




The Audit Plan for Cannock Chase District Council

Year ended 31 March 2013

25 June 2013

Grant Patterson
Engagement Lead
T 0121 232 5296
E grant.b.patterson@uk.gt.com

Madeleine Bastin
Executive
T 0121 232 5353
E madeleine.a.bastin@uk.gt.com



The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect the Council or any weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Contents

Section

1. Understanding your business	4
2. Developments relevant to your business and the audit	5
3. Our audit approach	6
4. An audit focused on risks	7
5. Significant risks identified	11
6. Other risks	12
7. Results of interim work	13
8. Value for Money	15
9. Logistics and our team	16
10. Fees and independence	17
11. Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance	18

Understanding your business

In planning our audit we need to understand the challenges and opportunities the Council is facing. We set out a summary of our understanding below.

Challenges/opportunities

1. Reduction in central government funding

- The coalition government debt reduction plans include reducing the grants available to local authorities.
- Your core funding will reduce by £432k (7.4%) for the 2013/14 settlement, plus a further reduction of £874k (12.8%) for 2014/15.

2. Leisure Services outsourcing

- The Council outsourced its Leisure services to Wigan Leisure & Culture Trust (WLCT) from April 2012.
- This presents a risk for the Council which it has looked to mitigate through the contract and procurement process.

3. Business rate pooling

- From 1 April, 50% of business rates growth will be retained by LA's with 50% to central government.
- Of the 50% retained locally, 80% will be for District Councils, and 20% for the County.
- The Council has joined with the Greater Birmingham and Solihull LEP Pool.

4. Housing Benefit /Council Tax changes

- Government is reducing the grant for Council Tax benefit paid to councils by 10%.
- The current system of housing benefit will transfer to 'universal credit'. This will have a significant operational impact.

5. Self financing HRA

- The Council produced a 30 year business plan to implement the devolved "self-financing system", which replaced the previous HRA subsidy regime on 1 April 2012, and now has full responsibility for managing and financing its housing stock.

Our response

- We will assess the Council's plans to address the funding reductions through our VFM work.
- The Council has set a standstill budget and updated its plan. The Council is using a small level of reserves to support the 2013/14 budget and a 2% council tax rise.
- As part of our assessment we will review the extent to which the Council has been able to develop a robust longer term financial strategy, including the use of reserves, which takes account of reductions in Central Government Support.

- We will assess the Council's processes and arrangements for managing and monitoring the contract through our VFM work .
- We will carry out substantive procedures around contract payments as part of our audit work.

- We note the Council has been prudent and by deferring assumptions on growth retentions into the year following their identification. Therefore no account has been taken in the 2013/14 budget for potential additional business rates .
- We will gain an understanding of the impact of the changes through our discussions with officers, providing support where appropriate.
- We will monitor how this affects the Council and the actions taken to encourage new businesses to the district.

- We will monitor the implementation of the new scheme through our meetings with senior officers and review of key documents.
- We will consider the assumptions made in financial planning around the impact of these changes as part of our assessment of the Council's arrangements for securing Financial Resilience to inform our 2012/13 VFM Conclusion.

- The Council updated its business plan in January 2013. We will review the Council's processes and arrangements for delivering the business plan and the assumptions within it as part of our VFM work.

Developments relevant to your business and the audit

In planning our audit we also consider the impact of key developments in the sector and take account of national audit requirements as set out in the Code of Audit Practice and associated guidance.


Developments and other requirements

<p>1. Financial reporting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Changes to the CIPFA Code of Practice • Recognition of grant conditions and income • Self financing Housing Revenue Account 	<p>2. Legislation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Government Finance settlement 2012/13 • Welfare reform Act 2012 	<p>3. Corporate governance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annual Governance Statement (AGS) • Explanatory foreword 	<p>4. Pensions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning for the impact of 2013/14 changes to the Local Government pension Scheme (LGPS) 	<p>5. Financial Pressures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Managing service provision with less resource • Progress against savings plans 	<p>6. Other requirements</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Council is required to submit a Whole of Government accounts pack on which we provide an audit opinion • The Council completes grant claims and returns on which audit certification is required
--	---	---	--	--	---

