

Cannock Chase Local Plan (2018-2040)

Infrastructure Delivery Plan

2023

www.cannockchasedc.gov.uk

Contents

| I ransport | 5 |
|---|----|
| Road – Sustainable Transport | |
| Rail - Freight | |
| Car Parking | 11 |
| Canals | |
| Cycle / pedestrian facilities | |
| Energy | 15 |
| Water and Drainage | 16 |
| Waste | 19 |
| ITC | 19 |
| Public Realm | |
| Open Space | 23 |
| Biodiversity and Climate Change | |
| Rivers | 29 |
| Historic Landscapes | 29 |
| Education | 30 |
| Employment | |
| Children's services/ specialised services | |
| Health/ well being | 34 |
| Community Services | 35 |
| Culture | 36 |
| Leigure | 37 |

Overview and Structure of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan

Infrastructure planning is essential to ensure that the Local Plan is deliverable. The term infrastructure refers to all of the requirements that are needed to make places function efficiently and effectively and in a way that supports sustainable communities. Infrastructure is commonly split into three main categories, defined as:

Physical: the broad collection of systems and facilities that house and transport people and goods and provide services for example transportation networks, housing, energy supplies, water, drainage and waste provision, ICT networks and the public realm.

Green and Historic: the physical environment that forms part of the character and setting of our towns and villages. This includes a network of multi-functional open spaces, including formal parks, gardens, woodland, green corridors, waterways, street trees and open countryside. It also includes built heritage assets which form part of the physical environment.

Social & Community: the range of activities, organisations and facilities supporting the formation, development and maintenance of social relationships in a community. It can include the provision of community facilities (education, healthcare, community centres, sports & leisure facilities) as detailed in the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) regulations. In its broadest sense, and for the purposes of this IDP, infrastructure can also include small scale funding to assist local projects, skills development and volunteering.

The Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) identifies the infrastructure required to support delivery of development during the plan period. The categories outlined above are reflected in the structure of the IDP.

The IDP identifies, as far as possible:

- Infrastructure needs and any infrastructure provision already committed;
- Costs:
- Responsibilities for delivery;
- Funding sources, identifying where developer contributions will be required;
- Indicative funding gaps and the potential nature of developer contributions; and
- Timescales.

The IDP also identifies whether elements of required infrastructure are 'critical' or 'priority' according to their importance to delivery of the overall Local Plan strategy. 'Critical' items are those without which physical development cannot occur and/or are necessary for delivery of the overall Local Plan strategy. 'Priority' items are those which support delivery of physical developments and elements of Local Plan policy but are not 'critical'. Links to the Local Plan Objectives are provided to identify key links between infrastructure needs and the delivery of the Local Plan priorities.

The Cannock Chase Local Plan Review will identify growth and infrastructure requirements for the period 20018-2040. This updated version of the IDP (from 2014) therefore reflects the infrastructure needs for adopted Local Plan (Part 1) 2014 and highlights matters to be considered further as part of the Local Plan Review. The IDP is a live document, which is updated on a regular basis as circumstances change. Its production is guided by ongoing engagement with a range of infrastructure providers. During the Local Plan Review, the Council will work with key service and infrastructure providers and undertake discussions about the level of growth proposed for the District and the distribution of this growth. This engagement is conducted via a range means including individual meetings and correspondence, joint working on evidence base documents, and consultation responses to Local Plan consultations (and the accompanying IDP). The IDP has evolved through this process and ongoing consultation with key stakeholders will continue to update the IDP. These partnerships will be maintained throughout the plan period to help monitor implementation and delivery of infrastructure requirements in order to keep the requirements up to date.

It should be recognised that the delivery of the full range of infrastructure needs of existing and new communities is dependent on partnership working between a variety of public, private and voluntary sector agencies. The actual delivery of infrastructure projects on the ground, alongside new development in the District, relies on the work of a range of statutory agencies (such as the County Council, Highway's England, NHS Integrated Care Boards) and other organisations in order to implement them. The relevant delivery agencies for the items of infrastructure are indicated in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan where possible.

Infrastructure Funding¹

Infrastructure requirements will be funded by a variety of different mechanisms which will vary over the plan period. The IDP identifies, as far as possible, the committed and potential funding sources of the identified infrastructure needs. The key sources of funding over which the planning system can have a direct influence are as follows.

Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL): This is a tax on development introduced by Regulations in 2010 which can potentially fill or partially fill a funding gap to secure provision of infrastructure needed to deliver the policies and proposals in the Local Plan which cannot be delivered from other funding sources. CIL can also be used for ongoing maintenance of infrastructure. It can be levied on most forms of built development (excluding residential extensions, development for charitable purposes, affordable and self-build housing, as well as other minor exemptions) at the time planning permission is granted and collected when development is started. The levy is set in £s per square metre and must only be charged at a level that does not make delivery of development unviable following a general assessment of local development viability issues. The Council has adopted its CIL charging schedule which commenced on the 1st June 2015. This also entailed the adoption of a CIL infrastructure list of projects to be supported with CIL funding agreed in consultation with delivery partners e.g. the County Council and Parish Councils.

Section 106 Obligations: Section 106 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990 is a well established part of the development management process, whereby obligations can be sought from individual developments either in cash or in kind towards provision of infrastructure, the need for which arises from the impact of the developments on e.g. transport networks and services, demand for education, open space sport & recreation facilities, health facilities, nature conservation interests. S106 is also the usual mechanism through which a contribution to affordable housing provision is made either by providing a percentage of affordable housing on commercial house builders' developments to be managed by a Registered Provider of Social Housing or through a financial contribution towards provision of affordable housing elsewhere in the District.

Since April 2010 contributions can only be obtained where they meet three statutory tests which were previously set out in policy guidance. Obligations must be necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms; directly related to the development; and fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development. Major development sites will continue to be significant contributors to infrastructure provision by this means.

Infrastructure Levy

Government consulted on the proposed 'Infrastructure Levy' in March 2023 which seeks to replace CIL and eliminate the need for Section 106 agreements in most cases. This would be a mandatory levy charged on the value of a property at completion per square metre, which aims to remove the need for lengthy negotiation and allows developers to factor the levy costs into the price of development and sale of the property. The Bill would require local authorities to prepare Infrastructure Delivery Strategies, which would set out a strategy for delivering local infrastructure and spending levy proceeds. It is too early in this process to determine when the new levy will be implemented.

Planning Conditions: Planning conditions cannot be used to directly impose financial obligations on developers but can be used to secure the implementation, of specific items of infrastructure on or near to development sites, sometimes in a manner phased with construction milestones. Conditions can, for example, require delivery of essential highway infrastructure with the detailed design process managed by the Highway Authority using agreements under Sections 38 and 278 of the Highways Act 1980. Conditions can also be used to secure the provision of on-site open space, which is required to meet local design standards, while the ongoing maintenance arrangements would be procured through S106 obligations.

Other funding sources: There are likely to be other sources of funding over the plan period including New Homes Bonus, Tax Incremental Financing, Regional Growth Fund, Levelling up Fund, Lottery funding, direct public and private sector investment by service providers, grant aid and a range of other options which will be applicable depending upon the nature of the infrastructure involved.

The IDP identifies the future infrastructure needs for the adopted Local Plan (Part 1) 2014 plan and the Local Plan Review up to 2040 This is a 'long list' which has been formulated as a result of consultation and through the evidence base. It is recognised that infrastructure requirements would need to be funded by a variety of different sources as explained above. This list is intended to be indicative of the potential funding streams available. An item that is identified as potentially benefitting from Section 106 and/or CIL funds is not necessarily bound to either of those funding streams by this IDP. Broad items of infrastructure in the IDP may be refined further as part of the CIL process so that some elements of an item benefit from Section 106 funds whilst other elements benefit from CIL funds. The process of formally identifying items to benefit from CIL, and therefore excluded from future Section 106 contributions, is determined via the CIL infrastructure list.

For any enquiries related to the IDP and proposals for introducing CIL charges please contact Planning Policy via email at planningpolicy@cannockchasedc.gov.uk; via telephone on 01543 462621; or via post at Planning Policy, Cannock Chase Council, Civic Centre, PO Box 28, Beecroft Road, Cannock, Staffordshire, WS11 1BG

¹ It should be noted that the Government has reviewed infrastructure funding measures, mainly via updates to national policy, guidance and the CIL Regulations were updated during 2019. The updated The Community Infrastructure Levy (Amendment) (England) (No. 2) Regulations 2019 are available to view online at The Community Infrastructure Levy (Amendment) (England) (No. 2) Regulations 2019 (legislation.gov.uk).

