ITEM NO. 5.1

| Report of: | Head of |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| - | Governance and |
| | Corporate Services |
| Contact Officer: | Stephen Baddeley |
| Telephone No: | 01543 464546 |
| Portfolio Leader: | Corporate |
| | Improvement |
| Key Decision: | No |
| Report Track: | Cabinet: 13/11/19 |
| - | Audit & Gov Cttee: |
| | 03/12/19 |

AUDIT & GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE 3 DECEMBER 2019 STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER

1 Purpose of Report

1.1 To set out details of the Council's Strategic Risk Register as at 30 September 2019 and Risk Management arrangements for managing the Strategic Risks facing the Council.

2 Recommendations

2.1 That the Committee note the progress made in the identification and management of the strategic risks.

3 Key Issues and Reasons for Recommendation

3.1 All strategic risks and associated action plans have been reviewed and the Council's risk profile is summarised in the table below:

| Risk Colour | Number of Risks at 1 April 2019 | Number of Risks at 30 th September 2019 |
|-------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Red | 1 | 1 |
| Amber | 4 | 4 |
| Green | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 5 | 5 |

4 Relationship to Corporate Priorities

- 4.1 This report supports the Council's Corporate Priorities as follows:
 - (i) Risk management is a systematic process by which key business risks / opportunities are identified, prioritised and controlled so as to contribute towards the achievement of the Council's aims and objectives.
 - (ii) The strategic risks set out in the Appendices have been categorised against the Council's priorities.

5 Report Detail

5.1 The Accounts & Audit Regulations 2015 state that:

"A relevant body must ensure that it has a sound system of internal control which:-

- (a) facilitates the effective exercise of its functions and the achievement of its aims and objectives;
- (b) ensures that the financial and operational management of the authority is effective; and
- (c) includes effective arrangements for the management of risk."
- 5.2 Risk can be defined as uncertainty of outcome (whether positive opportunity or negative threat). Risk is ever present and some amount of risk-taking is inevitable if the council is to achieve its objectives. The aim of risk management is to ensure that the council makes cost-effective use of a risk process that has a series of well-defined steps to support better decision making through good understanding of risks and their likely impact.

Management of Strategic Risks / Opportunities

5.3 Central to the risk management process is the identification, prioritisation and management of strategic risks / opportunities. Strategic risks / opportunities have been identified and prioritised, action plans are in place for their effective management and delivery of the action plans is monitored. A summary of the Council's strategic risk register as at 30 September 2019 is attached at **Appendix 1.**

The risk summary illustrates the risks / opportunities using the "traffic light" method i.e.

- RED risk score 12 and above (action plan required to reduce risk and/or regular monitoring)
- AMBER risk score 5 to 10 (action plan required to reduce risk)
- GREEN risk score below 5 (risk tolerable, no action plan required)
- 5.4 The number of strategic risks has remained at 5.

| ITEM NC |). 5.3 |
|---------|--------|
|---------|--------|

- 5.5 All risks have controls in place and these controls have seen a reduction from the Gross Risk to a net risk score. The net risk scores for all risks with the exception of Risk 16 remain as last reported to the committee. Management have an ongoing programme of actions to manage the risks and all risks have seen some progress in delivering these action plans however the progress in implementing the actions since April 2019 has not led to a revision of the net risk score for all risks apart from Risk 16.
- 5.6 A progress update for those actions due up to the end of September 2019 is included in the full strategic risk register attached at **Appendix 2**.
- 5.7 Additional information for red and amber risks can be found in the Strategic Risk Register (**Appendix 2**) in the form of an 'Overall Progress Summary' this is accompanied by a symbol to indicate whether progress is on target or otherwise.

The table below outlines the overall progress made in reducing risks since 1 April 2019:

| Progress Indicator | | |
|---|---------|--|
| No progress made in reducing the risk | 0 Risks | |
| Some progress made in managing the risk | 3 Risks | |
| Risk on target to be reduced | 2 Risks | |

6 Implications

6.1 Financial

None

6.2 Legal

None

6.3 Human Resources

None

6.4 Section 17 (Crime Prevention)

None

6.5 Human Rights Act

None

6.6 **Data Protection**

None

6.7 Risk Management

The Risk Management implications are included within the body of the report and appendices.

6.8 Equality & Diversity

None

6.9 Best Value

None

7 Appendices to the Report

Appendix 1 – Summary of Strategic Risks 2019

Appendix 2 – Strategic Risk Register 2019

Previous Consideration

None

Background Papers

File of papers kept in the Chief Internal Auditor & Risk Manager's office.

