ITEM NO. 7.1

| Report of: | Head of |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| - | Governance & |
| | Corporate Services |
| Contact Officer: | Stephen Baddeley |
| Telephone No: | 01543 464 415 |
| Portfolio Leader: | Corporate |
| | Improvement |
| Key Decision: | No |
| Report Track: | Cabinet: 08/11/18 |
| | Audit & Gov Cttee: |
| | 27/11/18 |

CABINET

8 NOVEMBER 2018

STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER

1 Purpose of Report

1.1 To set out details of the Council's Strategic Risk Register as at 30 September 2018 and Risk Management arrangements for managing the Strategic Risks facing the Council.

2 Recommendations

2.1 That Cabinet approves the Strategic Risk Register and considers the progress made in the identification and management of the strategic risks.

3 Key Issues and Reasons for Recommendation

3.1 All strategic risks and associated action plans have been reviewed and the Council's risk profile is summarised in the table below:

| Risk Colour | Number of Risks at 1 April 2018 | Number of Risks at 30 September 2018 |
|-------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Red | 1 | 1 |
| Amber | 4 | 4 |
| Green | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 5 | 5 |

4 Relationship to Corporate Priorities

- 4.1 This report supports the Council's Corporate Priorities as follows:
 - (i) Risk management is a systematic process by which key business risks / opportunities are identified, prioritised and controlled so as to contribute towards the achievement of the Council's aims and objectives.
 - (ii) The strategic risks set out in the Appendices have been categorised against the Council's priorities.

5 Report Detail

5.1 The Accounts & Audit Regulations 2015 state that:

"A relevant body must ensure that it has a sound system of internal control which:-

- (a) facilitates the effective exercise of its functions and the achievement of its aims and objectives;
- (b) ensures that the financial and operational management of the authority is effective; and
- (c) includes effective arrangements for the management of risk."
- 5.2 Risk can be defined as uncertainty of outcome (whether positive opportunity or negative threat). Risk is ever present and some amount of risk-taking is inevitable if the council is to achieve its objectives. The aim of risk management is to ensure that the council makes cost-effective use of a risk process that has a series of well-defined steps to support better decision making through good understanding of risks and their likely impact.

Management of Strategic Risks / Opportunities

5.3 Central to the risk management process is the identification, prioritisation and management of strategic risks / opportunities. Strategic risks / opportunities have been identified and prioritised, action plans are in place for their effective management and delivery of the action plans is monitored. A summary of the Council's strategic risk register as at 30th September 2018 is attached at **Appendix 1.**

The risk summary illustrates the risks / opportunities using the "traffic light" method i.e.

- RED risk score 12 and above (action plan required to reduce risk and/or regular monitoring)
- AMBER risk score 5 to 10 (action plan required to reduce risk)
- GREEN risk score below 5 (risk tolerable, no action plan required)

- 5.4 The number of strategic risks has remained at 5 with no risks deleted and no new risks added.
- 5.5 A progress update for those actions due up to the end of September 2018 is included in the full strategic risk register attached at **Appendix 2**.
- 5.6 Additional information for red and amber risks can be found in the Strategic Risk Register (**Appendix 2**) in the form of an 'Overall Progress Summary' this is accompanied by a symbol to indicate whether progress is on target or otherwise.

The table below outlines the overall progress made in reducing risks since 1 April 2018:

| Progress Indicator | Current position |
|---|------------------|
| No progress made in reducing the risk | 0 Risks |
| Some progress made in managing the risk | 5 Risks |
| Risk on target to be reduced | 0 Risks |

The new risk does not have a progress report and is not included in the figures above.

6 Implications

6.1 Financial

None

6.2 Legal

None

6.3 Human Resources

None

6.4 Section 17 (Crime Prevention)

None

6.5 Human Rights Act

None

6.6 Data Protection

None

6.7 Risk Management

The Risk Management implications are included within the body of the report and appendices.

6.8 Equality & Diversity

None

6.9 Best Value

None

7 Appendices to the Report

Appendix 1: Summary of Strategic Risks 2018-19

Appendix 2: Strategic Risk Register – Detailed

Previous Consideration

None

Background Papers

File of papers kept in the Chief Internal Auditor & Risk Manager's office.

