competitive sports and healthy activity of pupils and maintain these into adolescence.
- Increase the quality of initial teacher training in PE and sport, and to promote PE specialisation in primary level workforce.
- Ensure that schools understand and value the benefits of high-quality PE and sport, including its use as a tool for whole school improvement.

Under this phase of the programme, schools with 16 or fewer eligible pupils received £1,000 per pupil while those with 17 or more received £16,000 plus a payment of £10 per head.

In 2017, the amount allocated doubled and the Government has confirmed that funding from a tax on sugary soft drinks will continue to be ring-fenced for school sports until 2020.

Evaluation of this investment has highlighted the need for clearer guidance to schools on how best to use this resource and the importance of good specialist PE knowledge for teachers of the subject. While this may cease in its present form Sport England is, in its Strategy, committed both to further investment in young people and to improving the skills of secondary school teachers, particularly in respect of physical literacy.

**Summary of national context**

Engaging all residents in physical activity is a high priority. It is acknowledged that regular sport and recreational activity plays a key role in facilitating improved health and wellbeing. Ensuring an adequate supply of suitable facilities to meet local need is a requirement of the planning system in line with national policy recommendations.

**2.2: Local context**

The following is an overview of Cannock Chase. Data is taken from nationally recognised sources such as the Office for National Statistics, NOMIS, Sport England and Experian.

**Cannock Chase Corporate Plan: 2018-2023**

The priorities for the new Corporate Plan are ‘Promoting Prosperity’ and ‘Community Wellbeing’. These interlink and reinforce each other with a view to improving opportunities, wellbeing and quality of life of communities in Cannock Chase.

Promoting prosperity has seven key objectives which include:
Creating a positive environment in which businesses in the District can thrive.

Establishing McArthurGlen Designer Outlet Cannock as a major visitor attraction and maximising the benefits it will bring to the District.

Improving housing choice.

Increasing the skills levels of residents and the amount of higher skilled jobs in the District

Creating strong and diverse towns centres to attract additional customers and visitors

Increasing access to employment opportunities

Commencing regeneration of the Rugeley Power Station site

The strategic priorities for improving health and wellbeing are:

- Opportunities for healthy and active lifestyle
- Sustaining safe and secure communities
- Supporting vulnerable people
- Promoting active and healthy lifestyles

This Corporate Plan is about looking ahead and identifying key priorities for the District over the next five-year period (2018 - 2023). The new Corporate Plan is backed up by two new investment funds - the District Investment Fund for improving skills, infrastructure and business growth; plus, the Housing Investment Fund to support a major expansion of high quality social housing in the District.

The Council, working with relevant public, private and voluntary sectors partners, will develop more detailed strategies and plans which will bring to life the priority commitments in this new Corporate Plan.

Health and wellbeing in Cannock Chase

Chase Better Health is a partnership approach between Cannock Chase District Council, Staffordshire County Council and Cannock Chase Clinical Commissioning Group which seeks to shape and build Cannock Chase’s healthy future, by supporting the improvement of health and wellbeing outcomes for residents of the District. In particular Chase Better Health seeks to strive for communities within Cannock Chase that are prosperous, healthy, independent, safe, thriving and cohesive. Its key priorities are identified in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1: The Wellbeing Pathway

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Areas for action</th>
<th>Start well</th>
<th>Grow well</th>
<th>Age well</th>
<th>End well</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enable</td>
<td>Commissioning that supports people to keep healthy through their individual actions and through the support of people around them</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-enable</td>
<td>Commissioned services to ensure the proportion of the population that experience episodes of poorer health or those that are at increased risk of becoming ill are given timely, proportionate and effective support to prevent an escalation of problems and ensure a return to wellbeing and independence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public health priorities</td>
<td>Wider determinants</td>
<td>Health improvement</td>
<td>Health promotion</td>
<td>Healthcare public health</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Mission for Chase Better Health is to embrace the big opportunity to shape and build Cannock Chase’s healthy future by working together to support residents and communities to take control of their health and wellbeing.

The vision for the wider partnership is that Cannock Chase will be a place where people have the opportunity to enhance their quality of life and achieve economic prosperity.

The goals are to:

- Reduce health inequalities through targeted intervention.
- Enable people to live independent lives.
- Empower people to make health life choices.
- Improve quality of life for vulnerable people.
- Improve communication and access to information, help and support.

(Priority outcomes can be found in Appendix 1).
The future of Cannock Chase; Partnerships Communication Strategy

The Local Strategic Partnership for the District is The Chase Community Partnership. CCDC is committed to developing close and strong working relationships with partners and local communities to deliver high quality services. It has a proven track record of effective partnership working and has a key role to play in the Chase Community Partnership. This comprises 17 organisations across Cannock Chase and Staffordshire, all working together at a local level to deliver improved public services for all.

The Communications Strategy aims to enhance the Partnership’s Vision which is that: “Cannock Chase will be a place where people have improved opportunities to enhance their quality of life and achieve economic prosperity”.

The three Partnership priorities are - to:

- Increase economic prosperity - more jobs, better skills, training and education.
- Improved health - better health status and healthier lifestyles.
- Community safety - more people feel safer.

The purpose for effective communications will help the people of Cannock Chase District understand the Partnership and the ambitions of the Local Partnership Plan.

A co-ordinated and comprehensive approach to communications will:

- Raise the profile of the Partnership working together and its associated work.
- Help to promote the area of Cannock Chase District as a whole.
- Gain community support for the work of the Partnership and help it meet its community involvement goals.
- Help to build community confidence/reassurance and improve public perception.

All Partnership member organisations and individuals have a key role in supporting effective communications and in delivering key messages. It seeks to build on current communication activity that is already in place and aims to maximise on the effective inter-agency approach in driving the Partnership forward.

Sport Across Staffordshire and Stoke-on-Trent (SASSOT)

SASSOT is the county sports partnership (CSP) which incorporates Cannock Chase. Its team provides services to partners involved with the delivery of sport, physical education and active recreation. The following is a brief overview of its stated services and programmes:

- Engaging with and supporting partners to develop their sport, PE and active recreation plans and programmes.
- Supporting volunteers and coaches.
- Supporting club development.
- Providing local insight and evidence of need for the development of programmes and facilities.
- Supporting the promotion of community sport and active recreation programmes.
- Advice on Safeguarding young people and vulnerable adults in sport.
- Equity and disability sport.
- Management of Satellite Clubs, Aiming High Inspire Multi Sport Club programmes.
Supporting the development and delivery of Level 3 School Games Sports Festivals, Primary School PE and Sport premium.

SASSOT prepared and completed a sports facilities framework for the County in 2014.

**Sport Across Staffordshire: A sports facilities framework 2014-2023**

The aim of the Framework was to:

- Set a long-term vision, running from 2014 up to 2023 for the overall direction of the development of sports facilities across Staffordshire.
- Guide sub regional strategic planning of sports facilities including linking with the Staffordshire and Stoke on Trent local authority local plans, relevant sports, playing pitch and facility strategies, NGB whole sport plans and available facility strategies.
- Provide generic guidance for partners in line with National Planning Policy Framework paragraph 73 on the importance of having robust sports assessments.
- Provide an insight into the opportunities presented by the Community Investment Levy (CIL) and guidance on implementation for the development of sports facilities including the potential for pooling CIL to provide sub regional or higher-level facilities and examples of good practice relating to CIL policies and it’s usage ref sports provision.
- Provide comprehensive information on NGB facility priorities across the sub region and potential investment in projects.

The Facilities Framework considered supply and demand issues for indoor and built sports facilities in Staffordshire. The data relevant to CCDC within the ambit of this report included:

- Sports halls
- Swimming pools
- Indoor bowls
- Health and fitness facilities
- Squash courts
- Gymnastics facilities

**Summary of local policies**

Key local policy messages can be summarised as follows:

- The context for CCDC over the past five years has been one of financial austerity which is unlikely to alter within the immediate future.
- There is a commitment to improve the health of all communities in Cannock Chase; supported by the need to have good quality sports facilities.
- There is also a commitment to working across partner agencies to support residents and communities to take control of their own health and wellbeing.
- There is a commitment to partnership work with a range of agencies leading to efficiencies and a requirement that Council services that are customer centred and accessible.
- SASSOT completed a Sports Facilities Framework (2014-2023) indicating the importance of partnership working and recognising that facilities outwith the District can also be an important part of the facility mix.
2.3 Demographic profile

The following is a brief summary of the demographic profile of Cannock Chase using data drawn from nationally recognised sources.

Population and distribution

The total population of CCDC is 98,534 (mid-year estimate 2016) with a slightly higher number of females (49,719) than males (48,815). The population is at its most dense around Cannock in the west, and Rugeley in the north, of the District. (See Figure 2 overleaf).

Age distribution (Data source: ONS 2016)

The age structure is similar to the West Midlands. It has a slightly lower proportion of 20-24 year olds (5.8%); West Midlands (6.7%). The increasing number and proportion of older people is a key consideration pitching the sports and physical activity offer within the area.

Ethnicity (Data source: 2011 census of population, ONS)

The ethnic composition of CCDC does not reflect that of England as a whole; 97.7% of the local population classified their ethnicity as White; this is higher than the England rate; 85.4%. The next largest population group (by self-classification) is Asian, at 1.0% this is markedly lower than the national equivalent (7.8%).

Crime (Data source: 2016 Recorded Crime, Home Office)

During the 12 months to September 2017 the rate for recorded crimes per 1,000 persons in Cannock Chase was 71.0; this is lower than the equivalent rate for England and Wales as a whole which was 79.0. In both instances the crime rate has risen since 2015, by around 27.4% for Cannock Chase and 25.5% for England & Wales.

Income and benefits dependency (Data source: NOMIS (2017))

The median figure for full-time earnings (2017) in CCDC is £27,097; the comparative rate for the West Midlands is £26,905 (-0.7%) and for Great Britain is £28,740 (+6.1%). In January 2018 there were 491 people in Cannock Chase claiming Job Seekers Allowance (JSA); this represents a decrease of 84.1% when compared to January 2010 (3,088).

Figure 2.4: Population density 2016 MYE: Cannock Chase lower super output areas (Issoa)
(Population density map based on LSOAs from ONS’ most recent Census of population).
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Deprivation *(Data source: 2015 indices of deprivation, DCLG)*

Cannock Chase has similar levels of deprivation to the rest of Staffordshire; 30% of the District population lives in areas covered by the country's three most deprived cohorts (national average: 30%). In contrast to this, 20.7% live in the three least deprived groupings in the country; compared to a 'norm' of c.30%.

![Figure 2.5: Index of multiple deprivation](image1) ![Figure 2.6: IMD Health domain](image2)

Health data *(Data sources: ONS births and deaths, NCMP\(^1\) and NOO\(^2\))*

Life expectancy in Cannock Chase is commensurate with the national figure; the male rate is currently 79.3 compared to 79.6 for England, and the female equivalent is 83.1 compared to 83.2 nationally.\(^3\)

Weight and obesity

Obesity is widely recognised to be associated with health problems such as type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease and cancer. At a national level, the resulting NHS costs attributable to overweight and obesity\(^4\) are projected to reach £9.7 billion by 2050, with wider costs to society estimated to reach £49.9 billion per year. These factors combine to make the prevention of obesity a major public health challenge.

Adult obesity rates in Cannock Chase are above national and regional averages. Child rates for obesity are lower than regional averages and slightly higher than national averages.

---

\(^1\) National Child Measurement Program  
\(^2\) National Obesity Observatory  
\(^3\) Office of National Statistics: Life Expectancy at Birth by local areas in the United Kingdom, 2013.  
\(^4\) In adults, obesity is commonly defined as a body mass index (BMI) of 30 or more. For children in the UK, the British 1990 growth reference charts are used to define weight status.
Obesity rates increase significantly between the ages of 4 and 11. Around one in ten (9.5%) of children in Cannock Chase are obese in their reception year at school and 14.4% are overweight. By Year 6 this rises to one in five (20.2%) being obese and 15.4% being overweight. In total, by Year 6, over one third (35.6%) are either overweight or obese.

**Health costs of physical inactivity**

The British Heart Foundation (BHF) Promotion Research Group has reviewed the costs of avoidable ill health that it considers to be attributable to physical inactivity. Initially produced for the DoH report Be Active Be Healthy (2009) the data has subsequently been reworked for Sport England and updated in 2014/15 by Public Health England. Illnesses that the BHF research relates to include cancers such as bowel cancer, breast cancer, type 2 diabetes, coronary heart disease and cerebrovascular disease e.g., stroke. The data indicates a similar breakdown between these illnesses regionally and nationally.

*Figure 2.8: Health costs of physical inactivity*
Cannock Chase is in one Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG): The CCG is led by GPs from the area's 25 member practices and serves a population of 132,019 within the boundaries of Cannock Chase District Council (including Cannock, Rugeley and Heath Hayes) and the Huntington, Great Wyrley and Cheslyn Hay wards of South Staffordshire District Council. Cannock Chase’s population accounts for 73.1% of NHS Cannock Chase CCG.

The annual cost to the NHS of physical inactivity for the Cannock Chase CCG falls within is estimated at £1,021,855.37. When compared to regional and national costs per 100,000, the costs for the CCG (£768,422.08) are 6.4% below the national (£817,273.95) and regional average (£818,184.98) averages. It should also be noted that in addition to the NHS costs industry incurs significant costs to as a result of productivity lost due to back pain etc. These have also been costed in CBI reports and are of similar magnitude to the NHS costs.

Active People Survey (APS)

Active People now in its tenth year (APS10: October 2015 – 2016) collects data on the type, duration, frequency and intensity of adult participation by type of sport, recreation and cultural activity. Key indicators from APS 10 for Cannock Chase are compared to the corresponding rates for the West Midlands, England and statistical ‘nearest neighbours’ based on a CIPFA (the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy) model. This has been developed to aid local authorities to compare and benchmark. It applies a range of socio-economic indicators, including population, unemployment rates, tax base per head of population, council tax bands and mortality ratios upon which the specific family group (nearest neighbours) is calculated. Key findings include:

- Just over one third (32.5%) of adults participate in at least 1 x 30 minutes moderate intensity sport per week. This is below both national (36.1%) and regional (33.8%) averages. CCDC’s ‘nearest neighbours’ range from 30.6% to 35.0%.
- Just over one fifth (21.9%) are members of a sports club based on the four weeks prior to the APS. This is close to the national (22.2%) and regional (21.4%) rates and is above all but one of its ‘nearest neighbours’.
- Around one in six (16.3%) adults in Cannock Chase received sports tuition during the 12 months prior to APS 10. This was above regional and national averages.

Active Lives Survey 2015/16

Sport England’s Active Lives Survey 2015/16 is based on 16+ year olds taking part in walking, cycling, fitness and other sporting activity. As identified in Table 2.2 below, a higher percentage of the Cannock Chase’s population is inactive compared to England and the West Midlands and a lower percentage is considered to be active.

Table 2.2: Active Lives Survey results 2015/16

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Inactive (-&lt;30 minutes per week)</th>
<th>Fairly Active (30-149 minutes per week)</th>
<th>Active (150+ minutes per week)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>11,349,300 25.6%</td>
<td>6,081,800 13.7%</td>
<td>26,950,100 60.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Midlands</td>
<td>1,315,800 28.4%</td>
<td>665,500 14.4%</td>
<td>2,647,400 57.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannock Chase</td>
<td>24,500 30.3%</td>
<td>12,400 15.3%</td>
<td>43,900 54.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rate/population totals for sport & physical activity levels (excluding gardening) of adults (16+) in English local authority areas.
In summary, Sport England’s APS 10 found that participation and sports club membership in Cannock Chase was slightly below regional and national averages, whereas those receiving sports tuition in the last year was slightly higher. Active Lives data shows that the proportion of the adult population in Cannock Chase which is inactive is above regional and national levels. The findings from the two surveys are, thus, consistent.

The most popular sports

A further aspect of APS and SE segmentation is that it makes it possible to identify the ‘most participated in’ sports within Cannock Chase. In common with many other areas, gym sessions and swimming are the most popular and they cut across age groups and gender. In Cannock Chase nearly 12% of adults take part in a gym session, on average, at least once a month. This is higher than the regional and national averages. The next most popular activity is swimming which 7.1% of adults also undertake on a relatively regular basis (this is, however, lower than national and regional averages as identified below).

Table 2.3: Most popular sports in Cannock Chase (Source: SE Area Profiles)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Cannock Chase</th>
<th>West Midlands</th>
<th>England</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. (000s)</td>
<td>Rate (%)</td>
<td>No. (000s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gym Session</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>498.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>372.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sporting segmentation (Data source: Market segmentation, Sport England)

Sport England classifies the adult population via a series of 19 market segments to provide an insight into the sporting behaviours of individuals throughout the country. These cover a wide range of characteristics, from gender and age to the sports in which people take part, their other interests, newspapers read etc. Knowing which segments are most dominant in the local population can help direct provision and programming. Segmentation also enables
partners to make tailored interventions, communicate effectively with target market(s) and better understand participation in the context of life stage and lifecycles.

**Figure 2.9: SE segmentation – Cannock Chase compared to England**

The segmentation profile for Cannock Chase indicates 'Elsie & Arnold' to be the largest segment of the adult population at 10.6% (6,436) compared to a national average of 8.3%. This is closely followed by 'Pub League Team Mates' and 'Older Working Women'.

At the other end of the spectrum, there are fewest 'Comfortable Retired Couples' with 2.0%, 'Fitness Class Friends' (2.1%) and 'Career Foncussed Females'. (See also Appendix 2).

**Mosaic** *(Data source: 2016 Mosaic analysis, Experian)*

Mosaic 2016 is a similar consumer segmentation product. It classifies all 25.2 million households into 15 groups, 66 household types and 238 segments. This data can be used to paint a picture of UK consumers in terms of their social-demographics, lifestyles, culture and behaviour and tends to be used to draw out population characteristics for the backdrop to library usage and other non-sporting activities.

Table 2.4 (overleaf) shows the top five Mosaic classifications in Cannock Chase compared to the country as a whole. The dominance of these five segments can be seen inasmuch as
they represent approximately two thirds (67.5%) of the population compared to a national equivalent rate of just over a quarter (26.8%). A full description of all the segments can be found in Appendix 2.

Figure 2.10: Mosaic segmentation – Cannock Chase compared to England

Table 2.4: Dominant Mosaic profiles in Cannock Chase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspiring Homemakers</td>
<td>Younger households who have, often, only recently set up home. They usually own their homes in private suburbs, which they have chosen to fit their budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban Stability</td>
<td>Typically, mature couples or families, some enjoying recent empty-nest status and others with older children still at home. They live in mid-range family homes in traditional suburbs where they have been settled for many years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Basics</td>
<td>Families with children who have limited budgets and can struggle to make ends meet. Their homes are low cost and are often found in areas with fewer employment options.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The largest segment profiled for CCDC is the Aspiring Homemakers group at 20.8% of the area’s adult population; this is five times the national rate (4.3%). Figure 2.11 overleaf shows the prominence of the three most dominant profiles in the district.

Table 2.5: Mosaic – main population segments in Cannock Chase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mosaic group description</th>
<th>Cannock Chase</th>
<th>National %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Aspiring Homemakers</td>
<td>20,533</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 – Suburban Stability</td>
<td>12,446</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 – Family Basics</td>
<td>12,406</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 – Modest Traditions</td>
<td>11,895</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – Domestic Success</td>
<td>9,394</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Population projections

**Strategic planning: change over 22 years (2014 to 2036)**

The most recent ONS projections indicate a rise of 5.6% in Cannock Chase's population (+5,543) over the 22 years from 2014 to 2036. Over this extended timeframe the numbers in the majority of age groups rise and fall at different points. Key points for CCDC are:

- The decline in the number of 16-24 year olds, -13.5% in the first period (-1,450) followed by slight growth back to -11.3% (-1,219) in the second period.
- The small decline in the number of 0-15 year olds, falling by -250 (-1.4%) by 2025.
- The projected continuous increase in the number of persons aged 65+; a rise of +26.4% (+4,628) in the first period and growing by +61.2% (+10,732) between 2014 and 2036. This age group was 17.8% of CCDC’s population in 2014 but will be 27.2% by 2036.

**Figure 2.12: Projected population change (2014 -2036)**

**Table 2.7: Cannock Chase - ONS projected population (2014 to 2036)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Number 2014</th>
<th>Number 2025</th>
<th>Number 2036</th>
<th>Age structure % 2014</th>
<th>Age structure % 2025</th>
<th>Age structure % 2036</th>
<th>Change 2014 – 2036</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-15</td>
<td>17,962</td>
<td>17,712</td>
<td>17,149</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
<td>100.0% 98.6% 95.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-24</td>
<td>10,774</td>
<td>9,324</td>
<td>9,555</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>100.0% 86.5% 88.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>12,547</td>
<td>12,658</td>
<td>11,897</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>100.0% 100.9% 94.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>12,842</td>
<td>12,650</td>
<td>12,603</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>100.0% 98.5% 98.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>15,137</td>
<td>12,417</td>
<td>12,749</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>100.0% 82.0% 84.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>11,754</td>
<td>14,806</td>
<td>11,874</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>100.0% 126.0% 101.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>17,533</td>
<td>22,161</td>
<td>28,265</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
<td>100.0% 126.4% 161.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>98,549</strong></td>
<td><strong>101,728</strong></td>
<td><strong>104,092</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>100.0% 100.0% 100.0%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Office for National Statistics 2014-based population projections (data released May 2016)*
Cannock Chase Local Plan

The Local Plan (Part 1) sets out a ‘Vision’ for how the District will be by the end of the plan period (2036). Key headlines are:

- The District will continue to be made up of distinct communities with strong local character. People will be safer and healthier and will be proud of the area in which they live and work.
- The potential of the Districts’ accessible location along major transport routes will be maximised to achieve a thriving local economy
- People will lead greener, more environmentally friendly lifestyles, inspired by Cannock Chase Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

As a result of the issues faced by the District, and in order to achieve the Vision, the Local Plan (Part 1) sets out a series of high-level objectives which then inform the development of the policies. The objectives are as follows:

- Objective 1: Promote pride in attractive, safe local communities
- Objective 2: Create healthy living opportunities across the District
- Objective 3: Provide for housing choice
- Objective 4: Encourage a vibrant local economy and workforce
- Objective 5: Encourage sustainable transport infrastructure
- Objective 6: Create attractive town centres
- Objective 7: Provide well managed and appreciated environments
- Objective 8: Support a greener future

Each objective had a sub-set of priorities.

