

Informing the audit risk assessment Cannock Chase District Council 2017/18



ITEM NO. 8.2

Agenda

Purpose	3
Fraud	4
Fraud risk assessment	5-7
Laws and regulations	8
Impact of laws and regulations	9-10
Going concern	11
Going concern considerations	12-13
Related parties	14-15
Accounting estimates	16-17
Appendix A – Accounting estimates	18



Purpose

The purpose of this report is to contribute towards the effective two-way communication between auditors and the Authority's Audit and Governance Committee, as 'those charged with governance'. The report covers some important areas of the auditor risk assessment where we are required to make inquiries of the Audit and Governance Committee under auditing standards.

Background

Under International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) (ISA(UK&I)) auditors have specific responsibilities to communicate with the Audit and Governance Committee. ISA(UK&I) emphasise the importance of two-way communication between the auditor and the Audit and Governance Committee and also specify matters that should be communicated.

This two-way communication assists both the auditor and the Audit and Governance Committee in understanding matters relating to the audit and developing a constructive working relationship. It also enables the auditor to obtain information relevant to the audit from the Audit and Governance Committee and supports the Audit and Governance Committee in fulfilling its responsibilities in relation to the financial reporting process.

Communication

As part of our risk assessment procedures we are required to obtain an understanding of management processes and the Audit and Governance Committee's oversight of the following areas:

- fraud
- · laws and regulations
- · going concern
- related parties
- accounting estimates.

This report includes a series of questions on each of these areas and the response we have received from the Authority's management. The Audit and Governance Committee should consider whether these responses are consistent with the its understanding and whether there are any further comments it wishes to make.

Fraud

Matters in relation to fraud

ISA(UK&I)240 covers auditors responsibilities relating to fraud in an audit of financial statements.

The primary responsibility to prevent and detect fraud rests with both the Audit and Governance Committee and management. Management, with the oversight of the Audit and Governance Committee, needs to ensure a strong emphasis on fraud prevention and deterrence and encourage a culture of honest and ethical behaviour. As part of its oversight, the Audit and Governance Committee should consider the potential for override of controls and inappropriate influence over the financial reporting process.

As auditor, we are responsible for obtaining reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement due to fraud or error. We are required to maintain professional scepticism throughout the audit, considering the potential for management override of controls.

As part of our audit risk assessment procedures we are required to consider risks of fraud. This includes considering the arrangements management has put in place with regard to fraud risks including:

- assessment that the financial statements could be materially misstated due to fraud
- process for identifying and responding to risks of fraud, including any identified specific risks
- communication with the Audit and Governance Committee regarding its processes for identifying and responding to risks of fraud
- communication to employees regarding business practices and ethical behaviour.

We need to understand how the Audit and Governance Committee oversees the above processes. We are also required to make inquiries of both management and the Audit and Governance Committee as to their knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud. These areas have been set out in the fraud risk assessment questions below together with responses from the Authority's management.

Fraud risk assessment

Question	Management response
Has the Authority assessed the risk of material misstatement in the financial statements due to fraud? What are the results of this process?	Although there is an on-going risk of fraud being committed against the Council arrangements are in place to both prevent and detect fraud. The S151 Officer has the overall responsibility for assessing the risk of material misstatement in the financial statements and is supported by management who provide the appropriate evidence for this assessment. In addition, work is carried out by Internal Audit on overall fraud risk areas and specifically on Council Tax, Housing Benefit and Social housing fraud. All work undertaken by Internal Audit has fraud considerations built into it. The risk of material misstatement of the accounts due to undetected fraud is low. Investigation into Revenues & Housing fraud is the responsibility of the compliance team within Revenues and benefits.
Are there any areas where there is a potential for override of controls or inappropriate influence over the financial reporting process (for example because of undue pressure to achieve financial targets)?	There is always the potential for an override of controls within systems, however our control framework has established secondary compensatory controls in place that would identify any such override taken place. Financial reporting is produced and balanced from the financial system, and the reporting hierarchy allows for checks to be performed throughout the process, for example by the S151 Officer, Senior Management Team and Cabinet.