ITEM NO. 5.5

Appendix 1

SUMMARY OF STRATEGIC RISKS AS AT 30/09/2019

| Risk No | Potential Risks | Risk Owner | Date Added to Register | Score at April 2019 | Score at Sept 2019 | Direction of Travel over period reported |
|------------|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Red I | Risks | | | | | |
| 18 | Vulnerability of Cannock Chase Council's financial stability as a result of public expenditure reductions and changes to the Government's funding regime | Head of Finance | April 2014 | 12 | 12 | \leftrightarrow |
| Amb | er Risks | | | | | |
| 16 | Impact of Welfare Benefit Reform | Heads of Finance and Housing & Partnerships | April 2013 | 9 | 6 | \downarrow |
| 19 | The organisation does not have sufficient Management / Officer capacity to deliver its corporate priorities and statutory duties | Managing Director | April 2015 | 9 | 9 | \leftrightarrow |
| 23 | Failure to repel or recover from Cyber- attack including targeted ransomware, malware and Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS) attacks | Head of Technology | April 2017 | 9 | 9 | \leftrightarrow |
| 25 | There is a reduction in investor confidence in the District | Head of Economic Prosperity | April 2018 | 9 | 9 | \leftrightarrow |

Key to Direction of Travel

 $\downarrow \quad \text{Risk has decreased} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{Risk level unchanged} \quad \uparrow \quad \text{Risk has increased}$

Deleted Risks

| Risk No | Potential Risks | Risk Owner | Date Added to Register | Score at April 2019 | Score at Sept 2019 | Direction of Travel over period reported |
|------------|-----------------|------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | Nil | | | | | |

Appendix 2

Cannock Chase District Council – Strategic Risk Register

| Ref No: 16 | Risk: Impact of Welfare Benefit Reform | ı (e.g. Introductio | on of Universal Cr | edit) |
|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Risk Owner: Head | of Finance / Head of Housing & Partnership | s Portfolio: | Health & Wellbeir | ng |
| Increase in aIncrease in R | mand for services (additional workload, pres rrears on Council Tax; | | | resourcing etc); |
| Links To Priority De | livery Plan: Community Wellbeing | | | |
| Gross Risk Score (i. | e. without controls) | Likelihood: 4 | Impact: 3 | Total Score: 12 RED |
| Monitoring im Liaison with I Budget support | Council's housing stock; pact of localisation of Council Tax Support; DWP on implementation timetable for Unive ort available for affected residents to better Housing Payment policy | rsal Credits; | gets set up. | |
| Residual/Net Risk S | core (i.e. with controls) | Likelihood: 2 | Impact: 3 | Total Score: 6 AMBER |
| Provisional Assessn | nent of Risk – does the residual risk score n | eed to be reduced | YES | |

Green

| Actions Planned | Timescale / Person Responsible | Progress/Comments |
|---|--|---|
| Improved communications from DWP enable us to identify UC claimants. Wherever possible, LCTR claims are processed on the basis of information provided by UC. | Ongoing | Claims process to be simplified in the review of LCTR schemes |
| Monitor impact of Benefit Reform to identify areas of concern | Quarterly, Local Taxation & Benefits Manager | An on-going monitoring routine is in place. |
| Identifying number of additional people falling into arrears with Council Tax payments and/or not claiming Council Tax Reduction. | Quarterly, Local Taxation & Benefits Manager | This forms part of the overall monitoring and any considerable difference would generate a review of the local scheme. |
| Review LCTR Scheme with a view to streamlining the application, assessment and award processes | Completion of review by February 2020, new scheme to be implemented (if approved) 1 April 2020 31 March 2020 | Cabinet approval to undertake consultation granted 8 August 2019 |
| A corporate Universal Credit Response Group has been established which includes relevant departments and the DWP | Monthly Meetings Head of Housing & Partnerships/ Head of Finance | Monthly meetings are in progress but it is too early to determine the impact yet. Full Service introduced 23 November 2018. |

Overall Progress Summary:

As Universal Credit becomes more common, so we gain more confidence and iron out issues associated with it. The impacts of existing reforms continue to be monitored.

The emphasis on housing arrears has been shifted to look more holistically at pursuing rent recovery in line with the roll out of Universal Credit and impact of welfare reforms.

