

Integrated Impact Assessment of the Cannock Chase Local Plan: Pre-Submission

Non-Technical Summary

Cannock Chase District Council

Final report
Prepared by LUC
February 2024

Version	Status	Prepared	Checked	Approved	Date
1	Draft	K Moroney L Haddad	J Pearson	J Pearson	05.02.2024
1	Final report	K Moroney	K Moroney	J Pearson	12.02.2024











Land Use Consultants Limited

Registered in England. Registered number 2549296. Registered office: 250 Waterloo Road, London SE1 8RD. Printed on 100% recycled paper

Integrated Impact Assessment of the Cannock Chase Local Plan: Pre-Submission

Contents

Contents

Introduction	4
SA Stage A: Setting the context and objectives, establishing the baselin	e and
deciding on scope	6
SA Stage B: Developing and refining options and assessing effects	9
SA Stage C: Preparing the Sustainability Appraisal report	11
SA Stage D: Consultation on the Local Plan and the SA Report	12
SA Stage E: Monitoring implementation of the Local Plan	12
Appraisal methodology	13
Policy context	14
Baseline information and key sustainability issues	14
Likely effects of the Local Plan options	18
Likely Effects of the Pre-Submission Local Plan	29
Conclusions	37
References	39

Introduction

- **1.1** This Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA) Report: Non-Technical Summary relates to the IIA of the new Cannock Chase Local Plan. The IIA comprises a Sustainability Appraisal (SA) incorporating Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA), as well as Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA), Health Impact Assessment (HIA) and Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA). It relates to the Pre-Submission version of the Local Plan (December 2023).
- **1.2** Plans and strategies such as the Cannock Chase Local Plan are subject to a process called Sustainability Appraisal (SA), which assesses the likely effects of a plan on social, economic, and environmental issues. This document is a Non-Technical Summary of the full IIA Report that is being published for public consultation in February 2024.
- **1.3** The current version of the Local Plan has been prepared following a number of consultations on earlier draft versions of the plan. These previous stages of plan making, and details of the IIA work that has been carried out at each stage, are described in the full IIA report.

Sustainability Appraisal and Strategic Environmental Assessment

1.4 The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires Local Plans to be subject to SA. SA is designed to ensure that the plan preparation process maximises the contribution that a plan makes to sustainable development and minimises any potential adverse impacts. The SA process involves appraising the likely social, environmental and economic effects of the policies and proposals in a plan from the outset of its development.

1.5 Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) is also a statutory assessment process, required under the SEA Regulations [See reference 1]. The SEA Regulations require the formal assessment of plans and programmes that are likely to have significant effects on the environment. The Government advises that a joint SA and SEA process can be carried out by producing an SA Report that incorporates the requirements of the SEA Regulations. The SA/SEA of the Cannock Chase Local Plan has been undertaken using this integrated approach and throughout this report the abbreviation 'SA' should therefore be taken to refer to 'SA incorporating the requirements of SEA'.

Habitats Regulations Assessment

1.6 A separate Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) considered the potential effects of the Local Plan on internationally protected wildlife sites. While the HRA has been reported on separately to the IIA, the findings were taken into account in the IIA where relevant, for example to inform judgements about the likely effects of the Local Plan on biodiversity.

Health Impact Assessment

1.7 HIA aims to ensure that health-related issues are integrated into the planmaking process. Health issues are addressed through relevant SA objectives and therefore the HIA process has been integrated into the IIA.

Equalities Impact Assessment

1.8 Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA) considers equalities issues and avoidance of discrimination against groups such as older people and the disabled. An EqIA note was prepared, setting out how the Pre-Submission version of the Local Plan is likely to be compatible or incompatible with the duties that Cannock Chase Council must perform under the Equalities Act 2010.

The EqIA is presented as a separate component of the IIA from the SA/SEA and HIA.

1.9 In addition to complying with legal requirements, the approach being taken to the SA of the Cannock Chase Local Plan is based on current best practice and the guidance on SA/SEA set out in the National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG), which involves carrying out SA as an integral part of the plan-making process. The approach that has been taken to the SA of the Cannock Chase Local Plan to date is described below.

SA Stage A: Setting the context and objectives, establishing the baseline and deciding on scope

1.10 The SA process began with the production of a Scoping Report for the Cannock Chase Local Plan, which was prepared by LUC on behalf of Cannock Chase District Council in June 2018. The Scoping Report determined what the SA should cover by reviewing a wide range of relevant policy documents and examining data to help identify what the key sustainability issues are in Cannock Chase as well as likely future trends. This work helped to inform the development of a set of sustainability objectives (referred to as the 'SA framework') against which the effects of the plan would be assessed.

SA framework for appraisal of the Cannock Chase Local Plan

- SA Objective 1: Protect and enhance biodiversity, fauna and flora and geodiversity.
- SA Objective 2: Minimise pollution and protect and enhance air, water, and soil quality.

- SA Objective 3: Ensure development makes efficient use of previously developed land and buildings.
- SA Objective 4: Adapt to the impacts of, and minimise factors contributing to, climate change.
- SA Objective 5: Reduce the risk of flooding.
- SA Objective 6: Protect, enhance and manage the character and quality of the landscape and townscape, maintaining and strengthening local distinctiveness and sense of place.
- SA Objective 7: Make sustainable use of resources and minimise waste generation.
- SA Objective 8: Encourage and facilitate the use of sustainable modes of transport.
- SA Objective 9: Ensure all people are able to live in a decent home which meets their needs.
- SA Objective 10: Raise educational aspirations and attainment within the District and ensure that educational facilities are provided where they are required.
- SA Objective 11: Reduce crime and the fear of crime.
- SA Objective 12: Improve public health and ensure public health facilities are accessible for those in need.
- SA Objective 13: Protect, enhance, and create and ensure access to open spaces and facilities for leisure and recreation.
- SA Objective 14: Provide easy access to community services and facilities to meet people's needs and avoid isolation.
- SA Objective 15: Help the continued regeneration of the local economy by protecting existing employment sites and ensuring there is adequate provision of new sites.
- SA Objective 16: Enhance the town centres in order to protect and improve their vitality and viability.

■ SA Objective 17: Conserve and enhance the built historic environment (including heritage assets and their respective settings).

Health Impact Assessment

- **1.11** As described earlier in this Non-Technical Summary document, HIA for the Local Plan is being integrated into the IIA process. SA objective 12 (improve public health and ensure health facilities are accessible for those in need) directly addresses health issues. The achievement of SA objectives 9, 13, 14 and 16 are also likely to indirectly benefit people's health. This includes:
 - Providing a high quality of housing stock to meet the needs of all sections of the community (including older people and people with disabilities who may have specific needs) (SA objective 9).
 - Ensuring residents have access to open spaces and leisure and recreation facilities which will be of importance for physical as well as mental wellbeing (SA objective 13).
 - Providing a good level of access to community facilities for residents which will help to limit the potential for social isolation and support good mental in the local population (SA objective 14).
 - Supporting viable town centres where people can access essential services and facilities and can benefit from informal interactions with other residents, thereby promoting social cohesion in the Plan area (SA objective 16).
- **1.12** The options and policies for the Local Plan have all been assessed against the above objectives that comprise the SA framework as part of the SA.