Housing market

The Government is changing the way in which housing need is calculated, using a new national standardised methodology. CCDC will need to use the figure provided to use once this methodology is finalised. As an indication the draft methodology recently consulted upon gives CCDC a figure (which could still change) of 295 dwellings per year (for the period 2016-2026) compared to the current Local Plan (Part 1) requirement of 241 dwellings per annum (for the period 2006-2028). Using the new requirement figures, the performance of CCDC is also likely to be measured using a new Housing Delivery Test for which the Government are still developing details but there are likely to be sanctions for under-delivery. Acceleration of housing delivery is also a key aim of the West Midlands Combined Authority which is producing a Strategic Investment and Delivery Plan so the Council will need to be mindful of this as it prepares the new plan.

CCDC is one of fourteen local authority areas which fall within the Greater Birmingham Housing Market Area (GBHMA). Across this wider area, there is a significant housing shortfall of 28,150 dwellings to 2031 and 60,900 to 2036. Under the legal Duty to Cooperate, work has been ongoing to look at ways of addressing this shortfall and a report has recently been published which considers the following across the entire Housing Market Assessment area:

- Current capacity
- Potential for increasing densities
- Further supply on non-Green Belt sites
- Potential Green Belt opportunities utilising a strategic Green Belt review
CCDC is not able to say how much growth it might be able to accommodate at present as it will need further evidence to see what capacity it might have (for example impacts on the transport network, schools, health facilities and so on) as well as assessing other impacts (for instance on the landscape and the environment). As set out under Policy CP1, it may need to consider site options that lie within the Green Belt but this would also require a range of evidence base work to be utilised e.g. information on potential capacity from non-Green Belt land and the District Green Belt Study (2016).

*Rugeley Power Station*

Rugeley Power Station closed operations in June 2016. It was the last functioning power station in the West Midlands. Cannock Chase and Lichfield district councils are the joint planning authorities for the land as it straddles both areas. With regard to sport and leisure provision, the land within the Power Station contained:

- 1 x football pitch.
- 1 x cricket pitch
- 2 x tennis courts
- 1 x bowling green
- An 18-hole golf course.

The sports and social club subsequently closed down and has been vacant from summer 2017 onwards.

Rugeley Power Station Development Brief Supplementary Planning Document (adopted February 2018) was jointly produced by CCDC and Lichfield District Council to guide future redevelopment of the site. The overall aim is to create a well-designed mixed-use development which incorporates market housing, affordable housing, self-build housing, employment provision, education provision and open space and recreational facilities. It is envisaged that the new development will become a popular residential neighbourhood and place of work, creating a network of pedestrian and cycle routes and open spaces which connect the site with the surrounding area.

The site comprises of 139 hectares of land, (55 hectares in Cannock Chase District and 84 hectares in Lichfield District) and is roughly rectangular in shape. A report by the two authorities, entitled The Rugeley Power Station Development Brief Supplementary Planning Document, proposes developing the land for a minimum of 2,000 homes, employment uses and power/storage production. As noted, the supporting infrastructure will include a school, open space, play facilities and public art.

*Lichfield District Council (LDC) developments*

LDC is presently undertaking a feasibility study in relation to the potential replacement of Friary Grange Leisure Centre, Lichfield. The funding and site options/feasibility is not likely to be finalised until September 2018 and there is no corporate or formal commitment at this stage as to whether or not LDC will provide this facility or look to the open market. The study is, however, based upon information Sport England Facilities Planning Model information.

The current venue has:
Opportunities to develop additional housing in Cannock Chase will occur on the recently closed Rugeley Power Station site. There is close collaboration on the development of this site and on other wider developments between Lichfield and Cannock Chase district councils. It is also noted that LDC is in the process of undertaking a feasibility study in respect of a replacement for the Friary Grange Leisure Centre - in Lichfield.

**Wider context**

Birmingham has been chosen as the host city for the 2022 Commonwealth Games, with plans already underway for a major new development bringing 3,000 new homes to the area after the event. With the cost of hosting expected to reach around £750m, the Government has agreed to cover £560m of the bill, while a significant proportion of the shortfall will be made up by the introduction of a hotel tax where visitors pay an additional £2 a night to stay in the city.

Birmingham City Council and the West Midlands Combined Authority have also applied for £189m of funding from the government’s Housing Infrastructure Fund to contribute to development of the Perry Barr Athlete’s Village, which will house c. 6,500 athletes and officials during the Games. The plan is to then convert this into apartments and townhouses for sale and rent.

**Summary**

Cannock Chase’s recently published Corporate Plan (2018-2023) identifies promoting prosperity and community wellbeing as its key priorities. Within this new framework is a clear commitment to promote active and healthy lifestyles. The Local Plan identifies the requirement for more housing in both the wider Birmingham area and in Cannock Chase itself. The exact nature and number of housing development has not yet been agreed but may be in the area of 295 dwellings per year. The closure and, therefore, potential development of Rugeley Power Station may impact more significantly on housing growth in the future (in cooperation with Lichfield Council).

Further the Birmingham Commonwealth Games 2022 has the potential to be used as a catalyst to generate increases in sport and physical activity participation in the build-up to the Games and beyond. The effect of the Games on facilities is likely to be restricted to mountain biking as this is the only sport identified to be taking place within the District.

The population of Cannock Chase is expected to rise by just over 5% by 2036. Of significance is the estimate that the 65+ age group is projected to rise from 17.8% of Cannock Chase’s population in 2014 to 27.2% of the total by 2036.

All of the above indicates limited change to the numbers living within the District up to 2036 but that there will be a gradual increase in the age demographic. The rise in numbers is unlikely to lead to significant increases in demand for sports facilities. It is, however, likely that the changing profile of the population within the local authority will lead to different requirement for sports and leisure facilities over a period of time. There may be an increasing demand placed on facilities during the day, for example, or for different programmes of activity to be considered so that people can stay active for longer.
SECTION 3: INDOOR SPORTS FACILITIES ASSESSMENT APPROACH

3.1: Methodology

The assessment of provision is based on the Sport England Assessing Needs and Opportunities Guide (ANOG) for Indoor and Outdoor Sports Facilities

Figure 3.1: Recommended approach
This provides a recommended approach to undertaking a robust assessment of need for indoor and outdoor sports facilities. It has primarily been produced to help (local authorities) meet the requirements of the Government’s NPPF, which states that:

‘Access to high quality open spaces and opportunities for sport and recreation can make an important contribution to the health and well-being of communities. Planning policies should be based on robust and up-to-date assessments of the needs for open space, sports and recreation facilities and opportunities for new provision. The assessments should identify specific needs and quantitative or qualitative deficits or surpluses of open space, sports and recreational facilities in the local area. Information gained from the assessments should be used to determine what open space, sports and recreational provision is required.’ (NPPF, Paragraph 96).

The assessment of provision is presented by analysis of the quality, quantity, accessibility and availability for the identified facility types (e.g. sports halls and swimming pools). Each facility is considered on a ‘like for like’ basis within its own facility type, so as to enable it to be assessed for adequacy.

Demand background, data and consultation is variable, depending upon the level of consultation garnered. In some instances, national data is available whilst in others, it has been possible to drill down and get some very detailed local information. This is evident within the demand section.

The report considers the distribution of and interrelationship between facility types in the District and provides a clear indication of areas of high demand. It will identify where there is potential to provide improved and/or additional facilities to meet this demand and to, where appropriate, protect or rationalise the current stock.

3.2: Site visits

Active Places Power is used to provide baseline data to identify facilities in the study area. Where possible, assessments were undertaken in the presence of facility staff. This adds considerable value as it not only enables access to be gained to all areas of a venue, but also allows more detailed in-situ discussion of issues such as customer perspectives, quality, maintenance etc. This is essential to ensure that the audit (which is, in essence, a ‘snapshot’ visit) gathers accurate insight into the general user experience.

Site visits to key indoor facilities, those operated by other partners and the voluntary sector are undertaken. Through the audit and via informal interviews with facility managers, a ‘relevance’ and ‘condition’ register is built which describes (e.g.):

- Facility and scale.
- Usage/local market.
- Ownership, management and access arrangements (plus, where available, facility owner aspirations).
- Management, programming, catchments, user groups, gaps.
- Location (urban/rural), access and accessibility.
- Condition, maintenance, existing improvement plans, facility ‘investment status’ (lifespan in the short, medium and long term).
- Existing/ planned adjacent facilities.
An assessment form captures quantity and quality data on a site by site basis and feeds directly into the main database allowing information to be stored and analysed. Quality assessments undertaken are rated in the following categories. These ratings are applied throughout the report, regardless of facility type.

Table 3.1: Quality ratings of indoor sports facilities using ANOG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Facility is assessed as being new or recently invested, up to date, well maintained, clean and well-presented and generally no older than ten years. Fixtures, fittings, equipment and sports surfaces are new or relatively new with little if any wear and tear. The facility is well lit with a modern feel. Ancillary facilities are welcoming, new or well maintained, fit for purpose, modern and attractive to use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above average</td>
<td>Facility is in reasonable condition and is well maintained and presented. May be older but it is fit for purpose and safe. Fixtures, fittings, equipment and sports surfaces are in acceptable condition but may show some signs of wear and tear. Ancillary facilities are good quality, but potentially showing signs of age and some wear and tear.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below average</td>
<td>Facility is older and showing signs of age and poor quality. Fixtures, fittings, equipment and sports surfaces are showing signs of wear and tear. The facility is usable but quality could be improved. The facility is not as attractive to customers and does not meet current expectations. Ancillary facilities are deteriorating, reasonable quality, but usable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>The facility is old and outdated. Fixtures, fittings, equipment and sports surfaces are aged, worn and/or damaged. The facility is barely usable and at times may have to be taken out of commission. The facility is unattractive to customers and does not meet basic expectations. Ancillary facilities are low quality and unattractive to use.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ratings are based on a non-technical visual assessment carried out by a KKP assessor. Assessments take account of facility age and the condition of surfaces, tiles and walls. Line markings and safety equipment are rated, any problem areas such as mould, damage, leaks etc. are noted. Condition of fixtures, fittings and equipment are recorded. Adequate safety margins are important. Changing rooms are assessed. Maintenance and wear of the facility is taken into account. Disability Discrimination Act compliance is also noted, although not studied in detail for the purposes of this report. When all this data has been collated, an overall quality rating is awarded to each facility at a site. Site visits are conducted at all sites with main sports facilities, such as a 3-court or larger sports hall.

Catchment areas

Catchments for different facility types are used to identify areas not served by existing indoor sports provision. Catchment areas vary from person to person, day to day, hour to hour. This problem is overcome by accepting the concept of ‘effective catchment’, defined as the distance travelled by around 75-80% of users (Sport England’s model).

This, coupled with KKP’s experience working in/with leisure facilities and delivering assessments for many other local authorities enables identification of catchment areas for sports halls as follows:
Table 3.2: Facility catchment areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility type</th>
<th>Identified catchment area by urban/rural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sport halls</td>
<td>20 minute walk/ 20 minute drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming pools</td>
<td>20 minute walk/ 20 minute drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor bowls centre</td>
<td>30 minute drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor tennis centres</td>
<td>30 minute drive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3: Facilities Planning Model overview

The Facilities Planning Model (FPM) helps to assess the strategic provision of community sports facilities. It has been developed as a means of:

- Assessing requirements for different types of community sports facilities on a local, regional or national scale.
- Helping local authorities to determine an adequate level of sports facility provision to meet their local needs.
- Testing ‘what if’ scenarios in provision and changes in demand, this includes testing the impact of opening, relocating and closing facilities and the impact population changes would have on the needs of the sports facilities.

In its simplest form the model seeks to assess whether the capacity of existing facilities for a particular sport are capable of meeting local demand for that sport taking into account how far people are prepared to travel to a facility (using the integrated transport network). In order to estimate the level of sports facility provision in an area, the model compares the number of facilities (supply), by the demand for that facility (demand) that the local population will produce.

The Model is prescriptive and not predictive in that it does not provide precise estimates of the use of proposed facilities. Rather, it prescribes an appropriate level of provision for any defined area in relation to demand and which reflects national expectations and policies.

The FPM is used to test scenarios, by suggesting what impact a new facility would have, or the closure of a facility, to the overall level of facility provision. It can also take account and model the impact of changes in population, for example, from major housing development.

For this report the assessment uses the FPM data from the Strategic Assessment of provision for sports halls and swimming pools in Cannock Chase from 2014, when the assessment was undertaken for the Facilities Framework for Staffordshire. It is based on the projected changes in the population of the authority for both swimming pools and sports halls.

KKP takes account of the findings in the 2014 report and uses this as a baseline for the 2018 audit and assessment.
SECTION 4: SPORTS HALLS

Indoor multi-purpose sports halls are one of the prime sports facilities for community sport. They provide venues suitable to allow a range of sport and recreational activities to be played. The standard methodology for measuring sports halls is the number of badminton courts contained within the floor area.

They are generally considered to be of greatest value if they are of at least 3+ badminton courts in size with sufficient height to allow games such as badminton to be played. It should be noted, however, that a 4-court sports hall provides greater flexibility as it can accommodate major indoor team sports such as football (5-a-side and training), basketball and netball. It also has sufficient length to accommodate indoor cricket nets and indoor athletics as such offering greater sports development flexibility than its 3-court counterpart.

Larger halls (e.g., those with six or eight courts) can accommodate higher level training and/or competition as well as meeting day to day need. They may also provide an option for more than one pitch-court increasing flexibility for both training and competition and hosting of indoor central venue leagues for sports such as netball. This assessment considers all 3+ court facilities in Cannock Chase in line with Sport England’s ANOG. Halls that function as specialist venues, such as dance studios are excluded in this section.

Introduction

The Staffordshire Sports Facilities Framework 2014 found the following:

- The supply of sports halls in CCDC is currently 32 badminton courts; total demand is 27. Total supply thus exceeds total demand by five badminton courts; a situation that was not, at that point, considered to be likely to change.
- Population growth to 2024 is minimal so the figures will remain unchanged.
- Sports halls operated at 68% capacity in 2013 and were predicted to operate at 70.5% in 2024; both well within the Sport England specified 80% comfort level. Sport England considers a sports hall to be full if operating at 80% full in peak time on a regular basis.
- Chase Leisure Centre is operating at 98% currently, forecast to increase to 100% by 2024. Rugeley Leisure Centre is already operating at 100% capacity.
- This would suggest other sports halls are operating at less than 68%
- There is a clear case for managing usage more effectively across the authority.

Sports hall quality was (and is) an issue. Of sites assessed, just one sports hall was rated good. Investment in refurbishing and updating sports halls will be an issue in future.

Further findings from the 2014 Report were that the Staffordshire University Academy in Cannock has a four-court hall and a two court ancillary hall that were not considered in the FPM and are rated good quality. They are recorded on Active Places Power as offering pay and play access but the School reports there being no demand (or staffing) for the facility. This is an issue that should be addressed so at to ensure that use can be made of the facility and demand generated (i.e. marketing, sports development programmes etc.).

CCDC facilities were (and still are) managed by Inspiring Healthy Lifestyles – IHL). The venues operating at or near full capacity would benefit from improved (strategic) programming of all sports halls in the area to ensure that full use is made of them and increased availability achieved at other sites.). This does not include facilities on school sites and there does not appear to be any coordination between various management structures.
4.1: Supply

Quantity

Figure 4.1 illustrates that sports halls are spread throughout the District with the areas of higher population density well serviced. In particular, Cannock Chase has 10 sports halls within the immediate vicinity.

Figure 4.1: All sports halls in Cannock Chase

Table 4.1 identifies that the Authority has 19 sports halls located on 14 sites offering a total of 46 badminton courts. More than half (11) identified are two badminton courts or fewer in size. While often appropriate for mat sports, exercise to music and similar provision, halls with fewer than three courts are inevitably limited in terms of the range and scale of recreational and sporting activity they can accommodate.
technical audit of sites with ancillary halls (see Table 4.1) consideration of programming and quality are considered within the wider context of the overall sport and physical activity offer.

Table 4.1: All sports halls in Cannock Chase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Site title</th>
<th>Courts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Cannock Chase High School</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Cannock Chase High School</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Cannock Chase High School</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Cardinal Griffin Catholic College</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Cardinal Griffin Catholic College</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Chase Grammar School</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Chase Leisure Centre</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Five Ways Primary School</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Five Ways Primary School</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Heath Hayes Primary Academy</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Hednesford Valley High School</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Kingsmead School</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Norton Canes High School</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Rugeley Academy</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Rugeley Leisure Centre</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>St Marys Primary School</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Staffordshire University Academy</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Staffordshire University Academy</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>The Hart School (Lower School)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>46</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Community access sports halls

In line with ANOG methodology, sports halls with fewer than three courts and/or which do not offer community use are discounted. The KKP audit identifies eight sports halls, of the requisite size, on eight sites available for community use. These are:

- Cannock Chase High School
- Cardinal Griffin Catholic College
- Chase Leisure Centre
- Chase Grammar School
- Kingsmead School
- Norton Canes High School
- Rugeley Leisure Centre
- Staffordshire University Academy

Quality of facilities

All available sites were subjected to a non-technical assessment to ascertain facility quality. The results are illustrated in Figure 4.2 and Table 4.2.

The eight community accessible halls offer a total of 37 badminton courts. One site is rated as good (Staffordshire University Academy), four above average (Cardinal Griffin Catholic College, Chase Grammar School, Norton Canes High School and Rugeley Leisure Centre)
and three are rated as below average (Cannock Chase High School, Chase Leisure Centre and Rugeley Leisure Centre).

*Figure 4.2: Sports halls (3+ courts) offering community use in Cannock Chase by condition*

Table 4.2: Sports halls (3+ courts) in Cannock Chase by condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Courts</th>
<th>Assessment condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Cannock Chase High School</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Below average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Below average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Cardinal Griffin Catholic College</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Above average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Below average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Chase Grammar School</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Above average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Below average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Chase Leisure Centre</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Below average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Below average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Kingsmead School</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Below average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*Below average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Norton Canes High School</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Above average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Below average</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There is one eight court sports hall (Chase Leisure Centre), one five court sports hall (Kingsmead School) and the other six halls all have four courts. Table 4.2 clearly shows the need for investment in changing facilities as seven out of the eight facilities are considered to be below average i.e., the only facility with changing facilities rated above average is Rugeley Leisure Centre.

Table 4.3 identifies the year each facility was built and the year in which any refurbishment or significant investment has occurred. The information clearly shows a correlation between the date the facility was built, and the quality level identified in Table 4.2.

Table 4.3: Year of construction and refurbishment details of sports halls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Year built</th>
<th>Refurbishment</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cannock Chase High School</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardinal Griffin Catholic College</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase Grammar School</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase Leisure Centre</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingsmead School</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norton Canes High School</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rugeley Leisure Centre</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2016 (new floor)</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staffordshire University Academy</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Accessibility**

Sports hall accessibility is influenced by physical (i.e. built environment) and human (i.e. management) elements. Appropriate walk and drive-time catchments are applied to facilities to determine accessibility of facilities to communities. The normal acceptable standard is a 20-minute walk time (1 mile radial catchment) for an urban area and a 20 minute drive time for a rural area. This enables analysis of the adequacy of coverage and helps to identify areas currently not serviced by existing provision.

Research identifies that residents from deprived areas are less likely to participate in sport than people from more affluent areas. This can be due to a range of reasons including, for example, cost and access. Having facilities within a suitable distance (20-minute walk time) is important to ensure all residents have access to them.

Figure 4.3 and Table 4.4 indicate that over four fifths (83.3%) of Cannock Chase residents live within a 20-minute walk of a 3+ court sports hall. Table 4.4 identifies that:

- Under one third (30%) of the population (29,253) lives in the most deprived areas which is commensurate with the national average c. 30%.
- Of the people living in the areas of higher deprivation, 93.2% (27,281) live within a mile of a community accessible sports hall.
All of Cannock Chase population is within 20-minute drive of a Cannock Chase publicly accessible sports hall of minimum size at least 3 badminton courts: (2016 MYE population estimate of 98,534). The map can be found in Appendix 4.