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Deve Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|--|--------------------|------------------|--|--|-------------------|---|--|--------------------|----------|-----------------|---|---|--|---|
| | Objective | Objective | | | | | | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| PHYSICA | L INFR | ASTR | UCTURE | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transport | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| General | 5 | 3,4, 5, 6, | | Transport infrastructure for future growth identified in Local Plan Review | Priority | Unknown | Joint public and private sector delivery | Unknown | √ | √ | √ | Short/ Medium/ Long/ Phased | | Joint working with transport partners (including County Council, Highways England, TfWM) to continue as part of Local Plan process |
| | | | | Wimblebury Road Relief Road | Priority | Costed by developer | Private sector delivery in association with the SH1 and SH2 proposed allocations. | None | - | - | √ | Short/Phased | | Delivery as part of SH1 and SH2 housing allocation |
| Road – Sustair | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pye Green Local Transport Package | 5,6,8 | 3,4, 5, 6, 8 | Pye Green Valley Distributor Road completed 2012 | Highway improvements and sustainable transport provision to support Hednesford town centre regeneration and housing growth at Pye Green Valley and Land West of Pye Green Road | Priority | | Joint public and private sector delivery through S106 developer contributions and local authority support as resources permit. | Unknown | | - | | Short/ Medium | Complete | Necessary for the delivery of regeneration and housing growth. Pye Green Valley site to provide half hourly bus service or up to £40,000 bus voucher scheme. |
| | | | New bus lay-bys Victoria Street, Hednesford completed 2012. | | Priority | £2m | • | | | | | Short/ Medium | Complete | Further scheme details are available as a Supplementary Document and the Transport Assessment for Pye Green Valley. |
| Norton Canes and Heath Hayes Local Transport Package | 5,8 | 3,4,5, 8 | restore bus service via Norton Canes- | Highway and public realm improvements and sustainable transport provision, including a new bus service, to support urban extensions. | Priority | Total cost of transport strategy is estimated to be around £2m. | Joint public/ private sector S106 contributions & LA support | N/A | ✓ | - | ✓ | Short/ Medium/On going Bus Service commissioned | Existing bus services have been re-routed to serve the employmen t and retail park. All destinations can be reached either directly or via a bus interchange | Necessary for the delivery of regeneration and housing growth. 5 x £100,000 payments, to provide bus service between development, Chase Terrace, Hednesford, Cannock, Brownhills and Walsall, to run every 30 minutes between 07.00 and 18.30 Mon-Sat over period. |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|--|--------------------|------------------|---|--|-------------------|---|--|--------------------|----------|------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | Objective | Objective | | | | | | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| | | | £200,000 towards junction improvements Burntwood Rd/ Brownhills Rd & | Churchbridge Capacity Improvements | Priority | | | N/A | ✓ | - | √ | Short/ Medium | | £178,000 via S278 for Churchbridge junction upgrade from Norton Hall Lane res site. S106 signed 2015. Reserved matters approved 2018. |
| | | | A5190 Cannock Rd | Highway improvements and accessibility improvements for active travel at Five Ways Island, Heath Hayes, A5190/B4154 | Priority | £5m approx. Current scheme not costed. | Joint public/ private sector including developer contributions Contributions are anticipated from SCC, CIL and proposed allocations SH1, SH2 | None | ✓ | * | ? | Short/Phased | | Scheme by SCC to improve a 5-leg island to relieve congestion and support delivery of the Local Plan site allocations. Delivery as part of SH1, SH2 housing allocation. SCC appraisal report 2022. Land acquisition required. |
| Rugeley Town Centre Area Action Plan | 2,5,6,8 | 4,5,6,7,8 | Highway improvements and sustainable transport provision secured to support a planning permission for housing and employment in Lichfield District £180,000 for bus service/ infrastructure | Highway improvements and sustainable transport provision, including town centre management, new bus station, to support redevelopment of Market Hall/Bus Station site RTC 6, and M6 and improved links to the town centre, including the Leathermill Lane and Love Lane cycle routes and Wolseley Road traffic management and safety improvements, Elmore Lane/Globe Island and Mill Lane/Forge Rd, Rugeley. | Priority | Total cost of strategy is currently unknown. Funding secured through a S106 for committed infrastructure Tesco to fund other junction improvement schemes. | Joint public and private sector delivery through S106 developer contributions and local authority support as resources permit. | Unknown | ✓ | ✓ | ~ | Phased | Leathernilll Lane and cycleway improveme nts have been part completed | Necessary for the delivery of town centre regeneration and housing growth. Details are provided in Rugeley Town Centre AAP and relevant Transport Assessments. |
| | | | £395,500 for footpath/ cycleway improvements to T & M Canal & Towers Business Park and from the Tesco store to Brook Square (see | Footway /cycleway improvements from town centre/Tesco, T&M Canal and Towers Business. Funding from S106. | Priority | | SCC, CCDC and developer contributions £395,500 secured from schemes to date | Funded | √ | - | - | Short | Complete | |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|---|--------------------|------------------|---|---|-------------------|--|--|-----------------------|----------|------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | Objective | Objective | | | | | | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| | | | other entries below under Rugeley Town Centre Improvements and Cycle/ Pedestrian facilities) | Improved pedestrian access from Rugeley town centre to Rugeley Town Station to complement SCC funded highway and environmental improvements along Horse Fair. | Priority | Costs unknown | Network Rail SCC | Unknown | - | • | - | Medium/ Long | Discussions have taken place, but further work required before implementa tion. | To be investigated with SCC and West Midland Trains. Funding available in part from SCC- scheme under discussion with SCC and Network Rail |
| | | | £150,000 from Tesco development for Bus Service Infrastructure Contribution | New bus shelters Rugeley Bus Station; Real Time Passenger Information Screen; upgraded cctv camera; new bus station benches. New bus shelters on busiest routes in Rugeley and Brereton, and installation of additional Swift smartcard readers. | Priority | Costs unknown | | Unknown | - | \ | - | Medium/ Long | Complete | |
| Other areas outside local transport packages | 5 | 5 | | Technical control measures to manage trunk road traffic flows | Priority | Currently Unknown | Highways Agency / developer contributions | Unknown | √ | √ | √ | Dependent on funding resources | | Further investigations are required to confirm the need for traffic management measures to ensure the efficiency of the highway network. |
| Churchbridge A5/M6T/A460/A 34 junction | 5 | 3, 4, 5, 8 | | Improvements to existing Churchbridge junction A5/M6T/A460/A34 Cannock | Priority | £2.04m approx. | Highways Agency – Pinchpoint Fund/ developer contributions £175,000 S278 contribution from Norton Canes scheme | N/A- Funded | - | - | ✓ | Short/ Medium | Additional traffic lanes, new road markings and traffic lights completed. | HA study (JMP 2010) identified need for junction improvements at Churchbridge to improve the efficiency of the highway network. Additional works currently funded by McArthurGlen Designer Outlet Cannock. |
| A460 dualling- North of A5190, upgrading Lichfield Road roundabout to signal control and improvements to Hayes Way roundabout. | 5 | 4, 5, 8 | | A460 Cannock Eastern Bypass - Eastern Way dualling north of Mill Green/A5190 | Priority | McArthur Glen Designer Outlet Cannock planning permission requires developer to fund scheme prior to occupation. | Developer funded. | | ✓ | - | √ | Short | Completed | Construction of the McArthurGlen Designer Outlet Cannock and the Eastern Bypass dualling was completed in 2021. |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---|----------------------|---------|--|-----------------------|----------|------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | Objective | Objective | Committee | the ruture | Thomas | | runuing source(s) | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| A5 Corridor improvements | 5,6,8 | 3, 4, 5, 8 | | Highway and junction improvements to reduce congestion, improve safety and reduce air pollution. Longer term ambition to achieve Expressway status through phased improvements to route. | | Unknown | Highways England, SCC, CCC | Unknown | | | | Long | October 2022 Midland Connect A5 Conference suggests that work on the outline business case is currently progressing . | The A5 Partnership is made up of 16 local councils, including CCC and SCC, supported by other public agencies along a 70 mile stretch from Gailey in Staffordshire to Weedon in Northamptonshire (via Leicestershire and Warwickshire). It is supported by Highways England, four Local Enterprise Partnerships (LEPs), Midlands Connect, East Midlands Councils and the Homes and Communities Agency. |
| Cannock town centre public transport | 5,6,8 | 3,4,5,6,8 | | Cannock Bus Interchange. | Priority | | CCC | Unknown | √ | √ | ✓ | Phased | | Redevelopment will be associated with M4 of the Local Plan Review |
| | | | | Cannock Railway Station Improvements – Bus Stops on Lichfield Road. | | | Developer Funded by McArthur Glen Designer Outlet Cannock | | | | | Short, Long | Existing bus services have been re-routed to serve the retail park with links to Cannock, Walsall and Birmingham . | To improve connectivity by public transport to the MGDOV development site. Partnership working is underway to design plans for future work s to improve the railway station. Temporary work has been undertaken to improve the platform environment. Network Rail are producing designs for Cannock Rail Station in conjunction with WMRE, CCDC and SCC. Bus stop relocation is unlikely at this stage. |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|---|--------------------|------------------|---|---|-------------------|---|---|------------------------------|----------|------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | Objective | Objective | | | · | | | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan, Local Transport Plan District Transport Strategy footpath/cycle links | 2,5,8 | 5, 8 | | Walking and cycling links and cycle parking as identified in the Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan, Local Transport Plan District Transport Strategy. Cannock Active Travel Fund Scheme A5190 Lichfield Road, A460 Hednesford Road, Hednesford Road. | Priority | Full costs currently unknown. Costs of restoring former mineral railways to cycle/ walkways (as identified in Local Plan (Part 1) 2014 Approximately £1.4m | Staffs CC, as resources permit and potential contributions from developers, Sustrans and British Waterways. | Unknown | ✓ | ✓ | | Under construction | | See cycle/pedestrian facilities below. See also Cannock town centre LUF project |
| Rail – Passe | enger | | | | | £1.7111 | | | | | | | | |
| Chase Line - Walsall- Cannock- Hednesford- Rugeley | 4,5,6,8 | 4,5,8 | Real time information, new shelters and CCTV on platforms, artwork. | | Priority | DfT / NSIP (£1.7m) & DfT Access for All, (£400,000) | DfT, London Midland, SCC | | - | √ | - | Short | Complete | Provided late 2010 under National Station Improvement Scheme. |
| | | | Redevelopment of existing station facilities at Cannock including platform widening and broad improvements to station facilities and car park featuring a step-free access and a community hub. | | Priority | Initially £400k for feasibility. Estimated £15.7m | CCDC/SCC/WMR E/WMT | £329k initially £15.7m | √ | √ | | Short | Indicative high -level project plan undertaken | Feasibility work being undertaken. Network Rail likely to have preliminary station designs in 2023. |
| | | | Improved walking/cycle access route at Rugeley Town Railway Station | | | £162k | SCC, CCDC, West Midlands Trains, Network Rail, Lea Hall Colliery Club Network Rail | | - | ✓ | - | Short | Project has been delayed. | Granted CIL funding in 2020. SCC are updating the costs and design aspects of the project before it can commence, which may require an updated CIL application for funding. |
| Walsall-Rugeley signalling | | | Electric signals to replace manually controlled signals /signal boxes, to increase line capacity. | | Priority | £17m | Network Rail NRDF/DfT | N/A - Funded | - | - | - | Short | Complete | Network Rail, Walsall-Rugeley resignalling. Completed August 2013 |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Deve Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|--|--------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--|-------------------|-------|--|--------------------|----------|-----------------|---|---|----------------------|---|
| | Objective | Objective | | | | | | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| Rugeley-Walsall line speed upgrade | | | | Increased line speed from 45mph to 75/90mph to increase line capacity and assist case to reinstate a half-hourly service Route electrification 30 route miles | Priority | £100m | Network Rail/ DfT | N/A - Funded | - | ✓ | - | Short | Complete | Funding was confirmed in Government Spending Statement November 2011. Works completed in December 2018. to enable electric services to use the line. Improved service commenced May 2019. |
| Walsall-Rugeley electrification | | | | Cannock Gateway Station upgrade related to McArthurGlen Designer Outlet Cannock Hednesford and Rugeley Town station upgrades. More frequent and faster electric trains. | Priority | TBC | TfWM, Network Rail, franchisee, developer. SCC – LTP3 potential developer contributions | Unknown | | | | Short | Complete | HLOS approval 16-7-12, CP5, 2014-19. Bridge reconstruction completed May 2015. Electrification works Completed-Dec 2018. Services for the public from May 2019 Cannock Station Master Plan completed May 2018 under West Midlands Station Alliance. CCDC approved funding Dec 2018 to commission Outline Business Case. Consultants to be appointed 2019 to carry out GRIP 1-3 study in partnership between CCDC, WMRE, SCC, WMT & NR. Network Rail to have preliminary designs available for Cannock Station in 2023. No work done to date on Hednesford or Rugeley Town station upgrades. |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|--|--------------------|------------------|---|---|-------------------|-----------|---|-----------------------|----------|------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | Objective | Objective | | | · | | , | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| Community Hub in Vintage Rail Carriage Chase Line | | | | Converted vintage carriage to function as local community hub at Hednesford Station Survey of stations along line to assess area for community use | | TBC | Friends of Hednesford Station Chase Line | Unknown | | | | Short/Medium | The station adoption group has worked with CCC, Network | West Midlands Railway list the projects in their Community Rail Strategy 2022/23 and core fund the new Chase Line Community Rail Partnership through an annual Service |
| Community Hubs Chase Line Community | | | | Install station signs with information on community contacts and activities | | | Community Station Partnership Chase Line | | | | | | Rail and WMR on the planning permission | Level Agreement. WMR have staff to provide support and advice to the CSP in developing projects. |
| Signage Chase Line Heritage | | | | Historical background to the local area, displayed at the station. Grants fund for volunteers to | | | Community Station Partnership Chase Line | | | | | | and obtaining access to the land for the vintage | The strategy will be updated annually. |
| Chase Line Station Improvements | | | | enhance stations | | | Community Station Partnership Chase Line | | | | | | carriage project. | |
| Rail - Freight | | | | | | | Community Station Partnership | | | | | | | |
| Pentalver/ G & W's U.K./Europe Region. | 4,5 | 4, 5 | New crossover installed by NR as part of Chase Line resignalling August 2013, £2.75m. | Proposed inter-modal road/rail interchange. | Priority | £6-£13.5m | Pentalver/ G & W's U.K./Europe Region. | N/A | - | - | - | Short | Complete | Delivery desirable to deliver modal change and improvements to Bridgtown AQMA. |
| Former Mid Cannock RDP site (Cannock) | | | | | | | Network Rail GBSLEP Growth Deal | | | | | | | 10% of costs, £1.2m secured through GBSLEP Growth Deal bid. |
| Car Parking | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Cannock Pentalver rail/road freight interchange operational 2022. |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|--|--------------------|------------------|---|---|-------------------|---|---|-----------------------|----------|------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | Objective | Objective | | | · | | , , , | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| Town centre redevelopment proposals in Cannock, Hednesford, Rugeley and village centre improvements in Norton Canes. | 4,5,6 | 1, 6, 7 | | Safe and accessible car parking. To include cycle parking provision and EV charging points. | Priority | Unknown – dependent upon development scheme | Developers/ CCC. To be provided as part of development schemes. | Unknown | - | - | √ | Phased | | The themes of reducing dependence on the car and promoting the provision of attractive and realistic alternatives, and, in particular, public transport, walking and cycling are priority objectives. |
| Rugeley Town Centre | | | | Replacement parking needed at Market Hall/Bus Station & Wellington Drive sites. (M7) | | Unknown – dependent upon development scheme | Developer | Unknown | - | - | √ | Phased | | Local parking standards. |
| Canals | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trent and Mersey Canal | 1,2,5,6,7 | 1,2,5,6,7 ,8 | Existing commitments to improve parts of canal route- see entries below for Cycle and Pedestrian facilities and Rugeley Town Centre Improvements. | Improvements to towpaths, mooring facilities and access to towpaths, linked with cycling & pedestrian facilities. Improved signage to town centre needed, | Priority | Unknown- partly dependent on nature of development schemes | Canal & River Trust, developer contribution, possible capital funding bid | Unknown | • | ✓ | • | Phased | Towpath resurfaced from Leathermill Lane in Rugeley to the A51 bypass in Brereton. Access improveme nts Complete at Leathermill Lane Canal bridge. | Tesco S106 funding for canal tow path and access upgrade, improved signage to Rugeley town centre. Rugeley Power Station S106 funding for resurfacing the towpath west of the A51. Brindley Aqueduct to Rugeley Love Lane section requires resurfacing. Suggest £500k via contractors or £220k via volunteer repair. |