SA Stage B: Developing and refining options and assessing effects

- **1.13** Developing options for a plan is an iterative process which usually involves a number of consultations with stakeholders and the public. The SA process can help to identify where there may be other 'reasonable alternatives' to the options being considered for the policies and site allocations to be included in a plan. The reasonable alternative options that have been considered for the Cannock Chase Local Plan have included alternative policy approaches as well as potential sites for new housing, employment, mixed use and Gypsy and Traveller development, as described below.
- **1.14** High level options for the policies to be included in the Local Plan were identified by the Council officers preparing the Plan. The reasonable alternative policy options were subject to SA and presented for consultation in the IIA Report for the Issues and Option Local Plan (2019). The policies were worked up in more detail by the Council in the Preferred Options Local Plan. These were subject to SA and the findings presented in the IIA Report for the Preferred Options Local Plan (2021).
- **1.15** The Pre-Submission Local Plan builds on the Preferred Options version of the Local Plan and provides the policies in their finalised form. The IIA Report for the Pre-Submission Local Plan (2024) presents the full appraisal of the policies in Chapter 5.

Site allocation options

1.16 An initial list of reasonable alternative residential and employment site options for the Local Plan was identified by the Council drawing on the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) and Employment Land Availability Assessment (ELAA). This took forward the most recent Call for Sites submissions at the time (including new sites received to the Local Plan (Part 2)

Issues and Options consultation). In addition, any new site information received in relation to the Local Plan Review (Issues and Scope) consultation in summer 2018 (which post-dated the SHLAA/ELAA) was taken into account. The sites were subject to additional sifting and appraised in the IIA Report for the Issues and Options Local Plan (2019).

- **1.17** As part of the Local Plan Issues and Options consultation, the Council asked whether there were any further site options that should be considered at subsequent stages. In response to the Issues and Options consultation, additional sites were proposed and through the monitoring of the housing data additional sites had been identified in the SHLAA and ELAA 2020. In order to establish the level of potential supply of housing land within the existing settlements, a development capacity study [See reference 2] was undertaken. This identified a shortfall in the number of homes that could potentially be delivered on land not subject to constraints and outside the designated Green Belt during the Plan period. An update of the findings was undertaken by officers to identify sites likely to come forward and exclude sites where more up to date information indicated the site was unsuitable or unavailable for residential purposes; this enabled the non-Green Belt sites proposed to be allocated in the Preferred Options document to be identified. As the resultant updates to the capacity study would still not deliver sufficient homes to meet the District's housing or employment requirement, it was necessary to undertake further assessments. The strategic housing and employment allocations included within the Preferred Options were the sites, that at the time of the preparation of that document and following detailed site assessment and evaluation, were considered to provide the most sustainable options that would deliver the spatial strategy based on the evidence available. The findings were presented in the IIA Report for the Preferred Options Local Plan (2021).
- 1.18 In the Pre-Submission Local Plan, the local housing need development target slightly increased from a minimum of 5,515 dwellings at Preferred Options stage to a minimum of 5,808 dwellings (to meet the needs of the District). This change was made to account for updates to the ONS affordability data which factor into the standard methodology for calculating housing need, and due to the extension of the plan period. The Pre-Submission Local Plan also made some amendments to proposed residential site allocations from what

was included in the Preferred Options Local Plan. Some changes were included in response to comments received as part of the consultation and others to reflect the planning status of sites, where this had changed. Some changes to the proposed residential site allocations reflected the status of infrastructure provision more generally where more development cannot currently be supported. The employment land provision in the Pre-Submission Local Plan also changed, from the 50ha identified in the Preferred Options Local Plan to 74ha, which reflects the increased need identified in the Economic Development Needs Assessment (EDNA) [See reference 3]. The Pre-Submission Local Plan also includes updates to the policy on Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation to reflect the detailed work undertaken on need and supply and to update the 5 year supply position. The policy in the Pre-Submission Local Plan allocates land for the expansion of two existing Gypsy and Traveller sites. The findings for the site options considered as part of the Pre-Submission Local Plan are presented in Chapter 4 of the full IIA Report. This work is summarised later in this Non-Technical Summary.

1.19 Further detail about how the site options were identified at each stage is provided in Chapter 2 of the full IIA Report.

SA Stage C: Preparing the Sustainability Appraisal report

1.20 The full IIA Report and this Non-Technical Summary describe the appraisal work that has been carried out during earlier stages of Local Plan preparation and set out the SA findings for the Pre-Submission Local Plan. Likely significant effects, both positive and negative, have been presented, taking into account the likely secondary, cumulative, synergistic, short, medium and long-term and permanent and temporary effects.

SA Stage D: Consultation on the Local Plan and the SA Report

1.21 Cannock Chase District Council is inviting comments on the full IIA Report and this Non-Technical Summary as well as the Pre-Submission Local Plan itself. These documents are being published on the Council's website for a period of representation between February and March 2024. Appendix A in the full IIA Report presents the consultation comments that were received in relation to the IIA at the Scoping, Issues and Options and Preferred Options stages and explains how they have been addressed.

SA Stage E: Monitoring implementation of the Local Plan

1.22 Recommendations for monitoring the social, environmental and economic effects of implementing Cannock Chase Local Plan are presented in Chapter 6 of the full IIA Report and are described further ahead in this Non-Technical Summary.

Appraisal methodology

1.23 The reasonable alternative policy and site options for the Local Plan and the preferred policies and site allocations in the Pre-Submission Local Plan have been appraised against the SA objectives in the SA framework. Symbols were attributed to each option/policy/site allocation to indicate its likely sustainability effects in relation to each objective, as shown in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Key to symbols and colour coding used in the SA of Cannock Chase's Local Plan

Symbol	Description
++	The option is likely to have a significant positive effect on the SA objective(s).
++/-	The option is likely to have a mixture of significant positive and minor negative effects on the SA objective(s).
+	The option is likely to have a minor positive effect on the SA objective(s).
0	The option is likely to have a negligible or no effect on the SA objective(s).
-	The option is likely to have a minor negative effect on the SA objective(s).
/+	The option is likely to have a mixture of significant negative and minor positive effects on the SA objective(s).
	The option is likely to have a significant negative effect on the SA objective(s).
?	It is uncertain what effect the option will have on the SA objective(s).
+/- or ++/	The option is likely to have an equal mixture of both minor or both significant positive and negative effects on the SA objective(s).

Policy context

- **1.24** There are a large number of plans and programmes that could be relevant to the preparation of the Cannock Chase Local Plan. In particular, the Local Plan must adhere to national planning policy as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) [See reference 4], [See reference 5].
- **1.25** The Local Plan should provide a spatial expression of other plans and programmes, where relevant, to assist in their implementation. It must also conform to environmental protection legislation and the sustainability objectives established at an international, national and regional level. In line with the requirements of the SEA Regulations, relevant international, national, regional, sub-regional and local plans were reviewed in relation to their objectives, targets and indicators and their implications for the Local Plan and the SA. The full review can be seen in Chapter 3 of the full IIA Report.
- **1.26** There is also a wide range of national level plans, policies and programmes with relevant objectives related to SA and the Local Plan process, which are summarised in Appendix B of the full IIA Report.