ITEM NO. 7.5

Appendix 1

SUMMARY OF STRATEGIC RISKS AS AT 01/04/2018

| Risk No | Potential Risks | Risk Owner | Date Added to Register | Score at April 2018 | Score at Sept 2018 | Direction of Travel over period reported |
|------------|---|--|------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Red R | lisks | | | | | |
| 18 | Vulnerability of Cannock Chase Council's financial stability as a result of public expenditure reductions and changes to the Government's funding regime | Head of Finance | April 2014 | 12 | 12 | \leftrightarrow |
| Ambe | er Risks | | | | | |
| 16 | Impact of Welfare Benefit Reform | Heads of Finance and Housing & Partnerships | April 2013 | 9 | 9 | \leftrightarrow |
| 19 | The organisation does not have sufficient Management / Officer capacity to deliver its corporate priorities and statutory duties | Managing Director | April 2015 | 9 | 9 | \leftrightarrow |
| 23 | Failure to repel or recover from Cyber- attack including targeted ransomware, malware and Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS) attacks | Head of Technology | April 2017 | 9 | 9 | \leftrightarrow |
| 25 | There is a reduction in investor confidence in the District | Head of Economic Prosperity | April 2018 | 9 | 9 | \leftrightarrow |

Key to Direction of Travel

Risk has decreased \longleftrightarrow Risk level unchanged

Risk has increased

1

Deleted Risks

| Risk No | Potential Risks | Risk Owner | Date Added to Register | Score at Oct 2017 | Score at Apr 2018 | Direction of Travel over period reported |
|------------|-----------------|------------|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|
| | Nil | | | | | |

Appendix 2

Cannock Chase District Council – Strategic Risk Register

| Ref No: 16 | Risk: Impact of Welfare Benefit Reform (e.g etc.) | . Introduction of U | niversal Credit, S | Single Fraud Investigation Service |
|------------------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|
| Risk Owner: | Head of Finance / Head of Housing & Partners | hips Portfolio: H | lealth & Wellbein | g |
| Consequence | es Of Risk: | | | |
| Increase | sed demand for services (additional workload, p | pressure on service | delivery, additiona | al resourcing etc); |
| Increase | se in arrears on Council Tax; | | | |
| Increase | se in Rent Arrears; | | | |
| Negati | ve impact on ability to perform "Compliance" fur | nctions and adverse | effect on income. | |
| Links To Prio | rity Delivery Plan: Community Wellbeing | | | |
| Gross Risk S | core (i.e. without controls) | Likelihood: 4 | Impact: 3 | Total Score: 12 RED |
| Controls in Pl | lace | | | |
| Manag | e the Council's housing stock; | | | |
| Monito | pring impact of localisation of Council Tax Supp | ort; | | |
| Liaisor | n with DWP on implementation timetable for Un | iversal Credits; | | |
| Budge | t support available for affected residents to bett | er manage their buc | lgets set up. | |
| Discre | tionary Housing Payment policy being refreshed | d November 2018. | | |
| Residual/Net | Risk Score (i.e. with controls) | Likelihood: 3 | Impact: 3 | Total Score: 9 AMBER |
| Provisional A | Provisional Assessment of Risk – does the residual risk score need to be reduce | | | |

AMBER

| Actions Planned | Timescale/ Person Responsible | Progress/Comments |
|--|--|--|
| Monitor impact of Benefit Reform to identify areas of concern. | Quarterly / Head of Finance | An on-going monitoring routine is in place. |
| Identifying number of additional people falling into arrears with Council Tax payments | Quarterly / Head of Finance and Local Taxation & Benefits Manager | This forms part of the overall monitoring. |
| A corporate Universal Credit Response Group has been established which includes relevant departments and the DWP | Monthly Meetings / Head of Housing & Partnerships and Head of Finance | Monthly meetings are in progress but it is too early to determine the impact yet. Full Service to be introduced from 23 November 2018. |
| Introduction of Tenancy Sustainment Service | Completed | Tenancy Sustainment Officer (TSO) service embedded – completed |

Overall Progress Summary:

The full impact of benefit reform cannot be determined until the phased introduction of Universal Credits – at present only a small number of claimants in the District have been moved over to Universal Credit. Full Service to be introduced from 23 November 2018 and the effect of this will be closely monitored.

The emphasis has been shifted to look more holistically at pursuing rent recovery in line with the roll out of Universal Credit and impact of welfare reforms.