| Project Name | | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | utions | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|-----------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------------|---|-------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------|----------|------------------|---|---|----------------------|---|
| | Objective | Objective | | | | | | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| Hatherton Canal | 1,2,5,6,7 | 1,2,5,6,7 | | Restoration of the canal largely along a new alignment. | Priority | £44.1m + land acquisition costs | Lichfield and Hatherton Canals Restoration Trust, Canal & River Trust, Heritage Lottery. | £44.1m + land costs | | ✓ | - | Medium/ Long | | Delivery desirable to meet Local Plan (Part 1) and Local Plan review objectives of promoting tourism, leisure, economic regeneration, health wellbeing and green infrastructure. Water supply and boat turning movements still to be resolved. CCC funded a Water Supply Study that the Trust completed in 2020. CCC agreed to sell disused section r/o Finning, A5. to LHCRT, March 2013. The sale has been completed. The 2011 Surface Water Management Plan highlights the potential role of the Hatherton Canal in helping to manage surface water flood risk in Cannock Town. |
| Cycle / pedes | trian facili | ties | | | | | | | | | | | | the potential role of the Hatherton Canal in hatharage surface wat |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|--------------|--------------------|------------------|--|---|-------------------|---|---|---|----------|------------------|---|---|----------------------|---|
| | Objective | Objective | | | · | | | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| | 2,5,7,8 | 2,5,7 | Improvements to cycling / walking network linked to Heritage Lottery Funded Heritage Trail - opened in 2008 linking Cannock, Hednesford & Rugeley. Sustrans extension to Heritage Trail from Rugeley Road, Hednesford to Rawnsley to Wimblebury and Chasewater. | Better joining up of cycle and pedestrian routes, particularly linking housing to employment, town centres and community facilities, with cycle parking facilities in town centres. Likely to be a particular priority for Norton Canes and to link new housing at Brereton (Lichfield District) to Rugeley town centre. Opportunity to enhance 'quiet lanes' in south of District with priority for cyclists and local access. Removal of stiles and replacement with gaps or gates to improve access for those with poor or reduced mobility. | Priority | Unknown- partly dependent on nature of development schemes. | Lichfield and Cannock Chase District and Staffordshire County Council, Sustrans, CRT to be provided as part of development schemes or developer contributions | Unknown | ✓ | ~ | | Phased | | See walking and cycling improvements required under 'sustainable transport' above Delivery desirable as resources permit to maximise sustainable travel opportunities. Schemes identified in the LCWIP. |
| | | | | Opportunity for further enhancement of former mineral railway routes to create cycle/ pedestrian links across District (also see SAC mitigation measures below) | | Costs of restoring former mineral railways to cycle/ walkways (as identified in Local Plan (Part 1)) approx £150,000. | | £150,000 for mineral railway lines - minimum | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Phased | | Mineral railways to be restored are Conduit Road to Albutts Road Mineral line, Norton Canes and Hednesford-Rawnsley mineral line, Hednesford. See cycle/pedestrian facilities below. Sustrans have completed a feasibility study report for the Rawnsley branch line. |
| | | | Rugeley Tesco to town centre and Towers Business Park via Love Lane/T&M Canal | Improved cycle and pedestrian linkages along key corridor to link town centre; Tesco; A51/McDonalds at Towers; and Rugeley Eastern Bypass | Priority | Unknown- full costs to be identified | Cannock Chase Council, Staffordshire County Council, developer contributions £395,500 secured from schemes to date | Unknown | ✓ | - | - | Phased | Complete | Funding secured via S106 contributions from Tesco, McDonalds and Westbury Homes developments. Footpath/cycleway improvements from town centre to Tesco, T&M Canal and Towers Business Park in progress. |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Deve Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|---|--------------------|------------------|---|---|-------------------|--|---|--------------------|----------|-----------------|---|---|----------------------|--|
| | Objective | Objective | | | | | | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| Energy | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transmission and distribution systems for gas | 3,4,8 | 3,4,8 | | None Identified (at present) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | N/A | | National Grid advise that development proposals will not have a significant effect upon the gas and electricity transmission infrastructure. |
| Transmission and distribution systems for electricity | 3,4,8 | 3,4,8 | | None Identified (at present) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | - | - | √ | Phased | | Western Power has a statutory ability to charge developers and customers to fund any improvements required. |
| Renewable Energy | 8 | 8 | Large scale renewable energy infrastructure: Poplars Landfill Anaerobic Digestion Facility The Local Plan evidence base highlights the potential for further renewable energy generation, but no other large scale schemes are formalised at present. | Potential need for investment in strategic solutions in the District e.g. Combined Heat and Power/district heating Potential for solar panels on former Rugeley Power Station site. Battery storage solutions may be required to store energy from renewable power generators to provide power to meet demand at peak times to balance the energy network as part of the transition to smart power networks | Priority | Unknown- costs dependent upon specific schemes | Private investment (commercial energy companies and non-energy developers as part of development schemes). Potential national and local Government grants/ incentives or initiatives e.g. Renewable Heat Incentive; 'allowable solutions' funds. | Unknown | - | V | • | Medium/ Long/ Phased | | There may be funding gaps for future renewable and low carbon energy infrastructure projects that could be provided for via developer contributions to help achieve zero-carbon developments. Future guidance on the implementation of 'allowable solutions' for zero-carbon developments will affect this. As per Local Plan policies the Council should consider the appropriateness of obtaining funds towards this infrastructure via developer contributions as schemes develop, taking into account current position in relation to 'allowable solutions' funds. |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | utions | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---|---|-------------------|--|---|-----------------------|----------|------------------|---|---|----------------------|--|
| | Objective | Objective | | | | | | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| Electric Vehicle Charging Points | 5,8 | 5, 8 | | Western Power highlight the increase in electric car sales as a future high power demand. Connection points and supporting infrastructure for residential, commercial and public sites. | | Unknown- costs dependent upon specific schemes | Electricity providers, Private and public landowners | Unknown | - | - | * | Phased | | Demand expected to be high, but extent and timescales unpredictable for take up. Government priority to phase out use of most fossil fuelled vehicles by 2040 and encourage use of alternative power sources earlier if possible. The network of public electric vehicle charging points is growing annually and Midlands Connect are supporting local authorities to understand the best locations for the installation of public charge points through a mapping tool. |
| Water and I | Drainage | е | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Water supply | 3,4,8 | 3,4,8 | Water Resources Plan (South Staffs Water) for 25 year period updated every 5 years. | No major capacity issues or upgrade requirements identified by South Staffs Water for the current Local Plan (Part 1) period. Minor upgrades for site specific developments may be required e.g. new off-site water mains infrastructure or upgrades to relevant booster stations Abstraction issues may impact upon any new agricultural or commercial schemes requiring large volumes of water. An updated water cycle study for Southern Staffordshire was published in February 2020. This study tested the impacts of a level of growth in the district in excess of the levels set out in the Reg. 19 Plan and concluded that there would be sufficient supply for the plan period taking into account efficiency measures. | Critical | Unknown- costs dependent upon specific schemes | South Staffordshire Water/ Developers | N/A | | - | | Phased | | Early consultation between developers and South Staffs Water is required to account for upgrades on specific sites and any implications in terms of abstraction restrictions (the latter particularly applies to new large scale agriculture and commercial schemes). All developments should incorporate water efficiency measures to ensure overall demand does not outstrip supply in accordance with proposed Reg 19 Plan policy. Water companies have statutory ability to charge developers and customers to fund improvements required and South Staffs Water will provide graded rebates to developers if builds are below 100 litres per person per day with up to 40% reduction for a BREEAM Outstanding rating. |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|--|--------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---|-------------------|--|---|--------------------|----------|------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | Objective | Objective | | | | | | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| Waste water | 3,4,8 | 3,4, 8 | | No major capacity issues or upgrade requirements identified for the current Local Plan (Part 1) period by Severn Trent for Rugeley, Burntwood or Goscote sewage treatment plants. Possible local issues on network capacity with developments needing to consider upgrades to mains and/or pumping stations, particularly in the Cannock area These upgrade requirements are currently being addressed. The 2020 Water Cycle Study identified that Goscote sewage treatment plant, that serves the southernmost areas of the district (predominantly south of the A5) is expected to exceed capacity by 2045 under all future growth scenarios. No allocations are proposed in this area by the Reg. 19 Plan and the Goscote SWT predominantly serves homes in Walsall Borough. | Critical | Unknown- costs dependent upon specific schemes | Severn Trent/ Developers | N/A | | | | Phased | Final works to upgrade Cannock STW commission ed during autumn 2022 | Early consultation between developers and Severn Trent required to account for upgrades on specific sites. Additional hydraulic analysis by Severn Trent is required on specific sites once capacities are confirmed. All sites will require individual review by Severn Trent as part of the planning application process. Upgrade requirements may create delays in the short term. Water companies have statutory ability to charge developers and customers to fund improvements required. STW note that Cannock Wastewater Treatment Works is currently being upgraded with works nearing completion. Final works were being commissioned during autumn 2022. The upgrades are valued at c£4.7million. The upgrade is expected to accommodate the proposals for growth and new development across the Cannock STW catchment, making it resilient |
| Drainage (waste drainage infrastructure already considered above. See also flood prevention below) | 3,4,8 | 3,4,8 | | Environment Agency advises that mine water is a risk in parts of the District and additional treatment schemes may be required to prevent pollution. The Coal Authority have progressed a scheme for the former Cannock Wood colliery. | Critical | Unknown- costs dependent upon specific development schemes and further investigation | Coal Authority/ Environment Agency/ Developers | Unknown | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Phased | | for the foreseeable future. Rising water implications for contaminated land. |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|------------------|--------------------|------------------|---|--|-------------------|--|--|-----------------------|----------|------------------|---|---|----------------------|--|
| | | Objective | | | | | 3(/ | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| | | | | Environment Agency advise there is a proposed scheme in our investment programme to carry out a capital maintenance scheme on the culverted section of the Rising Brook through Rugeley town centre. This culvert requires works to improve its condition due to structural defects and siltation. | Unknow n | Unknown | EA | Unknown | ✓ | ~ | • | 2025-26 | | EA notified in Issues & Scoping Local Plan consultation response. |
| Flood prevention | 3,4,6,7,8 | 3,4,8 | | SFRA Level 1 (2019) indicates a series of catchment-wide requirements such as the inclusion of SUDS and the provision of Surface Water Drainage Strategies for all major development that have been incorporated into policy. It is also recommended that major development and development in higher risk areas (within 5m of an Ordinary Watercourse, within 20m of a known flooding hotspot, with the flood extent of 1 in 30-year or 1 in 100-year Risk from Surface Water Flooding) should also contribute to betterment in flood risk terms to be determined by the Lead Local Flood Authority and the Environment Agency. | Critical | Costs of SUDs and de-culverting unknown-largely dependent upon specific development schemes. | Provided as part of development schemes and developer contributions where required. Potential Environment Agency and other public sector funds towards any strategic projects required in future. No capital schemes indicated in the 2021 to 2026 EA FCERM. | Unknown | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Phased | | Developers will be required to carry out Flood Risk Assessments where appropriate to identify site-specific needs. |