Our response

<p>We will ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Council complies with the requirements of the CIPFA Code of Practice through our substantive testing • grant income is recognised in line with the correct accounting standard 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We will discuss the impact of the legislative changes with the Council through our regular meetings with senior management and those charged with governance, providing a view where appropriate 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We will review the arrangements the Council has in place for the production of the AGS • We will review the AGS and the explanatory foreword to consider whether they are consistent with our knowledge 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We will discuss how the Council is planning to deal with the impact of the 2013/14 changes through our meetings with senior management 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We will review the Council's performance against the 2012/13 budget, including consideration of performance against the savings plan • We will undertake a review of Financial Resilience as part of our VFM conclusion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We will carry out work on the WGA pack in accordance with requirements • We will certify grant claims and returns in accordance with Audit Commission requirements
---	--	--	--	--	---

Our audit approach



An audit focused on risks

We undertake a risk based audit whereby we focus audit effort on those areas where we have identified a risk of material misstatement in the accounts. The table below shows how our audit approach focuses on the risks we have identified through our planning and review of the national risks affecting the sector. Definitions of the level of risk and associated work are given below:

Significant – Significant risks are typically non-routine transactions, areas of material judgement or those areas where there is a high underlying (inherent) risk of misstatement. We will undertake an assessment of controls (if applicable) around the risks and carry out detailed substantive testing.

Other – Other risks of material misstatement are typically those transaction cycles and balances where there are high values, large numbers of transactions and risks arising from, for example, system changes and issues identified from previous years audits. We will assess controls and undertake substantive testing, the level of which will be reduced where we can rely on controls.

None – Our risk assessment has not identified a risk of misstatement. We will undertake substantive testing of material balances. Where an item in the accounts is not material we do not carry out detailed substantive testing.

Account	Material (or potentially material) balance?	Transaction Cycle	Inherent risk	Material misstatement risk?	Description of Risk	Substantive testing?
Cost of services - operating expenses	Yes	Operating expenses	Medium	Other	Operating expenses understated	✓
Cost of services – employee remuneration	Yes	Employee remuneration	Medium	Other	Remuneration expenses not correct	✓
Costs of services – Housing & council tax benefit	Yes	Welfare expenditure	Medium	Other	Welfare benefits improperly computed	✓
Cost of services – Housing revenue	Yes	HRA	Medium	Other	Housing revenue transactions not recorded	✓
Cost of services – other revenues (fees & charges)	Yes	Other revenues	Low	None		✓
(Gains)/ Loss on disposal of non current assets	No	Property, Plant and Equipment	Low	None		×
Payments to Housing Capital Receipts Pool	No	Property, Plant & Equipment	Low	None		×
Precepts and Levies	No	Council Tax	Low	None		×

An audit focused on risks (continued)

Account	Material (or potentially material) balance?	Transaction Cycle	Inherent risk	Material misstatement risk?	Description of Risk	Substantive testing?
Interest payable and similar charges	Yes	Borrowings	Low	None		✓
Pension Interest cost	Yes	Employee remuneration	Low	None		✓
Interest & investment income	No	Investments	Low	None		×
Return on Pension assets	Yes	Employee remuneration	Low	None		✓
Income from council tax	Yes	Council Tax	Low	None		✓
NNDR Distribution	Yes	NNDR	Low	None		✓
Revenue support grant & other Government grants	Yes	Grant Income	Low	None		✓
Capital grants & Contributions (including those received in advance)	Yes	Property, Plant & Equipment	Low	None		✓

An audit focused on risks (continued)

Account	Material (or potentially material) balance?	Transaction Cycle	Inherent risk	Material misstatement risk?	Description of Risk	Substantive testing?
(Surplus)/ Deficit on revaluation of non current assets	Yes	Property, Plant & Equipment	Low	None		✓
Actuarial (gains)/ Losses on pension fund assets & liabilities	Yes	Employee remuneration	Low	None		✓
Property, Plant & Equipment	Yes	Property, Plant & Equipment	Low	None		✓
Property, Plant & Equipment	Yes	Property, Plant & Equipment	Low	None		✓
Heritage assets & Investment property	Yes	Property, Plant & Equipment	Low	None		✓
Investments (long & short term)	No	Investments	Low	None		×
Debtors (long & short term)	Yes	Revenue	Low	None		✓
Inventories	No	Inventories	Low	None		×
Cash & cash Equivalents	Yes	Bank & Cash	Low	None		✓