Fraud risk assessment

Question	Management response
Have any specific fraud risks, or areas with a high risk of fraud, been identified and what has been done to mitigate these risks?	No areas with a high risk of material fraud have been identified. The susceptibility of services to fraud forms part of the Council's Audit Needs assessment process. This is provided to the Audit and Governance Committee. Training and awareness sessions are provided periodically.
Are internal controls, including segregation of duties, in place and operating effectively? If not, where are the risk areas and what mitigating actions have been taken?	Internal controls, including whether segregation of duties exist, are reviewed by Internal Audit as part of their routine and investigative work; exceptions are reported to managers and inform the Internal audit opinion.
How does the Audit and Governance Committee exercise oversight over management's processes for identifying and responding to risks of fraud and breaches of internal control? What arrangements are in place to report fraud issues and risks to the Audit and Governance Committee?	The Internal Audit Risk Based Plan is approved by the Audit and Governance Committee before commencement each year. Internal Audit complete a robust review of internal controls on a risk basis and report regularly to Audit and Governance Committee. The Audit and Governance Committee are informed of the audit opinions and seek management reassurance on the improvement of controls where the consequences are considered high risk. At each meeting, Audit and Governance Committee members receive an update on instances of actual, suspected or alleged fraud investigations that have occurred since the last meeting and their outcomes.
How does the Authority communicate and encourage ethical behaviour of its staff and contractors?	The Council has a staff code of conduct, confidential reporting policy and Financial regulations in place. All staff are regularly reminded of these. In respect of contractors ethical considerations are built into all contracts.

Fraud risk assessment

Question	Management response
How do you encourage staff to report their concerns about fraud? Have any significant issues been reported?	There is an Anti Fraud and corruption framework and a Confidential reporting framework in place which explain the procedures to follow. Staff are expected to report suspicious or fraudulent behaviour. No significant issues have been reported and no issues have been reported under the bribery act.
Are you aware of any related party relationships or transactions that could give rise to risks of fraud?	2016/17 financial statement disclosure of related party transactions did not identify any potential fraud risks. Members and officers are required to make full disclosure of any relationships that impact on their roles. Members are required to declare any relevant interests at Council and Committee meetings.
Are you aware of any instances of actual, suspected, or alleged fraud either within the Authority as a whole or within specific departments since 1 April 2017?	There are no material instances of fraud that have been identified during the year. There are some areas that are inherently at risk from fraud such as: Council Tax Benefit fraud Single person discount Social housing fraud There is a compliance team within Revenues and Benefits. The council takes part in the National Fraud Initiative.
Are you aware of any whistleblower reports or reports under the Bribery Act since 1 April 2017? If so how does the Audit and Governance Committee respond to these?	None identified.

Laws and regulations

Matters in relation to laws and regulations

ISA(UK&I)250 requires us to consider the impact of laws and regulations in an audit of the financial statements.

Management, with the oversight of the Audit and Governance Committee, is responsible for ensuring that the Authority's operations are conducted in accordance with laws and regulations including those that determine amounts in the financial statements.

As auditor, we are responsible for obtaining reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement due to fraud or error, taking into account the appropriate legal and regulatory framework. As part of our risk assessment procedures we are required to make inquiries of management and the Audit and Governance Committee as to whether the entity is in compliance with laws and regulations. Where we become aware of information of non-compliance or suspected non-compliance we need to gain an understanding of the non compliance and the possible effect on the financial statements.

Risk assessment questions have been set out below together with responses from management.

Impact of laws and regulations

Question	Management response
What arrangements does the Authority have in place to prevent and detect noncompliance with laws and regulations?	The Monitoring Officer, Heads of Service and Management are responsible for ensuring the Council is compliant with laws and regulations. The Constitution notes that the Monitoring Officers responsibilities cover:
	■ complying with the law of the land (including any relevant Codes of Conduct);
	■ complying with any General Guidance issued, from time to time, by the Standards Committee and / or advice of the Monitoring Officer;
	■ making lawful and proportionate decisions; and
	■ generally, not taking action that would bring the Council, their offices or professions into disrepute.
	The Monitoring Officer has access to all Council committee reports and raises awareness on legal requirements at meetings where needed. In addition in terms of any specific legal issues the Monitoring Officer would get involved at an early stage.
	Further information on how the Monitoring Officer carries out these responsibilities are detailed in the Constitution.
	The S151 officer is responsible for preparing the accounting statements in accordance with relevant legal and regulatory requirements.
	Work undertaken by Internal Audit ensures compliance with the relevant laws and regulations.
How does management gain assurance that all relevant laws and regulations have been complied with?	The Council has a Monitoring Officer and S151 Officer who provide assurance that all relevant laws and regulations have been complied with. Also all Cabinet reports have a standard section detailing any legislative issues.
	The work of Internal Audit also provides a source of assurance . Any non compliance is reported to management via Internal Audit reports and appropriate plans are put in place to remedy such issues.