| | | | Rugeley Flood Defence Scheme - Rising Brook Embankment at Hagley Fields. EA led, completed in 2018. | De-culverting of the Rising Brook in Rugeley Town Centre see drainage notes above. Staffordshire County Council have a potential surface water scheme in Rugeley, which will involve retrofitting SuDS to reduce flood risk and provide amenity benefit | | | | Unknown | √ | ✓ | ✓ | Long | | A SUDS scheme for Rugeley Town Centre was delivered with SCC and the Trent Rivers Trust in order to reduce the risk of surface water flooding. |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | oper outions | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---|---|-------------------|---------|---|--------------------|----------|------------------|---|---|----------------------|---|
| | Objective | Objective | | | | | | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| | | | | Surface Water Flooding alleviation in Cannock Town. Possible repairs to Golly Brook defences in Bridgtown, Cannock. De-culverting or upgrade of Culvert Staffs-1086 in Western Springs Ward | | | | Unknown | √ | ✓ | √ | Long | | The Surface Water Management Plan highlights the potential role of Hatherton Canal in helping to manage surface water flood risk for Cannock; this be considered further as part of the proposed Hatherton Canal restoration |
| Waste | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Strategic Collection / Disposal | 3,4,6,7,8 | 3,4,8 | The Staffordshire County Council and Stoke On Trent Joint Waste Local Plan 2010 -2026 identifies a need for further capacity via new facilities to achieve waste management targets but sets no specific allocated sites for these. It also sets out existing facilities across the County which are to be safeguarded as part of the waste management infrastructure. This includes a number of sites within the District. | No new requirements identified. A review of the Waste Local Plan was undertaken jointly with Stoke-on-Trent City Council to consider if the plan needed updating. A report concluding that no revision to the Waste Local Plan was necessary was endorsed by Staffordshire CC Full Council on 21 March 2019. The Waste Local Plan to 2026 continues to carry full weight in decision making. | Priority | Unknown | Staffordshire County Council/ Commercial operators | N/A | | - | - | Phased | | A number of existing waste management sites across the District are safeguarded via the proposed County Waste Core Strategy. An Anaerobic Digestion (AD) plant at the Poplars Landfill Site, Cannock is now operational following planning consent in 2010. Developments should incorporate appropriate waste management facilities for individual schemes to support strategic management of waste e.g. appropriate bin storage and on-site recycling facilities (where appropriate) in accordance with adopted Waste Local Plan policies |
| ITC | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Telecommunicat ions | 3,4,8 | 4 | | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | N/A | | Mobile Operators Association did not raise any specific infrastructure issues in relation to Local Plan (Part 1). |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|--------------|--------------------|------------------|--|---|-------------------|---------|---|--------------------|----------|------------------|---|---|----------------------|--|
| | Objective | Objective | | | | | | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| Broadband | 3,4,8 | 4, 8 | Cannock, Hednesford, Rugeley and Heath Hayes telephone exchanges have been upgraded to Fibre to Cabinet (FTTC) to enable superfast broadband access. Under the Superfast Staffordshire Programme, 97% of premises across Staffordshire were enabled for superfast broadband access by 2022 | Less than 1% of the district is now without access to high speed broadband. The Superfast Staffordshire programme is now complete | Priority | Unknown | BT Openreach in collaboration with the Government's Digital Britain scheme (BDUK), Local Authorities and Internet providers. BDUK has allocated Staffordshire/ Stoke on Trent LA's with £7,440,000. In addition SCC provided £7.44 million and BT £12.47 million to the Superfast Staffordshire scheme | N/A | - | - | - | Medium | Complete | The Government's Digital Britain target was for nationwide access to a minimum speed of 24MB/S (superfast broadband) available to 95% of premises by 2017. Cannock Chase Council had achieved near total fibre broadband coverage of 99.3% of the District able to connect to speeds of 24mbps by December 2018 Superfast Staffordshire has been superseded by Project Gigabit during 2022. |
| | | | From Summer 2023 Project Gigabit will support the rollout of gigabit enabled broadband to the rural and semi-rural parts of Staffordshire | Project Gigabit will support business and resident premises experiencing speeds below 100Mbs with funding to install gigabit capable broadband to their premises as part of grouped projects. | Priority | Unknown | The government's Project Gigabit awards vouchers to group upgrade projects worth up to £3500 to SME and £1500 to residential properties experiencing speeds under 100Mbps. Further funding of £2000 per premise experiencing speeds of less than 30Mbps is available from Staffordshire County Council. | N/A | | - | | Phased | | The project procurement will commence during autumn 2022 with delivery expected to commence during Summer 2023. The focus is now on improving services to the final 3% of premises across Staffordshire that it is estimated do not have access to superfast broadband. Gigabit capable broadband speeds to reach at least 85% of UK premises by the end of 2025. |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | | loper outions | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|--|----------------------|---|---|--------------------|----------|----------|---|---|----------------------|--|
| | Objective | Objective | | | Í | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| Public Real | lm | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Town centre Improvements | 1,4,6,8 | 1,4, 6, 7 | Environmental improvements being undertaken to town centres, including provision of new bins and seating | TfWM supports the need for a direct pedestrian link between Rugeley town centre and the Town railway station | Priority | Unknown- partly dependent on nature of development schemes | Cannock Chase Council with developer partners. | Unknown | - | V | ✓ | Phased | Plans developed. | Delivery desirable to reinforce other initiatives. Identified in SCC Rugeley Town Centre. Discussions are ongoing with Network Rail and SCC. Further works required for implementation. |
| | | | | Pedestrian routes will be needed to link new housing with employment, town centres and other areas such as local facilities and open space / recreation (see also 'cycling/pedestrian facilities' above). | Priority | Unknown- partly dependent on nature of development schemes | Cannock Chase Council with developer partners. | Unknown | ✓ | √ | ✓ | Phased | | Delivery desirable to reinforce other initiatives The project to replace bins and seating has been completed. |
| | | | | This includes the need for cross boundary working e.g. linking Norton Canes to Chasewater. New housing in Lichfield District which borders Cannock Chase District should be linked to facilities in Rugeley and Brereton | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Location and design of street furniture (public seating etc) highlighted by a forum of community organisations. Upgrade within town centre schemes at Cannock, Rugeley and Hednesford and village centre improvements at Norton Canes. Enhancement works recommended in Conservation Area Management Plans | Priority | Unknown- partly dependent on nature of development schemes | Cannock Chase Council with developer partners. Staffs Police, Cannock Chase council, developer contributions (providing these lead to long term sustainable improvements) | Unknown | ✓ | V | ✓ | Phased | | Staffs Police, in partnership with the County Council, are working to integrate the 'Crowded Places' agenda in town centre redevelopment: specific infrastructure needs need to be negotiated at development brief stage |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|--|--------------------|------------------|--|---|-------------------|---|---|--------------------|----------|------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | Objective | Objective | | | · | | | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| | | | | Cannock Town Centre Development Schemes | Priority | Unknown- partly dependent on nature of development schemes | | Unknown | √ | ✓ | • | Phased | | Cannock Chase Council in partnership with GBS LEP launched Cannock Town Centre Prospectus in October 2020, to attract private sector development into Cannock Town Centre. Cannock Chase Council submitted a successful bid to the Government's Levelling Up Fund in 2021 and was awarded £20 million for improvements to Cannock Chase Town Centre. Plans are being progressed for the site with a Compulsory |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Purchase Order to acquire the land required for the scheme. |
| Rugeley Town Centre Improvements | 1,4,6,8 | 1,4, 6, 7 | Environmental improvements being undertaken to town centres, including provision | Paving – opportunities taken to renew, relay and improve the paving throughout the town centre using sustainable, high quality, durable materials | Priority | Unknown - to be costed | Cannock Chase Council with developer partners. SCC/LTP3 | Unknown | ✓ | ✓ | ~ | Phased | | Delivery desirable to reinforce other initiatives |
| | | | of new bins and seating. | Street furniture – opportunities taken to replace and refurbish existing street furniture together with removal of 'clutter' | Priority | Unknown - to be costed | Cannock Chase Council with developer partners | Unknown | √ | √ | √ | Phased | | Delivery desirable to reinforce other initiatives |
| | | | | Environmental improvements in key locations including: paving, signage, seating and soft landscaping along improved pedestrian/cycle links to town centre () | Priority | Unknown - to be costed | Cannock Chase Council with developer partners | Unknown | √ | ✓ | • | Phased | Complete | Pedestrian/cycle link between Tesco and Town Centre delivered in 2015 in conjunction with SCC. Improved paving, planting, signage and seating enhancements delivered as part of the route improvements from Tesco to the Town Centre |
| | | | | New planting to car park frontages to Forge Road, enhancement of car park frontage to Market Street, enhancement of open section of Rising Brook beside boardwalk | Priority | Unknown - to be costed | Cannock Chase Council with developer partners | Unknown | √ | ✓ | √ | Phased | Car park frontages enhanceme nt complete. | Delivery desirable to reinforce other initiatives Discussions are taking place on possible improvements to the boardwalk that is part of this walkway. |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|--|--------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---|-------------------|---|---|--------------------|----------|------------------|---|---|--|---|
| | Objective | Objective | | | | | | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| | | | | Continue programme of tree replacement and planting, update design of Market Square, enhance entrance to Park on Elmore Lane, improve small areas of poor 'left over' private open space around edge of town centre | Priority | Unknown - to be costed | Cannock Chase Council with developer partners. | Unknown | • | • | ~ | Phased | | The tree replacement links with the Parks and Open Spaces work programme |
| | | | | Town centre/Tesco linkage enhancements | Priority | £256,000 | Cannock Chase Council with developer partners. | None | - | - | N/A | Phased | Complete | Funding secured via S106 contributions from Tesco. Forms part of wider scheme to include footway/cycleway improvements from town centre to Tesco, T&M Canal and Towers Business Park. First part from Tesco to canal completed 2015 and now completed on towpath improvements towards Brereton. The Miners Memorial has been constructed on Globe Island. |
| Public Realm Enhancement - Other | 1,4,6,8 | | | Environmental enhancement of older employment areas and along the A5 corridor, Horsefair Corridor within the Conservation Area in Rugeley, and street furniture/landscape enhancements of small shopping parades. | Priority | Unknown- partly dependent on nature of development schemes | Developers, Public funding sources | Unknown | √ | √ | ✓ | Phased | Horsefair Corridor Works Complete | Delivery desirable to reinforce other initiatives and to accord with the NPPF. SCC has completed major landscape and highway improvements along the Horsefair Corridor to improve the pedestrian environment within the Conservation Area. |
| | | TORIC | INFRASTF | RUCTURE | | | | | | | | | | |
| Open Space Overall | 1,2,7,8 | 1,4, 6, 7 | | A Playing Pitch Strategy and Acti requirements with the standards | | | | e Assessmer | nt was | publish | ned in 2023. | This updates the F | PPG17 assess | ment (2009-10) evidence base |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Deve Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|--------------|--------------------|------------------|--|--|-------------------|--|---|--------------------|----------|-----------------|---|---|----------------------|---|
| | Objective | Objective | | | · | | | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| Parks | 1,2,7 | 1,2,7 | | Updated parks and gardens provision in accordance with the 2022 Open Space Assessment and Strategy requires that provision should achieve 0.8ha of amenity green space per 1,000 population. | Priority | Unknown- costs to be identified, partly dependent upon nature of development schemes | Cannock Chase Council/ to be provided as part of development schemes or developer contributions | Unknown | √ | √ | √ | Short/ Medium/ Phased | | |
| | | | Cannock Park enhancements (footpaths, access arrangements, fencing) recently | Further enhancement works likely to be required to maintain Green Flag Award including new path provision and improved play provision | Priority | Unknown- further costs for future schemes to be identified | Cannock Chase Council capital programme, future grants and developer | Unknown | √ | ✓ | | Short/Medium | | |
| | | | completed (2011/12) | Refurbishment to pitches and ancillary facilities | Priority | £160,000 | contributions | £160,000 | - | ✓ | - | Short/Medium | | Items identified on CIL infrastructure list |
| | | | | Heath Hayes Park refurbishments- Refurbishments, including to pitches and tennis courts (drainage, car park provision and improved changing facilities) | Priority | £1.29m | Cannock Chase Council capital programme and developer contributions | £1.29m | - | V | - | Short/ medium | | Items identified on CIL infrastructure list |