The Tenancy sustainment service is now embedded in housing.

| Ref No: 18 | Risk: Vulnerability of Cannock Chase Council's financial stability as a result of public expenditure reduction and changes to the Government's funding regime | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Risk Owner: Hea | d of Finance | Portfolio: | Portfolio: The Leader | | | |
| Unable to p | e becomes too small to sustain a via provide desired levels of service | able organisation; | | | | |
| Links To Priority Delivery Plan: N/AGross Risk Score (i.e. without controls)Likelihood: 4 | | | | Total Score: 20 – RED | | |
| Annual Fin The Reven Reliance of Corporate | rm financial plan in place ancial Plan and Medium Term Finar nue Budget is balanced for 2019-20 b n New Homes Bonus is reduced on Budget Monitoring of consultation on changes to gover | but requires support from ba an annual basis. | lances | | | |
| Residual/Net Risk | Score (i.e. with controls) | Likelihood: 4 | Impact: 3 | Total Score: 12 - RED | | |
| Provisional Assessment of Risk – does the residual risk score need to be reduced | | I YES* | | | | |

| Actions Planned | Timescale/ Person Responsible | Progress/Comments |
|---|--|--|
| Responding to Government proposed legislation in relation to key funding regimes | On-going, Head of Finance | Work streams of MHCLG/LGA and CIPFA in relation to 75% Business Rates Schemes and pilot areas to be monitored |
| | | Detailed responses submitted in relation to self - sufficient local government, 75% business rates retention and fair funding review as more technical detail becomes available |
| | | Responses submitted in relation to: |
| | | Business rates - dealing with the financial risks of appeals (June 2018) |
| | | Local Government Finance Settlement 2019/20 : Technical Consultation (September 2018) |
| | | Relative Needs and Resources (February 2019) |
| | | Business Rates Reform (February 2019) |
| Determine impact of Government proposals for key funding regime | On-going as information becomes available, Head of Finance | In Progress |
| Production and refresh of medium term financial plan | On-going | 2019-20 MTFP completed with 2020-21 refreshed following one year spending review and to be submitted to Cabinet in November |
| Mill Green DOV Development Project Board Established | On-going | In Progress |
| Refresh Budget Strategy to ensure external funding sources maximised and efficient and effective use of all resources | On-going | Report to Cabinet/Council anticipated November 2019 |

| Actions Planned | Timescale/ Person Responsible | Progress/Comments |
|--|----------------------------------|---|
| Council looking to maximise all funding opportunities for economic growth, transport, infrastructure, additional jobs and better skills for residents | Head of Economic Prosperity | Council has secured £500k from GBSLEP towards the cost of establishing a new Engineering Academy in Cannock; this funding is being matched by £500k from CCDC and £500k from SSLEP. |
| | | Council has secured a grant of £900,000 to contribute towards the costs of remediation and decontamination on half of the Council owned Hawks Green Depot site to prepare it for residential development subject to planning consent. |
| | | The Council has commenced an allocations process for 2020-21 for Community Infrastructure Levy funding. 5 bids for projects on the Council's CIL Regulation 123 list have been submitted and the outcome of the allocations process will be reported to Cabinet & Council as part of annual Budget setting. |
| Implement a rolling programme of service reviews to ensure that resources are aligned to corporate priorities and are operating as efficiently as they can be | Q3 / Head of Governance | A model for service reviews and a timetable has been developed and rolled out across to managers. |
| Refresh Budget Strategy to identify alternative scenarios in relation to external funding sources | Q3 / Head of Finance | Refreshed Financial Plan reported to Cabinet/Council Feb 2019 |

AMBER

Overall Progress Summary:

The Council continues to progress the areas within its direct control with potential balanced budgets set through to 2021/22, with a planned use of reserves only required in 2020/21. The key risks ,and greatest level of uncertainty, relates to the fundamental changes to Government Funding that were anticipated to take place in 2020/21 (implementation of 75% Business Rates Retention; Fair Funding and Business Rates Reset) whereas ongoing uncertainty exists in relation to the longevity of the New Homes Bonus grant scheme.