Baseline information and key sustainability issues

- **1.27** Baseline information provides the context for assessing the sustainability of proposals in the Cannock Chase Local Plan and it provides the basis for identifying trends, predicting the likely effects of the plan and monitoring its outcomes.
- **1.28** Schedule 2 of the SEA Regulations requires data to be gathered on biodiversity, population, human health, flora, fauna, soil, water, air, climatic factors, material assets, cultural heritage including architectural and

archaeological heritage, landscape and the inter-relationship between the above factors. As an integrated SA and SEA is being carried out, baseline information relating to other sustainability topics has also been included; for example information about housing, education, transport, energy, waste and economic growth. The baseline information has been updated as part of the preparation of each iteration of the IIA Report. The updated baseline information is presented in Appendix C of the full IIA Report.

- **1.29** The baseline information contributed to the identification of a set of key sustainability issues for Cannock Chase, which in turn helped to develop a locally appropriate SA framework (i.e. a set of SA objectives) that would be used for appraising the emerging Local Plan. The key sustainability issues for the Borough are set out below. In line with the requirements of the SEA Regulations, consideration has been given to the likely evolution of the environment in the Borough if the Local Plan were not to be implemented (see Chapter 3 of the full IIA Report).
- 1.30 In general, the adopted development plan for Cannock Chase contains policies that will help to address the key sustainability issues for the plan area. In the absence of the new Local Plan the requirements of these policies along with national planning policy would continue to help limit the most adverse effects in relation to these issues. However, without the new Local Plan, development is more likely to come forward at less sustainable locations in the District given changing circumstances and the emergence of more up to date evidence since the adoption of the current development plan. The new Local Plan presents an opportunity to include updated policies to better address the trends observed across these key sustainability issues. It also presents an opportunity to respond positively to issues of importance on the national stage such as climate change, biodiversity and health and wellbeing as well as ensuring that the housing and employment needs of local people are met.

Key Sustainability Issues for Cannock Chase

- The population aged 65 years and over is growing disproportionately to the remaining population. This will have implications for the economy, service provision, accommodation and health.
- There is a need for more housing to meet local needs in the District including meeting any potential requirement from the wider Greater Birmingham housing market area (HMA). There is also a particular need to address the shortfall in affordable housing in the District.
- Adult education attainment is lower than average and there is disparity in educational attainment within the different areas of the District itself, contributing to structural weaknesses in the local economy.
- There has been an increase in the amount of reported crime in the District in recent years.
- Average weekly earnings in the District are lower than the national averages.
- While the ELAA 2021 shows a current surplus against the current Local Plan (Part 1) target, there is a need to safeguard viable existing employment sites and identify new allocations to ensure long term sustainable employment growth to meet local needs.
- The identified health priorities for the District relating to childhood obesity, smoking in pregnancy, drug misuse and aging well are unlikely to be suitably addressed unless preventative measures are taken.
- Car dependency in the area is amongst the highest in the UK. There is potential for further traffic growth without planning intervention which could have implications for air quality in the District. The recent reduction in provision of local bus services may further impact this issue.
- Climate change is likely to affect biodiversity and lead to increased hazards from surface water and fluvial flooding and increased temperatures.
- Poor air quality affects some parts of the District. The AQMA at Heath
 Hayes towards Five Ways Island and the AQMA along part of the A5

Watling Street (between the junction with the A34 Walsall Road and the District boundary with South Staffordshire) were revoked in May 2023. However, an AQMA is still in place at the A5 Watling Street between Churchbridge Traffic Islands and the District boundary with Walsall.

- No rivers in Cannock Chase currently achieve 'good' chemical status.
- Parts of Cannock Chase are at risk from surface water, groundwater or river flooding. The watercourses within the District pose a flood risk to urban areas, particularly Rising Brook, Rising Brook and Wash Brook.
- There are increasing requirements to improve recycling and avoid sending waste to landfill.
- There are several sensitive ecological sites within the District, including Cannock Chase SAC (the Zone of Influence for which extends for a 15km radius around the SAC), and Cannock Extension Canal SAC which need to be protected to ensure no harm arises from development.
- 39% of the District falls within the Cannock Chase National Landscape, which is under pressure from development.
- Although none of the heritage assets in Cannock Chase are on the Heritage at Risk List there are numerous important built heritage assets that should be preserved and enhanced. Furthermore, these assets are continuously facing pressures for change.
- 60% of the District is designated as Green Belt and this is continuously under pressure from urban influences and the demand for land to accommodate new development is strong.

Likely effects of the Local Plan options

1.31 This section summarises the SA findings for the site options considered by Cannock Chase as reasonable alternative options for allocation in the Local Plan. Tables 2 to 4, overleaf, summarise the likely sustainability effects expected in relation to the residential site options assessed in the SA, by area (i.e. Cannock, Hednesford and Heath Hayes; Norton Canes; and Rugeley). Tables 5 to 7 summarise the likely sustainability effects expected in relation to the employment, mixed use and Gypsy and Traveller site options. The detailed appraisal matrices for each site option can be found in Appendix E to the full IIA report, with the findings described in more detail in Chapter 4 of the full report.

1.32 A summary of the SA findings for the policy options considered at the Issues and Options stage that formed the basis of the policy development for the subsequent Preferred Options and Pre-Submission version Local Plan documents is provided in Appendix G in the full IIA Report.

Table 2: Summary of likely sustainability effects for residential site options in Cannock, Hednesford and Heath Hayes

Site	SA1: Biodiversity and geodiversity	Pollution			Flooding		SA7: Waste	SA8: Sustainable transport		SA10: Education					Economy	Town	SA17: Historic environment
C17	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	+	++	+?	0	+	++	++	0	0	0?
C63	-?	+/-?	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	+	++	++	0	0	0?
C64	?	-		0	-	?	0	+	++	+?	0	+	++/-?	-	0	0	0?
C81	-?	-?		0	-	0?	0	+	++	+?	0	+	++?	+?	0	0	0?
C84 (part)	-?			0	-	-?	0	0	++	+?	0	-	++?	+?	0	0	-?
C85	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	0	++	-?
C86	-?	?/+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	+?	0	++	++	++	0	++	?
C113c Pt2	-?	?		0	-	?	0	+	++	+?	0	-	++	++	0	0	0?
C113f	-?	?		0	-	?	0	+	++	++?	0	-	++	++	0	0	0?
C113g	-?	?		0	-	?	0	+	++	+?	0	-	++	++	0	0	0?
C115	-?	/+	+	0	0	-?	+?	+	++	+?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	0?
C116a	-?	?		0	-	0?	0	+	++	++?	0	+	++?/-?	+?	0	0	?
C116 a and C489	-?	?		0	-	-?	0	+	++	++?	0	+	++/-?	+?	0	0	?
C116a, C489 and C352	-?	?		0	-	-?	0	+	++	++?	0	+	++?/-?	+?	0	0	?
C116b	-?	?		0	-	0?	0	+	++	++?	0	-	++?/-?	-	0	0	0?
C116c	-?	?		0	-	-?	0	+	++	+?	0	+	++?/-?	+?	0	0	0?
C118	-?	?		0	-	?	0	+	++	++?	0	-	++/-?	++	0	0	0?
C120	-?	+	+	0	0	?	+?	0	++	+?	0	+	++	++	0	0	?
C121	-?	/+	+	0	0	0?	+?	0	++	+?	0	-	++/-?	++	0	0	-?