| | | | Hednesford Park upgrades and masterplan | Need for improvements and redesign linked to Hednesford Town Centre regeneration proposals | Priority | masterplan | Cannock Chase Council capital programme, developer contributions and Lottery Funding | Unknown | ✓ | ✓ | - | Short/ Medium | | The Council made a successful bid to Lottery fund for wider improvements to Hednesford Park as part of masterplan. Most of the improvements have now been made. Current scheme is fully funded- future developer contributions may be needed to support longer term additional works and maintenance (see entry below). |
| | | | | Improvements to playing pitch provision | Priority | £200,000 | | £200,000 | - | \ | - | Short/ Medium | | Playing Pitch improvements currently unfunded and identified on CIL infrastructure list |
| | | | | Elmore Park, Rugeley enhancements -Dredging of lake and stabilisation of banks | Priority | £500,000 | Cannock Chase Council capital programme, future grants and developer contributions | £500,000 | - | ✓ | - | Short/ Medium | | Works would support implementation of Rugeley town centre Flood Alleviation Scheme as well as enhancing park facilities. Item identified on CIL infrastructure list. Requires allocation of funding to undertake works. |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|--------------|--------------------|------------------|---|---|----------------------|---|---|--------------------|----------|------------------|---|---|----------------------|--|
| | Objective | Objective | | | | | | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| | | | Cannock Chase Council now has 6 Green Flag Awards and Management Plans are in place to guide investment | Continued investment in Green Flag Award bids to help maintain/achieve open space assessment targets- ensuring access to 'good' quality parks as a minimum and increasing quantity of provision where appropriate. | Priority | Unknown- costs to be identified | Cannock Chase Council, with partners | Unknown | √ | √ | - | Phased | | Green Flag Parks Awarded 2022 - Cannock Park, Ravenhill Park, Elmore Park, Hednesford Park, Castle Ring Green Heritage Site, and Stile Cop Cemetery. Castle Ring has also been awarded Green Heritage Site Accreditation. |
| | | | | Provision of a community park land to the south of Cannock in association with Proposed Allocations SH1: South of Lichfield Road, Cannock, SH2: East of Wimblebury Road | Priority | Unknown | Developer Contributions from proposed allocations SH1, SH2 to be provided as part of the development schemes | None | ✓ | - | √ | Long/ Phased | | |
| | | | | Provision of a community park land to the south of Cannock in association with Proposed Allocation SE1: Kingswood Lakeside Extension 2, Norton Canes | Priority | Unknown | Developer Contributions from proposed allocations SE1 to be provided as part of the development schemes | None | √ | - | √ | Long/ Phased | | |
| Allotments | 2,7,8 | 2,7 | Sites at Red Lion Lane, Norton Canes and West of Pye Green Road to provide on-site allotments as part of planning obligations. New allotments have been constructed at the Pye Green Stadium site. | Additional investment/provision required to achieve PPG17 assessment targets of 0.065ha per 1,000 population and 'good' quality as a minimum. Provision to be increased District-wide but particularly in Norton Canes and Rugeley/Brereton and northern Cannock. SO2.4 sets standard of 0.125 ha per 1000 population for allotments and community gardens. | Priority | Unknown- costs to be identified, partly dependent upon nature of development schemes | Cannock Chase Council/ to be provided as part of development schemes or developer contributions Potential expansion of community-led schemes via external grants | Unknown | √ | √ | √ | Short/ Medium/ Phased | | Open Space Strategy will consider delivery. |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|---|--------------------|------------------|---|--|-------------------|---|---|--------------------|----------|------------------|---|---|----------------------|--|
| | Objective | Objective | | | · | | | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| Area management e.g. AONB, Country Parks | 1,2,7,8 | 1,2,7 | | Supporting the AONB Management Plan, principally promotion of sustainable tourism measures and appropriate developments in and around the AONB to ensure its qualities are preserved and enhanced. | Priority | Unknown- costs to be identified via AONB Plan. Work currently underway to identify specific projects and costs. | Existing District and County Council and AONB budgets/resources for management of open spaces/to be provided via development schemes or developer contributions | Unknown | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Phased | | AONB unit, Cannock Chase Council Parks and Open Spaces, Countryside services, Staffordshire County Council Environment and Countryside Service all to work in partnership. Developments can contribute to objectives by sensitive design of new schemes, particularly within and at the edges of the AONB. |
| Semi- natural sites/forestry | 1,7,8 | 2, 7, 8 | Monies for management of key sites (see biodiversity section below) and other semi-natural green spaces District-wide | Continued investment/ management of existing sites (e.g. Local Nature Reserves). Additional investment/ provision required to achieve targets in accordance with the 2023 Open Space Assessment which requires 1.8Ha of semi natural space per 1000 population. | Priority | Unknown- costs to be identified, partly dependent upon nature of development schemes. | Cannock Chase Council/to be provided as part of development schemes or developer contributions Possible external funds/grants from Natural England Environmental Stewardship Fund | Unknown | √ | √ | √ | Phased | | |
| | | | | Proposed Policy SO7.1 and SO8.3 in accordance with the Urban Forestry Strategy 2019-2024 requires that ancient woodland and veteran trees are preserved and that major development provides a contribution to the creation of urban forests, woodlands and street trees as an integral part of the development or as part of a linked off-site scheme. It is expected that an appropriate contribution to the management and maintenance of the trees for 20 years is also made. | Priority | Unknown | Cannock Chase District Council or to be provided as part of development schemes or developer contributions, | None | √ | √ | √ | Phased | | |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|---------------|--------------------|------------------|---|---|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|----------|------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | Objective | Objective | Committee | the future | rnonty | | fulluling source(s) | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| Biodiversit | v and Cl | imate C | Change | | | | | | | | 1/ | | | |
| Biodiversity. | 1,7,8 | 2,7 | Connecting Cannock Chase undertaking forest clearance and heathland planting works in 2012/13 | Management and enhancement works for habitats at Mill Green/Hawks Green Nature Reserve/Pye Green Valley Spinney (Cannock/ Hednesford) | Priority | Unknown- costs to be identified | Natural England Environmental Stewardship continued funding. Existing District and County Council budgets for management/ to be provided as part of development schemes or developer contributions | Unknown | ✓ | ✓ | | Medium | Mill Green trail improveme nts Complete. | Funds for putting management plans in place, Local Nature Reserve designations, enhancement works to habitats and access arrangements Funding secured from McArthurGlen Designer Outlet Cannock towards improvements to Mill Green Nature Reserve. Works d to improve the Heritage Trai through the reserve now complete. |
| | | | | Former Regional Biodiversity Enhancement Area Action Plan Draft (2009) sets out recommendations for enhancement works for cross- boundary restoration area (Cannock Chase-Sutton Park). Whilst this initiative is no longer regional policy some of the recommendations will still be relevant for identifying additional green infrastructure provision to support improvements at a strategic level to compliment the Cannock Chase SAC mitigation measures (below). Being taken forward via new Connecting Cannock Chase Partnership and Project | Priority | Unknown-costs to be identified | Natural England Environmental Stewardship continued funding. Existing District, County Council and AONB budgets for management/to be provided as part of development schemes/ developer contributions/Fore stry Commission. | Unknown | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Medium /Long | | Delivery desirable to reinforce other initiatives The Ecological Joint Approach Group is working in partnership with Staffordshire Authorities to provide a County wide overview of green linkages, biodiversity offsetting and natural capital in order to improve Green Infrastructure and ecology. Schemes may also be required to provide on-site mitigation measures to serve the development (on larger sites). Existing mitigation measures already undertaken in consultation with Natural |
| | | | | Opportunity for creation and enhancement of priority habitats in accord with LBOM and LBAP | | | Natural England Environmental Stewardship continued funding. | Unknown | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Medium /Long | | England. The SAC evidence base was updated during 2019. All SAC mitigation measures are paid |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|----------------|--------------------|------------------|--|---|-------------------|---|--|--|----------|------------------|---|---|----------------------|---|
| | Objective | Objective | | | · | | Ů, | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| | | | | Biodiversity net gain of a minimum of 10% should be provided on sites of major development in accordance with the Environment Act 2021. Where this is not practicable Policy SO7.1 expects the gains to be provided off site. | Critical | Unknown | Cannock Chase District Council, to be provided as part of development schemes or developer contributions, | Unknown | • | • | ~ | Phased | | for specifically by developer contributions but can be combined with other sources. The work has commenced on new recreation spaces with construction continuing on site alongside the new housing |
| | | | Provision of recreation space at major development sites within the District secured as part of planning consents 21ha at land West of Pye Green Road and 8ha at land off Norton Hall Lane under construction as part of residential development sites. | Appropriate Assessment for Cannock Chase SAC sets out potential adverse impacts of increased recreational pressures and air pollution. Strategic Access Monitoring and Management accompanied by Detailed Implementation Plans to address potential impacts are being implemented by the SAC Partnership including Natural England and other partners. Schemes include traffic/access management and habitat management measures. | Critical | Approx £6m (across the SAC partnership area to 2040 | Existing District, County Council and AONB budgets for management/ to be provided as part of development schemes or developer contributions(inclu ding High Level Stewardship funding) | Approx £6m (across the SAC partnershi p area) | √ | ✓ | √ | Phased | Commence | developments. |
| Climate Change | 1,7,8 | 2,7 | | Major development that is unable to deliver zero net carbon emissions on site is expected to contribute to offsite mitigation within Cannock District or compensatory contributions to an appropriate carbon offsetting fund where this is not achievable in accordance with Policy SO8.2 | Critical | Unknown | Cannock Chase District Council, to be provided as part of development schemes or developer contributions, | N/A | √ | ✓ | ~ | Phased | | The Council is implementing the Climate Change Action Plan which includes recommendationsfor example setting up a Cannock Chase Carbon Offset Fund. |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Deve Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|--|--------------------|------------------|--|---|-------------------|---|---|-----------------------|----------|-----------------|---|---|----------------------|--|
| | Objective | Objective | | | | | 3(,) | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| Rivers | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| River corridors and catchments (see also entries under water supply, waste water and flood prevention) | 1,7,8 | 2,7,8 | | The Transforming the Trent Valley' scheme will undertake a wide range of environmental, cultural and community-led projects within the Trent, Tame and Dove river valleys covering some 200 square kilometers from Uttoxeter to Tamworth and including the river valleys within or near to the main towns of Burton, Rugeley, Lichfield and Derby. Projects will include river restoration, improved access to explore hard-to-reach areas, and creating ways to reconnect communities with their natural heritage. | Priority | £4.7m including £2.7m from the Heritage Lottery Fund. | Transforming the Trent Valley' (TTTV) is a partnership project of 18 organisations working together to restore and enhance the natural and cultural heritage of the Trent Valley, led by Staffordshire Wildlife Trust. Other partners include SCC, East Staffs, Lichfield & Tamworth Councils, CRT, EA, and Support Staffordshire | N/A | | | | Phased | Design Stage | Infrastructure needs identified under 'Flood Prevention' will also support this initiative.' Cannock Chase Council have provided written support for the project and the Landor Society in Rugeley were also invited to the project inception meeting. Final funding secured in December 2018 with work starting in April 2019 and lasting 5 years. The scheme has a public facing information office in Rugeley Town Centre and footpath improvements are planned in the Rugeley area along the opposite side of the river Trent within neighbouring Lichfield District Council boundary to create a new Trent Valley Way walking route. |