The 2019 one year spending review of the Government has delayed the implementation of such reforms to 2021/22 and although clarity now exists in relation to 2020/21 details of future funding levels are unlikely to become clear before the Autumn of 2020 and hence Budget strategies need to be developed reflecting the various scenarios and efficiency savings implemented as soon as practically possible.

| Ref No: 19 | Risk: The organisation does not have sufficient Management / Officer capacity to deliver its corporate priorities (e.g. Corporate Plan & PDP's) and statutory duties. | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------------|
| Risk Owner: Manag | ing Director | Portfolio: | The Leader | | |
| Consequences Of R • The Council's | isk: priorities are not fully delivered with impac | ct on residents / the | public. | | |
| Links To Priority Del | ivery Plan: N/A | | | | |
| Gross Risk Score (i. | e. without controls) | Likelihood: 4 | Impact: 3 | Tota | al Score: 12 RED |
| Management | anagement capacity for delivery of PDP's capacity issues are monitored by Leaders Sickness Management Policy | • | (Assessments of | f Manage | ement Capacity) |
| Residual Risk/Net S | core (i.e. with controls) | Likelihood: 3 | Impact: 3 | | Total Score: 9 AMBER |
| Provisional Assessm | YES* | | | | |

AMBER

| Actions Planned | Timescale / Person Responsible | Progress/Comments |
|---|--|--|
| Ensuring that all priorities in the PDP's are resourced appropriately | Ongoing All Heads of Service | The majority of PDP priorities are on target but there are a number of Amber 'not on target' areas in part due to management capacity. |
| Where necessary, considering whether resources from other parts of the Council can be transferred for a period. | Ongoing Managing Director / Leadership Team | PDP Priorities not on target are being reviewed by Leadership Team and resource implications are being re-considered. |
| Leadership Team maintaining an overview of performance through "managing the business" performance indicators | Ongoing Managing Director / Leadership Team | Quarterly Performance Indicator reports agreed for 2019-20 |
| Requests for additional projects may be refused or deferred until subsequent year(s) | Ongoing Managing Director | Requests for additional projects continue to be monitored. Where a project is considered to be a project and cannot be accommodated within existing resources it is either deferred to a future year or funding requested for additional capacity. |
| The Property Services Manager post will be re-established | Managing Director / | Completed The Corporate Asset Manager post has been recruited to with an internal applicant taking up post on 28 th October 2019. |

Overall Progress Summary:

The Councils management capacity will be monitored closely and action has already been taken by not accepting new project requests in year in order to protect capacity to deliver the agreed PDP priorities. In some instances additional funding has been approved to bring in additional capacity eg to support the work on climate change arising from the Council motion.

Performance in delivering the PDP priorities and actions fell from 83% in2017-18 to 72% in 2018-19. Progress in delivering the PDPs for 2019-20 is being closely monitored and action taken where targets are slipping.

| Ref No: 23 | Risk: Failure to Repel or Recover from Cyber-attack including targeted ransomware, malware and Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS) attacks | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| Risk Owner: Head of Technology Portfolio: Corporate Improvement | | | | | |
| Data, Inabili Cyber Reput Data I Finan | Inability to deliver Council services Cybercrime/ Fraud/ Ransom demands/ Financial harm Reputational damage locally and nationally Data Loss & breach of Data Protection Act (DPA) | | | | |
| | Priority Delivery Plan - Corporate Score (i.e. without controls) | Likelihood: 4 | Impact: 5 | Total Score: | 20 - RED |
| with cybe Secure of Network Managin User edu Incident Malware and pers Monitorir penetrati Removal informati Home ar policies | on Risk Management Regime – Assess the er risk, produce supporting information man configuration – Corporate policies and proce Security – Protection and secured perimete g user privileges – All users of ICT systems ication and awareness – Security policies the management – Incident response and disas prevention – Produce policies that directly onally owned devices) ng – Established monitoring taking into acco on testing conducted ole media controls – Produce removable me on ad mobile working – Assess the risks to all t | agement policie esses to develop er of external see s provided with p hat describe acc ster recovery ca address the bus ount previous se edia policies tha types of mobile w | s. secure baseline builds curity threats and untruster rivileges suitable for their eptable and secure use of pabilities that address the iness processes (such as curity incidents and attac t control the use of remove vorking including remote | ed networks role of ICT assets full range of incider s email, web browsir ks. Annual IT Health vable media for the i working and develop | nts that can occur ng, removable media n Check and mport and export of o appropriate security |
| Residual/Net | Risk Score (i.e. with controls in place) | Likelihood: 3 | Impact: 3 | Total Score | 9 -AMBER |
| Provisional A | ssessment of Risk - does the residual risk | score need to be | e reduced | YES* | |