Site	SA1: Biodiversity and geodiversity		SA3: Previously developed land		Flooding	SA6: Landscape and townscape		SA8: Sustainable transport		SA10: Education	Crime				Economy		SA17: Historic environment
C122b	-?	+	+	0	0	?	+?	+	++	-?	0	-	++/-?	-	0	0	0?
C127	-?	+/-?	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	+?	0	-	++	++		0	0?
C136	-?	-?	-	0	-	?	0	0	++	-?	0	-	++	-	0	0	0?
C137	?	-?	-	0	-	?	0	0	++	-?	0	-	++	-	0	0	?
C152	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	0	0	?
C171	-?	-	-	0	-	?	0	0	++	+?	0	-	++	-	0	0	0?
C174	-?	-	-	0	-	?	0	0	++	+?	0	+	++	-	0	0	0?
C176	-?	-	-	0	-	?	0	++	++	-?	0	-	++/-?	++	0	0	0?
C177	-?	-	-	0	-	0?	0	++	++	++?	0	+	++	++	0	0	0?
C220a	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	+	++	++?	0	-	++	++		0	0?
C220b	-?	+/-?	+	0	0	0?	+?	+	++	++?	0	+	++	++		0	0?
C222a	-?	/+	+	0	0	0?	+?	+	++	+?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	0?
C237	?	+/-?	+	0	0	?	+?	+	++	+?	0	-	++	++	0	0	0?
C264	?			0	-	?	0	0	++	++?	0	+	++?/-?	+?	0	0	0?
C264a	-?			0	-	-?	0	0	++	+?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	0?
C264b	-?			0	-	-?	0	0	++	-?	0	+	++?	+?	0	0	0?
C264c	?			0	-	-?	0	0	++	-?	0	+	++?	+?	0	0	-?
C264d	?			0	-	?	0	0	++	+?	0	+	++?/-?	+?	0	0	0?
C264e	-?			0	-	?	0	0	++	-?	0	+	++?	+?	0	0	0?
C265	?			0	-	?	0	0	++	++?	0	-	++?/-?	-	0	0	0?
C279a	?	-		0	-	-?	0	+	++	+?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	0?
C279b	?	-?		0	-	-?	0	+	++	+?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	0?

Site	SA1: Biodiversity and geodiversity	Pollution	SA3: Previously developed land		Flooding	SA6: Landscape and townscape		SA8: Sustainable transport		SA10: Education			Recreation		SA15: Economy	Town	SA17: Historic environment
C309	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	0	++	-?	0	-	++	++		0	0?
C323	-?	/+	+	0	0	0?	+?	+	++	+?	0	-	++	+?		0	0?
C342	?	-?		0	-	?	0	++	++	++?	0	-	++?	++	0	0	0?
C349	-?	+	+	0	0	0?		++	++	++	0	++	++	++		++	?
C352	-?		-	0	-	-?	0	+	++	-?	0	-	++/-?	+?	0	0	0?
C373	?	-?		0	-	?	0	0	++	-?	0	-	++/-?	-	0	0	?
C375a and b	-?	?		0	-	?	0	+	++	-?	0	-	++/-?	++	0	0	0?
C376	-?	-	-	0	-	?	0	0	++	-?	0	-	++	-	0	0	0?
C376b	-?	-		0	-	?	0	-	++	-?	0	-	++		0	0	-?
C399	-?	-	-	0	-	?	0	0	++	-?	0	-	++	-	0	0	-?
C400	-?	-	-	0	1	?	0	0	++	-?	0	1	++	-	0	0	0?
C401	-?	-	-	0	-	?	0	0	++	-?	0	-	++	-	0	0	0?
C404	-?	-	-	0	1	?	0	0	++	-?	0	1	++	-	0	0	?
C422b	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++		0	0?
C433	-?	-		0	-	?	0	+	++	+?	0	-	++	++	0	0	0?
C467	-?	-	-	0	-	?	0	-	++	-?	0	-	++		0	0	0?
C469	-?	+/-	+	0	0	?	+?	++	++	-?	0	-	++	++	0	0	0?
C488	-?	?		0	-	?	0	+	++	++?	0	-	++	++	0	0	0?
C489	-?	?		0	-	-?	0	+	++	-?	0	-	++/-?	+?	0	0	0?
C498	-?	?/+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	+?	0	++	++	++	0	++	-?
C504/CE73	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	0	++	?
C505	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++?	++	++?	0	++	++	++	0	++	?

Site	SA1: Biodiversity and geodiversity	Pollution	SA3: Previously developed land		Flooding	Landasana	SA7: Waste	SA8: Sustainable transport					Recreation	SA14: Services and facilities	SA15: Economy	SA16: Town centres	SA17: Historic environment
C506/CE40	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	0	++	0?
C507	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	0	++	-?
C508	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	0	++	0?
C509	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	0	++	-?
C510	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	0	++	0?
C511 Part of CE46	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	0	++	-?
C511b Part of CE46	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	0	++	-?
C521	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	+	++	+?	0	+	++	+?	0	0	0?
C540	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	0	0	-?
C547	-?	-	-	0	-	0?	0	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	0	0	?
C533	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	0	++	-?
C557	-?	+	++	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++/-?	0	++	++/-?	++	0	0	0?
C558	-?	+/-	+	0	0	0	+?	++	++	++	0	+	++	++		0	-?

Table 3: Summary of likely sustainability effects for residential sites in Norton Canes

	SA1: Biodiversity and geodiversity	Pollution	SA3: Previously developed land	Climate		SA6: Landscape and townscape	Waste	SA8: Sustainable transport					Recreation		Economy	Town	SA17: Historic environment
NE1	-?	?	-	0	-	-?	0	+	++	-?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	0?
N3b	?		-	0	-	-?	0	+	++	+?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	0?
N13a	-?	?		0	-	-?	0	+	++	+?	0	-	++?	+?	0	0	?