| Historic Lan | ndscape | es | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Historic sites and buildings | 1,6 | 1, 4, 6, 7 | Rugeley Town Centre PSICA partnership with English Heritage - 2011-14 to grant aid owners of historic commercial building for repair costs. Funding phase 2011-14 over 3 | Investment in areas 'at risk' or 'sensitive' and works recommended by the Landscape Character/Historic Environment Assessments/ Conservation Area Management Plans (see also infrastructure requirements for canals and the public realm above) | Priority | Unknown- costs to be identified, partly dependent on nature of development schemes | Cannock Chase Council/ to be provided as part of development schemes or developer contributions | Unknown | √ | ✓ | √ | Phased | Complete | The Talbot St/Lichfield St and Rugeley Town Centre Conservation Areas have both been removed from the Historic England 'at risk' Register. The Rugeley Town Centre scheme to repair historic buildings has been completed. |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 Objective | Core Strategy Objective | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding Gap | S10 6 | Devel Contrib CIL | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside | Progress November 2022 | Other comments |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|----------------------|--|---|------------------------------|----------|-------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| | | | years complete, scheme now delivered. | The Council will develop and promote a Rugeley Town Centre improvement scheme to help revitalise the urban fabric of the town centre, supporting appropriate projects which regenerate the historic core and actively promote the urban design principles of AAP. | Priority | £400,000 public sector to date | Match funding from English Heritage (Partnership Schemes in Conservation Areas) to Cannock Chase Council and Staffordshire County Council funds. (CCDC funds include Tesco S106 Developer Contribution) | Unknown | * | * | \$278) √ | Phased | Horsefair Corridor Works Complete | SCC has undertaken public realm improvements within the Horsefair corridor of the Conservation Area. |
| SOCIAL A | ND CC | JUVIVICE I | NITY INFRAS | STRUCTURE | | | | | | | | | | |
| Education | | | | TROOTORE | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nursery and pre school | 1,2,3,4 | 1,2,3,4 | | Any new schools required as a result of new housing development will have nursery / pre-school provision. | Priority | Unknown- costs to be identified by County Council. | County Council, DfE, Developer contributions | Unknown | ~ | √ | * | Phased | | |
| Primary | 1,2,3,4 | 1,2,3,4 | | sing development over 700 new dv | | hin a school catch | ment will be the trigge | r for a new p | rimary | schoo | l. Dependin | g on the developme | ent location | Existing planning consents |
| | | | | existing primary schools may also b | | Tatal of all | DEE | I ladea acces | | | / | Dhasad | T | have S106 agreements in |
| | | | at Land West of Pye Green Road completed | Additional primary school provision in Norton Canes | Critical | Total of all projects unknown Costs updated | Developer Contributions | Unknown | v | • | • | Phased | | place to address some infrastructure needs for education provision including towards primary school provision at Norton Canes and |
| | | | Hob Hill primary school expansion completed West Hill primary school expansion completed | New primary provision in Rugeley proposed location within the Rugeley former Power Station site. Education provision will deliver either an 'All Through School' (comprising 52 Place Nursery, 2 Form Entry Primary School, 5 Form Entry Secondary School and 200 Place Post 16 Provision), or a 2 Form Entry Primary School and financial provision for secondary school improvements. | Critical | annually: please refer to SEICP for indicative costs Where a project cost is known, this will be used instead of the standard costs outlined in the SEICP | CIL | Unknown | | √ | • | Phased | | Rugeley. The identification of the required education infrastructure to address the needs of current and emerging local plans have been based on an assessment of current housing and local demographics. These will continue to be monitored and reviewed over the plan period in order to identify any further capacity issues. |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | | loper butions | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|--------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---|-------------------|--|---|--------------------|----------|----------|---|---|----------------------|--|
| | Objective | Objective | | | | | | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| | | | | Additional capacity may be required for housing development in Rugeley/Brereton | Critical | | | Unknown | ✓ | * | ✓ | Phased | | Indicative costs outlined in the SEICP are conservative and are likely to be significantly greater at the point of project |
| | | | | New 2FE Primary School at proposed allocation SH1: South of Lichfield Road, Cannock | Critical | £ 11,378,438 | Developers contributions/ Staffordshire | None | √ | √ | ✓ | Short - Phased | | delivery. These costs are reviewed annually. |
| | | | | Primary school expansions within Cannock 1 school planning area (or subsequent renaming of the cluster of schools). | Critical | | County Council | Unknown | * | √ | ✓ | Phased | | |
| | | | | Replacement of temporary accommodation with permanent accommodation at 4 primary schools in the District (CIL Projects 2022): Chadsmoor Infant & Nursery School - Replacement of temporary modular buildings Five Ways Primary School - Replacement of temporary modular building | Priority | Chadsmoor School - £162,314.50 Five Ways Primary School - £159,374.50 Etching Hill CE Primary Academy - £393,289 | CIL | NonNon | - | ✓ | - | Short | | Projects approved for CIL funding 2022. |
| | | | | Etching Hill CE Primary Academy - Replacement of temporary modular building The John Bamford Primary School - Replacement of temporary modular building | | The John Bamford Primary School - £172,799 | | | | | | | | |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|----------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|---|-------------------|---|--|---|----------|------------------|---|---|----------------------|--|
| | Objective | Objective | | | | | | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| Secondary | 1,2,3,4 | 1,2,3,4 | Merger of Hagley and Fair Oak schools incorporating 6th form provision and consolidation onto a single site. | Additional secondary provision in Rugeley proposed location within the former Rugeley Power Station site. Education provision will deliver either an 'All Through School' (comprising 52 Place Nursery, 2 Form Entry Primary School, 5 Form Entry Primary School and 200 Place Post 16 Provision), or a 2 Form Entry Primary School and financial provision for secondary school improvements. Additional capacity may be required for housing development in Rugeley/Brereton Secondary school(s) expansion in Cannock Secondary school planning area based on adopted revised local plan (or subsequent renaming of the cluster of schools) | Critical | Total of all projects unknown Costs updated annually: please refer to SEICP for indicative costs Where a project cost is known, this will be used instead of the standard costs outlined in the SEICP | DEE Developer Contributions CIL | Unknown | | | | Phased | | Existing planning consents already have S106 agreements in place to address some infrastructure needs for education provision including towards secondary school provision at Rugeley The identification of the required education infrastructure to address the needs of current and emerging local plans have been based on an assessment of current housing and local demographics. These will continue to be monitored and reviewed over the plan period in order to identify any further capacity issues. Indicative costs outlined in the SEICP are conservative and are likely to be significantly greater at the point of project delivery. These costs are reviewed annually. |
| Further Education | 1,2,3,4 | 1,2,3,4 | Cannock, Lichfield and Tamworth colleges have merged to form South Staffs College Cannock college campus has been upgraded. | | Priority | £6million to upgrade Cannock Campus | South Staffordshire College, Skills Funding Agency | N/A | - | - | | Short | Complete | |
| | | | South Staffs, College and CCC have established a skills and innovation hub at Cannock Campus, which is home to a new engineering hub | | | Funding provided by CCC and SS LEP. A bid has also been made to GBS LEP for further funding. | South Staffs College, CCC, LEP | A bid has also been made to GBS LEP for further funding. | - | - | - | Short/ Phased | Complete | |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|--|--------------------|------------------|--|---|-------------------|---|--|--------------------|----------|------------------|---|---|----------------------|---|
| | Objective | Objective | | | | | | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| | | | Walsall College launched a Retail Skills Academy in partnership with CCC and McArthurGlen, to help people access jobs in retail. The access to retail employability programme accepted its first students in 2019. | | | Funding has been secured via the S106 from the McArthur Glen Designer Outlet Cannock Planning Application. | | N/A | * | - | - | Short | Complete | |
| Higher Education | 1,2,3,4 | 1,2,3,4 | | None Identified at present. | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | N/A | N/A | There are a number of Higher Education establishments accessible from the District e.g. Wolverhampton, Birmingham and Staffordshire universities. |
| Employmen | nt | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Training / skills links | 2,4 | 4 | | New employment locations to be linked to training / skills facilities: The Skills and Innovation Hub, and Retail Skills Academy at Cannock Campus can provide training opportunities. Close working links with training providers enables college-based or bespoke employer-based training to provide for skills needs. | Priority | Unknown | LSP – LEP partnerships. Possible developer contributions from specific schemes with match public bodies funding | Unknown | ✓ | - | - | Phased | | |
| Voluntary sector | 2,4 | 2,4 | Volunteer centre currently operates out of the Support Staffordshire (Cannock Chase) buildings in Coniston Hall, Chadsmoor | Town centre presence required (e.g. shop unit) to promote volunteering: Cannock a priority | Priority | Unknown- no firm proposals at present | Volunteer Bureau | N/A | - | - | - | Short | | No funds – external funding would need to be sought – external bid |
| | | | alised services | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Children's centres, special needs and disability | 2,3 | 2, 3 | | None identified (at present) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | | Continue to engage with service providers |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Deve Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---|-------------------|---|---|--------------------|----------|-----------------|---|---|----------------------|---|
| | Objective | Objective | | | | | · | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| Health/ we | ll being | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hospitals | 2 | 2, 3 | | None identified (at present) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | | Regional / sub regional issue. Determined by overall population growth in the area rather than on a district by district basis. No district-level infrastructure needs identified at present. Continue to engage with service provider in relation to estates strategy in particular. |
| Health Centres/GP Services | 2 | 2,3 | | Cannock North PCN 7 Practices Plus 1 Branch All premises operating with below recommended internal area and clinical rooms. | Critical | Indicative figure of £900 per dwelling. | Revenue funding for staff etc provided by NHS England (sum per patient registered). | Unknown | √ | √ | - | Phased | | Cost per dwelling derived from 2022 figures. |
| | | | | Cannock Village PCN 11 Practices Plus 4 Branches All premises operating with below recommended internal area. Only Norton Canes Practice and Norton Canes Health Centre are operating with recommended number of clinical rooms. | Critical | Indicative figure of £900 per dwelling. | NHS Capital Estates Improvement Programme provides potential source of funding for physical works to health centres/GP practices. | Unknown | √ | √ | - | Phased | | Cost per dwelling derived from 2022 figures. |
| | | | | Rugeley and Great Hayworth PCN 5 Practices Plus 2 Branches With the exception of Horsefair Practice, all premises are operating with below recommended internal area. Only Horsefair Practice and Brereton Surgery Practice are operating with the recommended number of clinical rooms. | Critical | Indicative figure of £900 per dwelling. | | Unknown | √ | ✓ | - | Phased | | Cost per dwelling derived from 2022 figures. Capacity issues associated with the Rugeley Power Station Redevelopment have been addressed through the consented scheme. |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Deve Contrib | loper outions | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|---|--------------------|------------------|---|--|-------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|----------|-----------------|---|---|----------------------|--|
| | Objective | Objective | | | Í | | J () | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| Community | / Service | es | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Libraries | 2 | 2 | New library constructed in Norton Canes – Opened 28.09.09. Cannock Library remodelled internally with upgraded infrastructure. | No current further major upgrade needs identified at present but ongoing enhancements to existing facilities may need to be supported. County Council has carried out a consultation on a library services strategy and some of the libraries are now run by volunteers. | Priority | Unknown | Staffordshire County Council, external bids, developer contributions | Unknown | V | V | - | Phased | | Management of smaller libraries in partnership with SCC has transferred to South Staffordshire and Shropshire Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust, except for Brereton which has been run by the Rotary Club of Rugeley since 03.04.2018. |
| Community centres | 2 | 2 | Hednesford town centre regeneration provided new community facilities (completed 2013) Pye Green Community Centre was updated and improved | Improvements to village halls and community centres over plan period. Building Schools for the Future programme in Rugeley previously proposed potential new youth provision to serve area. | Priority | Unknown | Staffordshire County Council and Cannock Chase Council, with partners e.g. parish councils, developers | Unknown | * | * | * | Phased | | Staffordshire County Council has a scheme of capital grants to village halls. Mapping shows adequate provision of village halls / community facilities (quality etc not part of survey), with possible need for additional facilities for land east of Wimblebury Road (Heath Hayes). |
| Citizens Advice | 2 | 2 | CAB operates from a retail unit in Rugeley and Cannock Council Civic Centre. | | Priority | Funding not to exceed current levels | Citizens Advice Bureau | N/A | - | - | - | Short | | Rugeley CAB has moved to an appointment only service and Cannock moved to the Civic Centre to reduce operating costs and focus resources on providing support to service users. |