Figure 4.3: 20 Sports halls by condition with 1 mile radial catchment set against IMD 2015

Table 4.4: Percentage of population within 20 minutes’ walk of sports halls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMD 2015 10% bands</th>
<th>Cannock Chase</th>
<th>Sports hall (3 court+) with community use. Catchment populations by IMD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Persons</td>
<td>Population %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 - 10</td>
<td>2,621</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1 - 20</td>
<td>10,654</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.1 - 30</td>
<td>15,978</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.1 - 40</td>
<td>13,191</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.1 - 50</td>
<td>12,088</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Availability and facility management

Management and ownership across all sports halls varies.

Table 4.5: Ownership and management of sports hall facilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Ownership</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cannock Chase Leisure Centre</td>
<td>CCDC</td>
<td>Inspiring Healthy Lifestyles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rugeley Leisure Centre</td>
<td>CCDC</td>
<td>Inspiring Healthy Lifestyles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staffordshire University Academy</td>
<td>Academy</td>
<td>Academy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardinal Griffin Catholic College</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase Grammar School</td>
<td>Independent School</td>
<td>School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingsmead School</td>
<td>Academy</td>
<td>School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norton Canes High School</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannock Chase High School</td>
<td>Academy</td>
<td>School</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All schools manage their sports facilities independently regardless of who owns the site. Inspiring Healthy Lifestyles manages Cannock Chase and Rugeley leisure centres on behalf of CCDC. The different management arrangements make it difficult to coordinate pricing and programming across the facilities.

The IHL contract was awarded in 2012 for an initial period of ten years. There appears to be a good relationship between CCDC and IHL with consultation indicating that the contract period is likely to be extended until 2032. Significant investment in Chase Leisure Centre is planned (subject to further consultation) especially for inclusive cycling and a multipurpose room, which will entail the conversion/removal of the indoor bowling area.

Table 4.6 indicates that a variety of sports are played across the District. The more popular sports are indoor football, badminton, and indoor cricket nets. Indoor football is played at six of the eight sites. All education sites report having spare capacity.

Table 4.6: Community use of sports halls in Cannock Chase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Courts</th>
<th>Main sports played</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Cannock Chase Leisure Centre</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Badminton (casual/no strings), netball (high five, junior), 5-a-side, trampolining, rebound therapy, dodgeball, roller skating, futsal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Rugeley Leisure Centre</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Netball, cricket (indoor nets), football, rugby (tots), trampolining, badminton, basketball.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Staffordshire University Academy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Football teams (tots)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Cardinal Griffin Catholic College</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Handball*, badminton</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultation at education sites indicates a desire and capacity to accommodate more sports hall bookings. Lack of demand is reported. Conversely, the two main leisure centres (Chase and Rugeley) appear to have little capacity to accommodate any more sports/teams.

It is also noted that the two sites with the larger halls (Chase Leisure Centre – 8 courts and Kingsmead School – 5 courts) appear not to be booked for the sports that require larger indoor spaces. For example, handball is played at Cardinal Griffin Catholic Colleges. Chase Leisure Centre does however, report accommodating events that use the full eight courts, such as cat and dog shows, regional gymnastics events, robot wars and boxing shows.

Analysis of the hire costs of sports halls has been undertaken; results are reported in Table 4.7. This indicates that school facilities hire costs are significantly lower than CCDC owned facilities. That being said, the cost of hiring facilities is always a challenge to clubs especially those that are newly established or require significant officials/ equipment costs.

**Table 4.7: Sports hall hire costs in Cannock Chase**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Sports hall hire costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cannock Chase Leisure Centre</td>
<td>£51.00: full court hour, Badminton £9.30 peak/ £7.30 off peak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rugeley Leisure Centre</td>
<td>£51.00: full court hour. Badminton £9.30 peak/ £7.30 off peak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staffordshire University Academy</td>
<td>£25.00 per hour for the use of the sports hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardinal Griffin Catholic College</td>
<td>£25.00 per hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase Grammar School</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingsmead School</td>
<td>£20.00 per hour Monday-Friday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norton Canes High School</td>
<td>£30.00 per hour *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* weekends incur and additional £20 costs for additional cleaning and caretaking costs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Handball is used for training although the court is insufficient in size
Neighbouring facilities

Accessibility is influenced by facilities located outside the CCDC boundary. Table 4.8 and Figure 4.5 indicate facilities within a two-mile radial catchment. The two-mile border is indicative of how far people might be likely to travel and serves as an example only.

Table 4.8 Sports halls in neighbouring authorities within a 2-mile boundary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Courts</th>
<th>Access type</th>
<th>Authority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>Chase Terrace Technology College</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sports club / CA</td>
<td>Lichfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>Burntwood Leisure Centre</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sports club / CA</td>
<td>Lichfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>Erasmus Darwin Academy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pay and play</td>
<td>Lichfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>Cheslyn Hay Leisure Centre</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Pay and play</td>
<td>South Staffsford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>Brownhills School</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sports club / CA</td>
<td>Walsall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>Shire Oak Academy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sports club / CA</td>
<td>Walsall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>Oak Park Active Living Centre</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pay and play</td>
<td>Walsall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>Ormiston Shelfield Community Academy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pay and play</td>
<td>Walsall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Active Places Power 27/03/2018 *Community Association

Figure 4.5: 3+ court sports halls within 2 mile boundary of CCDC and 20-min walk catchment
Eight 3+ court sports halls (on eight sites) are located within a two mile radius of the CCDC boundary. These are mainly in Lichfield (three) and Walsall (four). Another hall is located to the south west of the authority (Cheslyn Hay Leisure Centre). This is in South Staffordshire. Schools and colleges allow for community use outside of school hours whilst Oak Park Active Living Centre and Cheslyn Hay and Burntwood leisure centres offer both day time and evening/weekend access. None of the facilities identified are under threat of closure so are likely to continue to accommodate current levels of imported demand.

**Summary of supply**

CCDC has 19 sports halls on 14 sites (totalling 46 badminton courts). Of these, eight have 3+ courts (total; 37 courts) and are considered to be community accessible. Non-technical assessments identify that all (apart from Rugeley Leisure Centre) have below average changing facilities. Four have above average sports halls with Staffordshire University Academy assessed as good. There is spare capacity in school sports halls but very limited (if any) spare capacity at Chase and Rugeley leisure centres. School site hires are considerably lower than the leisure centres. All CCDC residents live within a 20-minute drive time of a sports hall, 83% live within a 20-minute walk time of one. Eight sports halls are located within two miles of the local authority boundary.

**4.3: Demand**

**NGB and club consultation**

**Badminton**

Badminton England (BE) is the NGB for Badminton. Its 2017-2025 strategy, Discover Badminton; presents a vision for badminton to become one of the nation's most popular sports and to consistently win medals at world, Olympic and Paralympic levels. To achieve this, it has identified three key objectives:

- Grow grassroots participation.
- Create a system that identifies and develops player potential to deliver consistent world class performers.
- Build financial resilience to become a well governed organisation and demonstrate compliance with the UK governance code.

BE reports having 21 affiliated badminton clubs within Staffordshire, however, within Cannock Chase there is only one badminton club and it is not affiliated. It plays at Cannock Chase High School.

BE reports there being capacity for badminton to take place at both Rugeley and Chase Leisure Centre’s with Chase Leisure Centre hosting a “No Strings” badminton session one evening per week.

Cannock Chase has been identified as an area for potential growth for this sport due to the lack of affiliated and all clubs. Staffordshire Schools Badminton Association has also identified this area as an area for improvement.
Gymnastics:

The British Gymnastics (BG) Strategic Framework 2017-2021 identifies three key priorities:

- Diversify sources of revenue to develop and grow the provision of gymnastics.
- Build the capacity and grow the demand in gymnastics.
- Raise the profile and increase the appeal of gymnastics.

Its facility development priorities (for the period 2017 - 2021) are:

- Support increased capacity within gymnastics through clubs, leisure providers and other delivery providers.
- Guide funding investment through the United Kingdom from British Gymnastics, home country sports councils, local authorities and other potential funders.
- Maintain and improve the quality of facilities and equipment within existing delivery partners.
- Develop insight, understanding and direction of how facility developments can contribute towards other BG strategic priorities.

BG reports that there is limited access to facilities for gymnastics in Cannock Chase with all clubs reporting waiting lists. This restricts access to gymnastic activity due to the limited time available at both dedicated and non-dedicated facilities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Club Name</th>
<th>Number of participants</th>
<th>Type of facility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birches Valley Gymnastics Club</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>Dedicated facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Staffs Acrobatics</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>Dedicated facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Staffs Acrobatics Gymnastics</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Dedicated facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.H.A.N.C.E Sports Acrobatics</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Non-dedicated high school</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consultation with Birches Valley Gymnastics Club echoes the message given out by BG in that it has a long waiting list. It has good facilities and looks to cater to everyone across its eight squads. It is keen to expand its space to encompass more members. There is, however, a workforce development issues as it does not have enough coaches to cater for demand.

It is in current discussion with regard to the expansion of its facility. This will not accommodate an increase in capacity but will allow for more space between apparatus and enable the provision of a warm up area with more space available at changeover times between groups.

South Staffs Acrobatics and South Staffs Acrobatics Gymnastics clubs were unavailable for consultation.

C.H.A.N.C.E Sports Acrobatics Club is located in an activity hall dedicated to gymnastics at Norton Canes High School. It also reports having a long waiting list.

BG identifies an increasing demand for gymnastics in line with an increasing population. There appears to be a need for more clubs and facilities. To address this, BG suggests...
working with local leisure providers to offer facilities as well as encouraging clubs to expand into dedicated gymnastics facilities (subject to sufficient demand and an accompanying business plan). Alternatively, there may be the opportunity to identify smaller village and/or community halls which could be given over to gymnastics on a more regular basis.

**Handball**

Cannock Olympia Handball Club has four teams, one men’s, one junior and two girls’ teams (U16s and U18s). The U18s girls team was England Handball U18 Girl’s League Champions in 2017 having gone unbeaten all season; it then went on to win the National Cup.

The Club trains at Cardinal Griffin Catholic College in a sports hall that has a court marked. It is, however, of insufficient size.

It would like to be able to play on the court at Cannock Leisure Centre but cites cost as the primary reason that it is unable to do so, consequently it travels out of the area to play matches. One of the key issues with Cannock Leisure Centre is, reportedly, the additional costs of time needed to remove hall dividers to allow the expansion from four to eight courts.

This sits on top of the already higher standard court hire charges relative to schools (see Table 4.7 above). The Club reports that players would typically need to pay c. £20 per player per match to cover costs, which the Club suggests is neither sustainable nor feasible.

**Other health and wellbeing groups**

*Chase Sportability Group* – this is a multi-sport offer for adults aged 16 and over with physical, learning, sensory or cognitive disabilities. It runs an outreach and centre-based sports programme, supported by a sports activator. This is a very popular session with between 50 and 60 people attending weekly.

Based at Chase Leisure Centre, particular emphasis is place on delivering a high-quality sports offer including trampolining, swimming, dance, fitness and exercise, golf, boccia and martial arts. The group is funded by a partnership of organisations including WLCT, Chase Sportability Group, CCDC, Staffordshire County Council, and Chase Sports Council. Funding is secured for the next three years (up to 2020).

*GP Referral Scheme* - Chase Leisure Centre offers a GP Referral Scheme operated and managed by IHL. This is reportedly rising in popularity. The current scheme has emerged from a Sport England funded programme (concentrating on cancer sufferers). This was a three-year programme which concluded in December 2017.

IHL funds two exercise referral specialists offering a 12-week programme of activity for those referred onto the programme. Information obtained via consultation suggests that there is an aim to drive up numbers on this programme to c. 700, from the approximately 500 currently on the programme. Consultation indicates a c.30% conversion to full membership on completion of the 12-week programme. This does not account for the people who continue to use the sport and leisure facilities but are not committed to taking out a membership offer.

*Sports hall activity* - Consultation indicates that a range of different activities take place in the sports halls at Chase and Rugeley leisure centres. Activities at Chase Leisure Centre
include, for example, dodgeball, roller skating, Back 2 Netball and No Strings Badminton. Much of this is Centre activity based rather than club-led. Consultation would indicate that club use has dwindled over recent years.

Rugeley Leisure Centre indicates high demand for sessions such as yoga with full classes meaning that additional space is required to ensure that customer satisfaction remains high. There is a reported conflict between netball and indoor cricket as they require the facilities at the same time/day. Management indicates facing problems trying to balance the needs of different groups. Group exercise is on the increase with good instructors driving up increases in participation. The same cannot be said for other dry side activities as coaches are hard to come by, indicating the need for a wider workforce development plan.

Summary of demand

Both Chase and Rugeley leisure centres offer a wide programme of activities in their respective main and ancillary halls. Much of this appears to be activity-led rather than club based. Consultation indicates a need for a wider workforce development programme to try and drive up the number and quality of qualified coaches in a range of sports.

Although pay and play badminton is reported to be available at a number of sites, there is no affiliated club operating in the Authority.

4.4: Supply and demand analysis

Supply and demand are thus summarised:

- There are eight 3+ courts sports halls on eight sites which offer community use in the Authority. These were identified in the Staffordshire Facilities Framework 2014.
- Population growth to 2024 is minimal. The key issue is still ensuring that the level of investment in facilities will at least retain the quality of the current stock.
- The audit confirms that there is still high demand for the facilities at Chase and Rugeley leisure centres whilst there is still spare capacity at all the sports halls on education sites.
- The assessment also identifies spare capacity at all school sites. This has increased with the opening of Staffordshire University Academy (a four and two court hall, not identified within the FPM 2013). This suggests that there is more spare capacity which is not being used.
- The projected increase in population of just over 5% by 2036 could place increasing demand on sports halls, but the current spare capacity on all education sites suggests that this can be accommodated for with the current level of supply.
- The key issue is that the ageing demographic may place more pressure on the requirement for day time use; alternatively, it may lead to a reduced demand for sports hall space as the population becomes less active as it ages.
- There appears to be an undersupply of coaches which is restricting growth in a number of sports and limiting sports club-based development opportunity in the District.
- There is a clear case for managing programming and sports hall usage more effectively across the authority.
4.5: Sport England’s Facilities Calculator (SFC)

This assists local planning authorities to quantify additional demand for community sports facilities generated by new growth populations, development and regeneration areas. It can be used to estimate facility needs for whole area (District) populations but should not be applied for strategic gap analysis as it has no spatial dimension as it does not take account of:

- Facility location compared to demand.
- Capacity and availability of facilities – opening hours.
- Cross boundary movement of demand.
- Travel networks and topography.
- Attractiveness of facilities.

Table 4.10: Sports Facility Calculator for sports halls in Cannock Chase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Population 2014</th>
<th>Population estimate 2036</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ONS population projections</td>
<td>98,549</td>
<td>104,092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population increase</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5,543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities to meet additional demand</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+1.55 courts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td>£899,672</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculations assume that the current sports hall stock remains accessible for community use and the quality remains the same. The projected increase in population will lead to a small increase in demand for sports hall space. The SFC indicates that there will be a requirement for an additional 1.55 badminton courts, up to 2036. The estimated cost of this is c. £900k.

It should be noted that both the FPM and audit identify a current supply demand balance. This balance, therefore, should be able to accommodate future additional demand identified above.

4.6: Summary of key facts and issues

In summary, the above consultation and analysis would indicate that Cannock Chase is in the following position with regards to its sports hall provision:

- It has 19 sports halls located on 14 sites which offer a total of 46 badminton courts.
- More than half of these sports halls (11) have two badminton courts or fewer.
- It has eight 3+ court sports halls
- Sports halls are generally in above average condition although changing facilities are generally below average in quality
- All the (3+ court) sports halls located at education sites are available for community use.
- All schools have spare sports hall capacity.
- The sports halls at Rugeley and Chase leisure centres are operating at near capacity.
- The cost of hiring school sports halls is significantly lower than those at Rugeley and Chase leisure centres.
- Much activity taking place in IHL managed sports halls is activity based rather than club-led.
- The shortage of coaches in a range of indoor sports is hindering their development.
- All residents live within 20 minutes’ drive time of a 3+ court indoor sports hall
- Four fifths of residents live within a one-mile radial catchment of a 3+ court indoor sports hall.
- There appears to be substantial demand for smaller multi-use spaces to allow for growth in, for example, yoga and other class-based activities, especially in Rugeley.
- The limited change in supply of and demand for sports halls since the 2014 Staffordshire Facilities Framework would suggest that maintaining the quality of existing facilities is a high priority to ensure that participation in indoor sports at least remains constant and/or increases
SECTION 5: SWIMMING POOLS

A swimming pool is defined as an “enclosed area of water, specifically maintained for all forms of water-based sport and recreation”. It includes indoor and outdoor pools, freeform leisure pools and specific diving tanks used for general swimming, teaching, training and diving. Many small pools are used solely for recreational swimming and will not necessarily need to strictly follow the NGB recommendations. It is, however, generally recommended that standard dimensions are used to allow appropriate levels of competition and training and to help meet safety standards. Relatively few pools need to be designed to full competition standards or include spectator facilities.

Training for competition, low-level synchronised swimming, and water polo can all take place in a 25m pool. With modest spectator seating, pools can also accommodate competitive events in these activities. Diving from boards, advanced synchronised swimming and more advanced sub-aqua training require deeper water. These can all be accommodated in one pool tank, which ideally should be in addition to the main pool.

The NGB responsible for administering diving, swimming, synchronised swimming and water polo in England is Swim England.

5.1 Introduction

The Staffordshire Sports Facilities Framework 2014 indicated the following with regard to swimming facilities within Cannock Chase

Cannock Chase FPM findings

- Total demand for swimming exceeds the total swimming pool supply in both 2013 and 2024, in terms of the amount of water space available for public use.
- The population in Cannock Chase generates demand for 1,042m² of water space in 2013 based on the weekly peak period. (Note: for context a 25m x 4 lane pool is 212 m² of water). Total supply of water space from the five pools in Cannock Chase in 2013 based on the same measure is 996 m² of water – so demand exceeds supply but not by much.
- Population growth to 2024 creates an increase in demand equivalent to just 18 m² of water to a total of 1,060 m² of water. Total supply is assumed to remain unchanged at 996 m² of water.
- The pool stock varies in age, the oldest pool is the one at the Staffordshire University Academy which opened in 1971. Chase Leisure Centre opened in 1973 but was extensively modernised in 2013. After 1973 no new pool venues opened until Cannock Nuffield Fitness and Wellbeing Centre in 2001. Rugeley Leisure Centre then opened in 2008. Overall the District has a relatively modern stock of new build pools and its major public pool site has been extensively refurbished.
- The key finding is that the estimated overall used capacity of the pools in Cannock Chase averages 75% in 2013 and 79% in 2024. So, whilst supply and demand are close to each other and there is little projected increase in demand up to 2024, the pools are estimated to be very full. Given this finding it is likely that fewer residents will go swimming and activities such as club sessions/swimming lessons etc. will be unable to obtain sufficient pool time to deliver programmes.
- The Cannock Leisure Centre pools already have an estimated used capacity of 100%. Rugeley Leisure Centre has an estimated used capacity of 83% (2013) and 91% (2024). Chase Leisure Centre is also over the pools full comfort level at 71% (2013) and 76% (2024).
The pool with “spare capacity” is the Staffordshire University Academy 25 metre x 4 lane pool. The FPM suggests that this has used capacity of 43% (in both 2103 and 2024). In a nutshell, therefore, managing swimming demand in the District is really focused on increasing access to, and use of, this site, so as to alleviate used capacity at the main public pools. This may not be practical or feasible based on cost and the willingness of the Academy to increase the hours it makes available for public access.

One possible consideration is that the total volume of water space at the four swimming pool sites is 1,198m$^2$. This reduces to 996 m$^2$ of water when it is assessed on the basis of availability for public use in the weekly peak period. So, 202 m$^2$ of water or, 16.8% of the total water space in the authority is not available for public use at peak times.

Pools are not accessible for public use at peak times are Cannock Chase High School (20m x 4 lane main pool) and Fair Oak High School (20m x 3 lane pool). These do not appear to have any public access and whilst size does restrict their use for certain types of swimming activity and they may be best suited to accommodate learn to swim programmes, they could offer supply to cater for some of the use at the main public pools, thereby reducing the overall used capacity.

It is acknowledged this is a challenging option both in cost and managing programmes of use across several sites and where ownership varies.

If this option is not practical and there is no likelihood of increasing swimming pool provision, then the possibility is to manage the programme of use at the public pools to cater for the most popular activities and reduce activities which occupy pool time but for which there are limited numbers. This is, in effect, making more use of the pools but for a narrower range of activities.

Finally, there is an option to manage the pool used capacity as estimated by the FPM acknowledging the pools will be very full. However, based on the FPM assessment the demand increase is small and so the scale of the issue will not increase significantly.

Lastly, if an increase in pool capacity is considered then modernisation/expansion of existing sites is a sensible option because there is very good access to these locations by residents based on car travel. Changing pool sites to increase access to pools by car travel is unlikely to improve on what is already a high level of accessibility.

KKP’s findings as part of the County study in 2014 excluded the swimming pools at Cannock Chase High School and Fair Oak High School (this School merged with Hagley Park School and is called the Hart School) because they were unavailable during the peak period. In addition, both pools are smaller than the minimum pool dimensions required for them to be included based upon ANOG. In addition, the 2018 audit (which follows) identifies that the swimming pool at Cannock Chase High School has now closed.