Site	SA1: Biodiversity and geodiversity			Climate	Flooding	Landasana	Waste	SA8: Sustainable transport					Recreation		Economy	Town	SA17: Historic environment
N13b	-?	?		0	-	-?	0	-?	++	-?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	0?
N14	?	-	-	0	-	-?	0	+	++	++?	0	-	++/-?	+?	0	0	0?
N15	-?	-		0	-	-?	0	+	++	+?	0	-	++?	+?	0	0	?
N18	?	?		0	-	-?	0	-	++	-?	0	-	++		0	0	-?
N20	-?	?		0	-	-?	0	+	++	+?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	0?
N24	-?	?		0	-	-?	0	+	++	++?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	-?
N33	-?			0	-	-?	0	+	++	++?	0	-	++		0	0	?
N49	-?			0	-	-?	0	+	++	-?	0	-	++?	+?	0	0	?
N51	?			0	-	-?	0	+	++	-?	0	-	++?	+?	0	0	0?
N52	-?			0	-	-?	0	+	++	+?	0	-	++?/-?	+?	0	0	?
N59	-?			0	-	-?	0	+	++	+?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	0?
N63	?	?		0	-	-?	0	+	++	-?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	?
N64	-?	?		0	-	-?	0	+	++	++?	0	-	++?	+?	0	0	0?
N65	-?	?		0	-	-?	0	+	++	+?	0	-	++/-?	+?	0	0	0?
N66	-?	?	-	0	-	-?	0	-?	++	-?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	0?
N67	-?		-	0	-	0?	0	+	++	++?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	0?
N67b	-?	-	-	0	-	0?	0	+	++	++?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	0?
N68	-?	?	-	0	-	-?	0	-	++?	-?	0	-	++		0	0	0?
N73	-?	?		0	-	-?	0	+	++	++?	0	-	++?	+?	0	0	0?
N74	?			0	-	-?	0	+	++	++?	0	-	++?	+?	0	0	0?
N75	?	?		0	-	-?	0	-	++	-?	0	-	++?		0	0	0?
N78	?			0	-	-?	0	+	++	++?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	0?

Table 4: Summary of the likely sustainability effects for the residential sites in Rugeley

Site	SA1: Biodiversity and geodiversity	Pollution	SA3: Previously developed land	Climate	Flooding	Londones		SA8: Sustainable transport	SA9: Housing	SA10: Education	SA11: Crime			SA14: Services and facilities	Economy	Town	SA17: Historic environment
R9	-?	+	++	0	0	?	+?	++	++	++?	0	+	++/-?	++	0	0	0?
R16	-?	-?		0	-	?	0	++	++	++?	0	+	++/-?	++	0	0	0?
R18	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	+?	0	-	++/-?	++	0	0	0?
R18(a)	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	+	+?	0	-	++/-?	++	0	0	0?
R18 and R18(a)	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	+?	0	-	++/-?	++	0	0	0?
R22	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	+	++	+?	0	+	++	++		0	0?
R23	-?	+	+	0	0	?	+?	+	++	+?	0	+	++	+?	0	0	-?
R25	-?	+/-	+	0	0	?	+?	+	++	+?	0	+	++/-?	+?	0	0	-?
R28	-?	-		0	-	?	0	+	++	+?	0	-	++?/-?	+?	0	0	0?
R29a	-?	-	-	0	-	?	0	+	++	+?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	0?
R29b	-?	-	-	0	-	?	0	+	++	+?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	-?
R32	-?	-	-	0	-	?	0	+	++	+?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	0?
R33	-?	-		0	-	?	0	++	++	+?	0	-	++/-?	++	0	0	0?
R37	-?	-	-	0	-	?	0	+	++	+?	0	-	++/-?	++	0	0	0?
R38	-?	?		0	-	?	0	0	++	+?	0	-	++?/-?	-	0	0	?
R43a	-?	+	++	0	0	?	+?	++	++	+?	0	+	++	+?		0	0?
R43b	-?	+	+	0	0	?	+?	++	++	+?	0	+	++	+?		0	0?
R43 aand R43b	-?	+	++	0	0	?	+?	++	++	+?	0	+	++	+?		0	0?
R43c, d, e, f, g	-?	+	+	0	0	?	+?	+	++	+?	0	+	++	+?		0	0?
R43h	-?	+	+	0	0	?	+?	+	++	+?	0	+	++	+?		0	0?

Site	SA1: Biodiversity and geodiversity	Pollution	SA3: Previously developed land	Climate	Flooding	Landaaana	Waste	SA8: Sustainable transport		SA10: Education			SA13: Recreation		SA15: Economy	Town	SA17: Historic environment
R74	-?	?	-	0	-	?	0	-	++	+?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	0?
R83	-?	-		0	-	?	0	+	++	+?	0	-	++?	++	0	0	0?
R106	-?	?	-	0	-	?	0	+	++	-?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	0?
R112	-?	?		0	-	?	0	++	++	+?	0	-	++/-?	++	0	0	?
R127	-?	+/-?	++	0	0	0?	+?	+	++	++/-?	0	-	++/-?	++		0	0?
R139	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	+?	0	+	++	++	0	0	?
R114b	-?	+	+	0	0	?	+?	++	++	++?	0	+	++	++	0	++	-?
R145/RE19	-?	+	+	0	-	0?	+?	++	++	+?	0	+	++	++	0	++	0?
R156	-?	?	-	0	-	?	0	-	++	-?	0	-	++	++	0	0	-?
R157	-?	?	-	0	-	?	0	-	++	-?	0	-	++	++	0	0	0?
R158	-?	-?	-	0	-	?	0	-	++	+?	0	-	++	++	0	0	0?
R159	-?	-?		0	-	?	0	++	++	+?	0	-	++	++	0	0	0?
R187	-?	-?	-	0	-	?	0	-	++	+?	0	-	++	++	0	0	0?
R189	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	+?	0	+	++	++	0	0	0?
R194	-?	+/-?	+	0	0	?	+?	++	++	++?	0	+	++/-?	++	0	0	0?
R208	-?	+/-	+	0	0	?	+?	+	++	+?	0	+	++/-?	+?	0	0	0?
R221	-?	+/-?	++	0	0	?	+?	++	++	++	0	+	++/-?	++	0	0	-?
R227	-?	+	+	0	0	?	+?	++	++	+?	0	+	++	++	0	0	?

Table 5: Summary of likely sustainability effects for employment site options

Site	SA1: Biodiversity and geodiversity		SA3: Previously developed land	Climate	Flooding	SA6: Landscape and townscape	Waste	SA8: Sustainable transport	SA9: Housing	SA10: Education			SA13: Recreation		Economy	Town	SA17: Historic environment
CE18	-?	?	-	0	-	-?	0	-	0	0	0	0	++		+	0	-?
CE19	-?	?	-	0	-	-?	0	-?	0	0	0	0	++/-?	-	+	0	0?
CE63	0?	?/+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	0	0	0	0	++	++	+	0	0?
CE67	-?		-	0	-	0?	0	-?	0	0	0	0	++	+?	+	0	0?
CE68	0?	?/+	+	0	-	0?	+?	++	0	0	0	0	++	++	+	0	0?
CE71	?	-?	+	0	0	?	+?	0	0	0	0	0	++	-	+	0	0?
CE76	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	0	0	0	0	++	++	+	0	-?
NE1	-?	?	-	0	-	-?	0	+	0	0	0	0	++	+?	+	0	0?
NE2	0?		-	0	-	-?	0	-?	0	0	0	0	++	+?	+	0	-?
NE5	?	?	-	0	-	-?	0	-	0	0	0	0	++		+	0	0?
NE6	?	?	-	0	-	-?	0	-	0	0	0	0	++		+	0	0?
NE12	?		-	0	-	-?	0	-	0	0	0	0	++		+	0	0?
RE3	0?	-?	-	0	-	0?	0	-	0	0	0	0	++	+?	+	0	0?
RE7	0?	+/-?	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	0	0	0	0	++	++	+	0	0?
RE8	0?	+/-?	+	0	-	-?	+?	++	0	0	0	0	++	++	+	0	0?
RE24	-?	+/-?	++	0	0	0?	+?	+	0	0	0	0	++/-?	+?	++	0	0?
RE29	0?	+	+	0	0	?	+?	+	0	0	0	0	++	+?	+	0	0?