Impact of laws and regulations

Question	Management response
How is the Audit and Governance Committee provided with assurance that all relevant laws and regulations have been complied with?	All committee papers (with the exception of the Audit and Governance Committee) are required to include an assessment of potential legal implications. Assurance is also provided through the Internal Audit Annual Report and opinion and the Annual Governance Statement.
Have there been any instances of non-compliance or suspected non-compliance with law and regulations since 1 April 2017, or earlier with an on-going impact on the 2017/18 financial statements?	None.
What arrangements does the Authority have in place to identify, evaluate and account for litigation or claims?	The Monitoring Officer and the Section 151 Officer identify and evaluate the impact of litigation and claims which are then recorded as expenditure, as a provision or disclosed as a contingent liability in the accounts. This impact is mitigated in some cases by appropriate insurance cover.
Is there any actual or potential litigation or claims that would affect the financial statements?	None.
Have there been any reports from other regulatory bodies, such as HM Revenues and Customs which indicate noncompliance?	None.

Going concern

Matters in relation to laws and regulations

ISA(UK&I)570 covers auditor responsibilities in the audit of financial statements relating to management's use of the going concern assumption in the financial statements.

Going concern is a fundamental principle in the preparation of financial statements. Under the going concern assumption, an Authority is viewed as continuing in operation for the foreseeable future with no necessity of liquidation or ceasing trading. Accordingly, the Authority's assets and liabilities are recorded on the basis that assets will be realised and liabilities discharged in the normal course of business.

Although the Authority is not subject to the same future trading uncertainties as private sector entities, consideration of the key features of the going concern provides an indication of the Authority's financial position and is good practice.

Below are key questions on the going concern assumption which we would like the Audit and Governance Committee to consider.

Going concern considerations

Question	Management response
Does the Authority have procedures in place to assess the Authority's ability to continue as a going concern?	The Financial Strategy considers the financial position of the authority over the short, medium and long term and is designed to ensure that the Council continues as a going concern. Internal Audit's work plan provides an on-going review of key elements of the Strategy to ensure its delivery or to highlight at an early stage any unforeseen risks.
Is management aware of the existence of other events or conditions that may cast doubt on the Authority's ability to continue as a going concern?	No events or conditions have been identified.
Are arrangements in place to report the going concern assessment to the Audit and Governance Committee? How has the Audit and Governance Committee satisfied itself that it is appropriate to adopt the going concern basis in preparing the financial statements?	The Audit and Governance Committee consider a number of reports which provide them with assurance that the Council continues as a going concern. These include the Statement of Accounts, Revenue and Capital outturn reports including analysis of reserves held, and Treasury management Strategies. They also receive reports stating that all controls and risks have been managed appropriately and as Members will have access to all reports produced across the Council.
Are the financial assumptions in that report (e.g., future levels of income and expenditure) consistent with the Authority's Business Plan and the financial information provided to the Audit and Governance Committee throughout the year?	The Financial Plan has been prepared by appropriately qualified and experienced staff in consultation with service managers. The scrutiny committee also reviews financial plans before approval. The financial assumptions are therefore consistent with the Councils service plan. Reports in year are consistent with the budget set.

Going concern considerations

Question	Management response
Are the implications of statutory or policy changes appropriately reflected in the Business Plan, financial forecasts and report on going concern?	The financial plan explicitly considered the government changes in terms of grant settlement and the financial settlement. The plan is updated to reflect the financial settlement.
Have there been any significant issues raised with the Audit and Governance Committee during the year which could cast doubts on the assumptions made? (Examples include adverse comments raised by internal and external audit regarding financial performance or significant weaknesses in systems of financial control).	Although assumptions are regularly challenged by the Audit and Governance Committee, no such issues have been raised.
Does a review of available financial information identify any adverse financial indicators including negative cash flow? If so, what action is being taken to improve financial performance?	Financial monitoring has not identified any such adverse financial indicators.
Does the Authority have sufficient staff in post, with the appropriate skills and experience, particularly at senior manager level, to ensure the delivery of the Authority's objectives? If not, what action is being taken to obtain those skills?	The Council has the relevant expertise to deliver the Council's strategy and objectives.