The Tenancy sustainment service is now embedded in housing.

| Ref No: 18 | Risk: Vulnerability of Cannock Chase Council's financial stability as a result of public expenditu changes to the Government's funding regime | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------|-----------|------|-----------------------|
| Risk Owner: | Risk Owner: Head of Finance Portfolio: The Leader | | | | |
| Consequence | es Of Risk: | | | | |
| Counc | il size becomes too small to sustain a viable or | ganisation; | | | |
| Unable | e to provide desired levels of service | | | | |
| Links To Prio | rity Delivery Plan: N/A | | | | |
| Gross Risk S | core (i.e. without controls) | Likelihood: 4 | Impac | t: 5 | Total Score: 20 – RED |
| Controls in P | lace | | | · | |
| Mediu | m term financial plan in place | | | | |
| Annua | I Financial Plan and Medium Term Financial St | rategy in place | | | |
| • The Re | evenue Budget is balanced for 2018-19 but req | uires support fror | n balance | S | |
| Relian | ce on New Homes Bonus is reduced on an anr | ual basis. | | | |
| Corpore | rate Budget Monitoring | | | | |
| Evaluation | ation of consultation on changes to government | funding regimes | | | |
| Residual/Net | Risk Score (i.e. with controls) | Likelihood: 4 | Impac | t: 3 | Total Score: 12 - RED |
| Provisional A | ssessment of Risk – does the residual risk scor | e need to be red | uced | YES* | |

| Actions Planned | Timescale/ Person Responsible | Progress/Comments |
|---|--|---|
| Responding to Government proposed legislation in relation to key funding regimes | On-going / Head of Finance | Work streams of MHCLG/LGA and CIPFA in relation to 75% Business Rates Schemes and pilot areas to be monitored Detailed responses to be submitted in relation to self - sufficient local government, 75% business rates retention and fair funding review as more technical detail becomes available Responses submitted in relation to : Business rates - dealing with the financial risks of appeals (June 2018) Local Government Finance Settlement 2019/20 : Technical Consultation (September 2018) |
| Review criteria for 2019/20 Business Rates Pilot and subject to approval of partners submit application | On going | Application to pilot 75% Business Rates Retention in 2019/20 on behalf of the Staffordshire and Stoke on Trent proposed Business Rates Pool/Pilot submitted on 24 September 2018. |
| Determine impact of Government proposals for key funding regime | On-going as information becomes available / Head of Finance | In Progress |
| Production and refresh of medium term financial plan | On-going | In Progress |
| Mill Green DOV Development Project Board Established | On-going | In Progress |
| Refresh Budget Strategy to ensure external funding sources maximised and efficient and effective use of all resources | On-going | Report to Cabinet/Council anticipated November 2018 |

AMBER

| Actions Planned | Timescale/ Person Responsible | Progress/Comments |
|--|----------------------------------|--|
| Council looking to maximise all funding opportunities for economic growth, transport, infrastructure, additional jobs and better skills for residents | Head of Economic Prosperity | Meet the Buyer event attended by 160 local employers/construction firms to source trades for the Mill Green Development. MOU in place between the Council and South Staffordshire College. Partnership working well and training provision gradually being re-established. Working on Engineering Academy establishment and secured funding to aide delivery. Long term sustainability will be important. |

Overall Progress Summary:

The Approved Budget and Plan currently provides an ongoing balanced budget with the use of balances required in 2019/20 pending the opening of Mill Green DOV in 2020/21.

The Balanced Budget in 2020/21 in addition is based upon the current Local government Finance Regime, however fundamental changes, to Government Funding, details still to be determined, are set to take place in 2020/21 (implementation of 75% Business Rates Retention; Fair Funding and Business Rates Reset) combined with the ongoing uncertainty in relation to the longevity of the New Homes Bonus grant scheme creates a key strategic risk for the financial stability of this and all other councils. Details are unlikely to become clear before the Autumn of 2019 and hence Budget strategies need to be developed reflecting the various scenarios and efficiency savings implemented as soon as practically possible.