Table 6: Summary of likely sustainability effects for mixed use site options

Site	SA1: Biodiversity and geodiversity	Pollution	SA3: Previously developed land	Climate	Flooding	SA6: Landscape and townscape	SA7: Waste	SA8: Sustainable transport			Crime	SA12: Health and wellbeing		SA14: Services and facilities	Economy	Town	SA17: Historic environment
C116a and C116b	-?	?		0	-	-?	0	+	++	++?	0	+	++?/-?	+?	+?	0	?
C119	-?	-		0	-	-?	0	+	++	-?	0	-	++	+?	+?	0	0?
C129	?	+/-?	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	+	++	++	+?	++	?
C326	?		-	0	-	-?	0	+	++	-?	0	-	++	+?	+?	0	0?
C432	-?	?/+	++	0	0	0?	+?	+	++	+?	0	+	++	++	+/-?	0	0?
C462	?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	+?	0	+	++	++	+?	++	0?
C504/CE73	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	+?	++	?
C505	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++?	++	++?	0	++	++	++	+?	++	?
C506/CE40	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++?	++	++?	0	++	++	++	+?	++	0?
C508	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	+?	++	0?
C511 Part of CE46	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	+?	++	-?
C527/CE74	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	+	+?	0	+	++	++	+?	++	0?
N57	?	+	++	0	0	-?	+?	-	++	-?	0	-	++/-?		+?	0	-?
RE20	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	+?	0	+	++	++	+?	0	0?
R90	-?	+/-?	+	0	0	?	+?	+	++	++?	0	+	++/-?	++	+	0	0?
R127	-?	+/-?	++	0	0	0?	+?	+	++	++/-?	0	-	++/-?	+?	++?/-	0	0?
R128	-?	+		0	-	?	0	+	++	+?	0	+	++?/-?	+?	+?	0	0?
R143/RE15	-?	+	++	0	0	?	+?	+	++	++?	0	+	++	++	+?	++	-?
R144a/RE16[See reference 6]	-?	+	+	0	0	?	+?	++	++	++?	0	+	++	++	+?	++	-?

	SA1: Biodiversity and geodiversity	Pollution		Climate	Flooding		Waste						Recreation	SA14: Services and facilities	Economy	Town	SA17: Historic environment
C116b	++?	+	0	+	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	+	++	0	0	0	0

Table 7: Summary of likely sustainability effects for Gypsy and Traveller site options

		SA2: Pollution	Previously		SA5: Flooding	SA6: Landscape and townscape	Waste	SA8: Sustainable transport	SA9: Housing	SA10: Education	SA11: Crime		SA13: Recreation	SA14: Services and facilities	SA15: Economy	SA16: Town centres	SA17: Historic environment
GT1	-?	-?	-	0	-	-?	0	+	++	-?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	-?
GT2	-?	?	-	0	-	-?	0	-	++	-?	0	-	++		0	0	-?
GT3	-?	?	-	0	-	-?	0	+	++	-?	0	-	++/-?	+?	0	0	?
GT4	?	?	-	0	-	-?	0	-	++	-?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	-?
GT5	?	-?	-	0	-	-?	0	-	++	-?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	-?
GT6	-?	+	+	0	0	?	+?	+	++	-?	0	-	++	-	0	0	-?
GT7	-?	?	-	0	-	-?	0	-	++	-?	0	-	++		0	0	0?

Likely Effects of the Pre-Submission Local Plan

- **1.2** This section presents the SA findings for the policies and site allocations in the current version of the Local Plan, the Pre-Submission Local Plan (December 2023). The likely effects of all of the policies in the Local Plan are presented in Table 8 overleaf. Table 9, which follows, summarises the likely cumulative effects of the Local Plan as a whole on each SA objective.
- **1.3** The expected cumulative effects of the plan are described in full in Chapter 5 of the full IIA Report. Chapter 5 in the full IIA Report also describes the cumulative effects of delivering new development in Cannock Chase with growth being proposed in neighbouring authority areas.

Table 8: Likely sustainability effects of the policies in the Pre-Submission Local Plan

Policy	SA1: Biodiversity and geodiversity	SA2: Pollution	SA3: Previously developed land	Climate	Flooding	Landacana		SA8: Sustainable transport	SA9: Housing	SA10: Education		SA12: Health and wellbeing	Recreation		SA15: Economy		SA17: Historic environment
Strategic Objective 1	0	0	0	0	0	++	0	+	0	0	++	+	+	0	0	++	++
Strategic Objective 2	+	0	0	0	+	+	0	+	0	0	0	++	++	+	0	0	0
Strategic Objective 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Strategic Objective 4	0	+	0	+	0	++	0	++	+	+	0	0	0	+	++	++	0
Strategic Objective 5	0	++	0	++	0	0	0	++	0	++	+	++	++	++	+	0	0
Strategic Objective 6	0	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	++	++	++	0
Strategic Objective 7	++	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	0	0	0	+
Strategic Objective 8	+	++	++	++	++	0	++	++	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0
Policy SO1.1	0	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	++
Policy SO1.2	+	+	0	+	0	++	0	+	0	0	+	+	+	+	0	0	++
Policy SO1.3	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	++	+	+	0	0	0	+
Policy SO2.1	0	+	0	+	+	+	0	+	-?	+	0	++	++	++	-?	0	0
Policy SO2.2	+	++	+	++	+	+	0	+	-?	0	0	++	++	+	-?	0	0
Policy SO2.3	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	-?	0	0	++	++	+	-?	0	0
Policy SO2.4	+	0	+	+	+	+	0	0	-?	0	0	++	+	0	-?	0	0
Policy SO2.5	++	+	+	+	+	++	0	+	-?	+	0	++	++	+	-?	0	0