An audit focused on risks (continued)

Account	Material (or potentially material) balance?	Transaction Cycle	Inherent risk	Material misstatement risk?	Description of Risk	Substantive testing?
Borrowing (long & short term)	Yes	Debt	Low	None		✓
Creditors (long & Short term)	Yes	Operating Expenses	Medium	Other	Creditors understated or not recorded in the correct period	✓
Provisions (long & short term)	No	Provision	Low	None		×
Pension liability	Yes	Employee remuneration	Low	None		✓
Reserves	Yes	Equity	Low	None		✓

Significant risks identified

'Significant risks often relate to significant non-routine transactions and judgmental matters. Non-routine transactions are transactions that are unusual, either due to size or nature, and that therefore occur infrequently. Judgmental matters may include the development of accounting estimates for which there is significant measurement uncertainty' (ISA 315).

In this section we outline the significant risks of material misstatement which we have identified. There are two presumed significant risks which are applicable to all audits under auditing standards (International Standards on Auditing – ISAs) which are listed below:

Significant risk	Description	Substantive audit procedures
The revenue cycle includes fraudulent transactions	Under ISA 240 there is a presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to the improper recognition of revenue.	We have assessed the arrangements for revenue recognition and have concluded that there is not a material risk of fraud associated with revenue recognition. We can therefore rebut the presumption of fraud in revenue recognition.
Management over-ride of controls	Under ISA 240 there is a presumed risk that the risk of management over-ride of controls is present in all entities.	<p>Work completed to date:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Testing of journal entries months 1 to 10 • Review of unusual significant transactions <p>Further work planned:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review of accounting estimates, judgments and decisions made by management • Testing of journal entries months 11 and 12 • Review of unusual significant transactions

Other risks

The auditor should evaluate the design and determine the implementation of the entity's controls, including relevant control activities, over those risks for which, in the auditor's judgment, it is not possible or practicable to reduce the risks of material misstatement at the assertion level to an acceptably low level with audit evidence obtained only from substantive procedures (ISA 315).

Other reasonably possible risks	Description	Work completed to date	Further work planned
Operating expenses	Operating expenses understated	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Walkthrough tests were completed for operating expenses cycle. Tests of control have been completed for operating expenses cycle. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tests of detail on operating expenses included in the financial statements, including performance of substantive testing on a sample of operating expenditure for the financial year.
Operating expenses	Creditors understated or not recorded in the correct period	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Walkthrough tests were completed for operating expenses cycle. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> We do not expect to test creditors key controls as it is not efficient to do so, given that they mainly happen at year end. We will conduct substantive testing of creditors.
Employee remuneration	Remuneration expenses not correct	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Walkthrough tests were completed for the employee remuneration cycle. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Performance of substantive testing on a sample of payroll expenditure. Agreement of employee remuneration disclosures in the financial statements to supporting evidence.
Welfare Expenditure	Welfare benefits improperly computed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Walkthrough tests were completed for the welfare benefits expenditure cycle. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> We will use the Audit Commission HB Count methodology to test this item including completion of HB claim initial sample testing.
Housing Rent Revenue Account	Revenue transactions not recorded.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Walkthrough tests were completed for rental revenues cycle. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Performance of substantive analytical review procedures to predict expected rental revenues within financial statements. We will conduct substantive testing to address any residual risks.