| Actions Planned | Timescale / Person Responsible | Progress/Comments |
|--|---------------------------------|--|
| Information Risk Management- Continuous review and work on our information risk management regime | Ongoing/ Head of Technology | Policies under review to improve information risk management |
| Monitoring – External and Internal checks. Threat and vulnerability assessment and remediation including Annual IT Health Check by CLAS approved consultant with remedial work carried out | Ongoing/ Head of Technology | Annual Healthcheck completed in March 2019. |
| Application Security Assessment and Remediation action taken | Annually/ Head of Technology | The healthcheck will produce an action plan to feed into this. |
| Threat intelligence, Vulnerability management, Operational management, via internal and external monitoring. | Completed | Webfilter system is now part of the new firewalls. |
| Exploring options to improve security for sharing information with external partners | Ongoing/ Head of Technology | System procured to share data files. |

| Overall | Progress | Summary: |
|---------|----------|----------|
|---------|----------|----------|


Work has been completed and actions are in progress. However, the environment means that new risks and challenges are always developing and attacks are becoming more sophisticated.



| Ref No: 25 | Risk: There is a reduction in investor confidence in the District | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Risk Owner: Head | d of Economic Prosperity | Portfolio: | Economic Develop | oment & Planning |
| Consequences Of | Risk: | | | |
| Business G | rowth in the District reduces | | | |
| Employmer | nt Opportunities decline | | | |
| NNDR / Co | uncil Tax Income does not grow | | | |
| Schemes ir | the District get abandoned or stall | | | |
| Links To Priority D | elivery Plan: Promoting Prosperity | | | |
| Gross Risk Score | (i.e. without controls) | Likelihood: 4 | Impact:5 | Total Score: 20 |
| Controls in Place | | | | |
| The Local F | Plan Review to identify future develop | oment opportunities | | |
| Proactive w | ork with GBSLEP / West Midlands C | Combined Authority | | |
| Business R | elationships work/promoting the Dist | rict via the Economic Devel | opment function | |
| Production | of an Economic Prosperity Strategy | | | |
| Residual Risk/Net | Score (i.e. with controls) | Likelihood: 3 | Impact: 3 | Total Score: 9 |
| Provisional Asses | sment of Risk – does the residual ris | k score need to be reduced | YES | |

| Actions Planned | Timescale / Person Responsible | Progress/Comments |
|--|--|--|
| Economic Prosperity Strategy to be developed | December 2019 / Head of Economic Prosperity | Draft Economic Prosperity strategy has now been produced and has been sent out to key stakeholders and internally for consultation. On-track to be presented to December Cabinet for formal approval. |

| Actions Planned | Timescale / Person Responsible | Progress/Comments |
|--|--|---|
| Continue to dedicate resources to the GBSLEP/Combined Authority | Ongoing / Head of Economic Prosperity | The Council continues to actively participate in the GBSLEP and Combined Authority. The Government has instigated a LEP review and is proposing the removal of overlapping geographies which could have potential implications for the Council. The outcome of the LEP review is not yet known. |
| Revised Local Plan to be produced and delivered | Plan to be adopted by July 2022 / Head of Economic Prosperity | Local Plan Review underway; outcome of the Issues and options consultation was presented to Cabinet in October 2019. Next stage of the Local Plan Review will be Preferred Option stage which will go out to consultation in July/August 2020. Revised Local Development Scheme to be presented to Council on 6 th November 2019. |
| Re-establishment of a Further Education offer in the District (Retail Skills Academy / Engineering Academy) | March 2019 / Head of Economic Prosperity | FE re-established in Cannock for the 2018/19 academic year with Construction / Electrical / Health and Social Care & range of evening and part time courses. £1m grant funding from CCDC and SSLEP secured and invested in new equipment to establish the Cannock Chase Engineering Academy with a further bid for £500k from GBSLEP in progress. Over 700 people have used the new Cannock Chase Skills and Innovation Hub in 2018/19 to date. Delivery of courses from the Retail Skills Academy has now commenced with Walsall College the provider. |



In accordance with the Risk Management Strategy, the green risks below are deemed to be tolerable (with existing controls in place) and will be monitored but require no further action at this time.

| GREEN RISKS | | | |
|-------------|------------|-------|--------|
| Risk No: | Risk Owner | Risk: | Score: |
| | NONE | | |

KEY TO PROGRESS SYMBOLS

| Progress Indicator | | |
|--------------------|---|--|
| × | No progress made in reducing the risk | |
| | Some progress made in managing the risk | |
| - | Risk on target to be reduced | |