Since the consultation was undertaken, the swimming pool at Staffordshire University Academy is also no longer available for community use. This is the one identified in the FPM with spare capacity and offering potential to alleviate demand on other facilities. This is clearly no longer an option.
5.2: Supply

Quantity

The 2018 assessment applies to pools available for community use and above the dimensions noted above (pools smaller than 160m$^2$ water space and/or located at private member clubs are generally excluded from the assessment). There are pools at three sites.

*Figure 5.1: All identified swimming pools in Cannock Chase*

Table 5.1: All swimming pools in Cannock Chase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Facility type</th>
<th>Lanes</th>
<th>Length (m)</th>
<th>Area (m$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Chase Leisure Centre</td>
<td>Main/General</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>312.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Chase Leisure Centre</td>
<td>Learner/Teaching/Training</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Chase Leisure Centre</td>
<td>Learner/Teaching/Training</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>87.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Nuffield Health (Cannock)</td>
<td>Main/General</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>*Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Rugeley Leisure Centre</td>
<td>Main/General</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As identified above IHL currently operates CCDC core leisure provision. It is a key partner of CCDC responsible for delivering value for money services in respect of the management, operation, and development of culture, leisure and outreach services. Its remit is to focus on the delivery of services at these facilities. It works Collaboratively with the Council to help address a number of health inequalities, which not only affect the quality of life and healthy years expectancy of its residents but also have a significant impact on the overall prosperity of the District and its communities.

Chase and Rugeley leisure centres have the largest swimming pools in the Authority; both have a 6 lanes x 25m pool. Chase Leisure centre has additional learner/teaching pools (x2). Both leisure centres offer pay and play community accessible swimming as well as extensive swimming lesson programmes. There is one other pool in the area, located at Nuffield Health (Cannock) which requires a membership to access. Research also indicates that Nuffield provide swimming lessons through block bookings. KKP was unable to gain access to the venue to view the facilities but website evidence and online customers’ reviews would suggest that the pool is in good condition. www.swimming.org states that it is a 20m x 8m pool.

Quality

As part of the assessment, KKP visited the community accessible pools and completed non-technical visual assessments. This included assessment of changing provision as this can also play a significant role in influencing and attracting users. The quality ratings of swimming facilities which allow community use are shown in Table 5.2 and Figure 5.3.

Table 5.2: Community accessible swimming pools by condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Size (m²)</th>
<th>Condition Pool</th>
<th>Condition Changing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Chase Leisure Centre (Main pool)</td>
<td>312.5</td>
<td>Above average</td>
<td>Above average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Chase Leisure Centre (Learner pool)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Above average</td>
<td>Above average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Chase Leisure Centre (Learner pool)</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>Above average</td>
<td>Above average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Nuffield Health (Cannock)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Rugeley Leisure Centre</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>Above average</td>
<td>Above average</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.3 indicates pools ages and the year in which they were most recently refurbished.

Table 5.3: Age of pools in Cannock Chase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Year built</th>
<th>Refurbishment</th>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chase Leisure Centre</td>
<td>1973</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuffield Health (Cannock)</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rugeley Leisure Centre</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As per the information cited earlier, the oldest pool is Chase Leisure Centre (built in 1973 and modernised in 2012) with the newest being Rugeley Leisure Centre, built in 2008.
Accessibility

Swimming pool accessibility is influenced by physical (i.e. built environment) and human (i.e. management) elements. Appropriate walk and drive-time catchments are applied to swimming facilities to determine accessibility of facilities to communities. The normal acceptable standard is a 20-minute walk time (1 mile radial catchment) for an urban area and a 20 minute drive time for a rural area. This enables analysis of the adequacy of coverage and helps to identify areas currently not serviced by existing provision.

Figure 5.2: Cannock Chase Swimming Pools with pay and play access by condition

Both pools serve their respective areas of population with Rugeley Leisure Centre catering for residents of Rugeley, and Chase Leisure Centre serving Cannock. Just under two fifths (39.6%) of the population lives within a mile of a community accessible swimming pool with pay and play access, which indicates that two thirds rely on public transport a car or bicycle to access facilities.
Accessibility is also important for residents who live in areas of higher deprivation. As noted earlier 29% of the District’s population live in the 30% most deprived areas (29,253 people). Of these, 13.3% (13,077 people) live within a mile of a community accessible swimming pool.

*Figure 5.3: Accessible swimming pools with 1-mile radial catchments including condition*
Table 5.4 Radial catchment of swimming pools using 20 minute walk on IMD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMD 2015 10% bands</th>
<th>Cannock Chase</th>
<th>Pools with community use. Catchment populations by IMD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Persons</td>
<td>Population %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 - 10</td>
<td>2,621</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1 - 20</td>
<td>10,654</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.1 - 30</td>
<td>15,978</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.1 - 40</td>
<td>13,191</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.1 - 50</td>
<td>12,088</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.1 - 60</td>
<td>8,150</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.1 - 70</td>
<td>15,017</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.1 - 80</td>
<td>6,399</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.1 - 90</td>
<td>12,194</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90.1 - 100</td>
<td>1,648</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>97,940</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5.4 below indicates that all CCDC residents live within a 20-minute drive of a Cannock Chase district based publicly accessible pool (that is of at least 160m²) and offers pay and play opportunities. (2016 MYE population estimate of 98,534).
Figure 5.4: Cannock Chase swimming pools 20-minute drive time

Neighbouring facilities

Four community accessible swimming pools are located within two miles of the CCDC boundary. One is in Lichfield, one in South Staffordshire and two in Walsall. Burntwood Leisure Centre, Lichfield is likely to serve the residents from the Norton Canes settlement.
Figure 5.5: Swimming pools within a two mile boundary of Cannock Chase

Table 5.4: Swimming pools within a 2-mile catchment of Cannock Chase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Lanes/length</th>
<th>Access type</th>
<th>Local authority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>Burntwood Leisure Centre</td>
<td>6 x 25m</td>
<td>Pay and Play</td>
<td>Lichfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>Cheslyn Hay Leisure Centre</td>
<td>6 x 25m</td>
<td>Pay and Play</td>
<td>South Staffordshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>Brownhills School</td>
<td>4 x 25m</td>
<td>Sports Club / CA</td>
<td>Walsall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>Oak Park Active Living Centre</td>
<td>8 x 25m</td>
<td>Pay and Play</td>
<td>Walsall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Active Places Power 27/03/2018 *Community Association
5.3: Demand

**NGB and swimming club key issues**

Swim England’s latest strategy, *Towards a Nation Swimming: a strategic plan for swimming in England 2017-21*, aims to create a happier, healthier and more successful nation through swimming. To achieve this, a number of strategic objectives have been set:

- Provide strong leadership and be the recognised authority for swimming.
- Substantially increase the number of people able to swim.
- Significantly grow the number and diversity of people enjoying and benefitting from regular swimming.
- Create a world leading talent system for all disciplines.
- Deliver a high quality, diverse and motivated workforce within swimming.
- Strengthening organisational sustainability for future generations.

Two swimming clubs use the facilities in Cannock Chase.

**Chase Swimming Club** is based at Cheslyn Hay Leisure Centre and also serves Cannock and all surrounding areas. It runs training sessions at Cannock and Rugeley leisure centres and also at Darlaston Swimming pool (Walsall). It is affiliated to Swim England West Midland Region & Staffordshire ASA.

**Cannock Phoenix Swimming Club**, formerly Phoenix Youth, was formed in the early 1980’s. It is affiliated to the Swim England West Midland Region and Staffordshire County Swimming Association. It holds sessions at Cannock Leisure Centre for four hours per week, and at Rugeley Leisure Centre for 3.5 hrs per week. It also trains at Cheslyn Hay Leisure Centre and Sneyd School (both in Walsall). It runs a pre-squad, junior squad, senior squad and competitive squad plus a learn to swim programme at Cheslyn Hay Leisure Centre.

**Swimming lesson and membership information**

Swimming lesson data has been supplied by IHL. It is based on active membership within the last three months (information received March 2018). The majority of those learning to swim (90.6%) are aged 0-10 years. Figures for the two leisure centres are virtually identical (Chase Leisure Centre: 90.5% and Rugeley Leisure Centre: 90.7%).

**Table 5.5 Swim membership by age cohort:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Chase Leisure Centre</th>
<th>Rugeley Leisure Centre</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 to 10</td>
<td>1,072</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>1,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 to 20</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 to 30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 to 40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 to 50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 to 60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 to 70</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71 to 80</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,184</strong></td>
<td><strong>799</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,983</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5.6 Swimming lessons set against multiple deprivation 2015

Table 5.6: Swimming lessons of Cannock Chase residents only

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMD 2015 10% bands</th>
<th>Cannock Chase</th>
<th>Chase LC Centre</th>
<th>Rugeley Leisure Centre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Population (mid-2012)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00 - 10%</td>
<td>2,621</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1 - 20%</td>
<td>10,654</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.1 - 30%</td>
<td>15,978</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.1 - 40%</td>
<td>13,191</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.1 - 50%</td>
<td>12,088</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.1 - 60%</td>
<td>8,150</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.1 - 70%</td>
<td>15,017</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.1 - 80%</td>
<td>6,399</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.1 - 90%</td>
<td>12,194</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90.1 - 100%</td>
<td>1,648</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>97,940</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0%</strong></td>
<td><strong>811</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5.7: Distance travelled by swim members to both leisure centres

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Within 1 mile radial</th>
<th>Outwith 1 mile radial</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase Leisure Centre</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>17.90%</td>
<td>829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rugeley Leisure Centre</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>21.48%</td>
<td>373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>781</strong></td>
<td><strong>39.38%</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,202</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5.7 identifies that of the 1,983 people registered on the swimming lesson programme 70% live in Cannock Chase and 30% live outside the District mainly in Lichfield and South Staffordshire.

- 26,658 residents (30%) live in the areas of higher deprivation.
- Chase Leisure Centre swimming lesson programme attracts 33.8% of residents living in areas of higher deprivation. Rugeley Leisure Centre draws in 16.8%.
- This broadly reflects the make-up of the areas in which the centres are located rather than their ability to attract people on to programmes from areas of higher deprivation.

5.4: Supply and demand analysis

Supply and demand analysis indicates the following:

- The two main swimming pools in Cannock Chase are popular but offer limited programmes which includes early risers, aqua classes and swimming lessons. Wet site visits show an increase in 2018 compared to 2017.
- Two swimming clubs (Cannock Chase and Cannock Phoenix swimming clubs) use both Rugeley and Chase leisure centres as part of a wider swimming offer; they both also offer additional sessions in Walsall and Lichfield.
- More water space is available in the neighbouring authorities of Lichfield, South Staffordshire and Walsall.
- The projected growth in Cannock Chase is unlikely to result in demand for more water space; in our view, this can be accommodated by programming changes/improvements.
- The swimming lesson programme appears to draw in an appropriate proportion of residents from areas of higher deprivation, which suggests that pricing is reasonable in the context of those for whom it might be considered to be a barrier to participation.
- Consultation indicates that 35% of those accessing swimming lessons are eligible for concessionary pricing.

5.5: Sport England’s Facilities Calculator (SFC)

This assists local planning authorities to quantify additional demand for community sports facilities generated by new growth populations, development and regeneration areas. It can be used to estimate facility needs for whole area (district) populations but should not be applied for strategic gap analysis as it has no spatial dimension as it does not take account of:

- Facility location compared to demand.
- Capacity and availability of facilities – opening hours.
- Cross boundary movement of demand.
- Travel networks and topography.
- Attractiveness of facilities.
Table 5.8: Sports Facilities Calculator – Cannock Chase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Population 2014</th>
<th>Population estimate 2036</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ONS population projections</td>
<td>98,549</td>
<td>104,092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population increase</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5,543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities to meet additional demand</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+0.28 lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td>£979,748</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculations assume that the current swimming pool stock remains accessible for community use and the quality remains the same. The projected increase in population will lead to an increase in demand for swimming pool space of up to 0.28 swimming lanes, up to 2036. The estimated capital cost of this equates to just under £1.0m.

5.6: Summary of key facts and issues

In summary, the above consultation and analysis would indicate that Cannock Chase is in the following position with regards to its swimming pool provision:

- Community accessible pools are based in Rugeley and Cannock. Both are of above average quality.
- The availability of community accessible pool space has reduced since 2014 with the closure of the pool at Cannock Chase High School.
- There is a strong swimming lesson programme in which 35% of members are eligible for concessions.
- Just under 40% of people on swimming lessons lives within one mile of a pool. 60% of the population may need to use a car, public transport or cycle to a swimming pool.
- The two Swim England affiliated clubs in the District both use CCDC community pools. They also utilise public pools in other local authorities.
- Although traditionally a complex issue the present pressure on water-time availability at CCDC’s main community accessible venues, the distribution of swimming club programmes within Cannock Chase and across into other authorities, the level of coaching and other voluntary effort expended running two separate clubs begs the question as to why continue to function as separate entities.
- There are four swimming pools within two miles of the District located in the neighbouring authorities of Lichfield and South Staffordshire.
- Lichfield District Council is currently undertaking a feasibility study to ascertain the future of Friary Grange Leisure Centre. This is located c.7miles (14 minutes’ drive time) from Rugeley and 11 miles (23 minutes’ drive time from Cannock)
SECTION 6: HEALTH AND FITNESS SUITES

For the purposes of assessment health and fitness stations are normally defined as 20 stations or more, in accordance with Sport England methodology. A station is a piece of static fitness equipment; larger health and fitness centres with more stations are generally able to make a more attractive offer to both members and casual users.

They can provide a valuable way to assist people of all ages, ethnicities and abilities to introduce physical exercise into their daily lives with the obvious concomitant benefits to health, fitness and wellbeing.

The current state of the UK fitness industry is complex with a variety of providers including the private sector (ranging from low cost operators to the high-end market), trusts, schools and local authority operators. Within the UK private fitness market continued to grow steadily from 2015-16 with an estimated increase of 5.1% in the number of members, 4.6% in the number of facilities and 6.3% in market value.

All parts of the country have seen an increase in the number of clubs, members and total market value but only four regions have seen an increase in average membership fees over this 12-month period.

According to the State of the UK Fitness Industry Report (2017) there are now 6,728 fitness facilities in the UK, up from 6,435 last year. The total industry membership is up 5.1% to £9.7 million which equates to one in seven people in the UK being a member of a gym. The influential low-cost market with its large membership numbers, online joining, 24/7 opening hours and low-price points has continued to expand rapidly and drive industry growth.

The total market value is estimated at £4.7 billion, up 6.3% on 2016. The UK penetration rate is also up at 14.9%, compared to 14.3% in the previous year.

A total of 272 new public and private fitness facilities opened in 2017, up from 224 in 2016. The low-cost market has continued to be the main driving force behind the private sector growth over this period. There are now over 500 low cost clubs which account for 15% of the market value and 35% of membership in the private sector. The UK's leading operators, in both the public and the private sectors (by number of clubs and members), remained the same in 2017 as they were in 2016: Pure Gym and GLL, with 176 and 167 gyms, respectively.

6.1: Supply

Quantity

There are currently 11 health and fitness suites in Cannock Chase with a total of 853 stations. Some sites place restrictions with regard to membership/registration fees or are not available to the public on a pay and play basis. Figure 6.1 illustrates that health and fitness facilities tend to be located just outside the areas of higher population density.

"There are 11 health and fitness facilities in the Local Authority: 753 stations
"This reduces to 7 sites and 759 stations when those with no community access or below 20 stations are removed."
Figure 6.1: All health and fitness facilities in Cannock Chase

Table 6.1: All health and fitness provision in Cannock Chase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Stations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Cannock Chase High School</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Norton Canes High School</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>The Hart School (Upper School)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Chase Leisure Centre</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Anytime Fitness</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Better Gym</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Nuffield Health (Cannock)</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Pure Gym (Hednesford Cannock)</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Rugeley Leisure Centre</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Staffordshire University Academy</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Xtreme Training Centre</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Three health and fitness facilities, all private use only facilities located at schools, have fewer than 20 stations. They are:
- Cannock Chase High
- Norton Canes High School
- The Hart School (Upper School)

Staffordshire University Academy has a fitness room with 22 stations but also restricts access to private use. It is acknowledged that all these facilities can serve small sections of the community but are not considered as part of the wider health and fitness offer.

These four sites were excluded from the ANOG based technical assessment.

**Quality**

A non-technical assessment of the health and fitness facilities with 20+ stations took place in March 2018, in line with Sport England’s ANOG. This identified seven sites with a total of 759 stations within this category.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Site title</th>
<th>Stations</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Chase Leisure Centre</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>Above average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Anytime Fitness</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Above average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Better Gym</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>Above average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Nuffield Health (Cannock)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Pure Gym (Hednesford Cannock)</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Above average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Rugeley Leisure Centre</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Above average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Xtreme Training Centre</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Below average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>759</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The assessment identified one site rated good (Nuffield Health), five rated above average and one rated below average (Xtreme Training Centre). Each of the main population centres of Cannock, Rugeley and Norton has access to a facility although the only facility serving Norton Canes (Xtreme Training Centre) is assessed as below average.

**Accessibility**

In parallel with swimming pools and sports halls, walk and drive-time accessibility standards are applied to health and fitness facilities to determine provision deficiency or surplus. The normal acceptable standard is a 20-minute walk time (one-mile radial catchment) for an urban area and a 20-minute drive time for a rural area. Figure 6.2 illustrates fitness centre location by population density which 6.3 illustrates the 20-minute walk-time catchment for health/fitness facilities in Cannock Chase and deprivation breakdown within that catchment.

- The whole district population lives within 20-minute drive of a Cannock Chase based publicly accessible health and fitness facility with 20+ stations. (See Appendix 4).
- 74.2% lives within one mile of a community accessible health/fitness facility.
- Of those who live in deprived areas, 84.7% (24,778 people) live within a mile of a community accessible health and fitness facility.
Table 6.4. Health and fitness suites with 20+ stations set against IMD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMD 2015 10% bands</th>
<th>Cannock Chase Persons</th>
<th>Health &amp; fitness with community use (min 20 stations) catchment populations by IMD</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Population (%)</td>
<td>Inside catchment</td>
<td>Population inside (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 - 10</td>
<td>2,621</td>
<td>2,606</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1 - 20</td>
<td>10,654</td>
<td>9,654</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.1 - 30</td>
<td>15,978</td>
<td>12,518</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.1 - 40</td>
<td>13,191</td>
<td>12,291</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.1 - 50</td>
<td>12,088</td>
<td>6,863</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.1 - 60</td>
<td>8,150</td>
<td>3,751</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.1 - 70</td>
<td>15,017</td>
<td>11,049</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.1 - 80</td>
<td>6,399</td>
<td>2,364</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.1 - 90</td>
<td>12,194</td>
<td>9,956</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90.1 - 100</td>
<td>1,648</td>
<td>1,648</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>97,940</td>
<td>72,700</td>
<td>74.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6.3: Community accessible health and fitness suites with one mile radial catchment

Table 6.5: Payment types for health and fitness facilities in Cannock Chase*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Pay &amp; play</th>
<th>Monthly</th>
<th>12 months</th>
<th>GP referral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anytime Fitness</td>
<td></td>
<td>£34.95</td>
<td>£24.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase Leisure Centre</td>
<td>£6.20</td>
<td>£30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuffield Health (Cannock)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>£56.00 rolling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>£48.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure Gym (Hednesford Cannock)</td>
<td>£5.99</td>
<td></td>
<td>£15.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rugeley Leisure Centre</td>
<td>£6.20</td>
<td></td>
<td>£30.00</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xtreme Training Centre</td>
<td>£3.50</td>
<td></td>
<td>£25.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better Gym</td>
<td>£10.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>£64.00</td>
<td>£19.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*prices quoted are as advertised March 2018
Availability

The Sport England defines registered membership use facilities as publicly accessible. Monthly fees vary considerably in cost. Even memberships which might be considered expensive do offer access to different market segments, suggesting that they ease pressure on more accessible facilities. The monthly cost of gym memberships varies. Pure Gym is the cheapest (at £15.99) and Better Gym the most expensive (at £64.00). Monthly memberships at Chase and Rugeley leisure centres include swimming. Table 6.5 overleaf indicates that all sites offer monthly and annual membership options, the majority offer a pay and play option.

Neighbouring authorities

There are six health and fitness facilities located within two miles of the CCDC boundary; three in Walsall, one in Lichfield and two in South Staffordshire. The two mile border is indicative of how far people might be likely to travel and serves as an example only.

Figure 6.6: Neighbouring health and fitness suites within 2 miles of Cannock Chase
Table 6.7: Health and fitness suites within 2 miles of Cannock Chase local authority

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Active Places site name</th>
<th>Stns</th>
<th>Access type</th>
<th>Local authority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>Chase Golf Club</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Registered membership</td>
<td>S. Staffordshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>Burntwood Leisure Centre</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Registered membership</td>
<td>Lichfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>Cheslyn Hay Leisure Centre</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Pay and play</td>
<td>S. Staffordshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>Oak Park Active Living Centre</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Sports Club / CA</td>
<td>Walsall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5</td>
<td>Better Gym Walsall Wood</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>Registered membership</td>
<td>Walsall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6</td>
<td>Ormiston Shelfield Comm. Academy</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Pay and play</td>
<td>Walsall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Active Places Power 27/03/2018 CA = Community Association

Future developments

CCDC’s Cabinet has agreed to support IHL’s proposal to invest more than £500,000 into new improvements at Chase Leisure Centre, including a unique virtual fitness experience. Other improvements include gym refurbishment, introduction of virtual fitness to the existing studio, conversion of the indoor bowling green to an indoor cycling studio, functional and multi-purpose studios. It is anticipated that the investment will be in the region of £522,000 and will deliver further savings to the Council of at least £50,000 per annum from April 2019.