Results of interim audit work

Scope

As part of the interim audit work and in advance of our final accounts audit fieldwork, we have considered:

- the effectiveness of the internal audit function
- internal audit's work on the Council's key financial systems
- walkthrough testing to confirm whether controls are implemented as per our understanding in areas where we have identified a risk of material misstatement
- a review of Information Technology (IT) controls

	Work performed	Conclusion/ Summary
Internal audit	<p>We have reviewed your arrangements for internal audit. Where the arrangements are deemed to be adequate, we can gain assurance from the overall work undertaken by internal audit and can conclude that the service itself is contributing positively to the internal control environment and overall governance arrangements within the Council.</p> <p>We have continued to liaise with internal audit during the course of our work.</p>	<p>We can take assurance from internal audit work in contributing to an effective internal control environment at the Council.</p>
Walkthrough testing	<p>Walkthrough tests were completed in relation to the specific accounts assertion risks which we consider to present a risk of material misstatement to the financial statements ;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Operating expenditure • Employee remuneration • Housing benefits expenditure 	<p>No significant issues were noted and in-year internal controls were observed to have been implemented in accordance with our documented understanding.</p>

Results of interim audit work (continued)

	Work performed	Conclusion/ Summary
Review of information technology (IT) controls	Our information systems specialist performed a high level review of the general IT control environment, as part of the overall review of the internal controls system. We concluded that, from the work undertaken to date, there are no material weaknesses which are likely to adversely impact on the Council's financial statements.	No significant issues were noted. IT controls were observed to have been implemented in accordance with our documented understanding. A small number of minor deficiencies were identified. There are none that we believe we are required to bring to the attention of those charged with governance and they have been shared and responses agreed with the section 151 officer.
Journal entry controls	We have reviewed the Council's journal entry policies and procedures as part of determining our journal entry testing strategy and have not identified any material weaknesses which are likely to adversely impact on the Council's control environment or financial statements. To date we have undertaken detailed testing on journal transactions recorded for the first ten months of the financial year, by extracting 'unusual' entries for further review. No issues have been identified that require to be reported.	Our testing has not identified any significant issues. Further testing to be undertaken for remainder of the year at final accounts stage.
Controls testing	Our testing strategy includes tests of control on operating expenses. This work has been completed and we have not identified any material weaknesses that are likely to impact the Council's control environment or financial statements.	Controls over operating expenses were operating in accordance with our documented understanding, with a small number of deficiencies identified.
Substantive testing	Sample testing of operating expenses and employee expenses will be undertaken during the next audit visit.	Work in progress.

Value for Money

Introduction

The Code of Audit Practice requires us to issue a conclusion on whether the Council has put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. This is known as the Value for Money (VfM) conclusion.

2012/13 VfM conclusion

Our Value for Money conclusion will be based on two reporting criteria specified by the Audit Commission.

We will tailor our VfM work to ensure that as well as addressing high risk areas it is, wherever possible, focused on the Council's priority areas and can be used as a source of assurance members. Where we plan to undertake specific reviews to support our VfM conclusion, we will issue a Terms of Reference for each review outlining the scope, methodology and timing of the review. These will be agreed in advance and presented to Audit Committee.

The results of all our local VfM audit work and key messages will be reported in our Audit Findings report and in the Annual Audit Letter. We will agree any additional reporting to the Council on a review-by-review basis.

Code criteria

The Council has proper arrangements in place for:

- securing financial resilience
- challenging how it secures economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources

We will consider whether the Council is prioritising its resources with tighter budget

Work to be undertaken

Risk-based work focusing on arrangements relating to financial governance, strategic financial planning and financial control including review of:

Specifically we will:

- Review the Council's medium to long term financial plan to determine the measures in place to deliver savings over the medium to long term.
- Monitor the in-year progress against savings targets and longer term plans to deliver recurrent savings in future years.
- Monitor the Council's in-year progress and achievement of its financial targets to the end of the financial year, including HRA self-financing and the leisure services contract.