Policy	SA1: Biodiversity and geodiversity	SA2: Pollution	SA3: Previously developed land	Climate	Flooding	SA6: Landscape and townscape	Waste	SA8: Sustainable transport					Recreation		Economy		SA17: Historic environment
Policy SO3.1	/+?	/+	++/	+/-	-	+/-	+?	++/-	++	++/-?	0	++?	++	++/-	+	++	?
Policy SO3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	++?	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0
Policy SO3.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0
Policy SO3.4	/+	+/-	-	+/-	-	+/-?	+	+/-	++	+/-	0	+/-	+	+/-	0	0	+/-?
Policy SO4.1	-?	+/-?	+	+/-	0	0	+	+/-	0	+	0	+/-	+	++/-	++	+	-?
Policy SO4.2	/+	-?	+/-	+/-	-?	/+?	++	+/-	0	0	0	++/-	++	+/-	++	++	-?
Policy SO4.3	-?	+/-	+	+/-	0	0	+	+/-	0	0	0	+/-	+	+/-	++	+	-?
Policy SO4.4	+?	+/-	+	+/-	-?	+?	+	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	++	0	+/-?
Policy SO4.5	0	+	0	+	-?	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	++	0	0
Policy SO4.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	++	0	0
Policy SO5.1	+	++	0	++	+	0	0	++	0	0	0	+	+	++	+	+	0
Policy SO5.2	0	+	0	+	0	-?	0	+	+	0	0	+	0	+	++	+	+/-?
Policy SO5.3	0	++	0	++	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	+	0
Policy SO5.4	0	++	0	++	0	0	0	++	0	+	0	+	+	++	++	+	0
Policy SO5.5	++	+	+	+	+	++	0	+	0	0	+	+	+	+	+	0	++
Policy SO5.6	+	++	0	++	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	+
Policy SO5.7	0	+?	0	+?	0	0	0	+?	+	0	0	+	0	+	0	+	0
Policy SO6.1	0	+	0	+	0	++	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	++	++	++	++
Policy SO6.2	0	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	++	++/-	++	+
Policy SO6.3	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	0	+	0	0	++	+	++/-	+
Policy SO6.4	0	+	0	+	0	++	0	++	+	0	+	++	0	0	0	++	++
Policy SO6.5	-?	+/-	++	+/-	0	+?	+	+	++	++?	0	++	+	++	++	++	?/+

Policy	SA1: Biodiversity and geodiversity	Pollution	SA3: Previously developed land	Climate	Flooding	SA6: Landscape and townscape	Waste	SA8: Sustainable transport		SA10: Education	Crime		Recreation		SA15: Economy		SA17: Historic environment
Policy SO6.6	+/-?	+/-	++	+/-	-?	+?	+	+	+	++?	0	+	+	++	++	+	?/+
Policy SO6.7	+/-	+	+	+/-	0	+?	+	+	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	+	+/-
Policy SO7.1	++	+	0	+	0	+	0	0	-?	0	0	+	+	0	-?	0	+
Policy SO7.2	++	+	0	+	+	+	0	0	-?	0	0	+	0	0	-?	0	+
Policy SO7.3	++	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	-?	+	0	+	+	0	+/-?	0	+
Policy SO7.4	+	+	0	+	+	++	0	0	-?	0	0	+	++	0	+/-?	0	++
Policy SO7.5	+	+	0	+	0	++	0	0	-?	0	0	+	+	0	+/-?	0	+
Policy SO7.6	++	+	+	+	+	++	0	+	-?	0	0	++	++	0	+/-?	0	+
Policy SO7.7	/+	?/+	?	+/-	+/-	+/-?	0	+/-	++	++/-	0	+/-	++/-	+/-	++	+	-?
Policy SO7.8	++	+	0	+	+	++	0	+	-?	0	0	++	++	0	+/-?	0	+
Policy SO8.1	+?	++	+	++	0	+/-?	0	0	+/-	0	0	0	0	0	+/-	0	+?
Policy SO8.2	0	++	0	++	0	0	0	0	+/-	0	0	0	0	0	+/-	0	0
Policy SO8.3	+/-?	++	+	++	+	+/-?	++	++	+/-	0	0	+	+	+	+/-	0	-?
Policy SO8.4	+?	0	0	++	++	+?	+	0	+/-	0	0	0	0	0	+/-	0	0
Policy SO8.5	++	++	0	+	+	+	0	0	-?	0	0	++	0	0	-?	0	0
Policy SO8.6	0	++	++	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Policy SO8.7	0	0	0	+	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Policy SO8.8	0	0	0	+	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0
Site allocation	on policies																
SH1	+/-?	?		+	0	0?	0	+	++	++?	<u>0</u>	+	++	+?	<u>0</u>	0	-?
SH2	<u>-?</u>	-		+	+	0?	0	+/-	++	+	<u>0</u>	+/-	++	+?	0	0	?
SH3	<u>-?</u>	+/-	+	+	0	0?	+?	+	++	+?	<u>0</u>	-	++	++	0	0	0?

Policy	SA1: Biodiversity and geodiversity	SA2: Pollution	SA3: Previously developed land	Climate	Flooding	Landacana		SA8: Sustainable transport		SA10: Education			Recreation		SA15: Economy		SA17: Historic environment
SH6	-?	+/-?	++	+	0	-?	+?	++	++	++?	0	+	++	++	0	0	-?
SM1	+?	+/-?	++	+	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	+	++	++	++/-	+	0?
SE1	+?	?	-	+	0	0?	0	-	0	0	0	+	++	-	++	0	-?
SE2	-?		-	+	0	0?	0	-	0	0	0	0	++	Ē	++	0	0?
SA1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
H29	0?	?		0	0	-?	0	+	++	++?	0	-	++	++	0	0	0?
M1	-?	+	+	0	0	+?	+?	++/-	++	++?	0	++	++	++	+?	++	-?
H32/M5	-?	+	+	0	0	+?	+?	++/-	++	++?	0	++	++	++	+?	++	-?
M3	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++/-	++	++?	0	++	++	++	+?	++	0?
H34	-?	-?		0	0	0?	0	+	++	+?	0	+	++?	+?	0	0	0?
H35	-?	-	-	0	0	0?	0	++	++	++?	0	+	++	++	0	0	0?
H36	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	0	++	-?
H37	-	+	+	0	0	0?	+	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	0	++	0?
H38	-?	?/+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	+	0	++	++	++	0	++	?
H39	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	0	+	?
H40	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	0	++	-?
M4	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	+	++	0?
M2	-	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++?	++	++?	0	++	++	++	+	++	-?
H43	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	+	++	+?	0	+	++	+?	0	0	0?
H60	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	0	++	-?
H61	-?	+	++	0	0	0	+?	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	0	0	0?
H62	-?	+/-	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	+	++	++		0	-?