6.2: Demand

Health and fitness via exercising in a gym or class environment is a popular form of exercise, appealing to men and women across a range of age groups. To identify the adequacy of provision quantity a demand calculation based upon the assumption that ‘UK penetration rates’ will increase slightly in the future is applied. Population increases are also factored in to enable a calculation of whether current supply will meet future demand.

UK penetration rates suggest a current need for 529 stations. This is expected to grow to 647 by 2036 (taking account of a comfort factor; particularly at peak times). Comparing the current number of community accessible stations (759) and accounting for the comfort factor, the market has a supply demand balance of c. 200 stations. This ratio will reduce as the population increases, although as identified above, population growth is not expected to be significant. Figures provide an overview of provision requirements in Cannock Chase and do not take account of facilities located close to the border, many of which have capacity and offer similar price points to those offered in the Authority.

Table 6.7: UK penetration rates; health/fitness in Cannock Chase (ONS data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current (2014)</th>
<th>Future (2036)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult population</td>
<td>80,587</td>
<td>86,943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK penetration rate</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of potential members</td>
<td>12,088</td>
<td>14,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visits per week (1.75/member)</td>
<td>21,154</td>
<td>25,866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of visits in peak time</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of visits in peak time (equated to no. of stations required i.e. no. of visits/39 weeks*65%)</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of stations with comfort factor</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>647</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Model 1.75 visits per wee/members and 65% usage during 39 weeks of the year; figures rounded up/down).
6.4: Dance studios

Dance studios are an important element of the wider health, fitness and conditioning market. They vary in size, shape, quality of changing, access to sprung wooden floors etc. There has been an increase in the numbers of people accessing fitness classes as identified in the fitness and conditioning element of Sport England's APS. The type of activity offered also varies massively between low impact classes such as Pilates and yoga to the more active dance, step, Boxfit and Zumba.

Cannock Chase has 10 studios at six sites. All are rated good or above average. Consultation indicates that all are well used for fitness and exercise classes which are an important part of the wider membership offer for larger leisure centres, Rugeley Leisure Centre, in particular, has identified need/demand for additional dance/mixed use space.

Figure 6.7: All studios/dance facilities in Cannock Chase (with quality rating)
Table 6.9: All studios by condition in Cannock Chase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Chase Leisure Centre</td>
<td>Above average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Chase Leisure Centre</td>
<td>*Above average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Anytime Fitness</td>
<td>Above average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Better Gym</td>
<td>Above average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Better Gym</td>
<td>Above average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Nuffield Health</td>
<td>*Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Nuffield Health</td>
<td>*Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Pure Gym</td>
<td>*Above average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Rugeley Leisure Centre</td>
<td>Above average</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*access was not obtained during the site assessment)

6.5: Supply and demand analysis

Health and fitness facilities are important facilities. Income derived from them helps offset the cost/underpin the viability of other aspects of leisure provision, especially swimming. In addition, they play an important role in GP/exercise on referral programmes. Cannock Chase has a total of 759 accessible fitness stations across seven sites. All but one (Xtreme Gym) is rated as either good or above average. Accessibility to these sites is good, approximately three quarter of the Authority's residents living within one mile of a health and fitness facility. When analysing the demand for health and fitness facilities, there is a current modelled supply to demand balance of c. 200 stations based on the current number of stations.

6.6: Summary of key facts and issues

- There are 11 health and fitness facilities in the Authority: 831 stations.
- This reduces to seven sites and 759 stations when those with no community access or below 20 stations are removed.
- Of these, all are rated above average or good apart from Xtreme Gym which is below average.
- Price points range from £15.99 per month at Pure Gym to £64.00 per month at Better Gym.
- IHL operates a large and growing GP Referral scheme - this utilises health and fitness facilities as part of a wider programme of activities.
- The authority has 10 dance studios at six sites. All are rated as either good or above average.
- Accessibility to facilities is good; three quarters of the population lives within one mile of a facility.
- There is a good supply of health and fitness provision in neighbouring authorities.
- There is a supply demand balance of plus 200 stations in Cannock Chase.
SECTION 7: OTHER SPORTS

7.1: Indoor Bowls

The three forms of bowls that can be played indoors that require a different venue are flat green, crown green and carpet mat (short and long mat). Each format of the game requires a different technical specification for their indoor facility.

Indoor flat green bowls requires a standard bowling green; a flat area 34-40 meters long divided into playing areas called rinks. The number of these varies, depending on the width of the green.

Carpet mat bowls is played on a rectangular carpet (45 x 6 feet) that is rolled out. It can be accommodated in any indoor space large enough to accommodate the mats which come in different lengths. Carpet mat bowls tends to be played at a recreational level whereas indoor flat and crown green bowls tend to be more competitive and organised around inter-club competitions and leagues.

An indoor bowling centre typically comprises a single flat green with a number of rinks and ancillary accommodation such as changing rooms, lounge/bar, viewing area, kitchen, office/meeting rooms and stores plus designated car parking. The size of ancillary accommodation varies according to the number of rinks available.

A successful indoor bowls centre requires a combination of the right location, design, and financial and general management. Sport England guidelines (2005) on catchment for indoor bowls centres are set out to be interpreted in the light of local circumstances: They

- Assume the majority of users live locally and not travel more than 20 minutes.
- Assume that 90% of users will travel by car, with the remainder by foot.
- Calculate demand on the basis of one rink per 14,000-17,000 of total population.
- Suggest a six-rink green, therefore, is required for a population of 85,000-100,000. This depends upon the population profile of the area.
- Suggest the number of rinks required can be related to the estimated number of members, assume 80-100 members per rink.

The indoor game is governed by EIBA (English Indoor Bowls Association Ltd) the NGB for indoor level green bowls in England. Its priorities are:

- A growth in participation across the adult population in local Communities.
- Targeted work to increase female participation
- A growth in participation in the 12-18 age range as part of the “EIBA Development Pathway”
- The provision of an excellent sporting experience for new and existing participants
- A growth in indoor bowls participation by people who have disabilities.

At the time of audit, the indoor bowling facility (two rinks) was still being used; it is, however, planned to convert this into fitness and multi-use fitness studios. The size of the facility is not compliant with the “Laws of the Sport of Indoor Bowls”.

CCDC has been identified as a predominantly outdoor bowls area by EIBA and the NGB reports that a facility to accommodate all year-round play would have to be justified. The
Reportedly limited demand for indoor bowls is cited by IHL as one of the reasons which justifies conversion of the current indoor bowls facility into indoor cycling studios. Generally, the Indoor Bowls Association suggests that there is a need for more indoor bowls facilities. It suggests the following options for provision of these facilities:

- Compliant indoor bowls green in a leisure centre with at least 4 rinks.
- Indoor bowls added to an existing outdoor bowls club which has full ancillary services (e.g., catering, bar, toilets, changing rooms) and has the land to build on.
- Indoor bowls within a building that could accommodate the sport – with appropriate lease, for example an industrial unit.

EIBA identifies funding as a potential issue for these proposed developments so is open to meeting with potential partners to look at options to create joint use facilities. It is considered by the NGB that indoor bowls is attractive to the older population and those with disabilities (Wheelchair and Visually Impaired).

**Supply**

*Figure 7.1: Cannock Chase indoor bowls, 30-minute drive*
Table 7.1: Cannock Chase indoor bowls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Rinks</th>
<th>Area (m²)</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Chase Leisure Centre</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Below average</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The facility at Cannock Chase Leisure Centre is below average condition, is not EIBA compliant and is due to be re-developed in order to cater for demand for other studio and exercise class facilities. Drive time analysis shows that all of Cannock Chase’ population lives within a 30-minute drive of the indoor bowls facility at Chase Leisure Centre. There are no other indoor bowls facilities within a 30-minute drive of the Centre.

**Demand**

The facility is still being used by two clubs with membership, reportedly, less than 20 unique users per week, and it has reduced significantly over the past few years. The facility is only used for six months of the year at most bowlers move outdoors from April through to September. The Leisure Operator is offering indoor short mat bowling as an alternative, following the re-development of the site. This requires programming within the wider leisure centre operation but is able to accommodate current demand and expand if necessary.

**Indoor bowls**

As noted above, as a guide, EIBA/Sport England suggest that demand is calculated as one rink per 14,000-17,000 of total population. The number of rinks required can be related to the estimated number of members: assume 80-100 members per rink. These demand guides are currently under review by Sport England.

Table 7.2: Sports Facilities Calculator – Indoor Bowls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Population 2014</th>
<th>Population estimate 2036</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ONS population projections</td>
<td>98,549</td>
<td>104,092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population increase</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5,543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities to meet additional demand</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.4 rinks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td>£140,062</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**7.2: Squash**

England Squash’s Game Changer Strategy (2015-2017) states that squash will be the number one racket sport played in England by 2017 and there will be net increase of 11,000 people playing. This will be achieved by working across three platforms, education, leisure providers (commercial and public) and clubs to increase the supply of opportunities to play. This is supported via a range of participatory products, such as Squash 57 and Squash Girl Can. A platform is to be developed to support these programmes, which include workforce development and improving technology in the sport.

The two courts in the area are both at Rugeley Leisure Centre.
Table 7.3: Squash courts in Cannock Chase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map Ref</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Courts</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Rugeley Leisure Centre</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Above average</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consultation with management at Rugeley Leisure Centre confirmed that no established clubs are located at the leisure centre, but it does organise what was described as a popular internal ladder league. It reports having capacity in the courts and has been looking at other initiatives to encourage participation and increase demand. A new initiative being trialled is soccer squash.

Soccer Squash is a new crossover sport which combines the ball control skills and shooting techniques of soccer with the tactical skills of squash.
There are three facilities within a two-mile radial catchment of the Cannock Chase authority boundary. Only Oak Park Active Living Centre provides community use pay and play access courts.

*Figure 7.3: Squash courts in Cannock Chase and neighbouring authorities*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Courts</th>
<th>Access type</th>
<th>Authority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SQ1</td>
<td>Chase Park</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sports club/CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S. Staffordshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQ2</td>
<td>Burntwood Leisure Centre</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Reg. Mem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lichfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQ3</td>
<td>Oak Park Active Living Centre</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Pay and Play</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Walsall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(* Community Association) Source: Active Places Power 28/03/2018
7.3: Athletics

Rugeley & District Athletic Club was established in 1984, now known as Rugeley Runners is based at Rugeley Cricket Club and has approximately 120 members. It regularly delivers 10-week introductory satellite sessions with the aim of recruiting new runners. This has proved successful with approximately 40 new members being recruited in the past year.

It uses tracks outside Cannock Chase district, primarily in Stafford to meet and train. For a short time, it based itself at Rugeley Leisure Centre however, it outgrew the site and relocated to Rugeley Cricket Club in September 2017.

The Club would like to expand further and has a junior section. It is; however, restricted due to need for an off-road venue, with lighting (for the winter periods) and ancillary facilities. This at present has not yet been sourced. It reports having requested support from the Council for a track or route to be sought that is in the form of a tarmac path or small (3 lane) track that is low maintenance. It would also welcome other uses of the “track” for other uses e.g. cycling.

Rugeley Runners members and officials volunteer to run the Cannock Chase Parkrun one week in every four.

Park Run

This takes place at Marquis Drive, Cannock and attracts approximately 300 runners per week. The course is 5km mixed terrain (tarmac and trail) slightly undulating route comprising a single clockwise circuit which starts with an inner loop middle section before continuing on to a longer outer loop.

Figure 7.4: Cannock Chase Parkrun
7.4: Summary of key issues for other sports

- Indoor bowls participation has been decreasing over a period of time.
- The indoor facility at Chase Leisure Centre is designated as an area for redevelopment and will close in 2018. Participants have been offered short mat bowling as an alternative.
- The two squash courts at Rugeley Leisure Centre are well used but are also used for a variety of other activities. (This multi-use is reportedly not hindering the playing of squash in the area.
- There has been a significant increase in running in the District with Rugeley Runners supporting development of itself and the local park run, which attracts over 300 runners per week. The Club is looking for support (financial or other) to develop a floodlit tarmac running route which could be shared with cyclists.
SECTION 8: VILLAGE HALLS/COMMUNITY CENTRES

These are important recreational facilities, especially in rural areas and where day time access to sports centres is restricted. They are usually multi-functional and, dependent upon size and dimensions, can accommodate meetings, socialising, sports and recreation clubs/activities. The spread of village halls/community centres in Cannock Chase is shown below.

8.1: Supply

*Figure 8.1: Village halls and community centres in Cannock Chase*

Just under half (47.2%, 46,500) of the population of Cannock Chase (98,534 MYE 2016) live within 800 metres of a village hall or community centre within. Conversely, just over half (52.8%) do not live within the 800-metre catchment area.
Table 8.1: Village halls and community centres in Cannock Chase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Avon Business and Leisure Limited</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Norton Canes Community Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bevan Lee Community Centre</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Prospect Village hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Blakeways Community Centre</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Pye Green Community Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Brereton and Ravenhill Community Centre</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Ravenhill Ward Social Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cannock Wood Village hall</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Rugeley Rose Community Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Coniston Hall</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Rugeley Youth &amp; community Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Hayes Green Community Centre</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>St Lukes Church &amp; community centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Lea Hall Miners Welfare and Social Club</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>St Marys Community Centre</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.2: Demand

Research undertaken for the audit suggests that demand for village hall/community centre space is high, highlighting the importance of these sites in ensuring that there is a good range of local sport and physical activity provision available for residents in Cannock Chase. Activities range from curling and bowling in Cannock Wood Village hall to table tennis in Lea Hall.

Table 8.2: Village halls and community centres with known sporting/physical activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Brief description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Avon Business and Leisure Limited</td>
<td>Dance, fitness, weight loss, parents and toddlers activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bevan Lee Community Centre</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Blakeways Community Centre</td>
<td>Weight loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Brereton and Ravenhill Community Centre</td>
<td>Fun and fitness class- (dance), yoga and a recreation club offering a variety of activities such as curling and bowling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cannock Wood Village hall</td>
<td>Karate, table tennis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Coniston Hall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Hayes Green Community Centre</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Lea Hall Miners Welfare and Social Club</td>
<td>Weight loss, kickboxing, dance, Pilates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Norton Canes Community Hall</td>
<td>Indoor bowls, social dances and judo club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Prospect Village Hall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Pye Green Community Centre</td>
<td>Weight loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Ravenhill Ward Social Club</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Rugeley Rose Community Hall</td>
<td>Weight loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Rugeley Youth &amp; Community Centre</td>
<td>Sports hall marked out for five-a-side football, basketball and badminton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>St Lukes Church &amp; Community Centre</td>
<td>Gentle exercise and craft groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>St Marys Community Centre</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As identified in Section 4, the majority of sports halls in the District are located on education sites. This restricts their usage to the general population especially during the daytime on weekdays. Village and community halls can play a key role in providing complementary provision for sport and physical activity at these times in addition to evenings and weekends. This is pertinent not only in areas where access to sports halls is restricted, but also in rural areas and areas which suffer from poor public transport links.

Seven of the village halls and community centres offer some level of physical activity, which tends to be pertinent to the local community. There is potential to extend use at Rugeley Youth and Community Centre but research suggests that there is limited opportunity to expand activities at other facilities, either due to lack of volunteers or size and shape of the facilities in question.

### 8.3: Summary of village halls and community centres key facts and issues

- The audit identified 16 village and community halls in Cannock Chase.
- Just under half (47.2%, 46,500) of the population lives within an 800-metre catchment of a site.
- There are a range of physical activity opportunities offered on six sites. The size and shape of some of the other facilities do not lend themselves to accommodating physical activities or are very limiting in what they can offer.
- A variety of activities is delivered in village halls ranging from dancing to short mat bowls.
SECTION 9: SWOT ANALYSIS

9.1 Strengths

- CCDC recognises the importance of its leisure facility stock to health and wellbeing and future planning needs.
- There is a productive relationship between CCDC and IHL which is helping to increase physical activity levels amongst hard to reach groups, in particular.
- The main public leisure centres (in Cannock and Rugeley) offering good community accessible facilities. Both have received investment and are fit for purpose facilities.
- Chase Leisure Centre has an eight-court badminton hall which offer substantial flexibility to function as a league central venue and to accommodate events.
- The strong GP referral system has seen significant growth over the past two years.
- All Cannock residents live within 20-minute drive time of a swimming pool, a sports hall and a health and fitness centre.
- Gymnastics and running are popular.
- Community centres/village halls and dance studios offer a range of activities ensuring complementary opportunities in smaller more accessible venues.
- There is a strong disability sports offer in the area in which is growing and appears to be funded by a range of partner organisations.

9.2: Weaknesses

- There is limited, if any coordination between programming of sports halls on education sites and the two leisure centres managed by IHL.
- There appears to be a weak sports club structure in the District which is compounded by the lack of coaches and coach development.
- Two swimming clubs operate from both Rugeley and Cannock Chase leisure centres, leading to duplication of effort of both coaches and volunteers.
- The fragmented nature of management arrangements at sports halls means that no single organisation takes a lead on activity programming or access and schools, in particular appear to work within their own ‘silo’ without overall leadership and direction.
- The CARS scheme should be attracting more people from areas of higher health deprivation if it is to make a difference to the people who need to improve their health more than others.
- The reduced opportunity to play indoor bowls.
- The missed opportunity of the local girls’ handball team to train and play in the area.
9.3 Opportunities

- House building in the area could lead to increased developer contributions towards sport and leisure provision should demand warrant it.
- The excitement generated by the decision to hold the Commonwealth Games in Birmingham in 2022 could lead to increased participation in sport in the area, if coordinated and harnessed.
- Chase Leisure Centre has an eight-court badminton hall of which more use could be made to support local sports clubs and their development.
- There is an opportunity to extend the CARS scheme building on its current strength. It is imperative that results of this programme continue to be properly recorded to ensure that the Council is fully appraised of its value.
- Schools report spare capacity at their sports halls so efforts should be made to strategically develop specific sports at different sites with a view to driving increases in participation generally.
- IHL is investing in Chase Leisure Centre facilities and expanding the dance/studio area, which should lead to increases in physical activity and participation levels.
- Development of additional space multi-use space at Rugeley Leisure Centre could also lead to increased participation; this would help alleviate some of the waiting lists for class-based activity in particular.
- Continue to build on the good relationships and developing partnership-based approach between IHL and the wide array of wellbeing groups to ensure a continued strategic approach is undertaken with regard to driving increases in participation.
- There is a need to develop volunteer and coach workforce development plan in order to increase the numbers across a range of sports, to counteract the relatively weak club structure in the District.
- IHL needs to continue working (and utilising its experience) with NGBs and voluntary clubs to help drive increases in participation across the District on behalf of CCDC.
- CCDC should (possibly in tandem with IHL) allocate a significant short-term resource to a programme to secure development of sporting opportunities at school-based facilities.
- Strategically plan how more gymnastics provision could be accommodated to reduce the length of the waiting lists. Consideration could be given to looking to develop a dedicated gymnastics facility within the District.
- To encourage the handball team to be able to train and play in a larger hall within Cannock.
- An in-depth analysis of the swimming timetable is required to ensure that participation can continue to rise given the current pressures on water space.