Logistics and our team



Date	Activity
January 2013	Planning meeting
February-March 2013	Interim site work
June 2013	The audit plan presented to Audit Committee
July 2013	Year end fieldwork commences
August 2013	Audit findings clearance meeting
September 2013	Audit Committee meeting to report our findings
September 2013	Sign financial statements and VfM conclusion
October 2013	Issue Annual Audit Letter

Our team

Grant Patterson
Engagement Lead
 T 0121 232 5296
 E grant.b.patterson@uk.gt.com

Madeleine Bastin
Executive
 T 0121 232 5353
 E madeleine.a.bastin@uk.gt.com

Pragati Raithatha
Manager
 T 0121 232 5291
 E pragati.d.raithatha@uk.gt.com

Chris Kenny
Executive (IT Specialist)
 T 0121 232 5158
 E chris.m.kenny@uk.gt.com

Fees and independence

Fees

	£
Council audit	68,578
Grant certification	14,400
Total	82,978

Our fee assumptions include:

- Our fees are exclusive of VAT.
- Supporting schedules to all figures in the accounts are supplied by the agreed dates and in accordance with the agreed upon information request list.
- The scope of the audit, and the Council and its activities have not changed significantly.
- The Council will make available management and accounting staff to help us locate information and to provide explanations.
- The grant certification fee is indicative and may vary dependent upon the final levels of audit required.

Fees for other services

Service	Fees £
None	Nil

Independence and ethics

A member of the audit team has declared a friendship with the wife of your Monitoring Officer. This has been discussed with your Chief Executive and S151 Officer in previous years and they have confirmed that they are aware of this and they are content that this does not present an issue. We have planned our allocation of work to ensure that the team member has no contact with the Monitoring Officer and their work will not involve the review of any areas where the Monitoring Officer has been directly involved. This reduces any potential threat to an acceptably low level.

We can therefore confirm that there are no significant facts or matters that impact on our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to your attention. We have complied with the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards and therefore we confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements.

Full details of all fees charged for audit and non-audit services will be included in our Audit Findings report at the conclusion of the audit.

We confirm that we have implemented policies and procedures to meet the requirement of the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards.

Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance

International Standards on Auditing (ISA) 260, as well as other ISAs, prescribe matters which we are required to communicate with those charged with governance, and which we set out in the table opposite.

This document, The Audit Plan, outlines our audit strategy and plan to deliver the audit, while The Audit Findings will be issued prior to approval of the financial statements and will present key issues and other matters arising from the audit, together with an explanation as to how these have been resolved.

We will communicate any adverse or unexpected findings affecting the audit on a timely basis, either informally or via a report to the Council.

Respective responsibilities

This plan has been prepared in the context of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies issued by the Audit Commission (www.audit-commission.gov.uk).

We have been appointed as the Council's independent external auditors by the Audit Commission, the body responsible for appointing external auditors to local public bodies in England. As external auditors, we have a broad remit covering finance and governance matters.

Our annual work programme is set in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice ('the Code') issued by the Audit Commission and includes nationally prescribed and locally determined work. Our work considers the Council's key risks when reaching our conclusions under the Code.

It is the responsibility of the Council to ensure that proper arrangements are in place for the conduct of its business, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for. We have considered how the Council is fulfilling these responsibilities.

Our communication plan	Audit plan	Audit findings
Respective responsibilities of auditor and management/those charged with governance	✓	
Overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit. Form, timing and expected general content of communications	✓	
Views about the qualitative aspects of the entity's accounting and financial reporting practices, significant matters and issue arising during the audit and written representations that have been sought		✓
Confirmation of independence and objectivity	✓	✓
A statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence, relationships and other matters which might be thought to bear on independence.	✓	✓
Details of non-audit work performed by Grant Thornton UK LLP and network firms, together with fees charged.		
Details of safeguards applied to threats to independence		
Material weaknesses in internal control identified during the audit		✓
Identification or suspicion of fraud involving management and/or others which results in material misstatement of the financial statements		✓
Non compliance with laws and regulations		✓
Expected modifications to the auditor's report, or emphasis of matter		✓
Uncorrected misstatements		✓
Significant matters arising in connection with related parties		✓
Significant matters in relation to going concern		✓



© 2013 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' means Grant Thornton UK LLP, a limited liability partnership.

Grant Thornton is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (Grant Thornton International). References to 'Grant Thornton' are to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms operate and refer to one or more member firms, as the context requires. Grant Thornton International and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered independently by member firms, which are not responsible for the services or activities of one another. Grant Thornton International does not provide services to clients.

grant-thornton.co.uk