Related parties

Matters in relation to Related Parties

Local Government bodies are required to comply with International Accounting Standard 24 and disclose transactions with entities/individuals that would be classed as related parties. These may include:

- entities that directly, or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, control, or are controlled by the Authority (i.e. subsidiaries);
- associates and/or joint ventures;
- an entity that has an interest in the Authority that gives it significant influence over the Authority;
- key management personnel, and close members of the family of key management personnel, and
- post-employment benefit plans (pension fund) for the benefit of employees of the Authority, or of any entity that is a related party of the Authority.

A disclosure is required if a transaction (or series of transactions) is material on either side i.e. if a transaction is immaterial from the Authority's perspective but material from a related party viewpoint then the Authority must disclose it.

ISA (UK&I) 550 requires us to review your procedures for identifying related party transactions and obtain an understanding of the controls that you have established to identify such transactions. We will also carry out testing to ensure the related party transaction disclosures you make in the financial statements are complete and accurate.

Related party considerations have been set out below and management has provided its response.

Related parties

Question	Management response
What controls does the Authority have in place to identify, account for, and disclose related party transactions and relationships?	A number of arrangements are in place for identifying the nature of a related party and reported value including: Maintenance of a Register of interests for Members, a register for pecuniary interests in contracts for Officers and Senior Managers requiring disclosure of related party transactions. Annual return from senior managers/officers requiring confirmation that read and understood the declaration requirements and stating details of any known related party interests. Review of in-year income and expenditure transactions with known identified related parties from prior year or known history. Review of the accounts payable system and identification of amounts paid to assisted or voluntary organisations.
Who have the Authority identified as related parties?	The Council has a significant number of related parties in which there is a material impact to the financial statements via virtue of - whether the Council might have the potential either to be controlled or influenced by the party or the potential to exert control or influence over the party (as defined by guidance in the Code). The areas where these are disclosed within the Council's financial statements are: (1)Government - central government grants has control influence over the Council as the Council
	needs to act in accordance with its statutory responsibilities.
	(2)Staffordshire County Pension Fund - this party is subject to common control by central government.
	(3)Precepts & Levies - these parties are subject to common control by central government and thus might be empowered to transact on non-commercial terms. The Council is bound to pay the amount demanded from these parties through precept or levy.
	(4) Members and Officers - Certain Members and Officers may have controlling influence or related interests with other of the Council's related party organisations; such that they may be in a position to significantly influence the policies of the Council.

Accounting estimates

Matters in relation to accounting estimates

Local Government bodies need to apply appropriate estimates in the preparation of their financial statements. ISA (UK&I) 540 sets out requirements for auditing accounting estimates. The objective is to gain evidence that the accounting estimates are reasonable and the related disclosures are adequate. Under this standard we have to identify and assess the risks of material misstatement for accounting estimates by understanding how the Authority identifies the transactions, events and conditions that may give rise to the need for an accounting estimate.

Accounting estimates are used when it is not possible to measure precisely a figure in the accounts. We need to be aware of all material estimates that the Authority is using as part of its accounts preparation; these are detailed in Appendix A to this report. The audit procedures we conduct on the accounting estimate will demonstrate that:

- the estimate is reasonable; and
- estimates have been calculated consistently with other accounting estimates within the financial statements.

Accounting estimates considerations have been set out below and management has provided its response.

Accounting estimates

Question	Management response
Are management aware of transactions, events and conditions (or changes in these) that may give rise to recognition or disclosure of significant accounting estimates that require significant judgement?	None identified.
Are the management arrangements for the accounting estimates, as detailed in Appendix A reasonable?	Yes.

Estimate	Method / model used to make the estimate	Controls used to identify estimates	Whether management have used an expert	Underlying assumptions: - Assessment of degree of uncertainty - Consideration of alternative estimates	Has there been a change in accounting method in year?
& equipment valuations	Valuations are made by the in-house valuer for Council dwellings and an external valuer (Lambert Smith Hampton) for all other assets. Valuations are in line with RICS guidance on the basis of 5 year rolling valuations based on classes of asset with an interim desk top review to compare the carrying values and fair values.	Accounting standards require formal revaluations sufficiently regular to ensure the carrying amount does not differ materially from fair value at the year end. In addition valuations must be carried out simultaneously for each class of asset.		Valuations are made in line with guidance-reliance on an expert. Valuations now undertaken for each class of asset simultaneously.	No
remaining useful lives of PPE	The following asset categories have general asset lives: Dwelling and other buildings 15 to 70 years Equipment/vehicles 5 years Infrastructure 25 years These are based on information from the valuer.	to each asset category	Use both in- house and external Valuer	The method makes some generalisations. For example, a new building can have a life as short as 15 years or as long as 70 years depending on the construction materials used. This life would be recorded in accordance with the local qualified RICS or CIB Member. The valuer is appropriately qualified.	No