9.4: Threats

- Although the main two facilities are in above average condition, capital investment is still required to ensure that they remain fully operational and fit for purpose.
- The projected increase in number and proportion of older people, will place an increasing strain on the two main sports halls at specific times if school sites are not booked for use leading to an increase activity out of school hours.
- The lack of a workforce development plan is likely to ensure that the current undersupply of sports coaches will remain thereby limiting the sports development opportunity in the District.
- The community sport and physical activity offer across the District could fragment further due to the increasing independence of schools (via general changes to education) and further private investment in profit making activities (e.g. gyms).
- The continuing drive for efficiencies and cost saving could place further strain on the service.
- The lack of joined up programming and sports development service, across the District, may lead to duplication of activity and/or gaps in provision of a full sport and leisure offer.
- Limited developer contributions due to the relatively low level of housing development compared to many other districts and boroughs.
9.5 Summary of Need by 2036

The following identifies a brief summary of supply and demand of current facilities by type and an overview of requirements based on current knowledge of house building and projected growth/

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility type</th>
<th>Current status</th>
<th>Projected gap/deficiency 2036</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sports halls</td>
<td>• All schools have spare sports hall capacity.</td>
<td>• The projected increase in population of just over 5% by 2036 could place increasing demand on sports halls, but the current spare capacity on all education sites suggests that this can be accommodated for with the current level of supply.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The sports halls at Rugeley and Chase leisure centres are operating at near capacity.</td>
<td>• The key issue is that the ageing demographic may place more pressure on the requirement for day time use; alternatively, it may lead to a reduced demand for sports hall space as the population becomes less active as it ages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The limited change in supply of and demand for sports halls would suggest that maintaining the quality of existing facilities is a high priority to ensure that participation in indoor sports at least remains constant and/or increases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming pools</td>
<td>• Swimming is popular in the District with wet site visits increasing in 2018 compared to 2017.</td>
<td>• The projected growth in Cannock Chase is unlikely to result in demand for more water space; in our view, this can be accommodated by programming changes/improvements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The two swimming clubs (Cannock Chase and Cannock Phoenix swimming clubs) use both Rugeley and Chase leisure centres.</td>
<td>• Investment to maintain quality will be required to ensure that swimming facilities remain fit for purpose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and fitness facilities and dance studios</td>
<td>• There is a good supply of health and fitness provision in the authority and neighbouring authorities.</td>
<td>Any increase in health and fitness and dance studio is likely to come about as a result of changing trends and an ageing demographic rather than increases in population. This demand is not likely to result in increased demand for health and fitness, rather a varied and developing offer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor bowls</td>
<td>• There is currently insufficient demand to support the financial viability of the indoor bowls facility at Chase Leisure Centre, hence its recent closure.</td>
<td>Although an ageing demographic might suggest and increase in the demand for indoor bowls, this is not necessarily the case. It is highly unlikely that there will be sufficient demand for an indoor bowls facility that will be financially viable to 2036.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squash courts</td>
<td>• There is capacity in the courts with management looking at other initiatives</td>
<td>It is not expected that more squash courts will be needed by 2036, although there will be a requirement to ensure that quality is maintained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletics/running</td>
<td>There has been a significant increase in running in the District with Rugeley Runners supporting development of itself and the local park run, which attracts over 300 runners per week.</td>
<td>The Club is looking for support (financial or other) to develop a floodlit tarmac running route which could be shared with cyclists.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 1: Priority outcomes for the Health and Wellbeing Pathway

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start Well</th>
<th>Parents know how to provide a supportive, safe and stable childhood</th>
<th>Increased take up of breast feeding to support good early childhood development</th>
<th>To reduce the number of low birth weight babies being born</th>
<th>Reduce smoking in pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Develop Well</td>
<td>Children and young people have improved emotional wellbeing</td>
<td>Children and young people have a BMI within a healthy range and engage in regular physical activity</td>
<td>Children and young people are kept safe from substance and alcohol misuse</td>
<td>Fewer children and young people contracting STIs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live Well</td>
<td>People in Cannock Chase have access to good quality housing and influence planning</td>
<td>People in Cannock Chase have a BMI within a healthy range, engage in regular physical activity and live a life free from diabetes.</td>
<td>Continue to reduce smoking prevalence and increase numbers accessing stop smoking services</td>
<td>Fewer people report feelings of isolation and low self esteem and have improved access to services. In particular reduce the higher than average rates of self harm admissions in Cannock Chase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age Well</td>
<td>Older people in Cannock Chase lead healthy active lives in a dementia friendly society where support is available to people and their carers in their own homes</td>
<td>Fewer people in Cannock Chase will have accidents and falls</td>
<td>People in Cannock Chase are better protected against the risk of excess winter deaths</td>
<td>Improve cancer detection and early intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End Well</td>
<td>People in Cannock Chase will have greater choice and control during the end of their lives</td>
<td>People in Cannock Chase have better access to information, awareness and communication</td>
<td>People in Cannock Chase have a greater understanding of the end of life</td>
<td>To improve and ensure a high quality end of life service provision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 2: Mosaic segmentation-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>City Prosperity</strong></td>
<td>Work in high status positions. Commanding substantial salaries they are able to afford expensive urban homes. They live and work predominantly in London, with many found in and around the City or in locations a short commute away. Well-educated, confident and ambitious, this elite group is able to enjoy their wealth and the advantages of living in a world-class capital to the full.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prestige Positions</strong></td>
<td>Affluent married couples whose successful careers have afforded them financial security and a spacious home in a prestigious and established residential area. While some are mature empty-nesters or elderly retired couples, others are still supporting their teenage or older children.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Country Living</strong></td>
<td>Well-off homeowners who live in the countryside often beyond easy commuting reach of major towns and cities. Some people are landowners or farmers, others run small businesses from home, some are retired and others commute distances to professional jobs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rural Reality</strong></td>
<td>People who live in rural communities and generally own their relatively low cost homes. Their moderate incomes come mostly from employment with local firms or from running their own small business.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senior Security</strong></td>
<td>Elderly singles and couples who are still living independently in comfortable homes that they own. Property equity gives them a reassuring level of financial security. This group includes people who have remained in family homes after their children have left, and those who have chosen to downsize to live among others of similar ages and lifestyles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Suburban Stability</strong></td>
<td>Typically, mature couples or families, some enjoying recent empty-nest status and others with older children still at home. They live in mid-range family homes in traditional suburbs where they have been settled for many years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Domestic Success</strong></td>
<td>High-earning families who live affluent lifestyles in upmarket homes situated in sought after residential neighbourhoods. Their busy lives revolve around their children and successful careers in higher managerial and professional roles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aspiring Homemakers</strong></td>
<td>Younger households who have, often, only recently set up home. They usually own their homes in private suburbs, which they have chosen to fit their budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family Basics</strong></td>
<td>Families with children who have limited budgets and can struggle to make ends meet. Their homes are low cost and are often found in areas with fewer employment options.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transient Renters</td>
<td>Single people who pay modest rents for low cost homes. Mainly younger people, they are highly transient, often living in a property for only a short length of time before moving on.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Challenge</td>
<td>Long-term social renters living in low-value multi-storey flats in urban locations, or small terraces on outlying estates. These are challenged neighbourhoods with limited employment options and correspondingly low household incomes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vintage Value</td>
<td>Elderly people who mostly live alone, either in social or private housing, often built with the elderly in mind. Levels of independence vary, but with health needs growing and incomes declining, many require an increasing amount of support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modest Traditions</td>
<td>Older people living in inexpensive homes that they own, often with the mortgage nearly paid off. Both incomes and qualifications are modest, but most enjoy a reasonable standard of living. They are long-settled residents having lived in their neighbourhoods for many years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Cohesion</td>
<td>Settled extended families and older people who live in multi-cultural city suburbs. Most have bought their own homes and have been settled in these neighbourhoods for many years, enjoying the sense of community they feel there.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Hubs</td>
<td>Predominantly young, single people in their 20s and 30s who live in urban locations and rent their homes from private landlords while in the early stages of their careers, or pursuing studies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 3: Sport England Market Segmentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment name and description</th>
<th>Segment characteristics</th>
<th>Main age band</th>
<th>Socioeco group</th>
<th>% Eng popn</th>
<th>Media and Communications</th>
<th>Key brands</th>
<th>Top sports (played at least once a month) and sporting behaviour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ben</strong></td>
<td>Male, recent graduates, with a 'work-hard, play-hard' attitude. <strong>Graduate professional, single.</strong></td>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>ABC1</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>Ben is a heavy internet user, using it for sports news, personal emails, social networking and buying films, games and tickets. He is highly responsive to internet advertising.</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Ben</strong> is a very active type and takes part in sport on a regular basis. He is the sportiest of the 19 segments. Ben’s top sports are football (33%), keep fit/ gym (24%), cycling (16%), athletics including running (15%) and swimming (13%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jamie</strong></td>
<td>Young blokes enjoying football, pints and pool. <strong>Vocational student, single.</strong></td>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>C2DE</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>Jamie is a prolific mobile phone user and as uses this as a primary source of information. He likes to text rather than talk, and uses 3G for sports results and SMS text information services.</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Jamie</strong> is a very active type that takes part in sport on a regular basis. Jamie’s top sports are football (28%), keep fit and gym (22%), athletics including running (12%), cycling (12%) and swimming (10%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chloe</strong></td>
<td>Young image-conscious females keeping fit and trim. <strong>Graduate professional, single.</strong></td>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>ABC1</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>Chloe is a heavy internet and mobile phone user. She uses her mobile to keep in contact with friends and family, preferring this to her landline. Chloe has a new 3G phone which provides internet access but is still likely to use text as her first source of information.</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Chloe</strong> is an active type that takes part in sport on a regular basis. Chloe’s top sports are keep fit/ gym (28%), swimming (24%), athletics including running (14%), cycling (11%) and equestrian (5%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leanne</strong></td>
<td>Young busy mums and their supportive college mates. <strong>Student or PT vocational, Likely to have children.</strong></td>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>C2DE</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>Leanne is a light internet user and a heavy mobile phone user, using this instead of a landline to contact friends. She uses SMS text services and also entertainment features on her mobile. Leanne’s mobile is likely to be pay-as-you-go and she responds to text adverts.</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Leanne</strong> is the least active segment of her age group. Leanne’s top sports are keep fit/ gym (23%), swimming (18%), athletics including running (9%), cycling (6%) and football (4%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Helena</strong></td>
<td>Single professional women, enjoying life in the fast lane. <strong>Full time professional, single.</strong></td>
<td>26-45</td>
<td>ABC1</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>Helena always has her mobile and PDA on hand so that she is contactable for work and social calls. She is a heavy internet user, but mainly from home, and uses this as her primary source of information.</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Helena</strong> is a fairly active type that takes part in sport on a regular basis. Helena’s top sports are keep fit/ gym (26%), swimming (22%), cycling (11%), athletics including running (9%), and equestrian (3%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment name and description</td>
<td>Segment characteristics</td>
<td>Maint age band</td>
<td>Socio eco group</td>
<td>1x30-3x30</td>
<td>% Eng popn</td>
<td>Media and Communications</td>
<td>Key brands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim</td>
<td>Sporty male professionals, buying a house and settling down with partner. <em>Professional, may have children, married or single.</em></td>
<td>26-45</td>
<td>ABC1</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>Tim's main source of information is the internet - he uses this for information on property, sports and managing his finances. He is a heavy mobile phone user and likes to access information 24/7. Tim will often buy things online and is relatively likely to use SMS text alerts and 3G services.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alison</td>
<td>Mums with a comfortable, but busy, lifestyle. <em>Stay-at-home mum, children, married.</em></td>
<td>36-45</td>
<td>ABC1</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>Alison is a medium TV viewer and may have a digital package, but is unlikely to respond to TV advertising. She is a medium internet user and is unlikely to respond to internet advertising, but will use it as a source of information to aid her decision-making. She has a pay-as-you-go mobile for emergencies, but prefers to use her landline.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackie</td>
<td>Mums juggling work, family and finance. <em>Vocational job, may have children, married or single.</em></td>
<td>36-45</td>
<td>C1C2D</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>Jackie is a medium TV viewer, enjoying soaps, chat shows and dramas, and has Freeview digital channels. She is a light and cautious internet user, but has been encouraged by her children’s prolific usage and is becoming more confident herself.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kev</td>
<td>Blokes who enjoy pub league games and watching live sport. <em>Vocational job, may have children, married or single.</em></td>
<td>36-45</td>
<td>DE</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>Kev is a heavy TV viewer, likely to have a digital or cable package for extra sports coverage. He is a heavy radio listener and is likely to favour local commercial stations. Kev uses his mobile phone for social reasons but will not respond to text advert.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment name and description</td>
<td>Segment characteristics</td>
<td>Main age band</td>
<td>Socio eco group</td>
<td>1x30</td>
<td>3x30</td>
<td>% Eng popn</td>
<td>Media and Communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paula</td>
<td>Single mums with financial pressures, childcare issues and little time for pleasure. <em>Job seeker or part time low skilled worker, children, single.</em></td>
<td>26-44</td>
<td>DE</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>Paula is a heavy TV viewer, enjoying quiz and chat shows, reality TV and soaps. She is likely to have a digital or cable package. Paula does not have internet access at home, and is a heavy mobile phone user, although this is likely to be pay-as-you-go.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philip</td>
<td>Mid-life professional, sporty males with older children and more time for themselves. <em>Full time job and owner occupied, children, married.</em></td>
<td>46-55</td>
<td>ABC1</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>Philip is a medium TV viewer, likely to have digital and use interactive services for sports and business news. He is a heavy radio listener. Philip is comfortable purchasing over the phone and internet, but is unlikely to respond to SMS text alerts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaine</td>
<td>Mid-life professionals who have more time for themselves since their children left home. <em>Full time job and owner occupied, married.</em></td>
<td>46-55</td>
<td>ABC1</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>Elaine is a light TV viewer, loyal to mainstream terrestrial channels. Elaine is a medium radio listener, likely to prefer BBC Radio 2 or 4 and Classic FM. A moderate internet user, she browses news and lifestyle sites. Elaine reads broadsheets, such as the Daily Telegraph, and women’s lifestyle magazines. She would not respond to sms text alerts, nor to cold-calling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roger &amp; Joy</td>
<td>Free-time couples nearing the end of their careers. <em>Full-time job or retired, married.</em></td>
<td>56-65</td>
<td>ABC1</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>Roger and Joy are medium TV viewers and heavy radio listeners. They regularly read the Times of Daily Telegraph, and a local paper. They have increased their use of the internet and may now have access to it at home.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment name and description</td>
<td>Segment characteristics</td>
<td>Main age band</td>
<td>Socio eco group</td>
<td>1x30-3x30</td>
<td>% Eng popn</td>
<td>Media and Communications</td>
<td>Key brands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brenda</td>
<td>Middle aged ladies, working to make ends meet. <em>Part-time job, married.</em></td>
<td>46-64</td>
<td>C2DE</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>Brenda is a heavy TV viewer and is likely to respond to TV advertising. She is a medium radio listener, preferring local commercial stations. Brenda rarely has access to the internet, and is an infrequent mobile user. She enjoys reading the Mirror or the Sun.</td>
<td>[Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local 'Old Boys'</td>
<td>Generally inactive older men, low income, little provision for retirement. <em>Job Seeker, married or single.</em></td>
<td>56-64</td>
<td>DE</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>Terry is a high TV viewer, both at home and in the pub, particularly enjoying live sports coverage. He reads the tabloids on a daily basis. Terry does not use the internet, and does not feel he is missing out. He is unlikely to have a mobile phone.</td>
<td>[Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Life Ladies</td>
<td>Older ladies, recently retired with a basic income to enjoy their lifestyles. <em>Job seeker or retired, single.</em></td>
<td>56-64</td>
<td>DE</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>Norma is a high TV viewer, enjoying quiz shows, chat shows, soaps and religious programmes. Most new technology has passed her by, having no internet access or mobile phone, but she uses her landline to call her family.</td>
<td>[Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comfortable Retired Couples</td>
<td>Retired couples, enjoying active and comfortable lifestyles. <em>Retired, married or single.</em></td>
<td>66+</td>
<td>ABC1</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>Ralph and Phyllis are medium to light TV viewers, preferring to be out and about instead. They are unlikely to have access to the internet, although it is something they are considering. They read the newspaper daily: either the Daily Telegraph or Times.</td>
<td>[Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment name and description</td>
<td>Segment characteristics</td>
<td>Main age band</td>
<td>Socio eco group</td>
<td>1x30 Segment</td>
<td>3x30 Segment</td>
<td>% Eng popn</td>
<td>Media and Communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Twilight Years Gent</td>
<td>Retired men with some pension provision and limited exercise opportunities. Retired, married or single</td>
<td>66+</td>
<td>C1C2D</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>Frank is a heavy TV viewer and enjoys watching live sport and notices TV advertising, which he is influenced by. He does not use the internet and is nervous of computers. Frank reads a newspaper most days, either the Daily Mail or Express. He does not have a mobile phone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elsie &amp; Arnold Retirement Home Singles</td>
<td>Retired singles or widowers, predominantly female, living in sheltered accommodation. Retired, widowed.</td>
<td>66+</td>
<td>DE</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Elsie and Arnold are heavy TV viewers, enjoying quiz shows, religious programmes and old films. They generally do not have access to the internet or use a mobile phone, and only use their landline to call family</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 4: Drive time maps

Figure 4.4: Cannock Chase sports halls 3+ court plus by condition with 20-minute drive time
Figure 6.5: Health & Fitness (min 20 stn) by condition, 20-minute drive time, PD MYE 2016
Appendix 5: Membership data

A total of 7,445 combined membership records for Chase Leisure Centre and Rugeley Leisure Centre were provided by IHL on 19/03/2018, covering attendance during the previous three months. (Unique membership assumed, as supplied data has no unique ID). Of these 7,368 (98.9%) had correct and valid postcodes for mapping, but 77 postcodes were either incorrectly formatted or invalid.

The data does not provide information to distinguish between activities undertaken at the sites. e.g., fitness, fitness classes, or dry side sports such as badminton. A total of 5,172 (69.47%) of the mapped postcodes are within Cannock Chase District with 273 (30.53%) mapped postcodes outwith the Authority area or location unknown.

Figure 7.1: Cannock Chase – membership mapping and analysis by leisure centre

IHL members living in Rugeley and the surrounding settlements predominantly attend Rugeley Leisure Centre while some travel in from Lichfield. Chase Leisure Centre attracts members from Hednesford, Cannock, and Norton Canes with additional clusters in the neighbouring authority of South Staffordshire (Huntington, Cheslyn Hay and Great Wryley).
Table 7.1: Cannock Chase membership location by number and percentage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cannock Chase</th>
<th>Members</th>
<th>Postcode inside LA</th>
<th>% Inside LA</th>
<th>Postcode not in LA/unknown</th>
<th>% outside LA/unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chase Leisure Centre</td>
<td>4,166</td>
<td>2,889</td>
<td>38.80%</td>
<td>1,277</td>
<td>17.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rugeley Leisure Centre</td>
<td>3,279</td>
<td>2,283</td>
<td>30.66%</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>13.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7,445</td>
<td>5,172</td>
<td>69.47%</td>
<td>2,273</td>
<td>30.53%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(A further breakdown of membership data can be found in Appendix 5).

The gender analysis of the two leisure centres shows that both attract a similar ratio of males to females (both have more female members than male).

Table 7.2: Leisure centre membership by gender – overall by number and %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cannock Chase</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Unspecified</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase LC</td>
<td>2,375</td>
<td>57.01%</td>
<td>1,760</td>
<td>42.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rugeley LC</td>
<td>1,764</td>
<td>53.80%</td>
<td>1,508</td>
<td>45.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4,139</td>
<td>55.59%</td>
<td>3,268</td>
<td>43.90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7.3 and table 7.4 show that for both males and females the most popular age to be a member is 21-30 years. For females there is then a steady decline in numbers over the older age bands. For males, there is a sudden decrease of over c.170 members in the 31-40 age band although it does increase by 73 members in the 41-50 age band. This is followed by a steady fall in numbers across the older age bands.
Figure 7.3: Membership by age group and gender – all users

Table 7.4: Age and gender cohort and number

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Unspecified</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 to 10</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>3.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 to 20</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>1135</td>
<td>15.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 to 30</td>
<td>882</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>1513</td>
<td>20.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 to 40</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>15.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 to 50</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>1204</td>
<td>16.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 to 60</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>12.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 to 70</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>10.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71 to 80</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>5.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81+</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Total     | 4,139  | 3,268| 38          | 7,445 | 100.00%
### Table 7.5: Cannock Chase: all users age group and gender analysis by centre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cannock Chase</th>
<th>0 to 10</th>
<th>11 to 20</th>
<th>21 to 30</th>
<th>31 to 40</th>
<th>41 to 50</th>
<th>51 to 60</th>
<th>61 to 70</th>
<th>71 to 80</th>
<th>81+</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chase Leisure Centre</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4,166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rugeley Leisure Centre</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3,279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1,508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>1,135</td>
<td>1,513</td>
<td>1,167</td>
<td>1,204</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>7,445</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 7.4. IMD Multiple Deprivation 2015 – All leisure centre users

Table 7.6: IMD analysis – Leisure centre users postcode compared to Cannock Chase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMD 2015 10% bands</th>
<th>Cannock Chase</th>
<th>All Centres</th>
<th>Chase LC</th>
<th>Rugeley LC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pop² (mid-2012)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00 - 10%</td>
<td>2,621</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1 - 20%</td>
<td>10,654</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.1 - 30%</td>
<td>15,978</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.1 - 40%</td>
<td>13,191</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.1 - 50%</td>
<td>12,088</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.1 - 60%</td>
<td>8,150</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.1 - 70%</td>
<td>15,017</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.1 - 80%</td>
<td>6,399</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.1 - 90%</td>
<td>12,194</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90.1 - 100%</td>
<td>1,648</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>97,940</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>5,180</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 7.5: Leisure Centre members against Cannock Chase IMD and Health

Figure 7.5 indicates that membership is commensurate with the areas of higher deprivation in both IMD and health. This means that members of Rugeley and Chase leisure centres are from all sections of the community in proportion to the people who live in the District.

Cannock Activity Referral Scheme

The Cannock Activity Referral Scheme (CARS) in Cannock started in January 2015 accompanied by some funding from MacMillan to focus on participants with a cancer diagnosis. IHL suggests that the programme has gone from strength to strength since its inception and the number of new participants has risen dramatically. Adherence to the programme and the number of participants increasing their physical activity level has exceeded targets in the last 12 months. Partnerships have been created and strengthened improving access for participants with cancer, cardiac conditions, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), diabetes and dementia amongst others into the programme.