| Social Services / over 50's support | 2 | 2 | | Reconfiguration of existing delivery of social and health care facilities to integrate services and provide additional intermediate/extra care and community services is ongoing. | Priority | Unknown | Staffs County Council Social Care and Health | N/A | - | - | - | Short/ Medium | | Redevelopment of Langbourn site in Cannock has been completed. |
| Police | 1,2 | 1,2 | | No strategic infrastructure needs identified at present. Staffordshire Police advise in response to Local Plan consultations that developer contributions may be needed over plan period (subject to ongoing evaluations of services by the Police). | Priority | Unknown | Staffordshire Police, Developers | Unknown | √ | √ | - | Phased | | The customer front desk at Rugeley Police Station has closed, but a commitment has been made to keep the front desk open at Cannock Police Station. |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|--|--------------------|------------------|--|---|-------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|----------|------------------|---|---|----------------------|---|
| | Objective | Objective | | | | | | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| Ambulance | 2 | 2 | | None needed (at present) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | Continue to engage with service provider |
| Fire | 1,2 | 1,2 | Cannock and Rugeley fire stations have been re-developed as Community Stations. | No further strategic needs identified (at present) | | | Part of a £46m PFI (1) initiative covering the whole of Staffordshire relating to Cannock. Part of a £50m PFI (2) initiative covering the whole of Staffordshire relating to Rugeley. | | - | - | - | | | |
| Cemeteries / crematoria | 2 | 2 | Extension to Stile Cop Cemetery completed (£300,000 costs approx) | New cemetery/burial space provision needed for south of the District (Cannock). Estimated that a site should be a minimum size of 8 hectares to operate over an 80-85 year period. | Priority | £1m approx | Cannock Chase Council capital programme/ developer contributions | £1m approx | - | | - | Short/Medium | Completed | Item identified on CIL infrastructure list and a site has been completed near Norton Canes/Heath Hayes. Additional plans are in place to extend Norton Canes Parish Church cemetery for local needs. The neighbouring site for a |
| Culture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | crematorium is also complete. |
| Museums / galleries / theatres (non commercial) | 1,2,4,6 | 1,2,4,6 | Museum of Cannock Chase has had lottery grant for improvements that have been completed. | Enhancement of existing facilities, particularly in Rugeley , such as upgrading of Rose Theatre or Lea Hall welfare Centre. | Priority | Unknown | Heritage Lottery, Cannock Chase Council., developer contributions | Unknown | V | √ | √ | Short | Completed | The Rugeley AAP identifies that an improved approach, including access and car parking to the Rugeley Rose Theatre should be provided as part of the redevelopment of the Former Aelfgar Centre. The site has outline permission. |
| Cinema | 6 | 6 | | Provision of new facilities, e.g. cinema, bowling alley at Rugeley . | Priority | Unknown | Private Developers/ commercial operators | Unknown | - | - | - | Medium/ Long | | Cannock already has an established cinema. |
| Youth drop in centre | 1,2 | 2 | | To keep under consideration need for youth drop in centre. | Priority | Unknown- to be costed | SCC/CCC/ Staffs Police/ Developer contributions | N/A | √ | √ | - | Medium/ Long | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|---|--------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--|------------------------|----------|---|---|----------|------------------|--|---|--|---|
| | Objective | Objective | Committee | the luture | Thomas | | Turiumg Source(s) | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through \$278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| Leisure | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Indoor Sports and Leisure overall | 1,2 | 1,2,3 | The 2009-10 evider | Sports Halls – Increase provision by 2019 by 4 courts at existing locations | ogress aga Priority | £956,920 | Cannock Chase Council and delivery partners e.g. Sport England, County Council, local | £119,500 (but see notes re. former Blake Academy) | ✓ | ✓ | - | Short/ Medium/ Phased | | Upgrades to Cannock and Rugeley leisure centres are contributing to some of these targets, particularly in meeting increase in fitness station needs |
| | | | | Health & Fitness – Increase number of fitness stations by a minimum of 80 to achieve national average provision. | Priority | N/A | community organisations/to be provided as part of development schemes or developer | N/A | - | - | - | Short/ Medium/ Phased | Complete - Fitness station number exceeds national target. | Upgrade to former Blake Academy facilities contributes towards increased sports hall (2 badminton courts). Community agreements to be |
| | | | | Indoor Bowls – Ensure achievement of local standard of 0.02 rinks per 1000 population in line with West Midlands level (existing level of provision) Improve quality of existing facility, where possible. | Priority | Unknown | contributions (£837,500 from S106 for Norton Canes schemes- see notes) | Unknown | √ | √ | - | Short/ Medium/ Phased | Target superseded in the 2018 Study | secured to ensure provision meets District needs. Section 106 funds from sites at Norton Hall Lane and Red Lion Lane towards sport and recreation to assist in meeting |
| | | | | Indoor Tennis – Ensure achievement of local standard that all residents should live within 30 minute drive time of indoor tennis facility (to be provided by marking out sports halls). | Priority | Unknown | | Unknown | √ | √ | - | Short/ Medium/ Phased | | these targets for Norton Canes catchment (NB not all committed for indoor facilities). |
| | | | | Dance – Provide minimum of one high quality floor. Potential future requirements to be established by further assessments of suitability of venues. | Priority | N/A | | N/A | - | - | - | Short/ Medium/ Phased | | Upgrade to Aquaris ballroom in Hednesford also contributes to improved dance provision. Upgrade to former Blake Academy facilities contributes dance hall provision. |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|----------------|--------------------|------------------|---|--|-------------------|---|---|---|----------|------------------|---|---|--|---|
| | Objective | Objective | | | , | | , | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| Swimming pools | 1,2 | 1,2,3 | Rugeley had a replacement swimming pool built in 2008. Re-modelled Cannock Chase Leisure Centre pool to create 25m pool + 13m x 7m variable depth pool, opened 2013. | Swimming Pools – maintain existing water space within the District and monitor levels of imported demand to determine future needs. | Priority | Upgrade part of £6m refurbish of Chase Leisure Centre | Cannock Chase Council and Sport England | N/A | - | - | - | Short | | The extended and improved provision is currently deemed sufficient for the plan period. |
| Sports pitches | 1,2,8 | 1,2,3 | | e base study requirements and pro | gress agai | nst meeting those | requirements is set o | ut below: | | | 1 | ı | 1 | |
| and courts | | | | Playing pitches – increase supply of junior and mini soccer pitches across the three catchment areas of Cannock/ Hednesford/Heath Hayes, Rugeley/ Brereton and Norton. Improve quality of Council pitches and changing facilities to "Good". Agree policy with County Council and school governing bodies on community use of pitches on school sites. | Priority | £4.98m for sports hub facilities at Cannock Stadium site | Cannock Chase Council and partner's e.g. Sport England, County Council, schools and developers via proposals as part of scheme or developer contributions | £2m (for pitches outside Cannock Stadium) | | | | Short/ Medium/ Phased | Spending for Laburnum Avenue approved September 2022 | See above entries in Cannock, Heath Hayes and Hednesford Parks for pitch improvements. In addition, pitch improvements at Norton Canes Community Centre, Laburnum Avenue and Old Fallow Road, Cannock and Green Lane, Rugeley to be undertaken. Provision of a community sport hub at the former Cannock Stadium will also meet some of these needs and the Phase 1 work has now been mostly completed, with Phase 2 expected in the next 3 years. Items on CIL 123 list. Section 106 funds from sites at land West of Pye Green Road and Pye Green Valley contribute to meeting these targets in Cannock catchment. Possible use of funds from S106 funds from Norton Hall Lane and Red Lion Lane to assist in meeting targets for Norton Canes catchment. |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 | Core Strategy | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | | Devel Contrib | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|---|-------------------|--|--|--|----------|------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | Objective | Objective | | | | | 3 () | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| | | | Full sized artificial turf pitch at Cardinal Griffin School (Cannock) completed 2012. A new ATP has been completed at Bradbury Lane, Hednesford, in 2017. | Synthetic turf pitches – Establish two new full size pitches in the District – one in Cannock one in Rugeley . Consider the feasibility of establishing a 3 rd . | Priority | £400,000 for extension of ATP at Rugeley. see Cannock Stadium costs for providing 3 rd | | £1.9m (for currently unfunded elements of Cannock Stadium scheme | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Short/ Medium/ Phased | Rugeley ATP extension completed October 2022 | Existing half-sized ATP at Rugeley Leisure Centre extended to cater for full-sized pitch to meet demand in this catchment. |
| | | | | Bowls –Ensure local standard of 0.159 bowling greens per 1000 population is achieved (existing level of provision). Focus on maintaining quality | Priority | Unknown | | Unknown | √ | √ | - | Short/ Medium/ Phased | | |
| Indoor Sports | 1,2 | 1,2,3 | | Facilities Needs Assessment updat | | | with the following an | ticipated spor | t infras | tructur | e requireme | ents based on the p | opulation incre | eases associated with ONS 2014 |
| and Leisure | | | population projections | s in accordance with the Sport Eng | | | | 1,,, | | | I | D | I | |
| overall | | | | Sports Halls – Increase provision by 2036 by 1.55 courts | Priority | £899,672 | Cannock Chase Council and delivery partners | Unknown | • | ~ | - | Phased | | Upgrades to Cannock and Rugeley leisure centres have contributed to meeting the |
| | | | | Health & Fitness – Maintain quality of existing provision. Monitor to ensure current level of provision is maintained. | Priority | N/A | e.g. Sport England, County Council, local community | Unknown | - | - | - | Long | | targets set out by LPP1, particularly in meeting increased provision of fitness stations. |
| | | | | Indoor Bowls – Increase availability of short mat bowling. Consider replacement indoor bowls hall dependent on demand. | Priority | Unknown | organisations/to be provided as part of development schemes or | Unknown | √ | √ | - | Short/Medium | | |
| | | | | Squash - Maintain existing facilities. | Priority | Unknown | developer contributions. | Unknown | √ | √ | - | Long | | |
| Outline | 4.0 | 100 | | Dance – Additional demand identified at Rugeley. | Priority | N/A | | Unknown | - | - | - | Medium | | |
| Swimming Pools | 1,2 | 123 | | Increased requirement of 0.28 lanes may be achievable through programming changes. | Priority | Unknown | | Unknown | - | - | - | Long | | |
| Sports Pitches | 1,2,8 | 123 | The 2019 Playing Pite | ch Strategy and Action Plan update | es the 2009 | -10 based require | ments based on the p | opulation inc | reases | assoc | iated with th | ne 2014 population | projections in a | accordance with the Sports |
| and Courts | | | | Development is expected to contribe Football Playing Pitches - | | | of 1.6 ha per 1000 po Cannock Chase | | | | | ards set out in the L Short/ Medium | | |
| | | | | Maintain current level of provision. Shortfall of 2.5 youth 11v11 MES, 0.5 youth 9v9 MES and 0.5 adult MES identified to 2036. This could be accommodated through quality improvements to | | | Council and delivery partners e.g. Sport England, County Council, local community organisations/to | | | | | /Long | | |
| | | | | existing grass pitches. | | | be provided as | | | | | | | |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 Objective | Core Strategy Objective | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | Delivery agency / funding source(s) | Indicative Funding | Developer Contributions | | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|----------------------|---------|---|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | | | | | Gap | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| | | | | Increase supply of junior and mini soccer pitches across the three catchment areas of Cannock/ Hednesford/Heath Hayes, Rugeley/ Brereton and Norton. | Priority | Unknown | part of development schemes or developer contributions. | Unknown | ✓ | ✓ | - | Short/ Medium/ Long | | |
| | | | | Improve quality of Council pitches and changing facilities to "Good". Agree policy with County Council and school governing bodies on community use of pitches on school sites. | Priority | Unknown | | Unknown | | | | Long | | |
| | | | ATP at Rugeley Leisure Centre Extended | 2019 shortfall for one full sized floodlit 3G pitch. | Priority | Unknown | | None | √ | √ | - | Short | Rugeley extension completed Oct 2022 | Rugeley extension is anticipated to meet demand. |
| | | | | Rugby Playing Pitches - Current shortfall of 1.25 Senior MES is expected to rise to 2.5 Senior MES by 2036. | Priority | Unknown | | Unknown | ✓ | \ | - | Short/Medium/P hased | | |
| | | | | Bowls - Focus on maintaining quality. | Priority | Unknown | | Unknown | | | | Long | Demand currently being met. | No increase in demand is anticipated |
| | | | | Tennis – Demand currently being met district wide but further provision at Hednesford Park could address overplay in this area. | Priority | Unknown | | Unknown | √ | √ | - | Medium/ Phased | | |
| | | | | Athletics - Demand identified for a floodlit, tarmac running track (2018 Indoor Sports and Facilities Needs Assessment) | Priority | Unknown | | Unknown | - | - | - | Long | | |
| Children's play areas | 1,2,7 | 1,2,3 | | Adopted Developer Contribution This basic standard was superse in the Local Plan below. | | | | | | | | | | |