ITEM NO. 7.

| Ref No: 19 | Risk: The organisation does not have sufficient Management / Officer capacity to deliver its corporate priorities (e.g. Corporate Plan & PDPs) and statutory duties. | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Risk Owner: | Managing Director | | Portfolio: | The Lead | ler | |
| Consequenc • The C | es Of Risk: council's priorities are not fully delivered | with impact on | residents / | the public | | |
| Links To Pric | ority Delivery Plan: N/A | | | | | |
| Gross Risk S | Score (i.e. without controls) | Likelih | ood: 4 | ood: 4 Impact: 3 | | Total Score: 12 RED |
| • Mana | lace ng of management capacity for delivery gement capacity issues are monitored b ence to Sickness Management Policy | , | | rice (Asse | ssments of I | Management Capacity) |
| Residual Ris | k/Net Score (i.e. with controls) | e. with controls) Likelihood: 3 | | | Impact: 3 | Total Score: 9 AMBER |
| Provisional Assessment of Risk – does the residual risk score need to be reduced | | | ced | YES* | | |

| Actions Planned | Timescale/ Person Responsible | Progress/Comments |
|---|---|--|
| Ensuring that all priorities in the PDPs are resourced appropriately | Ongoing / All Heads of Service | The majority of PDP priorities are on target but there are a small number of Yellow 'not on target' areas in part due to management capacity. |
| Where necessary, considering whether resources from other parts of the Council can be transferred for a period. | Ongoing / Managing Director and Leadership Team | PDP Priorities not on target are being reviewed by Leadership Team and resource implications are being re-considered. |

AMBER

| Actions Planned | Timescale/ Person Responsible | Progress/Comments |
|---|---|--|
| Leadership Team maintaining an overview of performance through "managing the business" performance indicators | Ongoing / Managing Director and Leadership Team | Quarterly Performance Indicator reports agreed for 2017/18 |
| Requests for additional projects may be refused or deferred until subsequent year(s) | Ongoing Managing Director | A number of requests for new projects in year have had to be refused in order to protect delivery of the Council's agreed Corporate Priorities. |
| The Property Services Manager post will be re-established | December 2018 / Managing Director | Interviews are scheduled for 2nd October to recruit to the post. |

Overall Progress Summary:

The Council's management capacity will be monitored closely and action has already been taken by not accepting new project requests in year in order to protect capacity to deliver the agreed PDP priorities.

Leadership Team have recently started to review delivery of the Council's General Fund and S106 Capital Programme and will be considering in further detail the need for additional project and programme management capacity

| Ref No: 23Risk: Failure to Repel or Recover from Denial of Service (DDoS) attacks | m Cyber-attack i | ncluding targeted ra | nsomware, malware and Distributed | |
|---|---|----------------------|---|--|
| Risk Owner: Head of Technology Portfolio: Corporate Improvement | | | provement | |
| Consequences Of Risk: Data, Systems and Applications inaccessible Inability to deliver Council services Cybercrime/ Fraud/ Ransom demands/ Financ Reputational damage locally and nationally Data Loss & breach of Data Protection Act (DF Financial Loss | | | | |
| | Links To Priority Delivery Plan - Corporate | | | |
| Gross Risk Score (i.e. without controls) Likelihood: 4 Impact: 5 Total Score: 20 - RED Key Controls in Place: Information Risk Management Regime – Assess the risks to our information assets, effective governance structure, LT engagement with cyber risk, produce supporting information management policies. Secure configuration – Corporate policies and processes to develop secure baseline builds Network Security – Protection and secured perimeter of external security threats and untrusted networks Managing user privileges – All users of ICT systems provided with privileges suitable for their role User education and awareness – Security policies that describe acceptable and secure use of ICT assets Incident management – Incident response and disaster recovery capabilities that address the full range of incidents that can occur Malware prevention – Produce policies that directly address the business processes (such as email, web browsing, removable media and personally owned devices) Monitoring – Established monitoring taking into account previous security incidents and attacks. Annual IT Health Check and penetration testing conducted by a Council of Registered Ethical Security Tester (CREST)/ Communications-Electronics Security Group (CESEG) Listed Advisor Scheme (CLAS) - accredited Government Communication Headquarters (GCHQ) approved consultants. Removable media controls – Produce removable media policies that control the use of removable media for the import and export of information Howman and personally owned devices and process the rest of mobile working including remote working and develop appropriate | | | Ids trusted networks their role use of ICT assets is the full range of incidents that can occur ch as email, web browsing, removable media attacks. Annual IT Health Check and ST)/ Communications-Electronics Security ion Headquarters (GCHQ) approved emovable media for the import and export of | |

| Residual/Net Risk Score (i.e. with controls in place) | Likelihood: 3 | Impact: 3 | | Total Score 9 - AMBER |
|--|------------------------|-----------|------|-----------------------|
| Provisional Assessment of Risk - does the residual ris | sk score need to be re | educed | YES* | |