Policy	SA1: Biodiversity and geodiversity	SA2: Pollution	SA3: Previously developed land	Climate		SA6: Landscape and townscape		SA8: Sustainable transport	SA9: Housing	SA10: Education			SA13: Recreation		SA15: Economy		SA17: Historic environment
H63	-?	+	++	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	+	0	-	++	++	-	0	0
H65	-?	+/-?	+	0	0	?	+?	++	++	-	0	+	++	++	0	0	0?
H66	-?	+/-?	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	++?	0	++	++	++	0	++	-?
H49	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	++	++	+?	0	-	++/-	++	+	0	0?
H50	-?	+/-	+	0	0	?	+?	+	++	+?	0	+	++/-	+	0	0	-?
H51	-?	+/-	+	0	0	?	+?	+	++	+?	0	+	++/-	+?	0	0	0?
H52	-?	+	+	0	0	0?	+?	+	++	+?	0	+	++	++	-	0	0?
H53	-?	+	+	0	0	0	+?	++	++	+?	0	+	++	++	0	0	0?
H64	-?	+	+	0	0	?	+?	++	++	++?	0	+	++	++	0	++	-
H67	-?	+	+	0	0	?	+?	++	++	+?	0	+	++	++	0	0	-
H68	-?	Ξ		0	-	-?	0	0?	++	-?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	0?
H69	-?	Ξ	-	0	0	0?	0	+	++	++?	0	-	++	+?	0	0	0?
E4	<u>0</u>	-	-	0	0	0?	0	-	0	0	0	0	++	+?	+	0	0?
E6	<u>0</u>	+	+	0	0	?	+	+	0	0	0	0	++	+?	+	0	0?
E14	?	+/-?	+	0	0	?	+?	0	0	0	0	0	++	-	+	0	?
E16	-?	?	-	0	0	-?	0	+	0	0	0	0	++	+?	+	0	0?
GT1	-?	-	-	0	-	?	0	+	++	-?	0	-	++	-	0	0	-?
GT2	-?	?	-	0	-	-?	0	-	++	-?	0	-	++	?	0	0	0

Table 9: Cumulative effects of the Pre-Submission Local Plan

SA objective	Cumulative effect of the Local Plan policies
SA1: Biodiversity and geodiversity	/+
SA2: Pollution	/+
SA3: Previously developed land	+/-
SA4: Climate Change	++/-
SA5: Flooding	+/-
SA6: Landscape and townscape	/+
SA7: Waste	+/-
SA8: Sustainable transport	++/-
SA9: Housing	++
SA10: Education	+/-?
SA11: Crime	+
SA12: Health and wellbeing	++/-
SA13: Recreation	++/-
SA14: Services and facilities	++/-
SA15: Economy	++/-
SA16: Town centres	+
SA17: Historic environment	+/-

Monitoring

1.4 The SEA Regulations require that monitoring is undertaken in relation to the significant effects of implementing the Plan in question. A number of suggested indicators for monitoring the potential significant sustainability effects of implementing the Cannock Chase Local Plan are set out in Chapter 6 of the full IIA Report.

Conclusions

- **1.5** The IIA Report for the Cannock Chase Pre-Submission Local Plan has considered the sustainability implications of the policies and site allocations presented in the Pre-Submission Local Plan which have been assessed against the SA objectives at the scoping stage of the SA process.
- 1.6 In summary, the Local Plan Pre-Submission document sets out an approach that will meet needs for housing and employment growth, whilst affording a strong degree of protection to the District's high value environmental assets. The plan also seeks to address the District's air quality and climate change concerns through support for sustainable transport improvements and appropriately located development. Given the scale of growth proposed, it will not be possible to avoid all adverse impacts on the District's landscape character, biodiversity assets and historic environment. The presence of an AQMA within the south of the District means there is potential to intensify existing air quality issues at this location as development occurs. Furthermore, the Local Plan includes some large greenfield sites for allocation, which will result in the loss of some areas of high value agricultural soils. The delivery of sustainable development over the Plan period will also be challenged by the lack of existing service provision in some areas and the existing propensity towards private car travel.
- **1.7** The plan includes policies that will be beneficial in terms of local residents' social wellbeing, by providing for access to community facilities for formal and informal recreation as well as necessary communications infrastructure. It is expected that the measures within the Plan will help to limit the potential for isolation in the community. Overall, the approach of the Local Plan is positive in relation to key issues identified in the District and will help to meet the needs of a range of people within the Plan period.
- **1.8** In considering the total effects of all of the Local Plan's policies and site allocations together, the SA found that significant positive effects are expected in relation to:

- SA objective 4: climate change;
- SA objective 8: sustainable transport;
- SA objective 9: housing;
- SA objective 12: health;
- SA objective 13: recreation;
- SA objective 14: services and facilities; and
- SA objective 15: economic growth.
- **1.9** Overall, significant negative effects for the Cannock Chase Local Plan were identified in relation to:
 - SA objective 1: biodiversity and geodiversity;
 - SA objective 2: pollution; and
 - SA objective 6: landscapes and townscapes.

Next Steps

1.10 The full IIA Report and this Non-Technical Summary will be available for consultation alongside the Pre-Submission Local Plan, from February to March 2024. After the public consultation, the Local Plan will be submitted to the Planning Inspectorate for Examination.

LUC

February 2024

References

- The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 (SI 2004/1633), as amended by The Environmental Assessments and Miscellaneous Planning (Amendment) (EU Exit).
- 2 Cannock Chase District Council (2021) Cannock Chase Local Plan Preferred Options Development Capacity Study.
- 3 Lichfields on behalf of Cannock Chase District Council (2024) Economic Development Needs Assessment: Update Report.
- 4 Please note that as per paragraph 230 of the NPPF, the Cannock Chase will be considered under the September 2023 NPPF given that it reached Regulation 19 stage before March 2024.
- 5 Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (2023) National Planning Policy Framework.
- Please note that site R144a/RE16 (Land at Wellington Drive) was appraised as site R144/RE16 in previous iterations of the IIA. This site has been renamed R144a/RE16 given that Council has identified site The Fairway Motel, Horsefair for appraisal and this is completely surrounded by site R144/RE16 (Land at Wellington Drive). The Fairway Motel, Horsefair site has been named R144b resulting in a renaming of the Land at Wellington Drive to R144a/RE16.

Report produced by LUC

Bristol

12th Floor, Beacon Tower, Colston Street, Bristol BS1 4XE 0117 929 1997 bristol@landuse.co.uk

Cardiff

16A, 15th Floor, Brunel House, 2 Fitzalan Rd, Cardiff CF24 0EB 0292 032 9006 cardiff@landuse.co.uk

Edinburgh

Atholl Exchange, 6 Canning Street, Edinburgh EH3 8EG 0131 202 1616 edinburgh@landuse.co.uk

Glasgow

37 Otago Street, Glasgow G12 8JJ 0141 334 9595 glasgow@landuse.co.uk

London

250 Waterloo Road, London SE1 8RD 020 7383 5784 london@landuse.co.uk

Manchester

6th Floor, 55 King Street, Manchester M2 4LQ 0161 537 5960 manchester@landuse.co.uk

Sheffield

32 Eyre Street, Sheffield, S1 4QZ 0114 392 2366 sheffield@landuse.co.uk

landuse.co.uk

Landscape Design / Strategic Planning & Assessment / Transport Planning
Development Planning / Urban Design & Masterplanning / Arboriculture
Environmental Impact Assessment / Landscape Planning & Assessment
Landscape Management / Ecology / Historic Environment / GIS & Visualisation