From April 2016 to March 2017, 505 new people accessed CARS of which 55 were participants supported by Active after Cancer funding. (This is 72% of the programmes’ capacity). 211 (42%) participants were male and 293 (58%) female. The age of participants varies between 40 and 70. CARS participant postcode records (275 in total) were provided for people referred between 10/01/17 - 31/03/18, and who started the programme. (Unique records assumed). This identified that 204 (74%) of people on the programme live in Cannock Chase while 71 (26%) live outside the CCDC administrative area.
Figure 7.7. Cannock Activity Referral Scheme participant distribution on Health deprivation

Figure 7.6: Growth of the Active Referral Scheme since its inception
**Table 7.7: CARS by number and percentage within / outwith Cannock Chase District**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Referral status</th>
<th>Cannock Chase</th>
<th></th>
<th>All referrals</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>29.41%</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>31.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Early</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.88%</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participating</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>64.71%</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>63.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>204</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00%</strong></td>
<td><strong>275</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 7.8: CARS members by IMD analysis (Health Rank)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMD Health 2015 10% bands</th>
<th>Cannock Chase</th>
<th>All Participants</th>
<th>Participating</th>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>Left Early</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Population (mid-2012)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00 - 10%</td>
<td>4,312</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 - 20%</td>
<td>11,925</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 - 30%</td>
<td>20,253</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 - 40%</td>
<td>20,043</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 - 50%</td>
<td>9,178</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 - 60%</td>
<td>13,030</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 - 70%</td>
<td>6,788</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 - 80%</td>
<td>9,822</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 - 90%</td>
<td>2,589</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 - 100%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>97,940</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0%</strong></td>
<td><strong>204</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0%</strong></td>
<td><strong>132</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7.7 and Table 7.8 indicate that 16.6% of the population of Cannock Chase live in areas of higher health deprivation compared to a national average of 20%, i.e. this is slightly below national findings. The CARS scheme attracts (30) 14.7% of its membership from this population, which indicates that it is attracting slightly fewer than average membership, from potentially the people who need it most. This indicates of the c.15,300 people living in areas of higher deprivation only 30 are members of CARS.

It is acknowledged that this is a referral process, the responsibility of which lies with GP surgeries and health professionals. Access to the scheme depends upon proactive intervention from one or more agencies and the person involved needs to want to join. However, given that there is a higher incidence of people in poorer health, in these areas, it is important to increase the numbers/percentage on to the scheme in order to make a greater difference to their lifestyles.

It is worth noting that no members referred to from the areas of higher deprivation left the scheme early, whereas over 40.0% of members who live in the 30%-40% area of health deprivation did so.
Outcomes

IHL identifies an average attendance to the programme of 580 visits per month. Seven sessions per week are delivered by the team of which three extend into the evening. Activities include supervised gym sessions, Pilates and a specialist breast cancer activity session. Of the 91 people who started in 2016/17 who have completed the programme the most commonly quoted benefits were:

- Healthier (64)
- Improved mobility (49)
- Increased energy (49)
- Reduced stress (33)
- Lost weight (28)
- Increased confidence (27)
- Sleeping better (24)

External partners include Macmillan Cancer support, NHS (GP’s, hospitals, dieticians, pharmacists, and physiotherapists), Beth Johnson Foundation (local cancer support advocacy service charity), Penny Brohn (holistic support for people living with cancer), The Hart School, Five Ways, Chancel and John Bamford primary schools and St Giles Hospice (registered charitable trust). The partnership works with health professionals including:

- Specialist diabetic team
- Cardiac rehabilitation teams
- COPD team.
- Adult and children physiotherapy services.

Other physical activity programmes include:

- Doorstep Active - a community programme funded by the Cannock District public health team for two years which has seen 153 people access the programme, of which 150 (98%) completed 12 weeks and 122 (80%) increased their physical activity levels.
- This Girl Can- aimed at women with long term health conditions. This is running in partnership with Sport across Staffordshire and Stoke on Trent.
- Thinking Active- a 12-week pilot program in Cannock looking at the potential benefits of Cognitive Stimulation Therapy and exercise for people with mild to moderate dementia. This is funded by Staffordshire Public Health.

The membership conversion rate is a snapshot taken monthly, which has only been recorded for the last six. This figure was 29% (the percentage of all new participants who then went on to take out a membership with IHL). Participants can take part in activities on a pay as you go basis during the 12-week intervention and then beyond it. This has seen an increase in income since April 2015 and then again since April 2016 suggesting that there is a high level of people staying active without taking out a membership.

Along with the gym, participants are signposted to other programmes and activities including health walks, swimming, activity classes in the leisure centre and community through Doorstep Active (identified above).

Areas for development

The focus of the scheme in the future is, reportedly, to develop new areas including people with diabetes, obesity or a falls prevention initiative.
Other membership data

Inspiring Health operate leisure facilities across a range of local authorities. The data supplied by IHL covers the wider membership and is not restricted to members in Cannock Chase on its own.

Table 7.2: Leisure centre membership distribution by local authority

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Authority</th>
<th>Chase Leisure Centre</th>
<th>Rugeley Leisure Centre</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basingstoke &amp; Deane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sample size too small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannock Chase</td>
<td>2,897</td>
<td>2,283</td>
<td>5180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheshire East</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Nottingham</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Stoke-On-Trent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Wolverhampton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dudley</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ealing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Staffordshire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gwynedd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hackney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Havering</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinckley &amp; Bosworth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kensington &amp; Chelsea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirklees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sample size too small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lichfield</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malvern Hills</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Suffolk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moray</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newcastle-Under-Lyme</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North West Leicestershire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northampton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuneaton &amp; Bedworth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochdale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandwell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selby</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shropshire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solihull</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sample size too small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Derbyshire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sample size too small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Staffordshire</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Helens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sample size too small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Chase Leisure Centre</td>
<td>Rugeley Leisure Centre</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stafford</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockport</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swansea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamworth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telford &amp; Wrekin</td>
<td>Sample size too small</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walsall</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Lothian</td>
<td>Sample size too small</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,166</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,279</strong></td>
<td><strong>7,445</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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PART 1: INTRODUCTION

This is the Cannock Chase Draft Indoor and Built Sports Facilities Strategy for the period 2018-2036. Recommendations are drawn from the Indoor Built Facilities Assessment Report, researched and prepared initially between December 2017 and April 2018 by specialist sport and leisure consultancy, Knight Kavanagh and Page Ltd (KKP). Both the Assessment Report and the Strategy were prepared in accordance with Sport England’s ANOG (Assessing Needs and Opportunities for Indoor and Outdoor Sports Facilities) guidance and in consultation with Cannock Chase District Council (CCDC), Sport England, national governing bodies of sport (NGBs), local sports clubs and key stakeholders.

Figure 1: Planning for Sport model

As illustrated, Sport England regards an assessment of need as core to the planning for sporting provision. This report reviews indoor and built sporting facility need in Cannock Chase and provides a basis for future strategic planning.

1.1 Purpose

Cannock Chase both aspires and needs to consider its facilities planning, particularly in the context of an ageing stock of leisure facilities; future growth needs; the changing economic and demographic profile of the area and the potential change of circumstance of Rugeley Power Station and its surrounds.

The focus of this Strategy is to provide clear direction to all partners so that together they can plan and develop the more modern, efficient and sustainable range of community based sport and leisure facilities that Cannock Chase requires. This will ensure that residents have the opportunity to be physically active and healthier and where appropriate take forward their sporting ambitions within their local community.

The Strategy focuses on ensuring that the network of leisure facilities in the District will be of sufficient quantity, quality, accessibility and suitability to support the delivery of other sports development programmes once they are in place.

1.2 National strategic context

Sporting Future: A new strategy for an active nation (December 2015)

The Government’s strategy for sport confirms its recognition and understanding that sport makes a positive difference and states its intention that the sector will deliver five simple but fundamental outcomes: physical health, mental health, individual development, social and community development and economic development.
Sport England: Towards an Active Nation (2016)

In Sport England’s strategic response to the Government it states that it will invest in:

- Tackling inactivity.
- Children and young people.
- Volunteering – a dual benefit.
- Taking sport and activity into the mass market.
- Supporting sport’s core market.
- Local delivery.
- Facilities.

Increasing participation in sport and physical activity and the health and wellbeing benefits that this delivers are the key drivers for Sport England and partners. It has placed particular emphasis on getting the inactive active and targeting interventions at under-represented groups. The wider benefits that derive from having a more active population are highlighted in the following intergenerational cycle which clearly demonstrates the impact beyond the sports ‘arena’.

Figure 2: Intergenerational cycle

High quality and appropriate ‘places to play sport and be physically active’ are important in delivering increased participation in sport and physical activity which is part of the foundation of improving health and wellbeing (plus economic gains) to Cannock Chase residents.

It is not, however, sufficient just to have the right facilities in the right places, they also need to be programmed and priced appropriately to ensure that activities are appropriate for specific target groups and that cost is not a barrier to access.

Sport England is committed to continue to invest in facilities, but will place greater focus on multi-sport and community hubs which bring together a range of services such as libraries and doctor’s surgeries.
1.3 Local strategic context:

Cannock Chase Corporate Plan: 2018-2023

The priorities for the new Corporate Plan are ‘Promoting Prosperity’ and ‘Community Wellbeing’. These interlink and reinforce each other with a view to improving opportunities, wellbeing and quality of life of communities in Cannock Chase. Promoting prosperity has seven key objectives which include:

- Creating a positive environment in which businesses in the District can thrive.
- Establishing McArthurGlen Designer Outlet Cannock as a major visitor attraction and maximising the benefits it will bring to the District.
- Improving housing choice.
- Increasing the skills levels of residents and the amount of higher skilled jobs in the District
- Creating strong and diverse towns centres to attract additional customers and visitors
- Increasing access to employment opportunities
- Commencing regeneration of the Rugeley Power Station site

The strategic priorities for improving health and wellbeing are:

- Opportunities for healthy and active lifestyle  
- Sustaining safe and secure communities  
- Supporting vulnerable people  
- Promoting active and healthy lifestyles

This Corporate Plan identifies key priorities for the District over the next five year period (2018 - 2023). The new Corporate Plan is backed up by two new investment funds - the District Investment Fund for improving skills, infrastructure and business growth; plus the Housing Investment Fund to support a major expansion of high quality social housing in the District.

The Council, working with relevant public, private and voluntary sectors partners, will develop more detailed strategies and plans which will bring to life the priority commitments in this new Corporate Plan.

Health and wellbeing in Cannock Chase

Chase Better Health is a partnership approach between CCDC, Staffordshire County Council and Cannock Chase Clinical Commissioning Group which seeks to shape and build Cannock Chase’s healthy future, by supporting the improvement of health and wellbeing outcomes for residents of the District. In particular, Chase Better Health seeks to strive for communities within Cannock Chase that are prosperous, healthy, independent, safe, thriving and cohesive.

The Mission for Chase Better Health is to embrace the big opportunity to shape and build Cannock Chase’s healthy future by working together to support residents and communities to take control of their health and wellbeing. The vision for the wider partnership is that Cannock Chase will be a place where people have the opportunity to enhance their quality of life and achieve economic prosperity. The goals are to:

- Reduce health inequalities through targeted intervention.
- Enable people to live independent lives.
Empower people to make health life choices.
Improve quality of life for vulnerable people.
Improve communication and access to information, help and support.
PART 2: LOCAL AREA CONTEXT

2.1 About Cannock Chase

Cannock Chase is a local government district covering over seven thousand hectares on the northern border of the West Midlands conurbation. It is one of the eight districts of the County of Staffordshire and is bordered by Lichfield, South Staffordshire, East Staffordshire and the County Town of Stafford. The District itself incorporates the towns of Cannock, Rugeley and Hednesford and is divided into fifteen wards. A key feature is the strong transport infrastructure including the M6, M6 Toll and A5 trunk road. In addition to a network of bus routes, railway stations at Rugeley, Hednesford and Cannock provide connections to Stafford in the North and Birmingham and Walsall to the South.

The total population of CCDC is 98,534 (mid-year estimate 2016) with a slightly higher number of females (49,719) than males (48,815). The population is at its most dense around Cannock in the west and Rugeley in the north of the District. Cannock Chase has similar levels of deprivation to the rest of Staffordshire; 30% of the District population lives in areas covered by the country’s three most deprived cohorts (national average; 30%). In contrast to this, 20.7% live in the three least deprived groupings in the country; compared to a ‘norm’ of c.30%.

Life expectancy in Cannock Chase is commensurate with the national figure; the male rate is currently 79.3 years compared to 79.6 years for England, and the female equivalent is 83.1 years compared to 83.2 years nationally.¹

Cannock Chase’s ethnic composition differs from that of England as a whole with nearly 98% of the local population White. This is significantly higher than the comparative England rate (85.4%). The next largest group is Asian, at 1.0%; markedly lower than the national equivalent (7.8%).

The most recent ONS projections indicate a rise of 5.6% in Cannock Chase’s population (5,543) over the 22 years from 2014 to 2036. This encompasses a decline in the number of 16-24 year olds over the first half of this period (-13.5% by 2025). There will also be a small decline in the number of 0-15 year olds by -1.4%. By contrast, there will be an increase in the number of persons aged 65+ by 26.4% in the first period and growing by 61.2% between 2014 and 2036. This age group was 17.8% of CCDC’s population in 2014 but will be 27.2% by 2036.

All these factors have the potential to place pressure on differing types of sporting, educational and cultural provision (facility and services). There may be a specific need to consider how sport and physical activity are planned and provided for especially with regard to the different groups of people including older people and those who are moving into this category within the lifetime of the Strategy.

Sport England’s Active People Survey 10 found that participation and sports club membership in Cannock Chase was slightly below regional and national averages, whereas those receiving sports tuition in the last year was slightly higher. Active Lives data shows that a proportion of the adult population in Cannock Chase which is inactive is above regional and national levels, whilst those considered to be active is below the same cohorts.

2.2 Housing allocations in Cannock Chase

The Government is changing the way in which housing need is calculated, using a new national standardised methodology. CCDC will need to use the figure provided to use once this methodology is finalised. As an indication the draft methodology recently consulted upon gives CCDC a figure (which could still change) of 295 dwellings per year (for the period 2016-2026) compared to the current Local Plan (Part 1) requirement of 241 dwellings per annum (for the period 2006-2028). Using the new requirement figures, the performance of CCDC is also likely to be measured using a new Housing Delivery Test for which the Government are still developing details but there are likely to be sanctions for under-delivery. Acceleration of housing delivery is also a key aim of the West Midlands Combined Authority which is producing a Strategic Investment and Delivery Plan so the Council will need to be mindful of this as it prepares the new plan.

CCDC is one of fourteen local authority areas which fall within the Greater Birmingham Housing Market Area (GBHMA). Across this wider area, there is a significant housing shortfall of 28,150 dwellings to 2031 and 60,900 to 2036. Under the legal Duty to Cooperate, work has been ongoing to look at ways of addressing this shortfall and a report has recently been published which considers the following across the entire Housing Market Assessment area:

- Current capacity
- Potential for increasing densities
- Further supply on non-Green Belt sites
- Potential Green Belt opportunities utilising a strategic Green Belt review

CCDC is not able to say how much growth it might be able to accommodate at present as it will need further evidence to see what capacity it might have (for example impacts on the transport network, schools, health facilities and so on) as well as assessing other impacts (for instance on the landscape and the environment). As set out under Policy CP1, it may need to consider site options that lie within the Green Belt but this would also require a range of evidence base work to be utilised e.g. information on potential capacity from non-Green Belt land and the District Green Belt Study (2016).

In addition, CCDC’s Rugeley Power Station Development Brief Supplementary Planning Document (adopted February 2018) was jointly produced by CCDC and Lichfield District Council to guide future redevelopment of the site. The overall aim is to create a well-designed mixed-use development which incorporates market housing, affordable housing, self-build housing, employment provision, education provision and open space and recreational facilities. It is envisaged that the new development will become a popular residential neighbourhood and place of work, creating a network of pedestrian and cycle routes and open spaces which connect the site with the surrounding area.

The site comprises of 139 hectares of land, (55 hectares in Cannock Chase District and 84 hectares in Lichfield District) and is roughly rectangular in shape. A report by the two authorities, entitled The Rugeley Power Station Development Brief Supplementary Planning Document, proposes developing the land for a minimum of 2,000 homes, employment uses and power/storage production. As noted, the supporting infrastructure will include a school, open space, play facilities and public art.
2.3 Planning policy

Revised National Planning Policy Framework 2018

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets out planning policies for England. It details how changes are expected to be applied to the planning system. It also provides a framework for local people and their councils to produce distinct local and neighbourhood plans, reflecting the needs and priorities of local communities.

It states that the purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. It identifies the need to focus on three themes of economic, social and environmental sustainable development.

A presumption in favour of sustainable development is a key aspect for any plan-making and decision-taking processes. In relation to plan-making, the NPPF states that local plans should meet objectively assessed needs. It is clear about sport's role delivering sustainable communities via the promotion of health and well-being. Sport England, working within the provisions of the NPPF, wishes to see local planning policy protect, enhance and provide for sports facilities based on robust and up-to-date assessments of need, as well as helping to realise the wider benefits that participation in sport can bring.

The promoting healthy communities theme identifies that planning policies should be based on robust, up-to-date assessment of need for open space, sports and recreation facilities and opportunities for new provision. Specific needs, quantitative/qualitative deficiencies and surpluses should be identified and used to inform provision requirements in an area. In addition, the Government has recently consulted on developer contributions and although the outcomes are awaited, they have the potential to impact on the delivery mechanism.

It is, essential that CCDC, as the local planning authority, retains oversight of all potential facility developments. This includes policies and potential supplementary planning documents setting out the approach to securing sport and recreational facilities through new housing development. It also includes the Council's approach to ensuring that community use of new facilities is agreed at the outset so as to ensure that they contribute to the wider sport and physical activity aspirations of the Council and its partners. The approach taken to this is pivotal to strategy delivery over coming years.
PART 3: VISION AND OBJECTIVES

3.1 Vision

“To provide accessible high-quality leisure and sports facilities in Cannock Chase that encourages an active lifestyle, increases participation and helps improve health and wellbeing.”

This builds upon the conclusions identified in the Assessment Report (April 2018) and Sport England’s five-year strategy ‘Towards an Active Nation’, which aims to target the 28% of people who do less than 30 minutes of exercise each week and focuses on the least active groups; typically, women, the disabled and people from lower socio-economic backgrounds.

This strategy provides a framework within which a clear, coherent way forward for the management and delivery of leisure and sports facilities in Cannock Chase can be identified. The primary focus is to enable residents to gain access to leisure facilities of which they can be proud. It is also vital to ensure that facilities support sport and physical activity programmes for all of the population that lead to increases in regular participation, taking account of the projected changes in age profile of the population in the District up until 2036.

3.2 Facility hierarchy and core principles

CCDC and partners will consider how to ensure that the district network of leisure and sports facilities works to maximum benefit and that, where possible, each facility performs a specific role and function in the drive to increase participation in sport and physical activity and contribute to wider community health and wellbeing.

As such there is a need to clarify the sports facility hierarchy in Cannock Chase establishing which specific venues have distinct roles and functions; the extent to which they carry differing levels of community importance, operational weight and related staffing.

It is important that facilities have a defined function from a user perspective. District wide and key community facilities deliver orchestrated physical activity geared to extended participation and improving health whilst key neighbourhood facilities offer accredited clubs opportunities to develop their sports.

Broad principles are illustrated in Figure 3 overleaf, whilst key principles are as shown in the Table 1.
It is worth noting that facilities identified in local provision are generally smaller than those identified within the scope of this commission. They are, however, often valuable assets for a specific local area or community offering either a range of recreation/participation opportunities or, in some instances, offering beginner to performance opportunities.

**Table 1: Proposed facility hierarchy – site designation and definition**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Role and function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Key provision: district or county or sub-county significance | • A district or sub-county area significant facility and the primary performance venue for a single or select number of priority sports.  
• Venue with the potential to host county, district and local events and draw in people from surrounding rural areas.  
• Venue that provides a wide a range of opportunities for residents and visitors to participate in sport and physical activity, contributing significantly to the quality of life of district residents.  
• A venue that provides and programmes opportunities for local people to try new activities, develop their skills and progress to a higher performance level.  
• A core venue for training and development of teachers, coaches, volunteers, officials and others in key sports. |
| Dedicated provision: Education or multi sports hubs | • Contributes to quality of life of residents within the community and provides a range of opportunities to participate in sport and physical activity.  
• Generally a combination of stand-alone community facilities and dual use sports facilities on school sites.  
• Venues with potential to host district or town-wide and local events.  
• Provides a base for provision of opportunity (delivered by a range of providers) for local people to develop their skills and try new activities.  
• Core venue for health and fitness activity in/across Cannock Chase.  
• Core venue to accommodate Cannock Chases’ Learn to Swim (where a pool is
### Designation | Role and function
--- | ---
 | available).
 | Provides options for a range of sports organisations to develop skills, participate and compete within their chosen activity.
 | Supports the voluntary sector to raise standards with respect to coaching, coach education, administration and volunteer development in chosen sport.
 | Use reflects demographic profile of the local community and draws in people from the more immediately adjacent rural areas.
 | Improves quality of PE and school sport opportunity for young people attending the school upon whose site it is based.

### Local provision: Community centres and village halls
- Where this is within the vicinity of a ‘community sports facility’ it should seek to complement the programming and opportunities offered to the locality.
- Tend to be stand-alone small informal/sports facilities which operate independently and are found in villages or distinct urban community settings.
- Contributes to quality of life of the locality, accommodating a small range of opportunities to participate in sport and physical activity.
- Provides opportunity – often for just for one or two dedicated sports organisations to participate, train and compete (e.g. boxing, martial arts).
- Programming can be set out, or have evolved to, appeal to a specific demographic e.g. older people or community groups.