Estimate	Method / model used to make the estimate	Controls used to identify estimates	Whether management have used an expert	Underlying assumptions: - Assessment of degree of uncertainty - Consideration of alternative estimates	Has there been a change in accounting method in year?
amortisation		Consistent application of depreciation method across all assets	No	The length of the life is determined at the point of acquisition or revaluation according to: Depreciation is not applied in the year of addition Assets that are not fully constructed are not depreciated until they are brought into use.	No
	that an asset may be impaired. Where	year-end as to whether there	and external	Valuations are made inline with RICS guidance reliance on expert.	No

Estimate	Method / model used to make the estimate	Controls used to identify estimates	Whether management have used an expert	Underlying assumptions: - Assessment of degree of uncertainty - Consideration of alternative estimates	Has there been a change in accounting method in year?
Pensions – Defined benefit pension amounts and disclosures.		by the actuary, based on information provided	Actuary for	Reliance on the expertise of the actuaries of the pension scheme	No
Bad debt provision	proportion basis of an aged debt listing	Members of the Finance team calculate the provision from the aged debt listing based on prior experience.		Consistent proportion used across aged debt as per the Code. This is based on type of debt and historic payment pattern.	No
Measurement of financial instruments	Council values financial instruments at fair value based on the advice of their internal treasury consultants and other finance professionals.	professionals	Yes Link Asset Services	Take advice from finance professionals, if required.	No

Estimate	Method / model used to make the estimate	Controls used to identify estimates	Whether management have used an expert	Underlying assumptions: - Assessment of degree of uncertainty - Consideration of alternative estimates	Has there been a change in accounting method in year?
Accruals	Finance team collate accruals of Expenditure and Income from purchase ledger and budget information. Activity is accounted for in the financial year that it takes place, not when money is paid or received	Purchase ledger and management accounts information assessed by Finance team.	No	Accruals for income and expenditure have been principally based on known values. Where accruals have had to be estimated the latest available information has been used.	No
Provisions for liabilities.	Provisions are made where an event has taken place that gives the Trust a legal or constructive obligation that probably requires settlement by a transfer of economic benefits or service potential, and a reliable estimate can be made. Provisions are charged as an expense to the appropriate service line in the CIES in the year that the Council becomes aware of the obligation, and are measured at the best estimate at the balance sheet date of the expenditure required to settle the obligation, taking into account relevant risks and uncertainties.		No	Estimated settlements are reviewed at the end of each financial year. Where it becomes less than probable that a transfer of economic benefits will now be required (or a lower settlement than anticipated is made), the provision is reversed and credited back to the relevant service. Where some or all of the payment required to settle a provision is expected to be recovered from another party (e.g. from an insurance claim), this is only recognised as income for the service if it is virtually certain that reimbursement will be received by the Council.	No

Estimate	Method / model used to make the estimate	Controls used to identify estimates	Whether management have used an expert	Underlying assumptions: - Assessment of degree of uncertainty - Consideration of alternative estimates	Has there been a change in accounting method in year?
	S 151 Officer makes the assessment. If the event is indicative of conditions that arose after the balance sheet date then this is an un adjusting event. For these events only a note to the accounts is included, identifying the nature of the event and where possible estimates of the financial effect.	notify S151 Officer.	This would be considered on individual circumstances.	This would be considered on individual circumstances	No
Finance leases	using the policies applied generally to Tangible Fixed Assets, subject to depreciation being charged over the	Finance review contracts and payments to ensure the lease is categorised correctly as a finance lease or an operating lease	No	Property, Plant and Equipment recognised under finance leases are accounted for using the policies applied generally to such assets, subject to depreciation being charged over the lease term if this is shorter than the asset's estimated useful life (where ownership of the asset does not transfer to the Council at the end of the lease period).	No



© 2017 Grant Thornton UK LLP. | Draft

'Grant Thornton' refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL).GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.