## Cannock Chase Council: Cannock Chase Local Plan Representation Form



## Part B: Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s).** We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Re	epresentation				
Name and Organisation:		Peter Leaver of Nurton Developments			
Q1. To wh	nich Main Modif	ication (MM) does this repres	entation relate?		
MM:	37				
Q2. Do yo	ou consider the	Main Modification is:			
A. Legally compliant		Yes: □	No: ⊠		
B. Sound	as appropriate).	Yes: □	No: ⊠		
Your represendant MM37 acts	t or sound. Plea	se be as precise as possible only to the Proposed Main Modifications.  quirement of 74 ha, referred to in Pol	Modification is or is not legally icy SO4.2, is 'gross'. For ease of refer-		
manufac will prov encourag portfolio	turing and distri ide for a range ge inward inves	bution employment development of sizes and types of employn tment, resilience and new in land. It will grow sectors which	nectares (gross) of land for officent during the period to 2040. The nent to meet business needs an vestment and create a balance of promote clean growth principles.		
	his does not seem t d, this paragraph rea		provided in para 9.129. As proposed to		
to 74 he	ectares (net) (65- e during the period • 85% industria	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		
Here it refe	ers to the 74 ha as 'r	et'. The maximum 'gross' figure is giv	ven as 94 ha.		

To be consistent, and to take account of future projected losses (as recommended explicitly by the EDNA),

the figure in the policy for the requirement should be up to 94 ha and not up to 74 ha.

## Cannock Chase Council: Cannock Chase Local Plan Representation Form



If this is accepted, then it holds implications in respect of how the requirement is balanced by supply. The supply has four elements, as follows: -

- Completions (2018 to 2023) 17.44 ha
- Allocations 14.54 ha
- Site intensification 15.91 ha
- West Midlands Interchange contribution 10 ha

These total 72.18 ha.

This leaves a potential shortfall of up to 21.82 ha (i.e. 94 ha less 71.18 ha). How this shortfall is to be dealt is not commented upon by the draft Plan as proposed to be amended. It should be.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q4. Please set out the change(s) to the Main Modification that you consider necessary to make it legally compliant and sound, in respect of legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q.3 above. You will need to say why each change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. If you support the modification, please skip this question.

MM37 should refer to a provision for up to 94 hectares (gross) instead of 74 hectares (gross).

The plan should refer to how any shortfall between the requirement and supply will be met.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Do you have any comments on the Sustainability Appraisal, Habitats Regulations Assessment or Policies Map changes in respect of this particular Main Modification. Please comment in the box below.

None.	
	(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

**Please note**: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

Signature:		Date:	27.11.2025

For Office Use	Part B Reference	