### 3.3 Strategic objectives

The above vision is based upon a clear, achievable framework of strategic objectives to protect, enhance and provide and are summarised below.

**Strategic Objective 1:**

*Protect the current stock of facilities in order to meet the sport and physical activity needs of the local residents, by introducing a hierarchy of use with defined roles and functions for different facility types which will be supported by appropriate investment.*

CCDC needs to protect the current stock of facilities. CCDC and partners will consider how to ensure that the District network of leisure and sports facilities works to maximum benefit and that, where possible, each facility performs a specific role and function in the drive to increase participation in sport and physical activity thus contributing to wider community health and wellbeing.

**Strategic Objective 2:**

*Enhance the district-wide approach to programming and management at all sites with a view to improving sports development aspirations and increasing physical activity outcomes, based on identified strategic need*

In delivering the above CCDC needs to consider how it will work with partners to:

- Ensure that the current stock of facilities (which has a proven need), remains open and accessible to the general public.
- Work through the Council’s leisure operator (Inspiring Healthy Lifestyles) and other partners to develop a process that brings together as many operators of local sports facilities as possible.
Develop an agreed approach and a Cannock Chase definition of community use which all partners sign up to and agree to implement. This will recognise the importance of well programmed community use attracting people from older age groups into facilities.

In delivering this CCDC and partners will need to consider the following:

- How partners might engineer a holistic approach to strategic programming across sites, including smaller but strategically placed community/village halls in order to increase and improve provision.
- How (reflecting the community use standard cited above) relationships are developed and maintained with schools across the District.
- How housing developments, including the Rugeley development, can complement current and projected future demand for sport and physical activity.
- The development of strategic plans that take account of the increasing demand from the ageing population will affect facilities in the area and how those facilities in the more rural locations can be accessed.

### Strategic Objective 3:

**Provide a coherent range of good quality, accessible facilities reflecting the hierarchy and serving key current and future communities across Cannock Chase.**

The following section identifies major investment requirements over the life of this Strategy. It describes what is needed in order to ‘protect’, ‘enhance’ and ‘provide’ sport and leisure facilities for the residents of Cannock Chase and enable the Council to meet its wider objectives. It considers Cannock Chase and Rugeley leisure centres as key facilities in the District.

**Chase Leisure Centre:** has the largest sports hall in the authority (8 courts) and has a six lane 25m swimming pool with two learner/teaching pools. It also has a 116-station health and fitness gym and two studios. The facility currently has a bowls facility with two rinks, however it is not EIBA (English Indoor Bowls Association) compliant and is due to be re-developed in order to cater for increasing demand for studio and class-based activity. IHL’s proposal is to invest more than £500,000 into a virtual fitness experience, gym refurbishment, and introduction of virtual fitness to the existing studio, conversion of the indoor bowling green to an indoor cycling studio, functional and multi-purpose studios. It is anticipated that the investment will deliver further savings to the Council of at least £50,000 per annum from April 2019.

**Rugeley Leisure Centre:** has a four-court sports hall which was re-floored in 2016, a six lane 25m swimming pool and a 80 station health and fitness suite which were built in 2008. Rugeley Leisure Centre indicates high demand for sessions such as yoga and other class-based exercises. Notwithstanding high satisfaction levels, consultation indicates additional need for more class space as this could lead to high waiting lists and potential to increase income if more space can be made available. The current situation can also lead to reduced customer satisfaction levels if they are not able to participate in activities when they want to. Development of additional multi-use spaces is likely to lead to increased participation which will help alleviate some of the waiting lists for class-based activity, in particular. Group exercise is on the increase with good instructors driving up increases in participation.
PART 4: RESEARCH FINDINGS

The following is an overview of the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats identified in Cannock Chase within the Needs Assessment 2018.

4.1 Strengths

- CCDC recognises the importance of its leisure facility stock to health and wellbeing and future planning needs.
- There is a productive relationship between CCDC and IHL which is helping to increase physical activity levels amongst hard to reach groups, in particular.
- The main public leisure centres (in Cannock and Rugeley) offer good community accessible facilities. Both have received investment and are fit for purpose facilities.
- Chase Leisure Centre has an eight-court badminton hall which offers substantial flexibility to function as a central venue for a range of sports and to accommodate events.
- The strong GP referral system has seen significant growth over the past two years.
- All Cannock residents live within 20 minutes’ drive time of a swimming pool, a sports hall and a health and fitness centre.
- Gymnastics and running are popular activities.
- Community centres/village halls and dance studios offer a range of activities ensuring complementary opportunities in smaller more accessible venues.
- There is a strong disability sports offer in the area, which is growing and appears to be funded by a range of partner organisations.

4.2: Weaknesses

- There is limited, if any coordination between programming of sports halls on education sites and the two leisure centres managed by IHL.
- There appears to be a weak sports club structure in the District which is compounded by the lack of coaches and coach development.
- Two swimming clubs operate from both Rugeley and Chase leisure centres, leading to duplication of effort of both coaches and volunteers.
- The fragmented nature of management arrangements at sports halls means that no single organisation takes a lead on activity programming or access and schools, which appear to work within their own ‘silo’ without overall leadership and direction.
- The Cannock Activity Referral Scheme (CARS) has scope to attract more people from areas of higher health deprivation, leading to increased levels of participation amongst residents from harder to reach areas.
- The reduced opportunity to play indoor bowls.
- The missed opportunity of the local girl’s handball team to train and play in the area.

4.3 Opportunities

- House building in the area could lead to increased developer contributions towards sport and leisure provision, where there is clearly justified evidence of demand.
- The excitement generated by the decision to hold the Commonwealth Games in Birmingham in 2022 could lead to increased participation in sport in the area, if coordinated and harnessed.
- Chase Leisure Centre has an eight-court badminton hall of which more use could be made to support the development of local sports clubs.
There is an opportunity to extend the CARS scheme building on its current strength. It is imperative that results of this programme are properly recorded to ensure that the Council is fully appraised of its value.

Schools report spare capacity at their sports halls so efforts should be made to strategically develop specific sports at different sites with a view to driving increases in participation generally.

IHL is investing in Chase Leisure Centre facilities and expanding the dance/studio area, which should lead to increases in physical activity and participation levels.

Development of additional multi-use space at Rugeley Leisure Centre could also lead to increased participation; this would help alleviate some of the waiting lists for class-based activity in particular.

Continue to build on the good relationships and developing partnership-based approach between IHL and the wide array of wellbeing groups to ensure a continued strategic approach is undertaken with regard to driving increases in participation.

There is a need to develop volunteer and coach workforce development plans in order to increase the numbers across a range of sports, to counteract the relatively weak club structure in the District.

IHL needs to continue working with NGBs and voluntary clubs to help drive increases in participation across the District on behalf of CCDC.

Strategically plan how more gymnastics provision could be accommodated to reduce the length of the waiting lists. Consideration could be given to looking to develop a dedicated gymnastics facility within the District.

To encourage the handball team to be able to train and play in a larger hall within Cannock.

An in-depth analysis of the swimming timetable is required to ensure that participation can continue to rise given the current pressures on water space.

4.4: Threats

Although the two main facilities are in above average condition, capital investment is still required to ensure that they remain fully operational and fit for purpose.

The projected increase in number and proportion of older people, will place an increasing strain on the two main sports halls during the day time, as school sites do not allow access at these times.

The lack of a workforce development plan is likely to ensure that the current undersupply of sports coaches will remain, thereby limiting the sports development opportunity within the District.

The community sport and physical activity offer across the District could fragment further due to the increasing independence of schools (via general changes to education) and further private investment in profit making activities (e.g. gyms).

The continuing drive for efficiencies and cost saving could place further strain on the service.

The lack of joined up programming and sports development service, across the District, may lead to duplication of activity and/or gaps in provision of a full sport and leisure offer.

Limited developer contributions due to the relatively low level of housing development compared to many other authorities.
Summary

In summary CCDC needs to:

- Continue to recognise that facilities are an important contributor to the quality of life of residents.
- Ensure that CCDC owned facilities continue to contribute to reducing health inequalities and are fully accessible to, and used by, people from harder to reach communities and by older people.
- Retain health and wellbeing as a strategic priority to ensure the active remain so and the inactive become active, especially those in more rural areas of the District.
- Develop a workforce plan which will address the current undersupply of sports coaches and volunteers in the District.
- Work with volunteers and schools to help establish a stronger network of indoor sports clubs which will help to attract and retain all levels of ability and age groups in a variety of sports.
- Consider how best to work with different schools (especially the ones which have identified spare capacity) to strategically plan for sport across the District ensuring adequate development and competition across a range of sports.
- Subject to relevant planning considerations, support other developments (via planning and officer expertise) which will underpin increased levels of sport and physical activity in the wider community.
- Ensure that the planned increase in housing in the area has sufficient infrastructure in place to meet the increase in sports facility demand, especially with regard to the Rugeley development.
- Use the hyperbole and enthusiasm from the 2022 Birmingham Commonwealth Games to generate interest and promote increases in physical activity in the area.

Planning

The results of the needs assessment and recommendations of the Strategy should be considered in any future policy making reviews and infrastructure delivery plans. It is important that Cannock Chase, as the local planning authority, uses the findings of the needs assessment and strategy to inform development of any new appropriate planning policy setting out its approach to securing sport and recreational facilities via new housing and other development where appropriate.

It should also consider the potential role of supplementary planning documents in helping to provide and enhance such facilities and as guidance to form the basis for negotiation with developers to secure contributions to include provision and/or enhancement of appropriate indoor facilities.
PART 5: DELIVERY OF THE STRATEGY

The Strategy should be regarded as the start of the strategic planning process with a requirement for all partners to engage in ongoing dialogue and review in order to ensure that a strategic approach is adopted throughout the life of the strategy.

The delivery of the strategy relies on close partnership working to collectively protect, enhance and provide quality indoor sports facilities in the District.

5.1 Funding

The Strategy has not addressed in detail how proposals and recommendations will be funded. It is anticipated that there will be no single funding source; rather a mix of sources and solutions will be required to deliver the Strategy vision and ambitions. These solutions will include:

- Further development and implementation of the CCDC developer contributions process.
- Use of capital receipts from land disposal, where applicable.
- Asset rationalisation and use of revenue saving and/or future liabilities to pay back borrowing aligned to capital investment in other sites.
- Prudential borrowing where an ‘invest to save’ justification can be made, particularly for longer-term proposals which may be considered in light of the Council’s future borrowing strategy.
- External funding sources aligned to specific facilities and/or sports (e.g. Sport England funding, other charitable grant awards and funding streams).
- 3rd party borrowing where a suitable, robust business case exists (although this will be more expensive than prudential borrowing).

5.2 Developer contributions

The latest legislation covering Section 106 development contributions states that local authorities will only be able to pool a maximum of five secured Section 106 contributions to fund one specific infrastructure project. Projects which relied upon funding from a wide number of tariff-based Planning Obligation contributions will need to be secured via the new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) in to the future. Both mechanisms allow local government to secure new or improved infrastructure which is either site-specific or immediately related to the development, as a condition of any planning consent.
5.3 Monitoring and review

The Indoor Built Facilities Strategy identifies the investment and actions required to deliver and maintain high quality-built facilities infrastructure for Cannock Chase for the period up until 2036.

The strategy is based on the current known and planned facilities. This will need to be reviewed periodically especially when there are significant changes in facility provision. It is important that it is used to prioritise investment and develop key work programmes and partnerships. The strategy will inform the planning process in order to gain development related investment through CIL and S106 where need can be clearly evidenced...

It will be important for CCD C and its partners to develop a 3 – 5 year action plan which will be monitored and reviewed annually to review progress against the original action plan, as well as make adjustments to the supply and demand equation for facilities in the area. This is, in part, proposed on the basis that the Strategy is about how facilities are used as well as to ensuring that the infrastructure is of a good quality.

In particular, the annual review process should include:

- A review of annual progress on the recommendations and the 3 – 5 year action plan; taking into account any changes to the priority of each action (e.g. the priority of some may increase following the delivery of others).
- Lessons learnt throughout the year.
- New facilities that may need to be taken into account.
- Any specific changes of use of key sites in the District (e.g. sport specific specialisms of sites, changes in availability, etc.).
- Any specific changes in demand at particular facilities and/or clubs in the area (e.g. reduction or increase in club numbers, new housing growth.
- New formats of traditional sports that may need to be taken into account.
- Any new or emerging issues and opportunities.
PART 6: STRATEGIC ACTION PLAN:

6.1 Management and programming

The following actions are relative to the overall management and programming of key facilities in Cannock Chase. All actions identified below should take account of accessibility issues (hearing, visual and wheelchair access). Short term- 1-2 years; medium 3-5 years; Long term 5-10 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic objective</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Timescale</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Importance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| District wide       | Ensure holistic approach to programming across all facilities leading to improved access for all sections of the community. Establish a workforce development plan which aims to build capacity in sports clubs across the District. | - Consider current programming across the District.  
- Define the role of each facility within the wider community use offer across District.  
- Develop a site by site action plan for improving programming across the District.  
- Establish a working group which looks to develop coaches and volunteers in the District in a range of sports.  
- Consider how to deploy the volunteers/coaches to best effect linking to increased sporting opportunities at school sites (which are currently underutilised) leading to a stronger and more sustainable club structure in the District. | Short | Schools, leisure Centres & Village halls / Community Centres, IHL CCDC, IHL, SASSOT, NGBs and local schools | High |
| Increase community  | Market and promote the availability of the current stock of sports halls to more sections of the community. | - Taking account of the currently low levels of community use of sports halls, consider the barriers schools are facing in attracting clubs to their facilities.  
- On a site by site basis consider a strategic plan for developing a priority sport at each site. | Short | Schools, IHL, SASSOT, CCDC, NGBs | High |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic objective</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Timescale</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Importance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Swimming pools Provide</td>
<td>Ensuring sufficient water space is available to current and future residents.</td>
<td>Strategically programme water time for all residents to ensure the public have sufficient access the pools at peak times.</td>
<td>Short</td>
<td>CCDC, Public Health, IHL, Swim England, swimming clubs.</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strategically programme water time for all residents.</td>
<td>Work with the swimming clubs on pools programming to maximise their (efficient) access to pool time by, considering the amalgamation of the two clubs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Fitness Provide</td>
<td>Continue to monitor quantity and quality of health and fitness offer across the District. Ensure that the provision of health and fitness facilities are appropriate to an ageing population in the District.</td>
<td>Continue to invest in Council owned leisure centres to ensure quality of facilities remains high to all residents who use them.</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>IHL, CCDC</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squash Courts Provide</td>
<td>The need to retain the current facilities to ensure the sport can continue in the area.</td>
<td>Monitor and continue to invest in the quality of squash courts ensuring they remain high quality, fit for purpose and continue to serve the demand for the sport.</td>
<td>Short</td>
<td>CCDC, England Squash &amp; Racketball, IHL</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated specialist sports facilities Provide</td>
<td>Address the latent demand for gymnastics.</td>
<td>Work with the gymnastics and trampolining clubs to create a strategic plan geared to enabling them to move to a dedicated facility which will be either self-managed (or owned). Consider co-locating where the opportunity arises.</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>CCDC, SE, IHLNGBs, Gymnastics Clubs</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic objective</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Timescale</td>
<td>Responsibility</td>
<td>Importance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorporating village halls and community centres into the broader activity portfolio</td>
<td>Develop an improved understanding of the importance of Level 3 facilities with regard to the physical activity offer.</td>
<td>Review Level 3 facilities to see which are realistically able to increase physical activity in the context of scale, configuration, management arrangements and existing commitments. Consider how they fit into the wider programming offer.</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Parish Councils, community groups, private facilities</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>To recognise the importance of this study and ensure recommendations are acted upon.</td>
<td>To adopt the recommendations in this Study and ensure that they are encapsulated in planning policy documents (Local Plans) and other relevant CCDC strategies where appropriate. Develop priorities to assist CCDC to identify developments that could be funded through developer contributions, CIL and other sources of funding, informing the regulation 123 List and identification of infrastructure requirements.</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Planning &amp; Leisure Team</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor and review</td>
<td>Keeping the Facilities Strategy relevant and up to date.</td>
<td>Complete a light touch review of the study annually. Undertake a complete review within 5 years of its implementation.</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>CCDC</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.2 Indoor built facilities

The following actions relative to each of the District’s key facilities is identified below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Overview and Challenges</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Lead Agency</th>
<th>Timescale (S/M/L)</th>
<th>Priority (H/M/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cannock Chase High School</td>
<td>Academy - In house</td>
<td>The School has a 4-court sports hall which was built in 2001. Both the hall and the</td>
<td>Maintain the quality of the current facilities sports hall and consider investing in upgrade of the changing facilities.</td>
<td>CCHS, CCDC</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2 Protect</td>
<td></td>
<td>changing facilities are below average.</td>
<td>Work with partners to increase community use where possible.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardinal Griffin Catholic College</td>
<td>Local Authority - In house</td>
<td>The School has a 4-court Sports hall built in 1972 and has been refurbished. The sports hall is above average quality however, the changing rooms are below average. Cannock Olympia handball team trains at the School despite the court being insufficient in size.</td>
<td>The School should prioritise maintaining the quality of the current facilities. Work with partners to increase community use where possible.</td>
<td>CGCC</td>
<td>Medium Short</td>
<td>Medium High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2 Protect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase Grammar School</td>
<td>Independent School - In house</td>
<td>The School has a 4-court Sports hall which was built in 1996 and refurbished in 2003. The hall is above average with the changing facilities rated below average.</td>
<td></td>
<td>CGS</td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility</td>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Overview and Challenges</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Lead Agency</td>
<td>Timescale (S/M/L)</td>
<td>Priority (H/M/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase Leisure Centre</td>
<td>CCDC- Inspiring healthy lifestyle</td>
<td>This large facility has an 8-court sports hall, built in 1991 and refurbished in 2012. Both the hall itself and the changing facilities are below average. It is a popular facility with little capacity to increase community use. Handball has expressed a keen interest in using the hall due to its size. There is also a 116-station health and fitness gym and the operator, IHL, offers a popular GP referral scheme. The three swimming pools; a main pool and two learner pools built in 1973 were refurbished in 2013. There 2-rink indoor bowls green is not of regulation size and plans are in place for alternative use of this part of the facility. IHL is proposing significant investment (subject to further consultation) which includes the removal of the indoor bowls facility to make way for improved studio and class-based facilities.</td>
<td>Maintain the current quality of the gym, pools and changing facilities linking to the pool and squash courts. IHL should continue to maximise community use of its facilities, especially the popular disabled and NHS referral schemes. IHL should look to increase participation via the proposed investment in studios and the conversion of the indoor bowls venue. Work with the Handball Club to improve access to the sports hall. Work with the swimming clubs to improve pool utilisation e.g. sharing of pool lanes and early morning swimming. This will allow improved access for community use.</td>
<td>IHL, NHS, CCDC, SASSOT</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingsmead School</td>
<td>Academy- In house</td>
<td>5-court Sports hall which was built in 1970 and refurbished in 1996. Both the sports hall and the changing facilities are below average. Whilst the hall was painted approximately 3 years ago, the flooring is in poor condition.</td>
<td>When finance becomes available the School should look to invest and improve the quality of the sports hall. Continue to offer the community use of the sports hall.</td>
<td>Kingsmead School, CCDC</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Rugeley Leisure Centre
**Level 1**
**Protect and Enhance**

**Overview and Challenges:**
RLC has a 4-court sports hall, built in 2004 and had a new floor installed in 2016. It also has a 6-lane x 25m swimming pool, (built 2008) which along with its changing facilities are above average in quality. Two squash courts and an 80-station gym are also rated as above average in quality.

**Action:**
- Maintain the current quality of facilities.
- Continue to provide community use ensuring that those in harder to reach and more deprived areas are able to access the centre.
- Consider extending the space available for class-based activities
- Continue to deliver programmes that drive up participation of the squash courts.
- Alternatively, consider alternative use of the squash courts should there be insufficient demand to make the provision viable for squash activity.

**Lead Agency:** IHL, NHS, CCDC, SASSOT
**Timescale:** Medium
**Priority:** High

### Staffordshire University Academy

**Overview and Challenges:**
The Academy has a 4-court sports hall, built in 2013 and is good quality. The changing rooms are below average in

**Action:**
The Academy should take measures to maintain the current quality of the facilities.

**Lead Agency:** SUA, CCDC
**Timescale:** Medium
**Priority:** Medium
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Overview and Challenges</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Lead Agency</th>
<th>Timescale (S/M/L)</th>
<th>Priority (H/M/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level 2 Protect and Enhance</td>
<td></td>
<td>quality.</td>
<td>If finance becomes available, the Academy should look to improve the quality of its changing rooms. Work with partners to increase community use of the sports hall.</td>
<td>NCHS, CCDC</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norton Canes High School Level 2 Protect and Enhance</td>
<td>School in house</td>
<td>The School has a 4-court sports hall which was built in 1971 and refurbished in 2006. The hall is above average with the changing facilities being below average. The sports hall has had investment in new lighting and flooring.</td>
<td>Maintain the current quality of the sports hall. Continuing the current community use of the sports hall is key and trying to increase hall bookings, where possible.</td>
<td>NCHS, CCDC</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>