| Actions Planned | Timescale/ Person Responsible | Progress/Comments |
|--|--|--|
| Information Risk Management- Continuous review and work on our information risk management regime Monitoring – External and Internal checks. Threat and vulnerability | Ongoing / Head of Technology Ongoing / | Policies under review. Some elements will be dealt these will be reviewed Procurement in progress for the Annual |
| assessment and remediation including Annual IT Health Check by CLAS approved consultant with remedial work carried out | Head of Technology | Healthcheck |
| Application Security Assessment and Remediation action taken | Annually / Head of Technology | The healthcheck will produce an action plan to feed into this. Other work will also be carried out to address |
| Security Compliance Assessment included in the survey | Annually / Head of Technology | As above |
| Threat intelligence, Vulnerability management, Operational management, via internal and external monitoring. | December 2018 / Head of Technology | Will review the webfilter and internet filter to replace the current |
| Exploring options to improve security for sharing information with external partners | December 2018 / Head of Technology | New action |

Overall Progress Summary:

Work has been completed and actions are in progress. However, the environment means that new risks and challenges are always developing and attacks are becoming more sophisticated.



| Ref No: 25 | Risk: There is a reduction in investor confidence in the District | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Risk Owner: Head | d of Economic Prosperity Portfolio: Economic Development & Planning | | | |
| Consequences Of F | Risk: | | | |
| Business Gro | owth in the District reduces | | | |
| Employment | Opportunities decline | | | |
| NNDR / Cou | ncil Tax Income does not grow | | | |
| Schemes in t | he District get abandoned | | | |
| Links To Priority De | livery Plan: Promoting Prosperity | | | |
| Gross Risk Score (i | .e. without controls) | Likelihood: 4 | Impact: 5 | Total Score: 20 |
| Controls in Place | | | | |
| The Local Pl | an Framework to identify developme | nt opportunities | | |
| Proactive wo | rk with GBSLEP/West Midlands Con | nbined Authority | | |
| Business Re | lationships work/promoting the Distri | ct via Economic Devel | opment function | |
| Residual Risk/Net S | Score (i.e. with controls) | Likelihood: 3 | Impact: 3 | Total Score: 9 |
| Provisional Assess | ment of Risk – does the residual risk | score need to be redu | ced YES | |

| Actions Planned | Timescale/ | Progress/Comments |
|--|---|---|
| | Person Responsible | |
| Economic Prosperity Strategy to be developed | March 2019 / Head of Economic Prosperity | Head of Economic Prosperity to commence early work to identify methodology of developing the strategy, likely/required content and stakeholder engagement. |

| Actions Planned | Timescale/ Person Responsible | Progress/Comments |
|--|--|---|
| Continue to dedicate resources to the GBSLEP/Combined Authority | Ongoing / Head of Economic Prosperity | The Council continues to actively participate in the GBSLEP and Combined Authority. The Government has instigated a LEP review and is proposing the removal of overlapping geographies which could have potential implications for the Council. |
| Revised Local Plan to be produced and delivered | Plan to be adopted by September 2021 / Head of Economic Prosperity | Local Plan Review underway; outcome of the Issues and Scope consultation to be presented to Cabinet in November. |
| Reestablishment of a Further Education offer in the District (Retail Skills Academy/Engineering Academy) | March 2019 / Head of Economic Prosperity | MOU in place between the Council and South Staffordshire College. Partnership working well and training provision gradually being re-established. Working on Engineering Academy establishment and secured funding to aide delivery. Long term sustainability will be important. |

Overall Progress Summary:

The Council continues to work pro-actively with a variety of partners to deliver its economic prosperity agenda. The development of a new Economic Prosperity Strategy will further set out how the Council is working to support the local economy, this will complement the Council's new Corporate Plan and the creation of a District Investment Fund. These priorities and investments will support the attraction of new investment to the District and ensure that the economy can grow and remain resilient. Maximising benefits from new investment made especially linked to the McArthurGlen designer outlet Cannock is of growing importance.



In accordance with the Risk Management Strategy, the green risks below are deemed to be tolerable (with existing controls in place) and will be monitored but require no further action at this time.

| GREEN | GREEN RISKS | | | | |
|-------------|-------------|-------|--------|--|--|
| Risk No: | Risk Owner | Risk: | Score: | | |
| | NONE | | | | |

KEY TO PROGRESS SYMBOLS

| Progress Indicator | | |
|--------------------|---|--|
| | No progress made in reducing the risk | |
| | Some progress made in managing the risk | |
| | Risk on target to be reduced | |