| Project Name | Local Plan 2039 Objective | Core Strategy Objective | Infrastructure committed | Principal Infrastructure required for the future | Level of Priority | Costs | | Indicative Funding Gap | Developer Contributions | | | Timescale: short <5yrs, medium 5 | Progress November | Other comments |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|----------------------|--|---|---|----------------------------|----------|---|---|----------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | | | S10 6 | CIL | Direct (Includin g through S278) | to 10yrs, long: 10yrs + Phased: alongside schemes/ ongoing | 2022 | |
| | | | Committed schemes for play area developments/ improvements at Bevan Lee, Swallow Close, Arthur Street and North End Park have all been completed. (Cannock/ Rugeley) Committed schemes are under construction at Barnard Way, Wellington Drive and Laburnham Avenue (Cannock). Plans are in place for work at Cannock Park play area (Cannock) and Cannock Stadium Phase 2a (Hednesford) | Ongoing improvements / new play areas linked to increased play space provision . Increase quantity and quality across District but particularly in northern/central Cannock, Heath Hayes and Brereton. | Priority | Unknown- costs to be identified, partly dependent upon nature of development schemes Costs for Avon Road, Cannock play area £100,000 | Cannock Chase Council/ to be provided as part of development schemes or developer contributions | Unknown- at least £100,000 for Avon Road project | ✓ | ✓ | | Short/ Medium/ Phased | | A number of existing planning consents for the plan period already have S106 agreements in place to address infrastructure needs for children's play area provision. Project to install new play area at Avon Road, Cannock identified on CIL infrastructure list 2022. Adopted Developer Contributions SPD (2015) identifies indicative costs for individual schemes. |
| | | | | Updated play space provision in accordance with the 2022 Opens Space Assessment and Strategy requires that provision should achieve 0.25ha of play space per 1,000 population. | Priority | Unknown | | Unknown | √ | ✓ | - | Phased | | |
| Amenity space/other public open space | 1,2,7 | 1,2,3,7 | Range of maintenance programmes for existing open spaces Districtwide. | Adopted Developer Contribution S This basic standard was supersed out in the Local Plan as summaris Ongoing improvements / new am as a minimum) have led to an one northern Rugeley and eastern No | | A number of existing planning consents for the plan period already have S106 agreements in place to address infrastructure needs for open space provision. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Updated amenity open space provision in accordance with the 2022 Open Space Assessment and Strategy requires that provision should achieve 0.6ha of amenity green space per 1,000 population. | Priority | Unknown- costs to be identified, partly dependent upon nature of development schemes | Cannock Chase Council/ to be provided as part of development schemes or developer contributions | Unknown | ✓ | √ | - ✓ | Short/ Medium/ Phased | | |